

59-2

In Breekeninge

Alumin Library

LIBRARY

OF THE

Theological Seminary, PRINCETON, N.J.

Case, Supersion C. Shelf, 3448 Section

Book, V. C.

ORIGIN

OF.

LAW'S, ARTS, and SCIENCES,

'AND THEIR

PROGRESS

AMONG

THE MOST ANCIENT NATIONS.

· By Pres de Gogent

VOLUME II.

From the Death of JACOB to the Establishment of.
MONARCHY among the ISRAELITES.

EDINBURGH:

Printed for GEORGE ROBINSON, Paternoster-row, and ALEXANDER DONALDSON, St. Paul's Church-yard, London.

M. DCC. LXXV.

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2011, with funding from Princeton Theological Seminary Library

T A B L E

OF THE

Books, Chapters, Articles, and Paragraphs,

PART II.

From the Death of Jacob to the Establishment of Monarchy among the Is-RAELITES.

INTRODUCTION.

I

B.O O K I.	
Of Government.	0.
-y Good military	
CHAP. I. Of the Babylonians and Affyrians,	ib.
CHAP. II. Of the People of Palestine, and of Afia	
Minor,	4
CHAP. III. Of the Egyptians,	.10
CHAP. IV. Of Greece,	15
ART. I. Athens,	16
ART. II. Argos,	34
Vol. II. a 2	ART.

iv TABLE of the Books, &c.	
ART. III. Mycenæ,	36
ART. IV. Thebes,	39
ART. V. Lacedamon,	43
ART. VI. The Heraclida,	45
ART. VII. Observations on the ancient Government	of
Greece,	49
ART. VIII. Of the ancient Customs and first Laws	of
Greece,	57
ART. IX. Of the Laws of Crete.	79
•	
воок и.	
Б О О К II.	
00 / 1 17 0 7	
Of Arts and Manufactures.	83
SECT. I. Of the State of Arts in Asia and Egypt,	ib.
CHAP. I. Of Agriculture,	84
CHAP. II. Of Clothing,	95
ART. I. Of the Colours employed in dying of Stuffs,	ib.
ART. II. Of the Variety and Richness of Stuffs,	107
ART. III. Of the Discovery and Employment of Precio	
Stones,	III
CHAP. III. Of Architecture,	126
ART. I. Of the State of Architecture among the Egy	
tians, ART. II. Of the State of Architecture in Asia Minor,	ib.
CHAP. IV. Of Metallurgy,	151
CHAP. V. Of Sculpture, Gold Work, and Painting,	153
ART. I. Of Sculpture,	ib.
ART. II. Of Gold Work,	158
ART. III. Of Painting,	163
SECT. II. Of the State of Arts in Greece,	172
CHAP. I. Of Agriculture,	173
ART. I. Of Tillage,	176
ART. II. of the Art of making Wine,	188
	ART.
	- A A A A

TABLE of the Books, &c.	¥.
ART. III. Of the Art of making Oil,	190
ART. IV. Of the Culture of Fruit-trees,	194
CHAP. II. Of Clothing,	197
CHAP. III. Of Architecture,	201
CHAP. IV. Of Metallurgy,	217
CHAP. V. Of Designing, Graving, Gold Work, and Sculp	-
ture,	220
CHAP VI. Of the Origin of Writing.	229
BOOK III.	
Of Sciences.	237
	•
CHAP. I. Of Afia,	238
CHAP. II. Of the Egyptians,	241
ART. I. Of Medicine,	ib.
ART. II. Of Aftronomy,	248
ART. III. Of Geometry, Mechanics, and Geography,	257
CHAP. III. Of Greece,	260
ART. I. Of Medicine,	262
ART. II. Of Mathematics,	271
SECT. I. Arithmetic,	272
SECT. II. Astronomy,	274
SECT. III. Of Geometry, Mechanics, and Geography,	285
B O O K IV.	
b 0 0 k 1v.	
Of Commerce and Navigation,	290
CHAP. I. Of the Egyptians,	ib.
CHAP. II. Of the Phænicians,	293
CHAP. III. Of the Phrygians, Lydians, Trojans, &c.	303
CHAP. IV. Of the Greeks.	306
ВО	OK

BOOK V.

Of the Art Military.	33
CHAP. II. Of the Egyptians, CHAP. III. Of the People of Asia, CHAP. III. Of the Greeks.	ib 34:
B. O O K VI.	
Of Manners and Customs.	374
CHAP. I. Of the Inhabitants of Palestine, CHAP. II. Of the People of Asia Minor, CHAP. III. Of the Greeks. DISSERTATIONS.	375 376 386
I. On the Names and Figures of the Confiellations,	394

THE

T H E

ORIGIN

O F

LAWS, ARTS, and SCIENCES, &c.

INTRODUCTION.

HE space of time which elapsed from the deluge to the death of Jacob, was, without contradiction, the most disagreeable part of our work. We have not facts enow, nor sufficient historical details, to frame an absolutely clear idea of the human race in the first ages. We ought not indeed to promise ourselves more in the insancy of the world; it is even more than one durst hope for in times so remote. In spite of the scarcity of monuments, one may alway have a glimpse of the steps by which these people gradually arose to persection.

We shall not be exposed to the same inconveniencies in the ages of which I am going to give an account. Although in the number of sacts which present themselves, there are some greatly altered by sable, they afford, notwithstanding, a great deal for the gratification of curiosity. Sufficient particulars have been transmitted to us of the state of politics, arts, sciences, commerce, navigation, and the art military in some parts of Asia, and in Egypt.

Greece, which until this time there has been scarce any notice taken of, begins now to fix our attention. In proportion as we come down from the ages near the deluge, we shall see arts and sciences introduce themselves into that part of Europe, and its inhabitants immerge from barbarism.

The picture of all these different objects is not difficult to trace. The epochs of them are known, we are able to determine them; in a word, we may easily follow the progress of the nation, determine exactly enough the degree of their knowledge, and estimate their scientifical attainments.

Vol. II. A PART

P A R T II.

From the Death of Jacob to the Establishment of Monarchy among the Israelites, containing about 600 Years.

BOOK I.

Of Government.

HE history of the Upper Asia will not afford us, in the course of the present æra, any insight in politics, laws, and the form of government. The events that happened in that part of the world, during the whole space of time under our present examination, are absolutely unknown. The history of Egypt is not quite so barren in those times as that of the Upper Asia; it will give us some assistance in each of the objects which I have just indicated: but Greece will abundantly repay us for the small assistance which Asia and Egypt will afford us for that period. The history of that part of Europe affords, in the ages we are now treating of, variety of events, of circumstances and details, abundantly sufficient to instruct us in the progress of laws and politics among the different people, known under the name of Greeks.

C H A P. I.

Of the Babylonians and Affirians.

E have feen in the first part of this work, that Ninus had united the throne of Babylon to that of Assyria. We have there likewise seen, that, on the death of that prince, the

TABLE for the SECOND PART,

R E S.

14 1490 14				Т,		PA	,	the SECO		for		A B 1	•		, 1 0 2	L 0 0	ОИО	1 1	СН		
SACRED HISTORY, The Commencement of the opposition of the involves the commencement of the opposition of the involves are not to the commencement of the opposition of the involves are not to the commencement of the opposition of the involves are not to the commencement of the opposition of the involves are not to the commencement of the opposition of the involves are not to the commencement of the involves are not to the commencement of the opposition of the involves are not to the commencement of the opposition of the involves are not to the commencement of the opposition of Suprementation of Supre						ews.	Hebro	y among the I	ALT	of Ros	ment	Establish	the	JACOB to	he Death of	hends from t	Which compre				
Second composition Second										S.	E	R	I	M P	E						
Government of the opposition of the information of the control of the information of the	THEBE	Kings of T			ATHE	Kings of A	YEAR before J. C.	Kings of LACE- DÆMON.	before	GOS.	of AR	Kings	J. C.			ļ	EGYPT.	before		cfore	the 1 b
1675 Birth of Moura. 1586 Troughts 1581 Converses 1581													1077.			fame dominion, and syrian Empire. I the fuccession of kin Sec b. 1. c. 1.	We have nothing very ertain of the names of the accessors of Sesostris		Commencement of the oppression	571.	59. 1
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,				Egypt.	nes from E	Cecuorscom	1 : 82.							1					Pint of Manage		
September September Kings uncertain. Sign September Sign				of Ac- lin At- builds	aughter o o reigned i s him, b	marries the da reus who then tica, focceeds							1542.						He flays an Egyptian, and flies		- 1
Danars comes from Egypt, experted part of his fisc, cilifor, and his fact, fight in the widerands. 14- 1490. Going out of Egypt. The literalizes wander 40 years in the widerands. 1460. Levacrus 41 years. 1460. Levacrus 41 years. 1460. Levacrus 41 years. 1461. Anna. 1462. Passions 40 years. 1457. Passions 40 years. 1457. Passions 40 years. 1465. Laus 1457. Anna. 1465. Laus 1457. Anna. 1465. Laus 1465. Laus 1465. Laus 1465. Laus 1465. Laus 1465. Laus 1466. Laus								how long he reigned. It	1516.						Kings uncertain.		Kings nocertain.				
The Harlies wonder 40 years in the widerenfin. The Jinalies wonder 40 years in the widerenfin. The Jinalies wonder 40 years in the widerenfin. Event. Levent. Le	lds Thebes,	Cadmus come nicia, bnilds reigns	1519.	- 1				greatest part of his fuc-		gypt, , and	from Eg	Danaus comes leprives him of t									
Tribute Trib		Person			. 403	Ранціон .	1463.	MYLES.		AT VANC		Lyncrus	1460.						The Ifraelites wander 40 years in	1490.	14.
John A governs		FELIDOR	1457-					EUROTAS.		44 years.									Election of Joshua. Death of	1451.	53.
1405. First first in the continues	s 42 ye	Laboacus .			50.	Erecutheus	1423.	LACEDÆMON.			-				Kings uncertain.		Kings uncertain.	5			
OT NOTE delivers the If- raclites, and governs then	20.	Laius .	1416.					Amycles.		23.	: :	Abas .	1419.						First servitude under Chu-		
them										17 years.		PROETUS .	1396.						OTHNIEL delivers the If-	1397•	07.
ELON, King of Meab; it continues . 18. Kings uncertain. I 1348. Perseus. MYCENÆ. Hyppocoon. I 1338. Laius . CYNORTAS. OLBALUS. I 1333. PANDION II	38 ye:	AMPHION .	1395.	years.	. 40 3	CECROFS II.	1373-	Arcalus.		31.		Acrisius .	1379-						them 72 years.		
SAMOAR fucceeds him. A R G O S. Third fervitude under Jasis, king of Haron; it continues 20. 1398. Ecrus 48. AHAXAOREUS. AHAXAOREUS. AHAXAOREUS. ALECTOR. LIPHIS. LIPHIS. SAMOAR fucceeds him. A R G O S. MYCENÆ. HYPPOCOON. 1308. Ecrus 48. ALECTOR. LIPHIS. LIPHIS. SAMOAR fucceeds him. ANYCENÆ. HYPPOCOON. 1308. Ecrus 48. LIPHIS. LIPHIS. LIPHIS. LIPHIS. LIPHIS. STHELENUS.	56.	Laius	1358.					CYNORTAS.		om with Maga-	his kingdo	ather, exchanges			Kings uncertain.		Kings ancertain.		EGLON, king of Moab; it continues 18.		
ARGOS. 1308. Hyprocom. 1308. Ecrus				5.	25.	PANDION II.	1333-	Okbalus.		s the capital of	he makes	lycenæ, which iis new kingdom							ites, and governs them 20.	1323.	679*
ALECTOR. ALECTOR. LAGO. THESEUS				8.	48.	Eceus	1308.	Нурвосоом.		Perseus.	1348.	MECAPEHTHEUS	1348.						Third fervitude under Ja- BIN, king of HAZOR;	1305.	699.
752. 1252. Fourth fervinde under the Middanites, it continues 8 years. 1280. STHELENUS. 1280. STHELENUS.										IVEASTOR.										1285.	719.
1280. STHELENUS.				o years.	30	THESEUS .	1260.	Tyndarus.		ELECTRION.		Ірніз.				_			Fourth fervitude under the	1252.	752.
STHENLUS. CYLABARIS. 1234 ATREUS. THISTERS. THE GOVERNMENT FOR . 3. THE ATREUS. THISTERS. THE GOVERNMENT FOR . 3. THE ATREUS. THISTERS. THE GOVERNMENT FOR . 3. THE ATREUS. THE STEED AGAMENT 197. MENELAUS. THE ATREUS. THE STEED AGAMENT 197. DECORAGE ARTHUS. APPROAG. THE ADMINISTRATE THE ATREUS. THE ADMINISTRATE THE ATREUS. THE ATREUS. THE ADMINISTRATE THE ATREUS. THE ADMINISTRATE THE ATREUS. THE ATREUS	3.	LTEOCLES .	1254.							STHELENUS.	1280.									1245	750
CYLABARIS. 1234. ATRIUS. THE GOVERNMENT OF TOTAL STATES. LIGO. EGISTNES 7. LIGO. ORESTES 70. DENOTION	S 10.	LAODAMAS .	1251.	13.	• .+3	MNESTHEUS	1230.	CASTOR and POLLUX.				STHENELUS.			Kings uncertain.		Kings uncertain.		ites, and governs them 9. Absorbed his for usurps	7,7	139
2799- 1205. Fifth fervitude under the Ammonites, it continues to the Ammonites to t	ES. 12.	TISAMENES.	1240.		Troy.1	War of		Menelaus,		ATREUS. THIESTES. AGAMEM'. 194.	1234.	CYLABARIS.							the government for 3.		
2817. 1157. JEPHTAR governs 6 years. 2823. 1181. BEAN governs . 7. 2830. 1174. ELON governs . 10. 2840. 1164. Abbons governs . 8. 2848. 1166. ELI governs . 40. 2848. 1135. Prodigies of Samson. Kings uncertain. Leon governs . 40. Leon governs . 40. Leon governs . 5. Let governs . 40. Kings uncertain.		Autesion.		33.	• • 33	D: могном	1207.			EGISTHES 7.	1209.								Fifth fervitude under the Ammonites, it continues 18.	1205	2799•
2830. 1174. ELON governs 10. 1133. Tisamenes his fon reigns. 3 years. 16. 1144. ELON governs 10. 1155. Tisamenes his fon reigns. 3 years. 16. 1156. Coords 3. 1166. Abson governs 8. 1166. ELI governs 40. 1175. Kings uncertain. 1176. ELI governs 40. 1176. ELI governs 40. 1176. ELI governs 40. 1177. Tisamenes his fon reigns. 3 years. 16. 1178. Abson governs 5. 1178. Abson governs 7. 1178. Tisamenes his fon reigns. 3 years. 16. 1178. Abson governs 9. 1178. Abson governs 7. 1178. Tisamenes his fon reigns. 3 years. 16. 1178. Abson governs 9. 1178. Abson governs 7. 1178. Abson governs 7. 1178. Tisamenes his fon reigns. 3 years. 16. 1178. Abson governs 9. 1178. Abson governs 7. 1178. Abson governs 9. 1178. Tisamenes his fon reigns. 3 years. 16. 1178. Ilight Tive Area 9. 1178. Abson governs 9. 1178. Tisamenes his fon reigns. 3 years. 16. 1178. Tisamenes his fon reigns. 3. 1178. Coords 9. 1178. Abson governs 9. 1178. Abson governs 9. 1178. Abson governs 9. 1178. Abson governs 9. 1178. Tisamenes his fon reigns. 3. 1178. Coords 9. 1178. Abson governs 9. 1178. Abson go				12 years.		OXYNTHAS APHIDAS	1174.	non.	Lucedam	g of Argos and	nies King	ORESTES beco	,					·S• .	JEFHTHAN governs . 6 years	1187	2817.
2840. 1164. Abbow governs . 8. 1840. 1164. Abbow governs . 8. Kings uncertain. At the death of this place, a Republication of the prince, athers became a Republication of the prince, and the prince, athers became a Republication of the prince, and the prince, athers became a Republication of the prince, and the prince, athers became a Republication of the prince, and the prince, athers became a Republication of the prince, and the prince, athers became a Republication of the prince, and the prince, athers became a Republication of the prince, athers became a Republicati	ON.	DAMASICTON.		8. 37-	us . 3	THYMÆTE MELANTH COURUS	1161.	3 years.		igns	is fon rei	TISAMENES h	1132.						L. ELON governs 7.	1174	2823. 2830.
2848. 1156. Ext governs . 40. Might deep the one countries which they had findeded. Testwas had amongst them the countries which they had finded and the form of the farmer of the first of the form of the carpellition, his two fons, Eurystrass and Paocess, took his place, and had be countried first the form of the Learning for the first of th	th of this prin	At the death of		of this	ns beening	After the	-	known in history under the the conduct of three princi-	d under ti	e posterity of He . They marche , Temanes, and	RACLIDE FORENUS	He is driven on name of the H: pal chiefs, Ann			Kings uncertain		Kings uncertain.		4. Abdon governs S.	1164	2840.
Lacong for their flag. Their principles. They were at first	t what was the	Ve know not wh		vern the	to gove were calls	were chosen	j S	id fubdued. Tements had robemus dying during this	h they had	to CRESPHONTES	them the	Argolida. Me			Trans directally,	1	auctional.		6. Eli governs 40.	1150	2848.
Sixth fervitude under the dominions which had been adjudged to them. They both governed them berneaming to them been again been again to the been again to t	fight entirely this city; it do tain to make a	We even lofe fight he history of this not begin again t	,	fay, that	They were	perpetual, th	c	think proper to divide the They both governed them	did not i	These princes been adjudged to	ir flare.	Laconia for the dominions whi							Sixth fervitude under the	1135	2869.



vast empire formed by his conquests fell into the hands of Semiramis his confort. From Ninias, son and successor of Semiramis, to Sardanapalus, we find an astonishing vacuity in the history of Assyria and Babylon. There is nothing to be depended on in a series of kings who had possessed the throne for above 800 years. They have indeed preserved the names of the greatest part of those monarchs a; but that list has appeared suspicious to some critics. They pretend to have discovered in it many marks of forgery b. However that may be, as there remain no monuments of those princes c, that discussion is of very little consequence.

The obscurity of their reigns is commonly attributed to the effeminacy and indolence which those ancient monarchs are said to have lived in; but perhaps that obscurity ought to be attributed, less to the supineness of those princes, than to the tranquillity they took care their people should enjoy. The virtues of a quiet and peaceable life are not so striking as the same of military talents. History takes very little notice of any thing but conquests and important revolutions, especially when historians speak of countries they are not interested in. We know nothing of the history of those ancient people but from the Greek writers. The Greeks, a restless, unsettled people, esteemed nations only as they were warlike. They have not condescended to write the peaceable reigns of the kings of Nineveh 4: lovers of the marvellous, they did not find in the hi-

² Euseb. Chron. l. 2.; Syncell. p. 103, 108,-123,-147,-151,-154, 155,-159,-

b It has been pretended, that, in the list given by Ctesias, there are a number of names which may very well have been borrowed from the Greek and Persian, to form so long a catalogue. Sphaerus, Lamprides, Laosthenes, Dercylus, are Greek names; Amyntas is the name of the kings of Macedonia; Arius is a name of the Spartan kings; Xerxes, Armamitres, Nithraus, are Persian names; Sosarmus is the name of a king of the Medes, according to Ctesias himself. See Montsaucon, hist de Judith, p. 127. Yet one may excuse Ctesias for giving Greek and Persian names to many of the Assyrian kings, by saying, he had used those names as he found them in the archives of Persia, translated from the Assyrian into Persian. One might likewise say, that probably he translated them into Greek himself, and explained them by other ames which to him may have appeared equivalent. How many authors have taken the same liberty? Without speaking of the Greeks and Latins, the history written by M. de Thou will alone surnish us with many examples of names so disguised, that they can scarce be known.

c See our differtation on the antiquities of the Babylonians and Assyrians, &c.

story of the Assyrian monarchs those shining events, which fix the attention of the readers, and strike the writer's imagination. Extremely prejudiced in favour of the Egyptians, we may say, they would only know that people in all antiquity.

Yet we ought to think, that the fucceffors of Ninias were not abfolutely fuch as they are reprefented. All the historians of antiquity acknowledge, that they knew of no monarchy that had sublisted so long as that of the Assyrians. Herodotus, who, of all the writers, allows the shortest duration to this empire, yet agrees, that the Assyrians had been masters of Asia for 520 years f. There is no mention made of any revo-Iution during the course of so many ages. Could this empire have maintained itself for so long a space of time without troubles and without revolutions, if the kings who governed it had been entirely abandoned to debauchery, and funk in effeminacy? Indeed, it feems probable, they only endeavoured to govern their people in peace; and, for that reason, the Greek historians thought them unworthy of notice, they found nothing remarkable to relate g. But should we therefore despise these princes? Do the warlike inclinations of a monarch always make his people happy? Besides, if it were so, we should necessarily lose fight of the Babylonians and Assyrians during all that space of time, which we shall run over in this second part of our work.

C H A P II.

Of the People of Palestine, and of Asia Minor.

E are better acquainted with the events which happened, in the fame ages, in that part of Asia which is washed by the Mediterranean. We have seen in the preceding volume, that, a short time after the deluge, Palestine, and the borders of the Jordan, were inhabited by civilized

C Diod. l. 2. p. 137.; Dionys. Halicarn, l. 1. p. 2. 8 Diod. l. 2. p. 136.

nations: which, notwithstanding, except the Sidonians, have made no great figure in history: most of these people were destroyed by Joshua when he conquered Palestine. Those to whom the Greeks gave the name of Phœnicians, were the only people who maintained themselves. We will make them more particularly known, when we speak of the state of commerce and navigation in the ages which employ us at pre-

The history of Asia Minor, which till this time affords no materials for our work, prefents us now with objects most worthy our attention. Many states, which are often mentioned in ancient history, sprung up in that part of the world. The Lydians, the Trojans, the Phrygians, are well-known nations. It is true, that, the Trojans excepted, these monarchies, in the times we fpeak of, were not very confiderable; therefore we shall not dwell long upon them.

With respect to the Trojans, their empire was of pretty large extent. Many provinces were dependent on it. The whole maritime coast of the Hellespont was subject to them h. All the writers of antiquity agree in giving a great idea of the grandeur of Priami. Troy, the capital of his dominions, was a confiderable city; his kingdom, moreover, appears to have been very flourishing; but we know nothing in particular of its form of government; we are ignorant of their laws. What one may fay with the greatest certainty is, that the crown was hereditary k.

The throne was also hereditary in the other kingdoms of Asia Minor. The way they relate how Gordius, whom we ought to look upon as the origin of the race of the

h Achilles, in the Iliad, fays, that, by fea he had taken twelve cities from the

Trojans, and eleven by land. 1. 9. v. 328.

i The description which Achilles made to Priam himself of the extent of the Trojan empire, gives us a great idea of it. Iliad. 1. 24. v. 544, &c.

The epithet that Virgil gives Priam, is likewise a sign that they looked on that prince as the most powerful monarch that then reigned in Asia Minor.

^{....} Tot quondam populis terrisque superbum, Regnaturem Asia. Æneid. l. 2. v. 559.

Strabo entitles Priam, King of kings, 1.13. p. 891.

^{*} Diod. l. 4. p. 318, &c.

kings of Phrygia, obtained the fovereignty, shews us one of those events, which, in the earliest times, gave birth to kingly government.

The Phrygians, like all other people, were some time without any form of government. Weary of the evils to which their domestic dissensions daily exposed them, they consulted the oracle to know what the end of them would be. The answer was, that to elect a king was the only means of putting an end to their miseries.

The Phrygians would know on whom they ought to fix their choice: The oracle ordered them to give the crown to the first person they should meet going in a car to the temple of Jupiter. Scarce had they received this answer, when they met Gordius. They proclaimed him king upon the spot! Gordius, in memory of that event, consecrated to Jupiter the car in which he was when he was raised to the throne. The knot by which the car was yoked, was so artfully made, that it was not possible to discover where it began, or where it ended. This is the knot so well known in antiquity by the name of the Gordian knot. The oracle had declared, that he who could unloose it should have the empire of Asia m.

After Gordius, his fon Midas afcended the throne, 1428 years before Christⁿ. The history, or rather fable, related of this prince, is too well known for me to dwell upon it. It was Midas who established in Phrygia the ceremonies of public worship, which, ever after his reign, was there paid to the Divinity. He derived from Orpheus the knowledge of these religious offices of History remarks that those sentiments of teligion with which he inspired his people, contributed more to strengthen his authority, than the power of his arms P.

I Justin. 1: 11. c. 7.; Arrian. de exped. Alex. p. 35.

Arrian deceives himself in referring to Midas what has been read of Gordius. The greatest number of writers agree to a knowledge Gordius for the first king of Phrygia.

m Arrian, loco cit. p. 87.

n See the memoirs of the academy of inscriptions, t. 9. p. 126.; Euseb. Chron. l. 2. p. 86.

O Conon apud Phot. narrat. 1. p. 425.; Justin. l. 11 c- 7.; Ovid. Metam. l. 11. v. 93.

P Conon, Julin. loco cit.

This is all that the history of Asia can supply us with on the subject we are at present employed about. The maxims, the political and civil laws of the people of whom we are speaking, are absolutely unknown to us. We cannot even form any idea of them. Materials are entirely wanting. Yet we must except the Lydians. Herodotus acquaints us that their laws were the same with those of the Greeks q.

But, if we were to turn our attention to the Hebrew nation, we should find materials in abundance to make us amends for the want of them in the other nations of Asia. From their going out of Egypt the Israelites began to form themselves into a nation, distinct by their laws, and by their customs, from all the rest of the earth; a nation which subsists at this day; and which is still governed by its own particular customs, though dispersed throughout all the countries of the universe.

The political and civil laws of the Hebrews are perfectly known to us; fo well, indeed, that it is not worth while to enumerate them. Befides, we ought not to make any comparison between the form of government established by Mofes, and the other species of governments, of which history gives us examples. The Hebrew people had the fingular advantage of having God particularly for their monarch, and for their legislator. It was from God himself that this nation had received its laws. In a word, it was the Supreme Being who condescended to prescribe the ceremonies of the worship that he would have paid him by the Ifraelites. We ought therefore to make no comparison between the laws of this people, laws dictated by wisdom itself, and those that could be observed by other nations. The precepts of the decalogue alone, contain more sublime truths, and maxims more effentially promotive of the good of mankind, than all the profane writings of antiquity could afford. The more we meditate on the laws of Moses, the more we shall perceive their wisdom, and inspiration; that infallible fign of the Divinity which fails all human works, in which, when we examine critically, we

always find great defects: befides, the laws of Mofes alone have the inestimable advantage, never to have undergone any of the revolutions common to all human laws, which have always demanded frequent amendments; Smetimes changes; fometimes additions; fometimes the retrenching of fuperfluities. There has been nothing changed, nothing added, nothing retrenched, from the laws of Mofes; a fingular example, and fo much the more striking, as they have preferved their purity for above 3000 years. If Mofes had not been the minister of God, he could not, whatever genius we may fuppose him to have had, from himself have drawn laws which received all their perfection the instant of their formation: laws which provided against every thing that could happen in the fuccession of ages, leaving no necessity for change, or even for modification. That is what no legislator has ever done, and what Mofes himfelf could not have done, had he writ fimply as a man, and had he not been inspired by the Supreme Being r.

I shall observe further, that the alliance made in the desert between God and the Israelites, may be looked upon as a model of the forms they used to observe in contracting these sorts

of engagements.

Of all the ceremonies anciently used in solemn alliances, the effusion of blood appears to have been the most important, and the most universal. St. Paul says, "For when Moses had solve fpoken every precept to all the people according to the law, he took the blood of calves and of goats, with water, and fearlet wool, and hyssop, and sprinkled both the book and all the people, saying, This is the blood of the testament which God hath injoined unto you!"

Profane history affords us as plain a proof of this ancient custom, which regarded the shedding of blood, as the seal of all the covenants they contracted. Herodotus, speaking of a treaty of peace concluded between the Medes and the Lydians,

r Voy. Jaquelet. dissertation 3. sur l'existence de Dieu, chap. 4, 7, 8, 9. & traité de la verité et de l'inspiration des livrés sacrés, t. 1. chap. 8. s Heb. chap. 9. v. 19. Voy. le P. Calmet, loco cit. et t. 2. p. 52, et 223.

by Cyaxarus, and by Aliattes, observes, that with these people, besides the other ceremonies common to them and the Greeks, the contracting parties used to make incisions on the arms, and mutually to suck the blood that ran from them.

We find, even among the favages, an example of those ancient ceremonies used in treaties of peace and alliance.— The Spaniards, in 1643, made a treaty of peace with the Indians of Chili; they have preserved the memory of the forms used at the ratification: it is said, that the Indians killed many sheep, and stained in their blood a branch of the cane-tree, which the deputy of the Caciques put into the hands of the Spanish general, in token of peace and alliance ".

As to the manner of ratifying alliances, the custom then was to write two copies of their contracts: the one of the copies they folded up and tied, and fealed it with the feals of the contracting parties: the other was neither folded nor fealed; it remained open, in order that recourse might be had to it on occasion. The orders that Moses received from God with regard to the tables of the law, and the manner in which that legislator executed them, prove the custom of having two copies of the contracts they made. The tables of the law which Mofes received on Mount Sinai, was the authentic copy where God had written the conditions of the alliance which he made with his people. God ordered that these two tables should be put into the ark x. Moses, at the same time, taking care to write a duplicate of the same commandments, placed it at the fide of the arky, that they might confult it, and eafily take copies 2.

Such like forms must, without doubt, have been in use, with respect to particular contracts, with all the nations to whom alphabetic writing was then known. We may, by comparing the practice I have just spoke of, with those s

t L. 2. n. 74. u Voyage de Frezier, p. 73.

x Exod. chap. 25. ver. 16. Y Deut. chap. 31. ver 26.
2 See the commentaries of Father Calmet, and his differtation on the form of ancient books.

have mentioned in the first part of this work, as having been used originally a, perceive the difference which alphabetic writing has introduced, with respect to the measures taken for the fecurity of acts and contracts among civilized nations.

C H A P. III.

Of the Egyptians.

IN the first part of this work I have shewn the origin and the constitution of government among the Egyptians; but I have entered into no particulars of the reigns and persons of the monarchs who possessed the throne in the ages we were then treating of: but it will not be so at present. The reign of Sefostris, with whom begins this fecond part of the history of Egypt, is too remarkable an æra not to demand a particular account of a monarch fo famous in antiquity. Of all the kings of Egypt, the actions of Sefostris were the most grand and most memorable b: he equally fignalized himself in peace, in war, and in arts. This prince ascended the throne 1659 vears before Christe.

Sefostris was born with all the qualities which can form a great monarch. The education he received was most proper to fecond these happy dispositions. They fay, that the king his father caused to be brought to court all the male infants born in Egypt the fame day with his fon d; he gave to them all, not excepting the young prince, an education perfectly equal and uniform. They were inured to labour and fatigue by all forts of exercises; they gave them nothing to eat till they had previously made out a considerable walk on foot . Such was the education of Scfostris and all his

Ebook 1. chap. 1.

Diod. l. 1. p. 62.

I have followed, for the reign of Sefoliris, the chronology of P. Tournemine. See his different ad calcen Menochii, in fol. Paris, 1719. differt. 5. d Diod. l. r. p. 62.

The Natches, a people of South America, have the same custom with respect to the heir-apparant. Lettr. édif. t. 20. p. 202.

^e Diodorus fays, one hundred and eighty stadia; an incredible number, to take them, as is common, twenty four fludia to a league, for then they must

his companions. History adds, that they remained inviolably attached to him, and that he chose from this body the principal officers of the army which he raised for his grand expeditions f. They were said then to have consisted of 1700 s; let us pause a little upon this sact.

Diodorus does not ascertain the number of male infants born in Egypt the same day with Sesostris; but he gives room to guess it, by saying, that when that monarch began his conquests, they were then 1700. For one cannot presume, that there were only 1700 male children born in Egypt the same day with Sefostris; and we ought still less to suppose, that in case there were only 1700, they should all come to manhood. Sefostris could not be much less than forty years of age when he undertook his expedition, fince he was determined to it by the counsel of his daughter Amyrta h. For we know from experience, that out of a thousand children, born at the same time, there will remain but little above one third at the end of forty years i. Therefore, as there still remained 1700 of the companions of Sefostris, at the time of his expedition, it must have been, that the number of males born in Egypt the same day with this prince, amounted to more than 5000; and this appears to me highly improbable.

It has been observed, that there are very few more boys born than girls; the whole number of children, then, born the same day with Sesostris, should amount to more than 10,000. How-sover peopled that country was anciently, how can one perfuade one's self that it was so populous, that there could be born on each day more than 10,000 children? One may, by a

have gone feven leagues and an half. But we know, that the value and meafure of the findia was as different and equivocal among the ancients as the meafure of miles and leagues among the moderns. We know that they had flort stadia, eleven hundred and eleven to a degree; therefore one hundred and eighty stadia, reckoning two thousand two hundred eighty two fathoms to a league, of twenty-five to a degree, make four leagues and some fathoms. This valuation makes the fact spoken of by Diodorus a little less incredible.

f Diod. p. 64. f. Ibid. h Ibid. i Journal des scavaus, Aout. 1666, art. 1.; Tables de M. Dupre de S. Maur, rapportees, &c. 2d tome de l'hist. nat. du cabinet du Roi, par M. Buffon, p. 590. et suiv.

comparison of what happens in our times in France, make this very plain.

In examining the number of children born in Paris in a year, we fee, for example, that in 1750, they amounted to 23,104k. which gives 63 or 64 for each day; and we may observe, that there were a few more boys than girls: thus we may fix the number of males born in Paris each day at 32 or 33. Paris contains about 700,000 fouls 1. But we ought to take from this number the monks, the nuns, the ecclefiastics, old men, infants, and that immense number of people of all forts who live unmarried. I think I shall not go too far if I reduce to 400,000 fouls all the perfons capable of having children. We have feen that there were only born in Paris 32 or 33 males each day; we therefore can, after this calculation, determine the number that could be born in Egypt, more especially as the Egyptians could only marry one wife m.

Following the most exact researches, Egypt contained under its first kings 27,000,000 of inhabitants. Every body married in those countries; the women were prodigiously fruitful o, and were obliged to bring up all their children, even those that forung from illicit commerces p. For this reason, in order to render the account which I would establish more plain, and make a fort of compensation, I will calculate the number of children which could be born in Egypt each year from thefe 27,000,000 of inhabitants, whom I may well suppose to be the number of persons capable of having children; and however advantageous that supposition may be to Egypt, yet we shall want many to approach the number which the 1700 companions of Sefostris necessarily demand.

In effect, even supposing in Egypt 27,000,000 of inhabitants capable of having children, it refults from the observations which I have just made, that there could not be born in a

k Mercure de France, Janvier 1751.

1 Voy. le diction. de la Martiniere, au mot Paris.

m Herod. l. 2. n. 92.

n Mem. de Trevoux, Janv. 1752. p. 32. m Herod. l. 2. n. 92.

Strabo, l. 5. p. 1018, B. See also the notes ad hunc loc.

P Diod. 1, 1. p. 31.

day more than 4320 children; a number fusiciently distant from 10,000, to which the relation of Diodorus necessarily brings us. Above half is then wanting to bring us to an equality. To obtain that, we must suppose more than 60,000,000 of inhabitants in Egypt, a number too excessive ever to be admitted. I hope to be pardoned for this small digression: I return to Sesostris.

This monarch had fearce afcended the throne, when he did all in his power to render Egypt more powerful and more formidable than it had ever yet been: his ambition proposed nothing less than the conquest of the universe. But before he put in execution his vast projects, he began by correcting and perfecting the interior government of his kingdom. I shall speak in its proper place of his grand expeditions, and military regulations. We ought at present only to consider Sesostris in the light of a legislator: his political establishments ought to be our only object.

I faid elsewhere, that from all antiquity Egypt was divided into several provinces q. Ancient authors agree in this; but we cannot exactly discover what were their precise number before Sesostris. That prince fixed them at thirty-fix. He divided all Egypt, say the ancient historians, into thirty-fix nomes, or districts, and gave the government of them to as many persons, on whom he could depend. They levied the King's taxes, and regulated all the affairs which happened in their jurisdiction.

Sefostris further divided, according to Herodotus, all the lands of Egypt into so many portions as there were inhabitants; each had an equal portion of land for paying a certain rent annually. If the possessions of any one were lessened or damaged by the Nile, he went to the King, and declared the loss he had suffered. The King caused it to be measured, to know how much it was diminished, and proportioned

⁹ Part 1. book 1.

r Diod. l. r. p. 64. The term nome, used to denominate the different cantons of Egypt, is a term invented by the Greeks when they were masters of it under Alexander. The Romans afterwards called the same districts prefestures, when they brought Egypt under their command in the time of Augustus.

Diod. l. 1. p. 64.

the tributé to the quantity of land that remained to the proprietor .

Of all the political inflitutions attributed to Sefostris, the most remarkable, in my opinion, is the distribution he made of all his subjects into different classes or states ". They reckoned in Egypt feven different orders, who took their names from the profession which each order exercised x. By this establishment the different professions of each member of the ftate were separated and distinguished from each other. The Egyptians could not take upon them indifferently the profession for which they had the greatest liking; the choice was not left to their disposal: the children were obliged to be of the profession of their fathers y. They severely punished whoever quitted it to embrace another z. We shall again have occasion to speak of this political inflitution. I reserve likewise for the article of war the military laws published by Sefostris. The Egyptians attribute to this prince the greatest part of the rules concerning the troops and the discipline of armies 2.

Sefostris has been placed in the number of the most famous legislators b; the Egyptians, to shew how perfectly that prince knew the science of government, said, that he was taught by Mercury politics and the art of governing c. They always held his memory in the highest veneration, as one may judge from what I am going to relate.

When Egypt, many ages after Sefostris, was fallen under the dominion of the Persians, Darius, father of Xerxes, would have his statue placed above that of this prince. The high priest, on the part of the whole college assembled on the subject, opposed the design of Darius, representing to him, that he had not yet surpassed the actions of Sesostris. Darius was not offended at the liberty of the high priest d. He only an-

t L. 2. n. 109. u Arift, polit, l. 7. c. 10. init.; Dicaearchus apud fehol. Appollon. Rhod. l.

X Herod. l. 2. n. 163.
Y Plato in Tim. p. 1044.; Ifocrat. in Busirid. p. 328, 329.; Diod. l. 1. p. 86.
Z Diod. leco cit. 2 Diod. l. 1. p. 106. 5 Ælian. var. hist. l. 12. c. 4.
C Arist. polit. l. 7. c. 10.; Diod. l. 1. p. 105, 106.

d Herod. l. 2. n. 210.; Diod. l. 1. p. 68.

fwered, that he would endeavour to attain to the glory of that

hero, if he lived to his age e.

Sefostris diet after a reign of 33 years f; his son succeeded him g. Historians agree in saying, that he did nothing remarkable h. He was, in that, like the rest of the monarchs who possessed the sarone of Egypt, from Sesostris to Bochoris, whose reign salls in the year 762 before Christ. We do not know positively the names, and still less the actions of most of these princes. Egypt therefore will supply us with nothing for our researches for a long succession of ages.

C H A P. IV.

Of Greece,

Need not repeat what I have faid, in the first part of this work, of the state of the ancient inhabitants of Greece. We there have seen to what a pitch they were originally rude and barbarous. The reader will not have forgot, that this part of Europe owed the first knowledge of science it possessed to strangers, who going out of Egypt, formed there a very extensive empire, though of a very short duration. Other colonies passed successively into Greece. I have not indeed been very particular about their first establishments. Marking the æra, and telling the names of the authors of them, was all that I had to do.

These sirst colonies had done little or nothing to civilize the Greeks. These people did not begin to be polished till near the times we are at present engaged in. This happy change was the work of new colonies which came then from Egypt and Phenicia into Greece. The conductors of those last emigrations taught the ancient inhabitants of the country to use more form and more order in their societies. They sounded different kingdoms, which subsisted a long time with great reputation. We

⁹ Died. ibid.

F Diod. I. r. p. 69. 8 Idem, ibil : Herod. l. a. n. 111. 4 Idem, ibid.

will run over the history of them, observing the order of time, and the importance of the subjects.

ARTICLE

ATHENS.

IN the preceding volume I have touched upon the origin of the kingdom of Athens. I there remarked, that Attica had not been exposed to the same commotions as the other governments of Greece 1. The inhabitants nevertheless had not profited from the tranquillity they enjoyed, fo much as to be any way polished. The Athenians remained a long time barbarous and rude, ignorant of the most necessary arts, living without laws, and without discipline. Attica was nothing before the foundation of Athens.

That famous city, to which all Europe owes the origin of its laws, its arts and sciences; Athens, the feat of politeness and learning, the theatre of valour and eloquence, the public school of all who aspired to knowledge; Athens more famous, by the genius of its inhabitants, than Rome by its conquests, owed its foundation to Cecrops, originally of Sais, a city of the lower Egypt k.

Cecrops arrived in Attica 1582 years before Christianity 1. He was well received by Acteus, who then reigned in that diffrict. That prince even gave him his daughter in marriage, and after the death of Acteus, Cecrops fucceeded him m. As foon as he afcended the throne, he laboured to polish his fubjects, by acquainting them with the advantages of living in fociety. When Cecrops came into Attica, that part of Greece was a prey to the ravages and incursions of pirates and robbers. The people of Bœotia, whom they then called Hones, defolated the country by perpetual incursions n: the Carians on the fea-coast were always pillaging o. Cecrops

i Part r. book r.

k Diod. l. 1. p. 33.; African. apud. Euseb. præp. evang. l. 10. c. 10. p. 491. l Marm. Oxon. ep. 1. — m Apollod. l. 3. p. 192.; Pauf. l. 1. c. 2. l Philicor. apud Strab. l. 9. p. 609. — ldem, ihid.

represented to his new subjects; that the only way to resist such violences, was to affemble and unite their forces. He shewed them to build houses, and founded a city; which he called after himself Gecropia P. Lastly; to put his new establishment in absolute security, he built a fortress on a rising ground, where they afterwards built the temple of Minerva q. Such is the epocha of the birth of Athens.

The name of that city is famous in ancient flory, by an event that is strangely disfigured by fable; but which, however, deserves to be related, on account of the remarkable change it occasioned in the form of government.

Antiquity fays then, that Cecrops, in building the walls of Athens, faw ftart out of the earth in a moment an olive-tree and a fountain. Struck with these prodigies, he fent to Delphos to ask of Apollo what they fignified, and what he was to do. The oracle answered, that Minerva, who was designed by the olive-tree, and Neptune, by the water, claimed reciprocally the right of naming the city they had built, and that the people were to decide the difference. On this answer, Cecrops affembled all his fubjects, men and women; for, at that time. the women had a right to vote in public deliberations. Minerva carried it only by one vote; and that, they fay, was a woman's r.

A little while after, Attica having been greatly damaged by the waters, the Athenians imagined that Neptune was enraged, and wanted to be revenged. To appeale him, they refolved to punish the women on account of the preference they had given to Minerva; they determined, that for the future

P Apollod. l. 3. p. 192.; Plin. l. 7. fect. 57. p. 413.
q Thucyd. l. 2. p. 110.; Plin. loco cit. Anonym. de incredib. c. 1. p. 85.; Valer. Maxim. l. 5. c. 3.; Exern. n. 3. p. 465.
T Varro apud August. de civit. Dei, l. 18. c. 9.
We ought not to be furprifed, that, in the first ages, the women among the Greeks were admitted into their public assemblies, and had a right to vote: they enjoyed the fame advantage among many other nations of antiquity. The women were admitted in our national affemblies by our ancestors the Gauls, and they took no resolution without their advice. It was the same with the ancient people of Germany. Plut. t. 2. p. 246. C.; Tacit. de morib. Germ. n. 8.; Polyan. Strat. 1. 7. c. 50.

they should not be admitted into the assemblies, nor any child from that time bear the name of its mother f.

Some ancients fay, that Cecrops built twelve cities, or, to fpeak more properly, twelve towns t: but it appears to me much more likely to give the foundation of these twelve cities or towns to Cecrops II. the feventh king of Athens. This is the opinion of many of the most esteemed modern critics ". It was not practicable in those early times to found twelve towns at the fame time; it was enough for Cecrops to be able to form one, with a people fo rude as the Athenians were then. One may prefume, that the founding of Athens was foon followed by that of some other cities or towns. We are so much the more authorifed to believe it, as the Athenians were looked upon as the first people of Greece who established capital cities x.

One of the first cares of Cecrops was the institution of public worship rendered folemnly to the Deity. He applied himself to regulate the ceremonies of religion. Not but the first inhabitants of Greece had some fort of worship; but it appears, that they had no fufficiently clear and distinct idea of the Divinity, and of the homage due to him y. We therefore ought to look upon Cecrops as the first who gave any certain form to the religion of the Greeks 2. Paufanias fays, that this prince regulated the worship of the gods and religious ceremonies with great wisdom a. He taught the Greeks to call Jupiter the Supreme God, or rather Most High b. He first erected an altar at Athens c, and forbade them to facrifice to the gods any thing that had life d.

f Varro apud August. loco cit.

One may fee the different explications given to this historical fable, Vossius de Mol. J. 1. C. 15.; Le P. Tournemine, Trevoux. Janvier 1708.; L'Abbé Bannier, explicat. des fables, t. 4. p. 20.
t Philicor. apud Strab. l. 9. p. 609.

u Moeurs de regn. Athen. l. 2. c. 14.; Potter, Archwol. Gr. l. 1. c. 2. p. 7.

x Stephan. voce Adnoai, p. 28.

y Voy. Bannier, explicat. des fables, t. 6. p. 248, & fuiv. z Ifidor. orig. L 8. c. 11. a L. 8. c. 2. init.

b 'Υπατος, ibid.; Fufeb. præp. evang. l. 10. c. 9. c Euseb ibid.; Macrob. Sat. l. r. c. ro. d Pauf. l. S. c. 2. init.

There is on this subject a very remarkable difference of opinion among ancient writers; but the contradiction is only in appearance. Meursius has sufficiently proved it, de regib. Athen. l. 1. c. 2.

To secure the foundations of his new establishment, and to finish the civilizing of his people, Cecrops laboured to give them laws. The first and most important was that of marriage e. Before Cecrops, the Greeks had no idea of conjugal union: they gratified their defires indifcriminately. The children which sprung from these irregular commerces, never could know who were their fathers, and could only know their mothers, whose name they always bore f. Cecrops shewed the Athenians the inconveniencies arifing to fociety from fuch an abuse. He established the laws and rules of marriage in the form they were practifed in Egypt, that is to fay, that one man should only have one woman s.

The laws would not have been of any great fervice, if he had not had perfons charged with the execution of them. It was in this view that Cecrops established courts to determine the differences that might happen among his subjects. The Athenians found this establishment fo wife and so necessary, that afterwards each town of Attica had its magistrates to preserve peace and good government, and had places fet apart folely for that business h. Of all the tribunals set up by Cecrops, the most famous was that afterwards called Areopagus's We shall fpeak more particularly of it under the reign of Cranalis, fucceffor of this prince.

Cecrops likewife distributed into four tribes all the inhabitants of Attica k. It is probable he made this division on the plan of the distinction of professions established in Egypt by Sefostris! We shall, in the sequel, have an opportunity of seeing many other conformities between the policy of the Athenians and Egyptians.

e Justin. l. 2. c. 6.; Athen. l. 13. init.; Suidas, voce Agount, t. 3. p. 189.
f Warro apud August. de civ. Dei, l. 18. c. 9.; Suidas, loco cit.
g Herod. l. 2. n. 92.; Suidas, loco cit.
h Thucyd. l. 2. p. 108.; Plut. in Thes. p. 11. A.
i The ancients are divided about the time of sixing the institution of the Arcopagns: but, fince the difcovery of the Arundelian marbles, we can aferibe this establishment to none other but to Cecrops; fince, in the reign of Cranaüs his fuccessor, that tribunal was in such ligh reputation, that Neptune and Mars chose them arbitrators of their difference. Marm. Oxon. ep. 3.

k Pollux, l. 8. c. 9. fegm. 100. Others refer this institution to the reign of treatherm.

Erechtheus.

¹ Scc Diod. l. r. p. 33.

The manner of burying the dead has always been looked upon as one of those customs which distinguish polished people from nations absolutely barbarous and savage. All legislators have taken particular care to prescribe to their people the rules which ought to be observed on these forrowful occasions m. Antiquity attributes to Cecrops the institution of suneral ceremonies in Greece. Cicero says, that this prince introduced the custom of burying the dead, and of strewing corn upon their graves n.

In those remote times kingdoms were of very small extent; one city, on which some villages and some leagues of territory depended, often comprised the whole domain of these sirst kings. By what an ancient author relates of the roll of the inhabitants of Attica, taken by Cecrops, one may judge of the power and the strength of those ancient kings. Cecrops, to know the number of his subjects, ordered that each should bring a stone to a certain place which he appointed; when all had obeyed, they counted the stones, and sound twenty thousand.

This is all that history informs us of the actions of Cecrops, who reigned fifty years after his arrival in Greece. Fable has made this prince a monster composed of two different species. The ancients have assigned many motives for this allegory, Some have explained it from the institution of marriage, which in some fort composed a man of two different bodies: others have explained it from his foreign birth: others from the largeness of his body: and, lastly, some because he spoke two languages, Egyptian and Greek, and that he knew the manners of both nations q.

Cecrops had, by his marriage with the daughter of Acteus, only one fon, named Erysisthon. This prince died before his father f. Cranaus, a Greek, and an Athenian by birth,

m Plato de repub. I. 4. p. 636. B. De leg. I. 1. p. 774. A.

n De legib. 1, 2, n, 25, t, 3, p. 158.

The Greeks afterwards thought proper to burn their dead. Vide Hom. Iliad. & Odyff. patjim.

Ody II. patfim.
O Philicor, apud Scholiaft, Pindar, Olymp. ode 9. ver 68, p. 109.

P Suidas in Περμηθ, t. 3. p. 189. 9 See Math. p. 109. 1 Paul. l. 1. c. 2. p. 7. 1 Idean, ibid.

f Pauf. l. 1. c. 2. p. 7. Apollod. l. 3. p. 193.; Pauf. loco cit.

finding himfelf, at the death of Cecrops, the most eminent and most powerful man in the city, seized on the throne. We should have had little to say of his reign, if the marbles had not placed under this prince two events very famous in antiquity.

The first is the judgment given by the Arecpagus between Neptune, fovereign of a part of Theffaly, and Mars, who likewife reigned over many diffricts of that province. The murder of Hallirothius, fon of Neptune, killed by Mars, made these two kings appeal to the judgment of the Areopagus. As this judgement is the first and most celebrated that was given by this grand affembly 4, it is right to relate it.

The Areopagus, inftituted by Cecrops on the plan of the tribunals of Egypt, was not long of rifing to very great reputation. Strangers, even fovereigns, came to fubmit to its decisions. It was principally for the examination of murders, that the Areopagus had been established x. Hallirothius, son of Neptune, having abused Alcippa, the daughter of Mars, this prince, enraged at fo fcandalous an affront, revenged himfelf by the death of Hallirothius. This violent proceeding might have had terrible confequences. To avoid which, Mars and Neptune submitted their difference to the decision of the Areopagus. The fenate being affembled, after having heard the reafons on both fides, they determined, that the revenge of Mars did not exceed the outrage he had received in the person of his daughter 4. This judgment was found fo just, that, to extol the abilities of those who had given it, they said that twelve gods had mingled among the number of the fenators z. It was on this occasion, that the Areopagus received the name which it has always borne fince a.

u Marm. Oxon. ep. 3.; Plin. l. 7. fect. 57. p. 415.; Pauf. l. r. c. 21.

X Solon confiderably extended the jurifdiction of this court; he gave it the lisspection of the whole state.

Y This was the first process for murder which was judged at Athens. Paul. 1. 1. c. 21.; Plin. l. 7. fect. 57.; Liban. declam. 22, 23.

² Apollod. l. 3. p. 193.

a Marm. Oxon. ep. 3.; Eufeb. chron. l. 2. p. 36.; Serv. ad Georg. l. 1. v. 18.

The ancients do not entirely agree about the etymology of the word Areopagus.

Yoy. ks incm. de l'acad. des inferip. t. 7. mem. p. 175.

At the beginning, the members of this famous tribunal were chosen from the most prudent and judicious personages of the city. Authors do not agree as to the number of judges which composed it b; which makes me believe, that it varied at different times. The edifice where the Areopagus affembled in the beginning, was very plain and mean c. It was placed in the middle of Athens, on a hill, fituated opposite to the citadeld. That position must have been very inconvenient for old men, who could not get up but with difficulty. This determined the Areopagi to remove their tribunal to a part of the city called the King's Porticof. It was a place exposed to all the injuries of the weather 5. The judges repaired thither in great filence. As foon as they were all met, they shut them up in a circle, marked by a fort of rope with which they inclosed them h. They fat there on feats of stone, holding in their hand, as a mark of their character, a fort of baton, made in the form of a sceptre i.

Homer shews the antiquity of these usages. Among the different subjects represented on the shield of Achilles, we see the judges employed in the function of their office. The poet painted them fitting in a circle, in the midst of a public square, upon finely polished stones, and bearing a sceptre in their hand when they gave their opinions k. There is room to believe, that, in this picture, Homer has conformed himself to the practice of the Areopagus. Paulanias fays the fame of this ancient simplicity: when, speaking of this tribunal, he says, in the court were feen two forts of filver stones, cut in form of feats or benches !. The expression he uses is remarkable; he calls them filver flones m; a proof that, in those early times, stones were the only seats they used in the Areopagus n.

b Voy. les mem. de l'acad. des infcript. t. 7. p. 198.

C Vitruv. l. 2. c. 1. d Herod. l. 8. n. 52.; Val. Max. l. 5. c. 3. p. 467. S Acad. des infeript. t. 7. mem. p. 195. f lbid. p. 190. E lbid. lt T. 7. mem. p. 190, 196. i Suid. t. 1. p. 411. k Iliad. l. 18. v. 497, &c. lt L. 1. c. 28. p. 68. m Agyvos hlvs;

k Iliad. l. 18. v. 497, &c. l. L. 1. c. 28. p. 68. m Agyuges Albus.
n Spon pretends, that the remains of this ancient tribunal are still to be seen at Athens. Voyage de Grece, t. 2. p. 451.

In order that nothing might take off the attention of the Areopagi, they never fat in judgment, but during the night. For this reason, says Athenæus, that none might know either the number or the faces of the Areopagi. Those of the ancients who have inquired into the reasons of this practice, have delivered many motives which I think more ingenious than solid. It seems to me, that this was a necessary consequence of the custom, that all tribunals had of judging criminals accused of murder, sub dio, in the open air a. It is plain, that, without that precaution, the crowd and noise of the people, which it would not be possible to hinder during the daytime, might take from the magistrates, assembled in a place only inclosed by a cord, a great part of the attention which matters of such importance as murder require.

I have faid, that the Areopagus was formed by Cecrops on the model of the tribunals of Egypt. We have feen, that the parties were not allowed to defend themselves by orators in Egypt r. The maxims of the Areopagus, at its institution, were, in this particular, very conformable to those of the Egyptians. In the earliest times, the parties were obliged to plead their causes themselves i; the eloquence of orators was looked upon as a dangerous talent, and was only proper to give to crimes the appearance of innocence. Yet the feverity and exactness of the Areopagus, in this particular, was foftened in time; they permitted the accused to make use of the affistance and help of orators t; but they were not fuffered, in pleading, ever to lose fight of the main question u. In consequence of this restriction, they could neither make use of exordium, nor peroration, nor any thing, in a word, that could excite the passions, and seize on the admiration or pity of the judges x. The orators were obliged to confine themselves solely to what belonged to their cause; otherwise filence was im-

O L. 6, p. 255. P Ibid. See also Lucian in Hermot. n. 64. t. 1. p. 805. 9 See Antiph. orat. de cade Herodis.

r Part the first, book 1. art. 4.

f Sext. Empiric. adv. rhet. l. 2. p. 304. t Lucian in Anacharsi, n. 19. t. 2. p. 889.

u Arist. rhet. l. 1. c. 1. init.; Lucian uit supra. x Pollux, l. 8. c. 10. segm. 117.; Quintil. instit. l. 6. c. 1.

posed upon them by a herald r. This manner of pleading before the Areopagus, one may fay, gave the tone to the bar of Athens, and extended itself to the discourses that were pronounced at the other tribunals. It is for this reason, that the beginning and the end of the orations of Demosthenes appear to us so simple and so destitute of ornaments a.

As to the emoluments of the judges, there is room to doubt whether they had any originally. Those they had afterwards were very finall. They had at first only two oboli a cause, and afterwards three b; that is, four fols at most, an obolus being about fifteen deniers of French money c. The length of the proceedings made no alteration; and, when the decision of an affair was put off to the next day, the Areopagi had only one obolus for that day. Such was the Areopagus, whose integrity and wisdom is too universally known to be infifted upon. History never speaks of this august affembly but to boast of its abilities, and make encomiums on it. Demosthenes does not fear to fay, that it was unheard of that any one had complained of an unjust fentence given by that tribunald.

The fecond event, which has made the reign of Cranaüs memorable, was the deluge of Deucalion e. Nothing is more celebrated in the Grecian history than that event. Deucalion is looked upon as the restorer of the human race; and really was the stock of a numerous posterity who reigned in many parts of Greece. But the deluge, which happened in his time, was only a great inundation caused by some rivers in Thessaly, whose course was interrupted by the high mountains with which that country is environed: this, joined to the vast quantity of 1ain which

y Arist. Quint. Lucian. loco cit.

a Epilogos illi mos civitatis abstulit. Quintil. inst. 1. 10. c. 1. b Aristophan. in Plut. v. 329. in Equit. v. 51. See the note of Casauhon, p. 77. and those of Spanheim upon Plutus, p. 251. & les mem. de l'acad. des indeript. t. 7. mem. p. 192, & 195. c Ibid. p. 195.

d In Aristocrat. p. 735. F.

e Marm, ep. 4.

fell that year, overflowed the whole country f. It even appears that the inundation extended to the borders of Mount Parnallus, where Deucalion had established the feat of his dominions 8.

Yet most of the ancient writers speak of the deluge of Deucalion as an universal inundation, which drowned the whole human race, except this prince and Pyrrha his wife h. It is from this tradition that in the Grecian antiquity Deucalion paffes for the first who built cities and raised temples to the gods. They likewise fay that he was the first king 1. Some have even pretended, that after this deluge the earth remained a long time defert and uncultivated k; that the inundation had destroyed the trees, corrupted the feeds, and obliterated univerfally all the monuments of arts and sciences 1. This is the reason without doubt that some modern writers have advanced, that, after the deluge of Deucalion, Greece was totally defert, and abandoned, and was not cultivated, for more than three ages after this flood m.

All these facts, so far from being proved, are entirely contradicted by history. Greece, from the moment it began to be peopled, never wanted inhabitants. The succession of the Kings of Argos, of Athens, of Sicyon, was never interrupted. We ought then to look upon the deluge of Deucalion as a local inundation, which might destroy a great many people in the country where it happened, but does not appear to have had any other confequences. Thus the marbles of Paros explain it. They fay plainly, that Deucalion having been faved from the flood, retired to Athens, where he facrificed to Jupiter Phyxius n.

Cranaüs only possessed the throne nine years. He was drove away by Amphyction to whom he had given his daughter in marriage o. Some make this Amphyction fon of Deucalion,

f Marm. ep. 2.; Rinnier explic. des fables, t. 6. p. 75. g Marm. ep. 2.

h Apollod. I 1, p. 19, 20,; Ovid. met. l. 1, v. 318, &c. i Apollon. Rhod. l. 3, v. 1085. k Plato de leg. l. 3, p. 804. i Diod. l. 3, p. 232. l. 5, p. 376, 397, 398.

m Acta Erudit. Lipf. au. 1691, p. 100.; Buffon, hift. nat. t. 1. p. 201.

Marm. Oxon. ep. 4.

Pauf. I. 1. p. 7, 8. n Marm. Oxon. ep. 4.

others fay he was only his grandson p. Neither of these opinions is to be received. The marbles distinguish very plainly Amphyction son of Deucalion, from Amphyction King of Athens q. They make them cotemporaries r. We are ignorant of the extraction of the king of Athens. We are not better instructed in the manner of his government: but there happened in his reign two events of very great consequence in the Grecian history, the establishment of the Amphyctions, and the arrival of Cadmus. I shall at present only speak of the first.

At the time that Amphyction enjoyed the fruits of his usurpation at Athens, Amphyction, son of Deucalion, reigned at Thermopylæs. This prince, sull of wisdom and the love of his country, seriously reslected on the state of Greece in his time. It was then divided into many independent sovereignties. This division might cause disputes, and occasion intestine wars, which might subject the nation to the enterprises of barbarous people, by whom they were surrounded, and who could easily overwhelm them t.

To prevent fo great an evil, Amphyction thought of uniting by a common tie all the different states of Greece; to the end, says an ancient writer, that being always strictly united by the sacred bounds of friendship, they might labour together to maintain themselves against the common enemy, and make themselves formidable to the neighbouring nations ". In this view he formed a league among twelve Greek cities, whose deputies were to meet twice a-year at Thermopylæ ". This samous assembly was called the council of the Amphyctions, from the name of the institutor".

Each city fent two deputies, and had of confequence two votes in their deliberations, and that without distinction, and with-

P Acad. des infeript. t. 3. mem. p. 195.

T Ibid. See alfo Apollod. l. 1. p. 20.

T Dion. Halicaro, l. 4. p. 229.

I Marm. ep. 5.

I Marm. ep. 5.

U Ibid.

^{*} Herod. I. 7. n. 200.; Afchin. de falsa legat. p. 401.; Strabo, l. 9. p. 643.; Paus. l. 10. c. 8. init.

y Marm. ep 5.; Pauf. loco cit. 'The Greek historians are not agreed as to the number of people of which the affembly of the Amphyctions was composed. See les mem. de Pacad. des infeript. t. 3. mem. p. 191.

out the most powerful having any prerogative or pre-eminence 2: the liberty which these people valued themselves upon, required that all should be upon an equal footing.

The oath which the deputies took before their instalment, is too remarkable to be passed over. Aschines has preserved the form 2. It was comprehended nearly in these terms: " I " fwear never to overturn any of the cities honoured with the " rights of the Amphyctionate, and not to change the course " of its rivers, neither in time of peace nor war. And if any of people come upon fuch an enterprife, I engage myfelf to car-" ry war into their country, and to eraze their cities, their " towns, and villages. And further, if I find any one fo im-" pious as to dare to steal any of the offerings confecrated in " the temple of Apollo, or to be any wife aiding in the com-" mithon of that crime, either by giving him an helping hand, " or affifting with his counfels, I will employ my feet, my " hands, my voice, in a word, all my strength, to revenge the " facrilege." This oath was accompanied with terrible imprecations and execrations.

We should look on the assembly of the Amphyclions as the fession of the states-general of Greece. The deputies who composed that august company, represented the body of the nation, with full power to concert and refolve whatever appeared to them to be most advantageous to the common cause. Their authority was not limited to judge of public affairs in the last refort; it extended even to the raising of troops, to force rebels to fubmit to the execution of their fentences. The three religious wars undertaken at different times by order of the Amphyctions, are a striking proof of the extent of their authority b.

It was esteemed a great honour among the Greeks to have a right to fend deputies to this kind of states-general. The least mark of infidelity to their country was fufficient to hinder their admission. The Lacedemonians and the Phocians were exclud-

Z Æschin. de falsa legat. p. 401.

Acad. des inscript. t. 3. mem. p. 192, 193. a De falfa legat. p. 401, B.

ed for a time c. They could not get readmitted till they had made amends by plain proofs of fervice and attachment for the fault which they had committed.

Great politicians have always found, that the best way to give duration to the establishments they formed, was to unite them with religion. With this view, Amphyction charged the council, which bore his name, with the care of protecting the temple of Delphos, and of having a watchful eye over the riches treasured there ^d. But his principal object was, as we have shewn just now, to establish between the different states of Greece, the harmony that was necessary for the preservation of the body of the nation, and to form a centre of union which might assure for ever a reciprocal correspondence among these different people.

The effect answered the care and expectation of the prince. From that moment the interests of their country became common among all the people of Greece. The different states of which that part of Europe was composed, only formed one and the same republic; a union which afterwards made the Greeks formidable to the Barbarians. It was the Amphyctions who saved Greece in the time of the invasion of Xerxes. It is by means of this association that these people have done such great actions, and have supported themselves so long a time with the highest distinction. Europe has models of the same associations. Germany, Holland, and the Swiss cantons, form republics composed of many states.

Amphy&ion therefore ought to be looked upon as one of the greatest men Greece ever produced, and the establishment of the council of Amphy&ions, as the greatest master-piece in politics. We must place in the same rank the institution of the Olympic games, whoever was the author. We cannot in general give too high encomiums to the Grecian legislators, for the variety of methods they invented to unite and league

a Æfchin, de falfa legat, p. 401.

e Pauf. l. so. c. 8. init. d Acad, des inseript. t. 3. mem. p. 191.

that infinite number of fmall states which composed the Greek nation.

I shall pass over the reigns of Erichthonius and Pandion, to come to that of Erechtheus, under whom the marbles place one of the most memorable events in Grecian antiquity. 'That is, the arrival of Ceres in Greece f: an æra fo much the more famous because it was to that time that all the ancients refer the establishment, or rather the re-establishment, of agriculture and civil laws in Greece. I shall treat in the fequel of these articles in a particular manner g.

The reign of Erechtheus is likewife remarkable for fome acts relative to the ancient form of government established in Greece. Till the time of this prince, the kings had always united in their own person the sceptre and the priesthood. Erechtheus, on fucceeding Pandion, gave up fome of his rights in favour of his brother called Butes. He kept the fovereignty, and gave to Butes the priesthood of Minerva and of Neptune h. This is the first example we find in the Grecian history of the division of the fecular and ecclesiastical power.

Erechtheus reigned fifty years; he was killed in a war he had undertaken against the Eleusinians i. The event however was to the advantage of the Athenians to whom those of Eleusis were obliged to fubmit k. The Athenians had given the command of their army to Ion fon of Xuthus, and great-grandfon of Deucalion 1. They were fo pleafed with the fervices Ion had done them in that war, that they intrusted him with the care and administration of the state m. There are even authors who fay, that, on the death of Erechtheus, his mother's father, Ion ascended the throne n. Yet we do not find the name of this prince in any of the catalogues of Athenian kings o.

o See Pauf. I. 7. init.

g See art. 8. book 2. fect. 2. chap. 1. f Marm. Oxon. ep. 12. h Apollod, l. 3. p. 198.

i Herod, l. 8. n. 44.; Pauf, l. 2. c. 14.

vitruv, l. 4. c. 1.; Strabo, l. 8. p. 588.

Euripid, in Ione, v. 577. and Conon apud Phot, narrat, 27. p. 438.

But it is certain that Ion had a very great authority. He was the first who introduced into Greece the custom of separating into different classes, the different professions to which the citizens apply themselves in a state. He distributed all the people of Athens into four classes p. One included the labourers, another the artificers, the third was composed of the ministers of religion, and the military q composed the fourth.

Before we finish what concerns the reign of Erechtheus, I think it ought to be remarked, that, under this prince, Attica was already fo fully peopled, that not being able to fubfift all its inhabitants, the Athenians were obliged to fend different colonies to Peloponnesus, and the isle of Eubœa f.

From Erechtheus to Theseus, the history of Athens offers us nothing remarkable nor interesting. The age of Theseus is that of the ancient heroes of Greece. This prince without doubt was one of the most famous and most distinguished of them; but it is not his exploits, but his administration, and the changes he made in the government of Athens, which ought to employ us at prefent.

We have before feen that Cecrops the Second founded twelve principal towns in Attica. The inhabitants of these towns lived entirely separate from each other t. Each division had its own jurisdiction, and its particular polity, and that independent even of the fovereign u. This arrangement made each town form, as it were, a particular body separate from the state; it was not easy to affemble the inhabitants, and to unite them when they were to deliberate on their fafety, and the interest of the common cause. Besides, they were pretty frequently at war with each other x, often even against their sovereign y.

P Strabo, 1 8. p. 588.

⁹ This is the fense in which I think we ought to take the word Φύλακες, which is here used by Strabo. This meaning is authorised by Plato, who, in his republic, always uses this word, to design military people. See Arist. polit.

r Strabo, l. 8. p. 585.

f Pauf. l. 1. c. 5. p. 13. It is called at prefent Negropont. It is the largest of the ifles of the Archipelago.

t Thucyd. l. 2. p. 110. x Plut. in Thef. p. 10. F. y Thucyd. l. 2. p. 110.

The first use that Theseus made of his authority, was to remedy this abuse. Knowing how to join prudence with resolution, he broke all the magistrates and all the particular affemblies of each district 2. He even caused all the halls where they held their councils, and the edifices where they administered justice, to be demolished a. After this reform all the ininhabitants of Attica were subjected to the jurisdiction of the magistracy of Athens. All political power and authority was centered in that capital b. Thus when they were to take any general refolution, the inhabitants of the country were obliged to leave their villages and regair to Athens c. The affemblies of the nation were only held in the city, which by that means became the centre of government, of which every one partook by an equal right who bore the name of Athenian. For the inhabitants of the country had the same right to vote as those of the city: and in that sense one may truly say that all the Athenians were really citizens of one and the same city d.

To enlarge and people the capital, Thefeus invited all the country people to repair thither e, offering them the fame rights and the fame privileges that were enjoyed by the citizens f; but at the fame time, left this crowd of people gathered from all parts, should bring confusion and disorder into his new establishment, he thought proper to divide the inhabitants of Athens into three classes. We have already feen that anciently, under the reign of Erechtheus, they had divided the Athenians into four classes: Theseus thought there only should

a Plut. in Thef. p. 11. A.

Thucyd. loco cit.; Ifocrat. Encom. Helen. p. 312.; Plut. loco cit.

C Thucyd. l. 2. p. 110.

d Ifocrat. Encom. Helen. p. 312.

e Ifocrat. Plut. loco cit.

f Plut. p. 11. It is for want of fufficient reflection that most of the modern writers have advanced that Thefeus had transported all the people of Attica into Athens. It is true they might be deceived by Cicero, de leg. l. 2. p. 2. Diodotus, l. 4. p. 306. Strabo, l. 9. p. 609, who fay it expressly. But that notion is not just. It is certain there remained inhabitants in the country to cultivate the grounds. Thucydides plainly fays fo, l. 2. p. 108. Thereas only made Athens the metropolis of Attica.

be three: the nobles, the labourers, and the artificers 8. The principal end of Theseus was to establish a perfect equality in the state h. With this view, he gave to the nobles the privilege of offering facrifices, of administering justice, and of taking cognizance of what concerned religion and civil government i. By this means Thefeus made the nobles as powerful as both the other estates. These last prevailed by their numbers, by their necessary importance, and by their utility in the flate: but the honours and the dignities which the nobles were in possession of, gave a weight to them, which was not in the labourers nor artificers.

This distribution of the citizens of a state into different clasfes, relative to their different professions, was the reigning tafte of the ancient people. We have feen that it had place in Egypt. The colonies that paffed from that country into Greece, brought with them this policy k. It is not therefore furprifing that it took place there. I will not here infift on the inconveniencies that might arife from fo dangerous a maxim: I will speak of them elsewhere 1.

Such was the new form of government which Theseus established in his kingdom. He made Athens the capital, or, one may fay, the metropolis of his dominions. From thence this prince laid the foundations of the grandeur which this city afterwards attained. He may justly be looked upon as the fecond founder m.

Theseus was also the first prince who favoured popular government n. He used the kingly power with much moderation, governing his people with great justice and equity o. But, notwithstanding all these great qualities, he could not avoid the strokes of envy, always fond of perfecuting the

B Diod. l. 1. p. 33.; Plut. p. 11. C.
h Pauf. l. 1. c. 3. p. 9.; Demosth. in Neaeram, p. 873. C.
i Plut. loco cit.
k Diod. l. 1. p. 33.
l Part 3. book 1. ch. 4.
Diod. l. 4. p. 306.
n Demosth. in Neaeram, p. 873.; Plut. in Thes. p. 11. This author observes, after Aristotle, that the Atheniaus were the only ones to whom Homer gives the name of people. Iliad. l. 2. B. v. 54.

o Isocrat. Encom. Helen. p. 309, & 311.; Diod. l. 4. p. 306.

merit of great men. He was banished from the very city he had raifed p. What is still more remarkable, is, that it was by way of oftracism, which he himself had established q.

I shall fay nothing of the kings who possessed the throne of Athens after Theseus. We will pass on to Codrus, in whom ended the kingly government. An answer of the oracle determined this prince to facrifice himself for the safety of his kingdom'. This was the occasion of it.

The return of the Heraclidæ into Peloponnesus, of which I shall speak immediately, had thrown that province into the greatest trouble and confusion. The inhabitants driven from their ancient habitations, had been obliged to look for a retreat in different places. The Ionians, among others, had applied to the Athenians. Melanthus, who then reigned at Athens, had given them a retreat f. This new colony made Attica much more flourishing than ever. The Heraclidae faw with a jealous eye this increase of power. They declared war against the Athenianst. Melanthus was then dead, and Codrus had fucceeded him. It was formerly the custom never to undertake any expedition without first applying to the oracle. They therefore confulted it, and the answer was, that the Heraclidæ should be conquerors if they did not kill the king of the Athenians. In consequence of this, they published an express order not to touch the King of Athens. Codrus heard of this. The love which his people had for him made them keep a watchful guard upon him. To escape from the vigilance of his guards, he disguises himself like a peasant, enters into the enemy's camp, picks a quarrel with a foldier, and wounds him. The foldier falls upon him and kills him. The news was foon fpread: Codrus is known. The Heraclidæ

P Diod. l. 4. p. 306.; Plut. in Thef. p. 15, 16.

Theophrast. in polit. apud Suid. woce Agyà Σκυφία, t. r. p. 344.; Euseb. chron. l. 2. p. 90.; Syncell. p. 172.; Scholiast. Arist phan. in Pluto.

It is true this opinion has its difficulties. See Scaliger. Animad. in Euseb. p. 50.; Potter, Archaeol. l. 4. c. 25. p. 115. et les mem. de Pacad. des inscript. t. 12. mem. p. 145.

7 Codrus pro patria non timidus mori. Horat. carm. l. 3. od. 19.

f Strabo, l. 9. p. 602.; Pauf. l. 7. cap. 1.

t Justin. l. 2. c. 6.; Strab. l. 9. p. 602.

imagining, from the answer of the oracle, that the Athenians would be victorious, retired without giving battle ".

After the death of Codrus, the Athenians would have given him a fuccessor. But not finding any to compare with him, they abolished royalty. By this means the government of Athens was changed from monarchical to republican x. We will speak afterwards of the consequences of this revolution y.

ARTICLE

ARGOS.

Have before observed, that Argos was one of the most ancient kingdoms of Greece. I have likewife faid, that the reigns of the first successors of Inachus deserved no attention z. We therefore pass them over in silence to come to Gelanor. He was the last of the race of the Inachidæ who enjoyed the drown.

Gelanor had not reigned many months, before Danaus, at the head of an Egyptian colony a, came to dispute the crown with him b. The people were chosen to determine their dispute. Till that moment Danaus had had no commerce with the Argives. Every thing feemed united in fayour of Gelanor. Danaus was fearee known to the people over whom he would reign. Gelanor, on the contrary, was the iffue of the family which for a long time had been in possession of the government. The motive which made them prefer Danaus is very fingular. At the time that they both met to attend the decision of the people, a wolf fell upon an herd of cows which was paffing under the walls of

u Justin. loco cit.; Val. Max. l. s. c. 6. p. 489.; Pauf. l. 7. c. 25.

x Justin, I. 2. c, 7.; Vell. Patereul, I. 1 c, 2.; Pausan, I. 4. c, 5. sub sin.
y Part 3, book 1, chap. 5.

Marm. Oxon. ep. 9.; Herod, I. 2, n. 91.; Apollod, I. 2, p. 63.; Dicd, I. 5. p. 376. b Paul. l. 2. c. 16.

the city. He attacked the bull who marched at their head, and overthrew him. The Argives took this accident for a decifive augury. They thought that Gelanor was reprefented by the bull, a tame animal, and Danaus by the wolf, a favage one. And on this principle they determined in favour of Danaus c.

As foon as he faw himself invested with sovereign authority, he thought of the means of preserving it. With this view he built a citadel in the city of Argos d. Danaus educated in Egypt, where the arts were very flourishing, would impart them to his new subjects. He shewed them the way to meliorate their foil, and make it more fertile e. This prince excelled all the kings who had preceded him; and that in fo distinguished a manner, that, in consideration of it, the people changed the name which they had always borne, and did him the honour to adopt his f.

To Danaus succeeded Lynceus his fon-in-law g; but there is nothing to be related of his reign, nor of those of his fuccessors, till we come to Acrifius. It is in the reign of this prince that they place the arrival of Pelops in Greece h.

He was fon of the famous Tantalus, king of Phrygia. A war with Ilus, fon of Tros, the same who gave to Troy the name of Ilium, obliged Pelops to quit Asia, and to go into Greece with his fifter i. Their arrival, in a very little time, occasioned great changes in the affairs of that part of Europe. Thucydides remarks, that Pelops easily obtained great credit in Greece, because he brought there from Asia riches unknown before that time to the natives of the country k. To which Plutarch adds, that the number of his children contributed to it as much as the greatness of his treasures: for his daughters were married to the most powerful princes of Greece, and he found means to procure fovereignties for each of his children 1. Pelops was moreover a fleady and prudent prince, and

c Pauf. l. 2. c. 19. d Strabo, l. 8. p. c We shall speak of this in the article of arts. d Strabo, 1. 8. p. 570.

f Euripid. apud Strab. I. 8. p. 570. 8 Apollod. I. 2. p. 67.; Pauf. I. 2. c. 6. 4 Marsh. p. 286. i Ibid. k Ib

I Ibid.

knew how to conquer most of the people of Peloponnesus, He was even so far honoured and respected, that they gave his name to all that peninsula. I shall have occasion in the sequel to speak of the posterity of Pelops. Let us return to Acrisius.

No one is ignorant that the end of this prince was most unlucky. He lost his life by the hand of Perseus his grandson. By his death, Perseus sound himself king of Argos. But the manner, by which he ascended the throne, gave him a distaste to his kingdom. He condemned himself to quit his country, and engaged Megapentes king of Tyrinthus, his cousin, to change his kingdom with him m.

The kingdom of Argos lost by the death of Acrisius almost all its glory. From Megapentes, who lest his crown to Anaxagoras his son, there is nothing certain in the succession of the kings of Argos. All that we know is, that Cylarabis was the last of them. In the reign of this prince, Orestes, son of Agamemnon, seized on the kingdom of Argos, and united it to that of Mycenæ.

ARTICLE III.

MYCENÆ.

Though the kingdom of Mycenæ be the least ancient and the least considerable in Greece; yet, to leave nothing to be wished for relative to the ancient state of that part of Europe, I shall examine its history, but that very briesly. What we have read of the exchange made between Perseus and Megapentes, made me place here what I have to say on this subject.

The kingdom of Mycenæ owes its foundation to Perfeus. Tyrinthes was the capital of that new kingdom which that prince had just acquired; but, for reasons at present unknown,

^m Apollod, l. 2, p. 77.; Pauf, l. 2, c. 16.
ⁿ Pauf, ibid, c. 18.
^o Strabo, l. 8, p. 579.

he resolved to change his residence. As he looked for a proper place to build a new city, the hilt of his fword fell off. This accident appeared to him an happy prefage. He thought he there faw the will of the gods in a fenfible manner, and, because usung in Greek signified the hilt of a sword, he built a city there, and called it Mycenæ P. Such were the motives by which they were commonly determined in these remote ages.

Perfeus, a prince equally famous by his exploits and by his travels, is one of the most celebrated heroes of antiquity q. But I believe I shall be dispensed with from entering into any detail of his actions. What history has transmitted to us is so disfigured by fabulous and contradictory relations, that one cannot tell what to make of them. I shall therefore content myfelf with just taking notice of his voyages in the article of navigation.

The fuccessors of Perseus were Mastor, Electrion, Sthenelus, and Eurystheus. This last was grandson of Pelops by his mother Nicipper, whom Sthenelus had married. No one is ignorant of the labours with which he loaded Hercules his coufin. The family of Perfeus ended in the perfon of Eurystheus. Having made war in Attica, he perished there with all his children f.

At his death, the crown of Mycenæ passed into the family of Pelops. Upon going on his expedition against the Athenians, Eurystheus had intrusted the government of his domipions to his uncle Atreus, fon of Pelops t. Atreus was no fooner apprifed of the death of his nephew, and the defeat of his army, than, availing himself of the consternation which that event had thrown his countries into, he feized on the throne of Mycenæ. This prince is but too well known by the horribie confequences of his implacable hatred of Thyestes his elder brother. We know the cause of it. To revenge himfelf of the dishonour he believed he had received, Atreus made

P Pauf. 1. 2. c. 16.

⁹ Herod, l. 2. n. 91. l. 7. n. 61, & 150.; Apollod. l. 2.; Hygin. fab. 64.; Ovid. Met. l. 4. r Apollod. l. 2. p. 78, 79.

Thucyd. l. 1. p. 8.; Apollod. l. 2. p. 122.; Diod. l. 4. p. 301, 302.

¹ Thucyd, l. r. p. 89.; Diod. l. 4. p. 302.

Thyestes eat his own children ". This unhappy father had been intimate with his own daughter Pelopia x. From this inceft he had a fon whom he called Egysthus. Egysthus revenged his father by flaying Atreus. This death placed Thyestes on the throne of Mycenæy. Agamemnon his nephew drove him out 2: but, by the intrigues of his wife Clytemnestra, he himself some time afterward fell beneath the strokes of Egyfthus, who feized on the crown a. This usurper in his turn perished by the hand of Orestes, who did not even spare his own mother b.

The crime of Orestes did not go unpunished. Without fpeaking of the remorfe of conscience, meant by the revenging furies with which the ancient tragedies have represented him tormented, he was accused before the people by Perilas, who, as coufin-german of Clytemnestra, demanded vengeance for her death c. Orestes was obliged to go to Athens to submit himfelf to the judgment of the Areopagus d. It is one of the most famous that this tribunal is faid to have given. Though fable has strangely disfigured the circumstances, it is certain that this judgment was the epocha of a change of the utmost confequence in the criminal proceedings of the Athenians. For this reason I will lay the facts before the reader. I leave to his own discernment the care of disentangling the truth, from what has been added to it by the tafte of an age too fond of the marvel-

The Areopagus discussed the affair of Orestes with great attention. They were divided in opinion at the beginning; but in the end, the number of the judges who were for condemning Orefles, carried it by one vote over those who would have him acquitted. This unfortunate prince was going to be condemned; when Minerva joined herfelf, fay they, to the judges who were for pardoning, and by that means made the votes equal.

b Marm. Arund. ep. 24.; Hygin. fab. 119. d Id. l. 1, c. 28.; Marm. Arund. ep. 24. c Pauf. l. 8. c. 34.

In consequence, Orestes was acquitted of the accusation e. From that time, whenever there was an equality of voices, they decided in favour of the accused f, by giving him what they call the suffrage of Minervas.

The reign of Orestes was glorious and flourishing. By his marriage with Hermione, daughter of Menelaus, he inherited the kingdom of Sparta h. I have already observed, that he united the crown of Mycenæ to the kingdom of Ar-

gos i.

Tifamenes his fon fucceeded him k, and only wore the crown three years. It was in his reign, that the kingdom of Mycenæ ended by the invafion of the Heraclidæ, who threw themselves into Peloponnesus, made themselves masters of it, and changed the form of government!.

ARTICLE IV.

THEBES.

BOEOTIA was the first country of Greece said to be inhabited; these people formerly called themselves Ectenes, and reckoned Ogyges for their first fovereign m. A violent plague having destroyed almost all the first colony, the Hyanthes and the Aonians entered Bootia, and fettled there n. We are entirely ignorant of the events that happened till the time that Cadmus feized on it.

f Arist. problem. fect. 29. prob. 13.; Hesychius, voce ious Inpos. See also Meziriac, in ep. Ovid. t. 2. p. 271.; Bianchini, ift. univ. p. 318. and the note on

Marm. Oxon. p. 353.

According to Varro, this custom should be yet more ancient than Orestes; he fays it took place in the judgment which the Arcopagus gave between Mars and Neptune, on account of the murder of Halirothius. Apud. August. de civit. Dei. 1. 8. c. 10.

e Æschil. in Eumen. v. 743, & 749.

⁸ In France the accused are treated yet more favourably. There must always be two voices majority for the most rigorous sentence. So among eleven, for example, if there are fix for an heavy punishment, and five for a lighter, the five

carry it against the fix, and the court passes the milder sentence.

h Hygin. sab. 121.; Paus. l. 3. c. 1.

k Paus. l. 2. c. 18.

l See art. 6.

m Paus. l. 9 i Art. 2. m Pauf. l. 9. c. 5.

The arrival of this prince is one of the most celebrated epochas of the Grecian history. It happened in the reign of Amphyction, fecond king of Athens o, 1519 years before Christ. It is of very little consequence to know whether Cadmus was originally an Egyptian or Phœnician; that is a point I shall not examine. It is sufficient to know that he came from Phœnicia into Greece. All authors agree in this. The motive of his voyage, according to some, was an order he received from the king his father, to go in fearch of his fifter Europa whom the Greeks had stolen away p. After having been stopped by a tempest a long time, he came into Bocotia. His first care was to go and consult the oracle of Delphos, to know in what country he might find Europa. The god, without answering his question, bid him fix his abode at a place that should be shewn to him by an ox of a particular colour q. On going out of the temple, Cadmus met one, which, after having led him a great way, laid down through wearinefs. Cadmus fixed himfelf in the very fpot, and called it Bootiar.

It was not without meeting with great refistance from the inhabitants, that Cadmus was able to form his new establishment. The Hyantes in particular opposed him greatly f. But a decifive battle obliged them to abandon their country, and to look for a retreat somewhere else. The Aonians, become wife by the example of their neighbours, voluntarily fubmitted themselves to the conqueror, who, on their becoming fubjects, permitted them to flay in their own country. From that time, they were one and the fame people with the Phoenicians to This is the abridgment

⁹ Marm. Oxon. ep. 7.

P Euieb. Chron. l. 2. p. 79. According to an ancient tradition related by Athenœus, l. 14. p. 658. Cadmus was only one of the principal officers of the king of Sidon. Seduced by the charms was only one of the principal officers of the king of Sidon. Seduced by the charms of Hermione or Harmione, a mufician in the court of that prince, he carried her eff, and conducted her into Bosotia. See upon this whole anecdote, le comment. du P. Calmet, ad Gen. c. 37. v. 36. Athenous took this from the third book of Euhemeres, a famous author, but much cried down by antiquity, and I believe very unjuftly, as I will fully show hereafter.

4 Apollod. l. 3. p. 136.; Hygin. fab. 178.; Paus. l. 9. c. 12.

4 Paus. l. 9. c. 12.

of the history of this colony, which fable has strangely altered u.

When Cadmus faw himself in peaceable possession of the country, he built a fortrefs, according to the custom of these first conquerors, which, from the name of its founder, was called Cadmeus *. As he wanted to increase the number of his fubjects, he first granted the favour of asylums, and gave an absolute security to all those who would fly for refuge to him y. Cadmus fucceeded, and by this expedient made his city extremely populous. But he exposed it at the same time to the jealoufy of his neighbours, in that he protected criminals from the punishment they deserved.

There are few colonies from whom the Greeks have drawn fuch great advantages as from this of Cadmus. Greece is indebted to him for alphabetic writing, the art of cultivating the vine, and the forging and working of metals. I shall take a proper notice of all these particulars in the sequel of this work.

Cadmus, after having reigned some time in Bœotia, saw a conspiracy formed which deprived him of the throne. Forced to retire, he looked for an afylum among the Encheleans z. These people, being at that time at war with the Illyrians, had received an answer from the oracle, which promised them victory if they marched under the conduct of Cadmus. They believed this; and, having effectively put that prince at their head, they defeated the Illyrians. In acknowledgment of the fervice which Cadmus had done them, they chose him king. There he finished his course. He died in that country a.

The moment that Cadmus abandoned his rifing principality, Polydore his fon afcended the throne b. I shall

u See Apollod. l. 3. p. 136.; Ovid. met. l. 3. init.; Palæphat. c. 6.; Bannier, explicat. des fables, t. 6. p. 117.

X Strab. l. 9. p. 615.; Pauf. l. 9. c. 5.
Y Potter, Archæolog. Gr. l. 2. c. 2. p. 213.
Romulus availed himself of the same means to people Rome the more readily. Dion. Halic. l. 2. p. 88.; T. Livius, l. 1. n. 8.; Strabo, l. 5. p. 352.; Plut. in Romulo, p. 22. E.

Apollod. l. 3. p. 143.; Strabo, l. 7. p. 503.; Pauf. l. 9. c. 5.
 Apollod. & Pauf. loco cit.
 b Ibid.

dwell no longer on the fucceffors of Cadmus. The family of that prince is but too well known by the shocking misfortunes that overwhelmed it. The most tragical catastrophes seem to have been the portion of his successor. They continued to Xanthus the last king of Thebes. The manner in which he perished, was the reason that the government changed its form, and became republican.

A difference had arisen between the Athenians and Thebans about a city of which they disputed the possession. The troops being in sight of each other, the two armies reslecting, that, in risking a battle, there must be a great many killed on both sides, they agreed then, to save the essuance of blood, to oblige the two kings themselves to decide the quarrel of the two states. Timætheus, king of Athens, resused the challenge, and resigned his royalty. Melanthus, to whom they offered it, accepted it, and killed the king of Thebes b.

This event, joined to the misfortunes which feemed infeparable from the persons of their sovereigns, gave the Thebans a dislike to royalty c: like the Athenians in this particular, who, on the death of Codrus, changed likewise the form of their government. But this change aggrandized the Athenians, whereas the Thebans, in losing their kings, lost all their reputation c. Athens become a republic, carried its glory to the highest pitch it was capable of arriving at. Thebes, on the contrary, could only languish for a long time. It was more than seven hundred years before it could arise from its obscurity. At last it got out of it by the reputation which the victories of Epaminondas and Pelopidas gave to their arms. This republic played but a short scene, it is true, but a most brilliant one. But this is too foreign to our subject to dwell upon it.

ARTICLE

b Conon. apud Phot. narrat. 39. p. 447.; Strabo, l. 9. p. 602.; Pauf. l. 9. c. 6.; Polyan. strat. l. 1. c. 19.; Frontin. strat. l. 2. n. 41.; Suidas, νους Απάτερια, t. 2. p. 248.

S Pauf. l. 9. c. 6.

d Pauf. ibid.; Herod. l. 9. n. 85.

ARTICLE V.

LACEDÆMON.

The beginning of Lacedæmon is absolutely unknown. Its first years have been so obscured, that even sable itself has not found sufficient matter to embellish it. I shall not therefore stop to examine the different traditions which have been handed down to us about the origin of this people, of whom we are not at all instructed c. We must without doubt attribute the cause of this to the contempt which at all times the Lacedæmonians had for letters f.

Lelex is looked upon as the first who is said to have reigned over Laconia. Some say that he was an Egyptian's; others, that he was originally of that country h. They place the beginning of his reign 1516 before the Christian æra. Of most of the Kings who have possessed the throne from this prince to Orestes, we scarce know any thing but their names; we can no where find either the time that each prince reigned, or even the number of years which make up the sum of their reigns. Besides, the little we know of their actions presents nothing worthy of detaining the reader. Yet we must except Oebalus', the eighth king of Sparta from Lelex.

This prince espoused for his second marriage Gorgophona, daughter of Perseus. That princess was then widow of Perseres, King of Messina i. This is the first example the Grecian history gives us of a widow's marrying k. By this marriage he had Tyndarus i. His father declared him heir to his dominions, and he enjoyed them some time. But Oebalus had had by Nicostrata, his first wife, a son called Hippocoon m. This prince, assisted by the nobles of the country, claimed the throne

c See Bochart. le P. Pezron. le Clerc, bibliotheque univ. t. 6.

in virtue of his right of feniority, declared war against Tyndarus n, obliged him to give up the crown, and go to Sparta o. Tyndarus retired to Thestius, and married his daughter Leda. fo well known in fable by her amours with Jupiter p. Hippocoon having fome time before drawn upon himfelf the wrath of Hercules, that hero maffacred him and all his children, and replaced Tyndarus upon the throne of Sparta q. But he only ceded that crown to him on condition that he gave it up again to his descendents when they should come and demand it of him r.

Tyndarus had, by his marriage with Leda, two fons twins, Caftor and Pollux, and two daughters, Helena and Clytemnestra s. Authors are not agreed in what manner Castor and Pollux perished. However it was, Tyndarus, afflicted for the untimely lofs of his two fons, thought to repair it by chufing a fon-in-law worthy of his daughter, and capable of governing his kingdom. His defign was no fooner known, than all the princes of Greece offered themselves. They reckoned there were twenty-three rivals who aspired to the hand of Helen t. This crowd of competitors greatly embarafied Tyndarus. He feared left the choice that he should make should bring on him the enmity of those who should be refused. Ulysses, who was one of the number, then gave marks of that artifice which has always appeared in his conduct. He fuggested to Tyndarus an expedient to get out of the difficulty without any difagrecable confe-

n Pauf. l. 2. c. 18. p. 151. l. 3. c. 1.

o Apollod. I. 3. p. 173.; Diod. I. 4. p. 278.; Strabo, I. 10. p. 708.; Pauf.

^{1 3.} c. 21. p. 263.

P Apollod. l. 3. p. 173.; Hygin. fab. 77.; Strabo, l. 10. p. 709. 9 Apoilod. l. z. p. 114, 115.; Diod. l. 4. p. 278.; Pauf. l. z. c. 18. p. 151.

l. 3. c. 15. p. 244. r Diod. l. 4. p. 278.; Pauf. p. 151.

f Apollod. I. 3. p. 174.; Hygin, fab. 78.

^t Apollod. I. 3. p. 175.

It must have been that in those times the hopes of a crown surpassed all other confiderations; otherwife the rape of Helen by Thefeus, had made too much noise in Greece not to have cooled the ardor of the pretenders, especially as she was fuspected to have to Theseus, Iphigenia, whom her aunt Clytemnestra took care to bring up as if the had been her own daughter. Pauf. l. 2. c. 22.; Auton. liberal. metam. c. 27.

quences. He advised him to make all the lovers of Helen fwear folemnly, that they would agree to the choice of that princefs, and that they would all join themselves to him whom the had chosen, to defend him against any one who would dispute her with him ". They all accept the proposition, each flattering himfelf that the choice of Helen will fall upon him. She determined in favour of Menelaus, brother of Agamemnon x, who by that means became King of Sparta y. Scarce had she been three years with this prince, when she was carried off by Paris, fon of Priam. Every one knows that this rape occasioned the war of Iroy z.

Before this event, Helen had had to Menelaus a daughter called Hermione a. This princess, on marrying Orestes her cousin-german, brought as a dower to the prince the kingdom of Sparta b. It was under the reign of Tifamenes his fon, that the descendents of Hercules entered into Peloponnesus, and made themselves masters of it eighty years after the taking of Troy. This event, one of the most considerable in the Grecian history, totally changed the face of that part of Europe, and brought upon it a dreadful revolution. This was the occasion of it.

ARTICLE VI.

The HERACLID Æ.

PERSEUS had had, by his marriage with Andromeda, Alceus, Sthenelus, Hilas, Mastor, and Electrion c. Alceus having married Hippomene, daughter of Meneceus, had two

u Apollod l. 3. p. 176.; Hygin. fab. 78.; Pauf. l. 3. c. 20.

× Hyein. fab. 78. Y Ibid.

z Herodotus makes a very judicious reflection on this fubject. The Afiatics, fays he, look upon the taking away a man's wife as a most unjust action; but they think none but fools would try to revenge those that have teen carried off, persuaded that this could not have happened but with their cwn con-

fent. l. r. n. 4. 8 Apollod. l. 3. p. 176. b Pauf. 1. 3. c. r.; Hygin, fab. 121. c Apollod, l, 2 p. 77, 78.; Diod, l. 4. p. 254.

children by her, Amphytrion and his fifter Anaxo d. Electrion married his niece Anaxo, daughter of Alceus, and by that marriage had Alcmena e, who afterwards became the wife of Amphytrion, and was mother of Hercules.

Electrion enjoyed the throne of Mycenæ after the death of Perseus. Amphytrion ought naturally to have succeeded him. He was grandfon of Perseus, and by his wife Alcmena, he was the fole heir of Electrion f. But having had the misfortune involuntarily to kill his father-in-law, he was obliged to retire to Thebes 5. Sthenelus, brother of Electrion, availing himself of the public hatred which this accident had drawn upon Amphytrion, feized on the realms of his fugitive nephew, and gave them to his fon Eurysheus h. By this usurpation Hercules was himself excluded from the crown of Mycenæ. We know to what dangers Eurystheus exposed this hero, with a view to deftroy him. He without doubt apprehended that he would undertake some time or other to dethrone him. Hercules at his death left many children. They were almost all brought up by the care of Ceix, king of Trachine 1. Eurystheus fearing that they should one day unite to take the crown from him, threatened Ceix to declare war against him if he did not drive them from his court. The Heraclidæ terrified by these menaces, quitted Trachine. In vain they fought an afylum in most of the cities of Greece. They found none who would receive them. The Athenians were the only people who durst give them a retreat k. Eurystheus would not suffer them to stay there. Determined to destroy them, he led against them a powerful army. The Heraclidæ supported by the Athenians, and commanded by Iolaus, nephew of Hercules, by Hyllus his fon, and by Thefeus, they gave battle to Erystheus. They gained it. Eurystheus loft his life in it 1.

d Apollod. ibid. e Id. ibid. f Ib. p. 79, 80.

g Id. p. 80.; Pauf. l. 9. c. 11. h Apollod. l. 2. p. 80.

i Id. ibid. p. 122.; Diod. l. 4. p. 301.; Pauf. l. 1. c. 32. p. 79.

k Apollod. Diod. Pauf. locis cit.; Euripid. Heraclid. v. 19, 50, 145, &c.;

focrat. p. 129.

¹ Apollod. Diod. locis cit.; Strab. 1, 8. p. 579.

This happy success having drawn a great number of soldiers to the army of the Heraclidæ, they took almost all the towns of Peloponnesus m. But a violent plague having afflicted that province, they consulted the oracle upon it. They were informed, that having entered the country too soon, they could not make the plague to cease but by retiring. They obeyed, and abandoned Peloponnesus n.

The oracle, according to custom, explained itself obscurely as to the time that should elapse before the Heraclidæ ought to make a new attempt. So Hyllus, their chief, who thought he had discovered the meaning, returned to Peloponnesus at the end of three years o. Atreus, who then reigned at Mycenæ, affembled all his troops, ftrengthened himfelf by alliances, and advanced to dispute the passage with the enemy P. The armies being in fight of each other, Hyllus remonstrated that it would not be fo well to expose the two parties to the chance of a general battle. He therefore proposed to Atreus and the other chiefs, to chuse among them a champion, and he offered to fight him, on condition that the event of their combat should determine that of the war. The offer was accepted. They came to this agreement, that if Hyllus was victor, the Heraclidæ should enter into their father's possessions; but if he was conquered, neither he nor any belonging to him should return into Peloponnesus for an hundred years q. Echemus, King of Tegeates, on the fide of the allies, accepted the challenge of Hyllus, and flew him. The Heraclidæ, according to treaty, withdrew their troops, and abstained from all acts of hostility r.

m Apollod, et Diod, locis cit.

n Apollod, l. 2. p. 122, 123.

l Id. ibid. p. 123, 124. The god had ordered them to wait for the third fruit; Hyllus believing that that expression meant three harvests, returned into Peloponnesus at the end of three years; whereas, according to the intention of the oracle, he ought to have understood by the third fruit, the third generation.

P Diod. l. 4. p. 302.

⁴ Herod. 1 9. n. 26.; Diod. l. 4. p. 302. He is miltaken in fixing this term only fifty years.

r Diod. l. 4. p. 302.; Pauf. l. 1. c. 41. He is mistaken in placing this event in the reign of Orestes.

They kept their word; but when the term they had agreed upon was expired, Temenes, Crefphontes, and Aristodemus, descendents of Hercules by Hyllus f, made a last push to make themselves masters of Peloponnesus. This last trial succeeded better than the preceding. After having equipped a fleet at Naupactus t, the Heraclidæ, according to custom, consulted the oracle upon the fuccess of their enterprise. The answer was, that they ought to take three eyes for the guides of their expedition u. As they endeavoured to find the fense of these words, there happened a one-eyed man to ride by on a mule. He was an Ætolian, called Oxylus. Perfuaded that he was the guide defigned by the oracle, the Heraclidæ joined him in their enterprife, and promifed him Elis for his there x,

The Achaians and Ionians then possessed the greatest part of Peloponnesus y. Tisamenes, son of Orestes, reigned over Argos, Mycenæ, and Lacedæmon. He took up arms, but was defeated, and perished in the battle that was fought z. The Heraclidæ took Argos, Mycenæ, and Lacedæmon. They divided these three cities among them. They had their possessions by lot a. Temenes had Argos. Lacedæmon fell to the children of Aristodemus, who died during the course of the expedition. Mycenæ fell to Cresphontes b. Oxylus had Elis, as they had promifed him. He was not fo eafily fettled in it as they had flattered themselves. Dius, who was the possessor, disputed it with him. According to the custom of those times c, instead of exposing all their troops to the risk of a battle, they agreed to chuse an Etolian and an Elean, who, by single com-

f Pauf, l. 2, c. 18.

t Apollod, l. 2, p. 124.; Pauf, l. 5, c. 3. While they were preparing this fleet, Aristodemus died. He left two children who succeeded to his rights. Apollod, supra; Pauf, l. 4, c. 3.

u Apollod. l. 2, p. 125.; Pauf. l. 5, c. 3. X Apollod. Pauf. locis cit.

y These people had their names from Acheus and Ion, sons of Xuthus,

grandfons of Helen, and great grandfons of Deucalion.

4 Apollod. loco cit.; Pauf. I. 2. c. 18. only fays, that this prince was obliged to retire with his children.

^a Apollod. l. 2. p. 125, 126.; Pauf. l. 4. c. 3. The original of this treaty remained in the time of Tiberius. Tacit. Annal. l. 4. n. 43.

^b Plato de leg. l. 3. p. 808.; Apollod. l. 2. p. 126.; Pauf. l. 2. c. 18. l. 4.

c. 3. Strabo, 1. 8. p. 548.

bat, should terminate the quarrel of the two pretenders. The Etolian got the victory; so Oxylus was acknowledged King d.

It was thus that Peloponnesus went from the family of Pelops to the descendents of Hercules. That part of Greece was not the only one that felt the effects of this revolution e. The rest of the countries suffered almost as much from the confequences of this event. The people who were first attacked, threw themselves upon their neighbours: these reciprocally carried defolation into the countries whose vicinity made them most convenient to them. The strongest drove out the weakest. Like the waves of an agitated fea, this people, fo to speak, flowed back one upon another. The Achaians were the first upon whom the storm fell. Forced to quit their country, they threw themselves upon the Ionians, whom they obliged to quit theirs. These last had recourse to Melanthus, who had just ascended the throne of Athens. Touched with the misfortunes of his ancient countrymen, this prince gave them a retreat in his kingdom f.

The return of the Heraclidæ into Peloponnesus is one of the most remarkable epochs of the Grecian history. The consequences were fatal to the whole nation, as I shall shew, when I come to speak of the state of arts and sciences in Greece during the course of the ages we are going over.

ARTICLE VII.

Observations on the ancient Government of Greece.

WE have feen from the exposure I have made of the beginnings of the Grecian history, that the monarchical government was the first that took place among these people. This is a truth acknowledged by all the writers of antiqui-

d Strabo, ib. Pauf. l. s. c. 4. init.

e Id. l. 2. c. 13. init.; Herod. l. 2. n. 171.; Diod. fragm. l. 6.; Apud Syncell. p. 179.; Strabo, l. 9. p. 602.; Pauf. l. 7. c. 1.

ty g. These samous republics, Athens, Thebes, Corinth, &c. were not formed but till pretty late. Let us examine what were the rights, the power, the offices, and authority of the first so-vereigns of Greece. We shall see, by the details we are going to make, how shapeless and rude the ancient government of these people was.

One ought to apply to the first kings of Greece, what I have faid of the first sovereigns of Asia. They were very distant from the idea we now join to the name of king. The extent of their dominions, their domains, and their power, in no respect answered to the title they bore; a fmall city, a town, a few leagues of ground, were honoured with the name of kingdom. There were not then any confiderable cities in Greece. The greatest part of the inhabitants lived in the country h. Thus, when the history of those times speaks of great monarchies, and of powerful kings, we ought always to understand it in comparison of the neighbouring states. Argolide, which formed the kingdom of Agamemnon, was only a very fmall province. There are in France many estates more considerable, by the demesnes that depend upon them, than this kingdom so boasted of in Grecian antiquity.

The power of those kings was not much more extensive than their territorics. The affair of Hypermnestra, daughter of Danaus, proves how very bounded was the authority of the Grecian sovereigns.

Danaus was provoked at his daughter, because she had not executed an order he had given her to stab her husband the first night of their marriage. He durst not punish her by his own authority. He cited her before the people, as guilty of disobedience: Hypermnestra was not only acquitted of the accusation, but was even honoured by the Argives, by being made priestess of Juno their principal divinity.

⁸ Arist. polit. l. 1. c. 10.; Dionys. Halicarn. l. 5. p. 336.; Strabo, l. 7. p. 496.

h Thucyd. l. 1. p. 11. lin. 70.
i Pauf. l. 2. c. 19.; Euseb. Chron. l. 2. n. 582. It seems in these times that
the king did not name the high-priestesses, but that they were elected by the
people. See Iliad. l. 6. v. 300.

We likewise know, that the kings of Attica, so far from having fovereign authority, were often exposed to the caprices and violences of their people. It was not uncommon to fee them take up arms against their prince, and often to declare war against him. The will of the kings was not their rule. They governed themselves according to their own wills, and often came to blows with each other k. They did not apply to the king but when the common danger obliged them to affemble: then indeed they submitted themselves to his conduct 1.

What Homer tells us of the form of government of the kingdom of Ithaca, of that of the Pheacians m, and of some others. may ferve as a rule to judge of the rest of the states of Greece. We ought only to look upon the first sovereigns of this country as chiefs of a kind of republic, where all the affairs were dccided by a plurality of voices. The ancient government of the Greeks was, properly speaking, a medley, a compound of monarchy, oligarchy, and democracy n.

The grandees had great authority, and enjoyed very extenfive privileges. In Homer, Alcinous, king of the Pheacians, fpeaking to the great men of the state, fays in plain terms, "There are twelve chiefs who command a people, and I am " the thirteenth "." When Thefeus would make Athens the centre of the authority of the whole government, and bring under its jurisdiction all the cities and towns of Attica, he found great opposition from the rich and most powerful of his kingdom, who were afraid of being stripped of the best part of their authority p.

The people had likewife their rights. They held public

k Plut. in Thes. p. 10. F. l Thucyd. l. 2. p. 107, 108.

m Though, for reasons I shall give in another place, I think we ought to look upon the isle of the Pheacians as belonging to Asia, rather than Europe; yet, finding great conformity between the government of these people and that of the Greeks, I thought I could strengthen the article I am at present treating of by examples drawn from the Pheacians.

a Arist. polit. l. 3. c. 14.; Dion. Halic. l. 5. p. 337.
Odyst. l. 8. v. 390. These twelve chiefs or princes were something like what the twelve peers of France were formerly.

P Plut. in Thef. p. 11.

affemblies to deliberate on affairs of state. The kings determined nothing of themselves. They had a council composed of the principal persons of the nation q: they there proposed what they judged proper. If their project was approved of, they put it in execution after having declared it to the affembly of the peopler. This is what Aristotle explains very distinctly; "It is easy to remark," fays he, " by the ancient forms of 66 government, very exactly copied and written by Homer, that the kings proposed to the people what had been resolved in council "." We shall again have occasion to return to this fubject, when we speak of the military discipline of these ancient times t.

Besides, the people lived in very great liberty, and almost in independence, without any obligation of obeying the fovereign, if he proposed what they thought was unjust or contrary to the laws of the state, to the received customs, or the interests of particulars. The constitution of government among the ancient inhabitants of Germany, was perfectly conformable to that of ancient Greece u, and confequently as defective.

It appears further, that it was the people who disposed of dignities. In the Odyssey, Ulysses, addressing his speech to the queen of the Pheacians, fays to her: " Great Queen, I come to embrace your knees, those of the king, and those of e all those princes who are seated at your table. May the gods se grant them the favour of leaving to their children after them " the riches and honours which the people have heaped upon "them "." The power of the first kings of Greece was then extremely limited; their title amounted to little more than a

⁹ Odyff. 1. 8. init.

r lliad. 1. 2. v. 53.; Odyst. f. 3. v. 127.; Eustath. ad Iliad. 1. 1. v. 144. We must take care to distinguish assemblies from councils; they were two very disferent things. Assemblies, Aγοραί, were general; all the people had a right to be there. Councils, Βέλαι, were particular assemblies composed of chosen per-

f In moral, l. 3, c. 5, t. 2, p. 32. See also Dion, Halic, l. 2, p. 86, t Book 5, chap. 3. Our ancient feudal government is exactly like the government of Greece in the heroic times. They knew no more then in one country than the other: barbarism reigned equally.

u Tacit. de mor. Germ. c. 11.

^{*} L. 7. V. 146, &.c.

fort of pre-eminence over the other citizens of the state. Here is the whole amount of their prerogatives.

They had a right to affemble the people each in their own district. They voted first, heard the complaints, and determined the differences which happened among their fubjects v. But the principal office of these kings, and that in which truly confifted the prerogatives of their dignity, was the command of the troops in time of war, and the fuperintendance of religion. They prefided at facrifices, public games, and holy combats z. In Homer, the kings always did the office of facrificators. The Greeks were fo thoroughly convinced that the high-priefthood could not be exercifed but by their kings, that even in the cities that changed their monarchical government to republican, he who prefided over the mysteries and affairs of religion had the title of king, and his wife that of queen a. It was the fame thing among the Romans; in spite of the aversion and contempt which these haughty republicans kept up for whatever bore the name of king, yet they had at Rome a king of the facrifices b.

The revenue of the kings was of the fame nature as that of private persons. It consisted in lands, woods, and, above all, in flocksc. The only difference between kings and private perfons was, that the kings had thefe things in larger quantities, The people even shewed their gratitude in no other way but by making them prefents of this kind d. The Athenians, to reward Thefeus for the fervices he had done them, gave him a certain quantity of land and inclosures e. Indeed, it was the custom, in those remote times, for the people to shew their esteem and gratitude for their princes by presents. For this rea-

Y Arift. polit. I. 3. c. 14. p. 357. B.; ibid. c. 15. init.
 Z Arift. ibid.; Demost. in Nexram, p. 873.; Strabo, l. 1. p. 43. l. 14. p. 938.; Plut. t. 2. p. 279. C.

a Demost. loco cit.; Pollux. l. 8. c. 9. fegm. 96.; Heraclid. in Polit. b C:cero de divin. l. r. n. 40.; Dion. Halicarn. l. c. p. 278.

c Odyss. l. 14. v. 98, &c.; Paus. l. 4. c. 36. See Meziriac in ep. Ovid. t. 2. p. 319.

d Iliad. l. 6. v. 194. l. 9. v. 573. e Plut. in Thef. p. 10. E. The people in this respect treated heroes like the gods, for the gods had lands confectated to them.

fon it is, that the scripture often speaks of the presents which the princes received from their subjects f. It was also an ancient custom among the Romans to give, as a reward, a certain quantity of lands g.

Independently of their particular demesnes, these princes levied subsidies on their people h. On some occasions they even imposed new taxes. It was likewise usual to exact tributes from conquered people k. It appears that these last tributes were paid in kind 1.

For the rest the riches of these first sovereigns could not be very confiderable; it is fufficient, to be convinced of this, to confider, that Greece, in the heroic times, was without trade, without arts, without navigation, destitute, in a word, of all the refources which procure abundance and riches to a country m.

It is true, history speaks of one Minyas, king of the Phlegians, whose revenues were so considerable, that he surpassed all his predecessors in riches. They add, that he was the first king of Greece who built an edifice on purpose to deposite his treasures n. This prince might reign about 1300 years before Christ; 50 before the expedition of the Argonauts o.

They have likewise boasted of the riches of Athamas, king of Orchomene. Athamas was grandfon of Deucalion, and fon-in-law of Cadmus P. I will not dispute these facts, but shall only say, that we ought to understand them with proper restrictions. Minyas and Athamas might be looked upon as very rich, comparatively with the other kings of Greece, their cotemporaries. But, as these sovereigns were not then opulent, it follows, that we ought not to apply to

f 1 Kings, c. 10. v. 25.

See likewise Tacit. de mor. Germ. c. 15. g Plin. I. 18. fect. 3. init.

h Iliad, l. 9. v. 156. i Odysf. l. 13. v. 14, 15. k Apollod, l. 2. p. 85.; Diod, l. 4. p. 255.; Pauf, l. 9. c. 37. init.

I Plut. t. 2. p. 294. D.

m See Thucyd. l. 1. n. 11.; Herod. l. 8. n. 137. I shall have an opportunity of examining this more particularly, when I come to speak of the state of arts and commerce of the Greeks, in the ages we are at present employed about. Book 4.

n Pauf. 1. 9. c. 36.

o See Mezriac. in ep. Ovid. t. 2. p. 56, &c. ? Apollod, l. r. p. 31.; Hygin, fab. 139.

the riches of Minyas and Athamas the idea we at this time annex to these expressions.

I have taken care to remark, in the first part of this work, that in Egypt and Asia the throne was hereditary q. The same maxim prevailed in Greece. The sceptre passed from father to fon, and commonly to the eldeft. Superstition alone had fometimes the power to make them reject the prefumptive heir. This appears by the discourse which Homer makes Telemachus hold with Nestor, who demands of that young prince, whether the people had taken an aversion to him in consequence of fome answer of the oracle t. If then we except some particular circumstances u, the order of the crown's passing from the father to the fon, feems to have been constantly and generally followed. We need only cast our eyes on the Grecian history to be convinced of this truth.

I think I ought not to finish this article without speaking of oracles, and the influence which they had on the conduct of the people. The question of Nestor to Telemachus, which I have just now mentioned, brings us naturally to it.

We should never have done, were we to cite all the examples which ancient history affords of the power and effect of oracles. We may find traces fufficiently plain in the fhort account I have given of the principal events that happened in Greece, during the ages that we are at present running over. These facts shew us to what a degree the Greeks were then blinded with that superstition. It will suffice to say, that nothing was done without the advice of the oracles. They confulted them not only for great enterprifes, but even in private affairs. Were they to make war or peace, to found a new city, avert

Odyff. l. 1.v. 387. l. 16.v. 401.; Arist. polit. l. 3. c. 14. p. 357. A.; Thucyd. l. 1. p. 12. lin. 71. The genealogy which Homer makes of the sceptre of Agamemnon, Iliad. l. 2. v. 46, & 101. is alone sufficient to prove that the crown was hereditary among the Greeks; but this sast is elsewhere established by a number of passages of the same poet.

f Apollod. 1. 3. p. 202.; Diod. 1. 5. p. 376. lin. 96. l. 6. fragm. Apud. Syn-

cell. p. 179. C.
1 Odyff. l. 3. v. 215. See alfo l. 16. v. 96. & Euftath. p. 1464. lin. 25.

fome calamity, establish new laws, reform ancient ones, change the conflictation of the state, they had recourse to the oracle. Its answer was the supreme authority which determined and influenced the people. If a private person wanted to marry, undertake a voyage, had he an important affair in hand, was attacked with a dangerous distemper, he went and confulted the oracle. In a word, nothing more generally influenced the conduct of the ancient people of Greece x. It is to the oracles that we must ascribe most of the great events we read of in the first ages in the Greek history; events, for the most part, fingular, unexpected, and of which we find no example in the later ages. We fee among those of which we are now speaking, revolutions and sudden changes, which can neither be attributed to policy nor the force of arms. From whence then did they fpring? From oracles. They even directed the manner of bringing about these events. They threw that uncertainty on them which we always look on with aftonishment. We ought also to ascribe to oracles the new forts of worship which we know to have been introduced at different times into Greece.

All these movements sprung from a principle unknown to us at present. In this consists the most essential and most remarkable difference of the genius of former nations, and those of this time. At this day, among the people of Europe, policy and the force of arms are the only means ambition can employ. We very seldom see superstition seduce the mind to such a pitch as to occasion revolutions; but in the times I mention, it was always this seduction that occasioned revolutions, and decided the sate of empires. And what means did they use to effect this seduction? The oracles.

If we wanted evidences to prove the rudeness and ignorance of the Greeks in the heroic times, their credulity, and their respect for oracles, are proofs more than sufficient to demonstrate that truth. This species of superstition has no force

x See Plat. de leg. l. 6. p. 869. A. & l. 8. init.

or empire, but proportionally to the gross ignorance of the people: witness the favages, who do not undertake any thing till they have previously consulted their divines and their eracles.

ARTICLE VIII.

Of the ancient Customs and first Laws of Greece.

BEfore we enter on the subject, it is proper to recapitulate fummarily what I have faid in the first part of this work, of the origin and distinction of laws. I have shewn; that, originally, the people were governed by customs, which, by length of time and long usage, acquired the force of laws. We have called these forts of laws, natural laws. I have faid afterwards, that, to make up for the little extent and precision of these natural laws, the first kings had made different regulations, to which we have given the name of positive laws. I have distinguished these positive laws into two classes; into political laws, and civil laws. The reader cannot have forgot, that under the name of political laws, I have comprifed all the rules which relate to the supporting the civil government of the fociety, and properly form the constitution of the state. Such are the laws on the obligations of marriage; the penal laws, those which prescribe the form and ceremonies of public worship, &c. I have included under the name of civil laws, all those established to regulate the particular interests of the different members of the fociety. Such are the laws concerning fales, commerce, contracts, &c. I have faid also, that the institution of political laws was prior to the inflitution of civil laws. We shall discover from what history acquaints us of the establishment and progress of the laws of Greece, the truth of all these propofitions.

We know of no positive laws in Greece more ancient than those of the Athenians. They were indebted for them to Vol. II.

H. Cecrops,

Cecrops, who ascended the throne about 1582 years before Christ. It is true, before this prince, Phoroneus had given some laws to the inhabitants of the Argolidæ. But there are none of them preserved. Besides, it does not appear, that the other people of Greece have ever borrowed any thing from the Argives; whereas the laws of Athens have been adopted, not only in almost all the cities of Greece, but even in the greatest part of Europe y.

We must then fix the epoch of the establishment of positive laws in Greece to the year 1582 before the Christian æra, the time of the arrival of Cecrops in Attica. But it is not natural to suppose, that, till the time of this prince, Greece was without any kind of law. We ought then to conclude, that, till that time, the greatest part of the Greeks knew no other laws but those tacit conventions, which I have affirmed to have been the basis and soundation of all societies, and which I have called natural laws 2.

Having given a particular account of the rules established by Cecrops, in the article of Athens; the reader may have obferved, that all these regulations are only political institutions; as the institution of marriage, the ceremonies of religion, those of funerals, and the establishment of tribunals to judge of crimes and offences. There is no mention made of any ordinance which one can range in the class of civil laws. We ought not to be surprised at this. The Athenians, like all the other people of Greece, had not yet applied themselves to agriculture, the practice of which was not well established in that part of Europe, till towards the reign of Erechtheus, about 170 years after Cecrops. It is at this æra we ought to fix the knowledge and establishment of civil laws among the Greeks.

^{.7} Adfunt Athenienses, unde humanitas, dostrina, religio, fruges, jura, leges ortæ, atque in omnes terras distributæ putantur. Cicero pro L. Flacco, n. 26. £. 5. p. 261.; Lucretius, l. 6. init.; Macrob. sat. l. 3. c. 12. p. 413. & See part 1. book 1.

b See what I have faid on this subject, part 1. book 1.

Here is, then, in a few words, a faithful account of the origin and progress of the laws of Greece. But it must be observed, that in the detail we are going to enter upon, I shall follow the order of the matters, rather than strict chronology, which would too much interrupt the series and connection of objects; yet I shall make mention of no laws whose establishment does not relate to the ages we are now examining.

The state of barbarism into which Greece was plunged before the arrival of the different colonies which came from Egypt and Phœnicia to fettle there, permitted the inhabitants to live in great liberty in their commerce with women. The engagements and bonds of conjugal union were totally unknown to them. Cecrops was the first who drew them from this diforder; he convinced them that marriage was the foundation and support of society. He established the union of one with one c. From this prince the Greeks subjected themfelves inviolably to that law. They even conceived fo high an idea of the conjugal union, that there passed above two centuries, before the widows durst marry again; a proof that they looked upon these second marriages to be contrary to good morals, is, that history has transmitted the name of her who first entered on a second marriage. It was Gorgophona, daughter of Perseus and Andromeda, who gave the example. This princess having first espoused Periercs, King of the Messenians, and having survived that prince, she married again to Oebalus, King of Spartad. Oebalus reigned about 1348 years before Christ. They fix the epocha of Cecrops 1582 years before it. Thus, for the space of 234 years, the Greek history does not furnish one example of a widow who was remarried; and, till Gorgophona, it was a custom which they looked upon as inviolable, that every woman who loft her husband should pass the rest of her days in widowhood c.

c Book 1. art. 1.

[€] Pauf. l. 2.c. 21.

In all appearance, the example of Gorgophona was not long of being followed: yet it appears, that, in the heroic times, the widows who remarried offended against decency-This is what one may fairly conclude, from the different words which Homer puts into the mouth of Penelope. The difcourse which Ulysses had with that princess, the moment of his departure for Troy, is still more positive; he says to her, "That he does not know whether he should escape from the " dangers of that war; and, if he should perish there, she " should chuse, as husband, the prince who appeared most " worthy of herf." It is true, Virgil makes Dido speak quite another language. There is a perpetual combat in the heart of that unfortunate queen, between the liking she has taken for Æneas, and the remorfe of entering on a fecond marriage. She reprefents this action as an offence against her honour s. But Virgil would not have made Dido speak thus, but in compliance with the manner of thinking of the Romans, with whom fecond marriages, though permitted, were difhonourable h.

Hefied gives us reason to think, that anciently it was the custom in Greece, not to marry the young men till they were thirty, and the girls till they were fisteen. Pretages determined the moment in which the marriage ought to be solemnized. To this they paid great attention k. There is great reason to believe, that, in the earliest times, they determined nothing relating to the degrees of contanguinity: except the union of fathers and mothers with

Ante, pudor, quam te violem, aut tua jura refolvam.

f Odyst. l. 18. v. 258, &c. 5 . Eneid l. 4. v. 19, 25 ____ 54.

h Val. Max. l. 2. c. i. n. 3.; Martial. l. 6. epig. 7.; Quintil. declam. 306. p.

i Opera & dies, v. 696, &c. On this custom is founded the calculation by which Herodotus, imitated in this by the greatest part of the ancient chronologers, estimates the generations at thirty-three years, and reckons an hundred years for three generations. l. 2. n. 142.

[·] k Heffod loco cit. v. 801.

their children, all other alliances feem to have been permitted 1.

Children could not contract any alliance without the confent of their fathers, who had a right to determine about their fettlement m. They brought them up to have a great respect for those who had given them birth. It is even one of the most ancient statutes of Greece. In the laws attributed to Triptolemus, we find one which expressly orders to honour parents n.

At this time, a great number of children is looked upon as a burthen; but, in the first ages of Greece, it was an honour and an advantage to be the father of a numerous family. The Greeks greatly esteemed fruitfulness. Plutarch observes, that Pelops was the most powerful and most considerable of all the kings his cotemporaries, not only by his riches, but yet more by the number of children he was the father of o. The ancient poets greatly extolled the happiness of Priam, for being the father of sifty children. We see in scripture David glories for having had many children. It was likewise a very great reproach for a woman to be barren q. The Chinese are of the same opinion. They look upon barrenness with so much horror, that married people had rather have committed the greatest crimes, than die without children. The leaving no posterity, is ranked among the greatest of evils s.

The Greeks thought the same. They looked upon a man who died without children to have had the worst lot in the world. Phoenix, in the Iliad, wanting to shew with what an excess of passion his father was transported against him; "He invoked," says he, "the terrible furies, conjuring them, that I might never have to sit upon my knee, a son from my own body." It was to remedy, in some measure, the misfortune of not having children, that the Greeks contrived adop-

¹ Feithius, antiq. Hom. l. 2. c. 13. p. 216.

n Porphyrius de abstin. l. 4. p. 431.

m Ibid. p. 219, 210, 0 In Thef. p. 2. A.

P 1 Chron. c. 28. v. 5.

⁹ Gen. c. 30. v. 23.; 1 Sam. c. 1. v. 5.; Luke, c. 1. v. 25. r Martini, hist. de la Chine, l. 6. p. 21.; Lettr. édif. t. 5. p. 56.

f L. g. v. 455, &cc.

tion, a custom that was very ancient. Pausanias tells us, that Athamas, king of Orchomene, seeing himself without male-issue, adopted his grand-nephews. Diodorus supplies us with another example of the same antiquity : and Plutarch says, that Castor and Pollux, having made themselves masters of Athens, demanded to be initiated into the great mysteries; but they were not admitted, till they were adopted by Aphidnes, as Hercules had been by Pylius x. It is probable that the Greeks took this custom from the Egyptians, among whom we see it was established in the most remote times y.

The girls who died without being married, were thought very unhappy. Herodotus gives us a very striking proof of this way of thinking in the adventure of Polycrates, tyrant of Samos. Polycrates, feduced by the promifes of Orates, governor of Sardis, was going to meet that viceroy: his daughter, who prefaged nothing but misfortunes from the journey, ufed all her efforts to diffuade him from it. Seeing that he would go in fpite of all her remonstrances, she plainly told him, that nothing but misfortunes would happen to him. Polycrates, angry at her speech, and willing to show his resentment, threatened not to marry her for a long time, if he returned fafe and found from the journey. But this menace was not fusficient to silence her zeal. She wished its accomplishment; liking better, fays Herodotus, to be without a hufband, than to be deprived of her father 2. We fee, likewife, in Sophocles, Electra bewailing bitterly her not being married a.

I have remarked in the first part of this work, that originally whoever addressed a woman for marriage in some sense

^t L. 9. c. 34. × Plut, in Thef. p. 16. A. ^u L. 4. p. 312. × Pscod. c. 2. v. 10.

Z. L. 3, n. 124.

2 In Electra, v. 166, 167. Tradition fays, that this princess was never married, and that made them give her the name of Electra. Ælian. var. hist. I. 4. c. 26.—Paus. I. 2. c. 16. and Hygin. fab. 122. nevertheless say, that Orestes had married that princess to Pylades; and, according to the testimony of Hellanicus, the had two children by him. But this opinion does not appear to have been much followed by the ancients,

bought her, either by fervices he did to the father of her he would marry, or by prefents which he made to herfelf b. This cuftom was also observed in Greece in the most remote times c. He who wanted a wife, was obliged to make prefents of two forts; one to the father, to engage him to give his daughter; and the other to the person whom he demanded in marriage. In the Iliad, Agamemnon fays to Achilles, that he will give him one of his daughters, without requiring of that prince the least present d. Pausanias also gives us a proof of this ancient ufage: Danaus, fays this author, not finding any body to marry his daughters, on account of the horrible crime they had committed, caused it to be published that he would not demand any prefents of those who would marry them c. At this day it is a custom among the Greeks, that whoever will marry, buys his wife by the prefents he is obliged to make to the parents of her he marries f.

Yet we see that anciently the presents the husband made, whether to the father-in-law, or to the person he was to marry, did not excuse the father from giving to his daughter a certain portion, and this properly made the dower of the bride s. And when a widow chose to marry again, the custom was, that she could not dispose of her dower that she had on her first marriage, nor carry it to her fecond husband. All her possesfions from that moment devolved to the children of her first marriage. Her father was obliged to give her a new dower h: but, if it happened that a fon was fo unnatural as to turn out his mother from his father's house, he was obliged to give her all that she had brought i.

As to the form in which they made these contracts of marriage, I have before observed, that, at the time when writing was not known, they did all in the prefence of wit-

c Arist. polit. l. 2. c. 8. p. 327. B.

d L. 9, v. 146. Homer does not speak of the present made to the bride, but only of that to be made to the father. The presents made to the bride were

called dona. See Meziriac, in Ovid. ep. 2. p. 317.

C. L. 3. c. 12. f Voyage de la Boulaye, le Gouz. p. 411.

B Hiad. I. 9. v. 147, 148. The dower which the father gave to his daughter was called peraia. Ibid.

h Odyss. 1. 2. v. 53. i Ibid. v. 132, 133.

neffes k. We find the same practifed in the primitive ages of Greece. Before these people knew writing, the practice was to give pledges and fecurities for the affurance of the dower and the marriage-contract 1. It even appears from Homer, that the Greeks were a long time without knowing the use of written contracts and obligations. It was the deposition of witnesses which made the proof of the reality of deeds m: and it was also for this reason, that anciently among the Greeks, as well as among all other peoplé, judgments were given before all the world in a public fquare n.

We fee, that in the heroic times there were in Greece penalties established against adultery. Those who were accused, were obliged to pay a pecuniary fine to the husband who had convicted them o. The father of the wife taken in adultery was likewife obliged to give back to his fon-in-law all the prefents that he had received for his daughter p.

I have already faid, that Cecrops had established marriage one with one; therefore, the plurality of wives was not allowed among the Greeks. They could only marry one q. But it appears, that, from the most ancient times, it was permitted to divorce, when they thought they had lawful reafons r. What furprifes me most, is, that unlawful commerces were not then dishonourable. The birth of children which proceeded from them, was not looked upon as fcandalous. Agamemnon, to encourage Teucer, brother of Ajax, to continue his exploits, reprefents to him, that, though he was not the legitimate fon of Telamon, that prince had not given less attention, or taken less care, of his education s. Now, if there had been at that time any fort of shame attached to these forts of births, it is not probable that Homer would have made Agamemnon make fuch a reproach to one of the

k Part 1. book 1. 1 Pollux. l. 3. c. 3. fegm. 36.; Servius ad Æneid. l. 10. v. 79.

m Iliad. l. 18. v. 499, &c.

n Ihid. v. 497, 498, &c. See part 1. book 1.
Odyff. l. 8. v. 332, 347, & 348. See also Diod. l. 12. p. 491. lin. 89.
Odyff. l. 8. v. 318.

4 Herod. l. 2. n. 92.

P Odyff. I. 8. v. 318. 9 Herod, I. 2. n. 92.

T See Pauf. I. 10. c. 29. p. 870.; Pollux. I. 3. c. 4. fegm. 46.

f L. 8. v. 281, &c.

principal officers of the army, and with whom he in other refpects appears to be well fatisfied.

We fee likewife in the Odyffey, Ulyffes fays he was the fon of a concubinet. This is a proof that they avowed at that time these forts of births without any shame. It is likewise faid in scripture, that Gideon had seventy children from the many women he had married, and by a concubine, who had even been his fervant, he had a fon called Abimelech, who, after the death of his father, was king of Sichem ". With our ancestors bastardy had nothing dishonourable in it. Historians give the title of baftards to a number of the most illustrious and most considerable persons. The famous Count de Dunois is not more known by that name than by that of the baltard of Orleans. There is often mention made of the baftard of Rubempré, and many others. It was even a quality which they did not fear to use in their public acts. We often find figned, such a one, bastard of such a one. The letters patent granted by William the Conqueror to Alain, count of Britany, begin thus: "William, called the Baftard, king of England, &c. x." But to return to the Greeks: The lawful children inherited the goods of their fathers and mothers y: if they were many, they divided the inheritance; and it does not appear, that at that time there was any regard paid to feniority. This was the manner in which they proceeded to divide. They made with the utmost exactness as many lots as there were heirs, and afterwards drew them z.

This method was not confined to the division of the goods of particulars. It took place even in the houses of sovereigns. Neptune, in the Iliad, says to Iris who came from Jupiter to order him not to succour the Greeks any more, that he was equal in dignity to Jupiter: "We are," adds he, "three bro-

t L. 14. V. 202.

[&]quot; Judges, c. 8. v. 30, 31. c. 9. v. 6, & 18. " Non enim erat vetitus eo tem" pore concubinatus, neque concubina a matrona, nisi dignitate, distabat," says
Grotius on this passage.

x Mem. de Trevoux. Janv. 1711. p. 118.

y Odyff. l. 7. v. 149.

² Odyst. 1. 14. v. 208.; Arist. polit. 1. 6. c. 4. p. 417. B.

thers, all three fons of Saturn and Rhea. Jupiter is the first, ss I the fecond, and Pluto the third; the empire was divided " among us. They made three lots, which were not distribu-" ted according to the order of birth. They drew the chances, se and it was fortune which determined the part that each " should have a." One might quote many more examples of this ancient practice b. Though in the division of estates the condition of the brothers was equal, yet they had great privileges attached to the right of femiority. These privileges confilted in the honour and respect which the younger were obliged to pay to their elder brothers, and in the authority the elder had over the younger. We might even fay, that the Greeks looked upon the right of feniority as a right divine. Homer gives us a very fenfible proof in the paffage of the Iliad I am going to cite. Jupiter, on fending Iris to carry his orders to Neptune, fays to that goddess: " My brother ought to know, 66 that, in quality of eldest, I am above him c." Neptune makes fome difficulty to obey the orders of Jupiter: Iris, to determine him, infifts on the quality of Jupiter, and asks Neptune, if he is ignorant, "that the black furies always accomcompany the eldest, to revenge the outrages they receive from " their brothers d."

The children of concubines had no right to the inheritance of their fathers; for in those forts of commerces they had neither conventions nor folemnities. Accordingly, we fee none of the children who fprung from them, partake in the fuccession with the legitimate children. They had only what their brothers chose to give to them e: even the order of fuccessions was fo well regulated, that, when any one died without iffue, his effects went to his collateral relations f.

The

a L. 15. v. 186, &c. Virgil has exactly followed this tradition. He makes Neptune also say that the empire of the sea sell to him by lot. " Sed mihi forte da-

[&]quot;time." Æmcid. l. 1. v. 138.

b See what we have faid above of the division of Peloponnesus among the defeendents of Hercules, art. 7. See Apollod. l. 1. p. 4.; Diod. l. 3. p. 229.5 Paul. l. 8. c. 53.; Strab. l. 9. p. 601. B.

c L. 15. v. 165, 166. c Odyff. l. 14. v. 210. d Ibid. v. 204.

f Xnewsai de did xthoir dateorto. Iliad. 1. 5. v. 158.

The fame spirit of order, which had assigned to each a certain quantity of goods for their fublishence, made them look with contempt on those men whom floth kept from labour. and who were fo mean as to live on the liberality of rich people. When Ulysses, in the Odyssey, in the form of a beggar, prefents himself to Eurymachus; that prince, seeing him strong and robust, offers him work and good wages; but at the same time gives him to understand, that they had too many of those beggars by profession, who liking better to live in idleness, than to get their bread by an honest industry, were the object of general contempt g. They had also the highest contempt for those people who, having no fixed place of refidence, wandered continually from city to city. They looked upon a vagabond as an exile, as a wretch, who, having abandoned his country, ought to be cast out from society h.

But what is most assonishing, is, that then theft was not a dishonourable action i. The ancients made no scruple about it. It was only shameful when they were taken in the fact k.

The greatest part of the laws which I have just given an account of, were not in use till after the establishment of agriculture. The first legislators of Greece had omitted nothing to engage their people to apply themselves to

Eustathius, p. 533 lin. 30. and the ancient scholiast, understand by the word χηςωσω, trustees; and from this they supposed magistrates established to take care of the effects of old men who had lost their children, and to preserve them for their collateral relations, by hindering those unhappy fathers from disposing of them. But besides that neither Eustathius, nor the aucient scholiast, have quoted any author who mentions the establishment of these pretended magistrates, if they had attended to the word darsours, to which xnewsal is the nominative, they might have feen plainly that xnews could not on that occa-fion fignify truffees. Truftees, in effect, never there in the fuecession; but, agreeable to the etymology of their name, they are charged with the care of it. It is certain then, that in this passage xnews all ought to be understood of collaterals. It is taken in this sense by Hesiod, Theog. v. 606. after whom Hesychins, voce Knewsai, fays expressly, Knewsai oi mangoder συγγενείς; they call χηςωσωί, very distant relations. See also Pollux, l. 3. c. 4. fegm. 47. and the feholiaft of Hesiod, p. 289.

E L. 18. v. 356, &c. h Iliad. l. 9. v. 644. l. 16. v. 423. See what Plato fays on this fubject by Socrates, in Crito.

i Iliad. l. 6. v. 153.; Odyff. l. 19. v. 395. See Feith. l. 2. c. 9.

k Suid. in voce Κλέπτης, t. 2. p. 325.

the culture of the earth 1. For this end they had established many wife and most useful laws, as the prohibition to have above a certain quantity of arable land; of felling and alienating their inheritance. They had likewife a law which prohibited their mortgaging their arable lands m. All these , laws, according to Aristotle, were of the highest antiquity, and go back to the ages of which we are now giving the hifto-

I have faid it was in the reign of Erechtheus, the fixth king of Athens from Cecrops, that the knowledge of tillage was difperfed over Greece under the auspices of Ceres and Triptolemus. As the establishment of agriculture necessarily implies the inftitution of civil laws, all the writers of antiquity have attributed the first laws of Greece to Ceres and Triptolemus o. The most certain and most general tradition says, that the Athenians were the first to whom Ceres taught agriculture P. Accordingly we have feen, that they passed for the authors of all civil laws q. They have likewife attributed to them the invention of all the forms of justice, and the order of proceedingsr.

To this fhort explanation, I shall confine myself as to

¹ It is remarked, that, in all the ancient traditions of Greece, Neptune is always faid to have failed in his disputes with Minerva, Apollo, and the other gods. See Plut. t. 2. p. 741.; Pauf. l. 2. c. 1. p. 112. c. 15. p. 145.
Plutarch even fays, that the dispute between Minerva and Neptune, to know

whether the or the god should be patron of Athens, and the fuccess of Minerva, was a fable invented and propagated by the ancient kings of Greece, to take from their people the defire of going to fea, and to bring them to cultivate the earth. In Themistocle, p. 121. E.

m Arist, polit. l. 2 c. 7. p. 323. l. 6. c. 4. p. 417.

o A quibus initia vitæ atque victus, legum, morum, mansuetudinis, humanitatis exempla hominibus & civitatibus data ac dispertita esse dicuntur. Cicero in Verr. act. 5. n 72. t. 4. p. 478. Prima Ceres - - -

Prima dedit leges. Cereris funt omnia munus. Ovid. Met. l. 5. v. 341, &c.; Diod. l. 1. p. 18. l. 5. p. 324, & 385.; Plin. l. 7. fect. 57. p. 412.; Macrob. fat. l. 3. c. 12. p. 413.

It is for this reason that we so often find the epithet Ocopeo Pogos, legifera, given to Ceres. See the historical explication of the fable of Ceres by le Clerc. Bibl. Univ. t. 6. p. 47.

P Cicero in Verr. act. 4. n. 49. t. 4. p. 396.; Diod. l. 1. p. 34. l. 5. p. 333, 385.

F Ælian. var. hift. 1. 3. c. 38.

what I have to fay of the origin and establishment of the civil laws of Greece. The writers of antiquity have transmitted to us no particulars on an object so important. They not only do not relate the purport of any law, they do not even acquaint us who were the magistrates or the tribunals established for determining civil disputes. It is likewise remarkable enough, that in the few laws that are preserved, attributed to Triptolemus, political rules only are mentioned. See these laws as reported by Porphyry s.

The first, which we have already had occasion to speak of, ordains to honour our parents t.

The fecond forbids to offer any thing to the gods but the fruits of the earth.

The third ordains to do no harm to animals.

These laws did nothing but renew and confirm those of Cecrops, who, in instituting a regular worship in Greece, had forbidden to offer any thing to the Deity that had life ". I cannot on this occasion dispense with myself from saying a word or two of the samous mysteries of Eleusis.

I have shown before, that Cecrops first taught the Greeks to honour the Supreme Being by a public and solemn worship x. But the religious ceremonies established by that prince, did not produce so distinguished an essect as the institution of the mysteries celebrated at Eleusis in honour of Ceres. Of all the observances of the Pagan religion, the ceremonies used in these mysteries were those which most attracted the admiration and respect of the ancients. They ascribe the institution to Erechtheus, the same under whom the knowledge of agriculture came into Greece y. I shall not undertake to remove the obscure veil which deprives us of the knowledge of these ceremonies so boasted of in antiquity. I shall only remark, that the most judicious and best instructed writers of Greece and Rome were perfuaded, that these mysteries had contributed more than any other means to soften the savage manners of the first inhabitants

f De abstin, l. 4, p. 437. t Art. 8. u Art. 1. * Art. 1. 'Y Diod; l. 1, p. 34.; Marm. Ozon, cp. 14.

of Europe. They have not hesitated to attribute to these religious ceremonies, all the knowledge and politeness which the most enlightened ages enjoyed. "These are the mysteries," favs Cicero, "which have drawn us from the barbarous and " favage life our ancestors led. It is the greatest good that has " come to us from the city of Athens, among fo many that -" fhe has spread among mankind. It is she that has taught us " not only to live with joy, but still more to die with tranquil-" lity, in the hope of becoming more happy z." Isocrates had faid as much a long time before 2. The Greeks had defigned the mysteries of Eleusis by a word which in their language signified perfections b, because in initiation they acquired, as they believed, the knowledge of truth and the love of virtue. The Latins express these mysteries by the term initia, beginnings; because, says Cicero, the doctrine taught in the mysteries, contains the principles of an happy and tranquil life. Thus the two most polished and learned nations of antiquity were perfuaded, that they could not give fufficient praise to the establishment of the Eleusinia. It now only remains, that I should say fomething of the ancient penal laws of Greece.

The penal laws are justly those about which the first legislators of Greece feem to have most employed themselves. Historians place in the ages we are now examining, the inflitution of many tribunals, whose only business was to judge of criminal matters.

The Areopagus was the most ancient tribunal of Greece, and it was to take cognizance of murders that Cecrops had established it c. Originally the Areopagi had cognizance over all forts of homicides. But afterwards their jurisdiction was confined to murders committed with premeditated defign d. They erected, a few ages after the Areopagus, another tribunal cal-

Z De leg. l. 2. n. 14. t. 3. p. 148.

a In panegyr. p. 65.

b Τελεταί.

c Isocrat. panegyr. p. 69. See also Demost. in Aristocrat. p. 735.; Plin. 1. 7. fect. 57. p. 415.; Pauf. l. 4. c. 5. init.
d Demosth, in Aristocrat. p. 728. E.; Ælian. var. hist. l. 5. c. 15.

led Delphinium, to judge those who, acknowledging they were guilty of homicide, pretended to have had reason for committing it e. It was at this tribunal that Thefeus was acquitted, when he had put to death the children of Pallas, and Pallas himself, who had plotted against the state f. They afterwards established the Palladium, where those who had committed an involuntary murder prefented themselves g. Demophoon, fon of Thefeus, was the first who appeared before this tribunal h.

The laws of Greece agreed in this with those of Egypt, to punish with death homicide committed with a premeditated defign i. Dedalus having been accused and convicted before the Areopagus for having killed his nephew Talus, was condemned to death by that tribunal, and only faved himfelf from the punishment of his crime by flight, and retiring into the isle of Crete k. I shall observe on this subject, that among the Greeks it was very eafy for murderers to escape from the punishments they feared.

The manner in which they proceeded in Greece in the profecution for murders, was very different from that they use in our tribunals. In France, the care of the pursuit and punishing murderers belongs to the public administration. The first step that justice takes on these occasions, is to arrest the accused, against whom complaint has been made; they afterwards examine whether he is really guilty of the crime imputed to him, and he is retained in prison till final judgment is given. It was not fo with the Greeks; they had no public officer charged by the state to look after murderers. The relations of the deceafed alone had the right to purfue revenge. Homer shews it clearly 1. We may add to the testimony of this great poet, that of Pausa-

e Ibid.

f Pauf. 1. c. 28. p. 70.

8 Ælian. l. 5. c. 15.

h Pauf. l. 1. p. 69. See Pollux. l. 8. c. 10. i Demosth. in Midiam, p. 610. A.; in Aristocrat. p. 739. C. See also Plat. de leg. l. 9. p. 934. B. p. 935. E.

k Diod. l. 4. p. 319, & 320.; Apollod. l. 3. p. 206.

J Iliad, l. 9. v. 629, & 7.

nias who speaks in many places of this ancient usage m: a usage that appears to have always subsisted in Greece n. But the same laws which had given to the relations of the deceased alone the right of profecuting the murderer, expressly forbade that he should be delivered into their hands o; and as the public administration did not interfere to arrest the murderers, they enjoyed a full and absolute liberty during all the proceedings. Thus in a case where the guilty person might apprehend the just punishment of his crime, he could escape it by flight. No one had a right to ftop him p. The only precaution he had to take, was to disappear after his first defence q. For when the proceedings were fo far advanced, that the judges were going to pass sentence, the accused was then subject to all the severity of the laws; and if he was declared guilty, and convicted of the crime laid to his charge, the magistrates seized on him to make him fusier the punishment to which he was condemned r. This provisional liberty which they left to the accused, proves clearly that it was the custom to hear them twice before they delivered them to punishment. If the accused, whose crime was proved, had recourse to voluntary banishment, all his goods were confiscated, and fold by public auction f. I have already spoken of the custom to clear and acquit the accused when the judges were equally divided t. Before they would hear the accuser and the accused, they obliged them to deposite each a sum of money, which belonged to him who gained the cause. The law further condemned the accuser to pay a fine of a thousand drachmas, if he had not for him at least the fifth part of the votes u. If the ac-

m L. s. c. r. p. 376. l. 8. c. 34. p. 669.

n See Plat, de leg. l. 9. p. 930, 931, & 933.; Demosih, in Aristocrat, p. 736.; Pollux. l. 8. c. 10. fegm. 118.

Demosth. loco cit.

P Demosth, ibid.; Pollux. I. 8. c. 10. fegm. 117.

⁹ Demosth. Pollux. locis cit.

r Demosth, in Aristocrat. p. 736.

Pollux. I. 8, c. 9, fegm. 99.

Lamofth. in Mid. p. 610. F. in Ariffocrat. p. 738. C.; Plato in Apollog. Socrat. p. 27. E.; Pollux. l. S. c. 6. fegm. 41, & 53.

cusation was proved, the laws granted to the accuser, the sad privilege of assisting at the punishment of the wretch whom he had convicted of a crime x; but it very seldom happened that they executed homicides, on account of the facility of slying from punishment. For besides their being at liberty to sly, the law had given them a yet more effectual way to disarm justice, and even stay unmolested in their own country. They had only to find out proper ways of appeasing the relations of him who had been slain: they were then sure of impunity, and of never being disturbed; it was by money they commonly stifled these affairs. They gave a certain sum to the parties interested, to engage them to cease their prosecutions z.

The law would not have even an involuntary murder be entirely exempt from punishment, for fear, fays Porphyry, that impunity, on these occasions, should give a scope to wicked persons to abuse the indulgence of the law a. Banishment was originally the punishment for involuntary murder with the Greeks b. Cephalus was condemned by the Areopagus to perpetual banishment for having involuntarily killed his wise Proceis c. The laws in time abated a little of this rigour. We see in Homer, that, at the time of the war of Troy, murderers were not obliged to leave the country, but till they could appeale the parents of him they had slain d. According to the report even of the scholiast on Euripides, accidental murderers were only obliged to absent themselves for a year c. Plato, in his laws, seems to have conformed to this ancient usage s.

But at the fame time that the laws subjected to some punishment an involuntary murder, they had taken precautions to protect the murderer from the sudden vengeance the relations of the deceased might take for his loss. It is for this reason that we see asylums established among all the people of antiquity.

Demosth, in Aristocrat, p. 736.
 Biad, l. 9, v. 628, &τ.
 Apollod, l. 2, p. 116.; Demosth, adv. Aristocrat, p. 732, B.; Plut, t. 2.

Apollod. I. 2. p. 116.; Demossh. adv. Aristocrat. p. 732. B.; Plut. t. 2.
 p. 299. C.
 Apollod. I. 3. p. 200.
 See Feithius, Antiq. Hom. I. 2. c. 8. p. 187.

c In Hippolyt. v. 35. f L. 9. p. 929. F. p. 930. D.

This privilege, attached to certain places, to shelter murderers from all pursuits, was very ancient and much respected by the Greeks. They believed that the asylum of Samothrace was established by Cybele 8. One of the most ancient is that which Cadmus opened in Bootia 4.

The place where the Areopagus affembled, was an inviolable afylum. Under Aphidas, who afcended the throne of Athens 1162 years before Christ, the oracle of Dodona forewarned the Athenians, that one day the Lacedæmonians being beaten would fly for refuge to the Areopagus, and that they thould take care not to treat them ill. The Athenians remembered this advice, when, in the reign of Codrus, Peloponnefus leagued against Attica. We know what was the event of that war, and how the armies being in fight of each other, that of the enemy thought of making a retreat i. Some Lacedæmonians who were advanced to the gates of Athens, on this news found themselves in a cruel dilemma. All that they could do was to endeavour, under favour of the night, to hide themselves from the fight of the Athenians. When day appeared, they faved themselves in the Areopagus. They durst not attack them in that afylum, they were respected, and got leave to return safe and found to their country k.

The favour of afylums was originally established only for involuntary murderers. In Thucydides the Athenians tell us very clearly, that the altars of the gods are not an afylum but to those who had the missortune to commit an involuntary murder! We likewise see in Livy the murderer of king Eumenes obliged to abandon the afylum of the temple of Samothrace, as unworthy to enjoy it m. Moses, on establishing cities of refuge for involuntary murderers, formally excludes assassing from that privilege n.

For the rest, it was the same among the Greeks with involuntary murders as with premeditated homicides, that is to say, that the involuntary murderers could, by satisfying

P. Diod, I., 3, p. 224,
 I. Art, 4,
 I. Art, 1,
 I. Pauf, I. 7, c. 25, init.
 I. L. 4, p. 296, line 90.
 II. 45, n. 5,
 II. Deut, c. 19, v. 11, &c.

the interested parties, remain quiet in their own country. It was likewise customary to give to the relations of the deceased a certain sum. This policy sprung from a very wise principle. Among people little disciplined, enmittees are dangerous, and most subject to occasion disagreeable consequences; it is therefore for the good of the public that they be easy to determine P. Thus we see among the ancient people, they had no crime from which they could not redeem themselves with money. Every thing was reduced to damages and reparations. For this reason they had not then, as at this time with us, any public officers charged with the care of the pursuit of criminals. The favages of America show us again the image of these times. With these people, the reparation of murder consists in a certain number of presents which the murderer is obliged to make to the relations of the deceased, to appeale their resentment 4.

Ancient legislators have omitted nothing to inspire their people with all the horror possible of murder, and shedding of blood. They looked upon those who had committed homicide as polluted, in whatever way it happened; and they ought, before they came again into society, to purify themselves by certain religious ceremonies. Theseus had done an important service to his country, by putting to death the robbers who insessed it. Although these murders were very lawful, yet his first care was to have himself purified. Homer makes Hector say, coming from battle, that he durst not make libations to Jupiter, before he was purified, because it was not permitted to pray with hands imbrued in blood. Eneas, in Virgil, after having put many of his enemies to death, durst not touch his household gods till he was purified. We might quote many more exam-

o Iliad. l. 18. v. 498, &c. P See l'esprit des loix, t. 3. p. 102, & 328. Lescarbot, hist de la Nouv. France, p. 395, & 798.; Mœurs des sauvag.

t. 1. p. 490, 491.

T Plut. in Thes. p. 5. C.; Paus. l. 1. c. 37. init.

f Iliad, l. 6. v. 265, &c.

Eneid, l. 2. v. 717, &c.

ples u. A murderer who was banished his country for an involuntary homicide, was not permitted to return, though he had fatisfied the relations of the deceafed, before he was purified and had expiated the murder he had committed x. They ascribe to the reign of Pandion, the eighth king of Athens, the establishment of religious ceremonies, proper to purify homicides y.

We shall remark on this subject, that Moses ordained a solemn expiation for the murders of which they did not know the authors 2. He ordains likewise, that those who, in a just and ligitimate war, had flained themselves by the effusion of the blood of the enemy, should not enter the camp, before they were purified a. With the Romans, the foldiers who followed the chariot of the conqueror, were crowned with laurel; to the end, fays Festus, that they should not appear to enter the city, but when purified from the human blood which they had spilt b. The end of all these customs was, to inspire the greatest averfion for homicide.

We must, I believe, ascribe to the same principle of humanity, as well as policy, the prohibition of killing certain animals, fo precisely settled by the first legislators of Greece. We have feen that Cecrops had forbidden to offer any thing that had life to the gods c. Triptolemus renewed that law, by ordering them to offer nothing but fruits d. But this fecond legislator went much farther; for he expressly forbids using ill the animals employed in tillage c. History has not disdained to preferve the circumstances which occasioned the death of the first ox, killed at Athens, and the confequence of that event f. This is one of those singular facts which merit a particular attention: it happened under Erechtheus, fixth king of A-

¹¹ See Marsh. p. 253.; Feithius, p. 187.

^{**} See Marin. p. 253.; Pettinus, p. 107.

** Demosth. in Aristocrat. p. 736. E. See also Plat. de leg. l. g. p. 930, &c.

** Marm. Oxon. ep. 15.; Marsh. p. 253.

** Dent. c. 21. v. 5, &c.

** Namb. c. 31. v. 19, & 24.

** b Verbo laureati, p. 206.

** C Art. 1.

** d Ibid. 8.

** Porphyr. de abstin. l. 2. p. 136, & 174.; Ælian. var. hist. l. 8. c. 3.; Paus. 1. 1. c. 28, p. 70.

thens g. This event was fo much the more remarkable, as it gave rife to the erection of the Prytaneum, a most renowned tribunal among the Athenians h. The business of the Prytanes was to commence processes against things inanimate, which had occasioned the death of any one i.

I finish what concerns the penal laws of Greece, by observing a perfect conformity between these laws and those of the Egyptians, in the punishment of pregnant women guilty of crimes deferving death: the Greeks, after the example of the Egyptians, waited to bring them to punishment, till they were delivered k.

What I find the most extraordinary in the ancient laws of Greece, is, that the legislators had not determined precisely the nature and duration of the punishment with which each crime ought to be punished 1. They left it to the judges to apply the laws as they thought proper. Zaleucus, legislator of the Locrians, was, fay they, the first who prescribed and explained in his laws the kinds and duration of punishments which they ought to inflict on criminals m.

We fee, from what has been faid, that the first laws of Greece were very shapeless; they savoured of the rudeness which reigned fo long in that part of Europen.

The Greeks, like all the ancient people, were fome time before they knew the art of writing. Singing was then the only way to hand down to posterity what was necessary to be remembered . This most simple and most natural method had been used to preserve the remembrance of the laws. For want of monuments, where they could deposite their laws, the first legislators set them to music, to make them be retained the more easily. The Greeks fung their laws. This is what made the same name be given to laws as to songs p. Aristotle,

g Pauf. l. r. c. 18. p. 70. h Ibid. loco cit.; Pollux, l. 8. c. 10. i Pauf. l. r. c. 18. p. 70. See the examples which he cites, l. s. c. 27. p. 449.

l. 6. c. 11. p. 478.

k Diod. l. 1. p. 88.; Ælian. var. hist. l. 5. c. 18.; Plut. t. 2. p. 552. D.

l Strabo, l. 6. p. 398.

n Hidd.

Arist. polit. l. 2. c. 8. p. 327. B.

o See part 1. book 1.

in his problems, inquiring into the reason of this conformity of names between two fuch different objects, it is, fays he, that before the knowledge of writing, they fung the laws, left they fhould forget them q.

The custom of putting the laws, and all that had relation to them, into fong, prevailed fo much in Greece, that it even continued after writing was introduced. The crier, who published the laws in most of the Greek cities, was subjected to regulated tones, and a measured declamation. He was accompanied by the found of a lyre, like an actor upon the stage . This manner of publishing the laws, the edicts, &c. had fublisted a long time among the Greeks. Hiflory has preferved one example too remarkable to be omitted.

On the night which followed the battle of Cheronea, Philip, intoxicated with good cheer and wine, and still more with the victory he had gained, went to the field of battle, yet covered with the dead bodies of the Athenians; where, to infult the dead, he parodied the decree which Demosthenes had proposed to excite the Greeks to take up arms. Philip fung then, beating time: " Demosthenes, fon of Demosthenes the Pæonian, " has faid, &c. f."

The Locrians of Italy were looked upon, in the writings of some authors of antiquity, for the first Grecians who had reduced their laws to writing t. But this fact does not appear to me to be exact: for, without speaking of Minos, who, by Plato's

⁹ Problem. fest. 19. problem. 28. Josephus and Plutarch suspect, that the term vouces, used to design laws, was modern; in comparison of the early times we are now speaking of; and that it was even later than the age of Homer, who, in his poems, never uses the word vouos to fignify laws, but demisal,

But Josephus and Plutarch, especially speaking dubiously, ought not to balance the authority of Aristotle about the antiquity of a Greek word; to say nothing of an hymn in honour of Apollo, attributed to Homer, where vouces is used to fignify law, or the method of finging. v. 20.

We likewise find the word roses used in Hesiod to fignify laws, Op. & dies,

r Græcarum quippe urbium multæ ad lyram leges, decretaque publica recitabant. Marcian capella de nupt. Philolog. l. 9. p. 313. See also Ælian. var. hist. l. 2. c. 39.; Stob. serm. 42. p. 291.

t L. 6. p. 397. f Plut. in Demosth. p. 855. A.

account, had committed his laws to writing "; without fpeaking of a law of Thefeus, writ on a column of stone, which remained even to the time of Demosthenes x; it is certain, that Solon caused his laws to be written y; and Solon is prior by almost a century to Zaleucus, legislator of the Locrians. Yet I do not believe, that, at the time we are now speaking of, any people of Greece, except the Cretans, had a body of laws comviled and reduced to writing.

ARTICLE IX.

Of the Laws of Grete.

Had at first resolved not to speak of the Cretans. These islanders never joined with the other people of Greece: fixed in their ifle, they scarce ever took part in the general affairs, and were not influenced by any event which did interest all the Greeks z. Yet we ought to look upon the Cretans as making a part of the Greek nation, fince they spoke the same language a. Befides, the laws of Crete of themselves merit our attention; they were a model for those which Lycurgus afterwards gave to the Lacedæmonians. It is therefore proper to fpeak of them, that we may remark the conformity there was between the laws of Crete and those of Sparta.

Of all the people of Greece, the Cretans were looked upon as the first who had written laws b. They were the work of Minos the First c. The high reputation of these laws. made this prince be ranked with the greatest legislators of antiquity.

The laws of Minos were founded on two principal motives,

u In Minoc, p. 568. E.

^{*} In Negram, p. 873. C.

Y See part 3. book 1. c. 3. art. 1.

Except in the war of Troy, they feem never to have concerned themselves in the affairs of Greece. See Herod. l. 7. n. 167, & 170, 171.

That was the Doric dialect.

b Plat. in Mic. p. 668. E.; Solinus, c. 11. p. 19.; Isidor, orig. l. 14. c. 6. c See mem. de l'academ. des inscript. t. 3. mem. p. 49.

to form his fubjects for war, and to promote an union of hearts. If Minos succeeded in the first of these objects, we shall see, that, with regard to the fecond, the event did not answer his expectations. With a view to establish a perfect union among his fubjects, Minos laboured to make the most exact equality among them. For this purpose he ordained, that all the children should be fed and brought up togetherd. Their life was austere and sober. They were accustomed to be content with a little, to bear heat, cold, and to march over rugged and fleep places. They were always clothed like foldiers, in a plain cloth, the same in winter as in summer. They were accustomed to have little combats with each other, to bear courageously the strokes they received; and, to conclude, favs Strabo, even to their very diversions, all favoured of war; they even danced with arms in their hands e.

To unite their minds still more, and to bind them more intimately, Minos would have all the citizens eat together at the same tables f. They were fed at the expense of the state; it was paid out of the public treasury s. The young men ate on the ground, and waited on each other. They likewife waited on the men h. As in the army the foldiers are obliged to eat ' all together, the intention of Minos, in establishing these public repasts, was to form his subjects in their infancy to military discipline. This is the only good that could spring from this custom. The institution of public meals did not succeed to maintain union and concord among the Cretans; we know that they were continually at war with each other i. They never agreed, but when they went to beat off a common enemy k. I make not the least hesitation to ascribe these intestine divi-

d Strabo, l. 10. p. 735, &c. e Ibid. This dance was greatly celebrated in antiquity under the name of Pyrrhic.

f Arist, polit. l. 7. c. 10.; Strabo, l. 10. p. 736.

8 Arist, ibid. and l. 2. c. 10. p. 332. E.; Strabo, l. 10. p. 736.

h Strabo, p. 739.

i Arist, polit. l. 2. c. 10. p. 333.

k Plut. t. 2. p. 493. B. It was from this conduct of the Cretans, according to Plutarch, that the proverbial expression came, so well known in Greece, to syncretise. They have since called syncretises, those who undertook to reconcile the different sects. This word is often used by divines, but always in a bad fenfe.

fions of the Cretans to the diffinction of professions, which had

place in Crete as well as in Egypt !.

We cannot fufficiently praise the attention Minos had with respect to magistrates and aged persons. He not only required that they should have for them the respect and regard which were their due; but further, lest they should fail, he forbade, in case they should remark any defects in them, to take notice of them before the young men m. He also used all the precautions which human prudence could fuggest, to inspire the youth with the greatest respect and attachment for the maxims and customs of the state. The youth were not allowed to call in doubt, nor even to put in dispute, the wisdom or utility of the rules by which they were instructed. This was what Plato found most admirable in the laws of Minos n.

In order to inspire the Cretans with a most profound veneration for his ordinances, Minos often retired into a cave, where he boasted of having familiar conversations with Jupiter o. But indeed he was neither the first, nor the only one of the ancient legislators, who thought they ought to be authorised by fome divinity to make their laws be respected. Mneves, one of the most renowned and most ancient legislators of Egypt, attributed his to Hermes, otherwife called Mercury P. Lycurgus took care to avail himself of the suffrage of Apollo, before he began the reformation of Sparta q. Zaleucus, legislator of the Locrians, faid he was inspired by Minerva r. Zathraustes, among the Arimaspes, declared that he had his ordinances from a genius adored by these peoples. Zamolxis boasted to the Getes his intimate communications with the goddess Vestat. Numa amused the Romans with his conversations with the nymph

¹ Arist, polit. 1. 7. c. 10. See upon this article part 3. book 1. c. 2.

m Plato de leg. 1. 1. p. 775.

o Hom. Odyss. 1. 19. v. 179.; Plato in Minoe, p. 568.; Horat. carm. 1. 1. od.
28.; Diod. 1. 1. p. 105.; Strabo, 1. 16. p. 1105.; Val. Max. 1. 1. c. 2. p. 37.;
Plut. in Numa, p. 62. D.

P Diod. l. 1. p. 105. 9 Ibid. loco cit.; Strabo, l. 16. p. 1105.; Plut. t. 2. p. 543. A.; Val. Max.

l. r. c. 2. p. 38.

r Diod. l. r. p. 105.; Val. Max. l. r. c. 2. p. 38.; Plut. in Numa, p. 62. D.

f Diod. loco cit. * Ibid.; Strabo, l. 16. p. 1106.

Egeria u. We might quote many more examples. These facts, just to mention them, invincibly demonstrate, that the primordial tradition of the existence of God was never lost; since, in all the known world, this belief was established time immemorial, and that fo deeply, that the first legislators would avail themselves of it, to give to their laws a reputation more than human x.

The grand defect of Minos in his political inftitutions, a defeet into which Lycurgus fell after him, was, not to have regarded any thing but war. This was the only end which the Cretan legislator seems to have proposed y. We have seen, that it was folely by this motive that he was directed in the education of the youth. By a consequence of the same motive, the Cretans did not cultivate their lands themselves. Slaves, known in antiquity by the name of Periocians, were charged with this business. They were obliged every year to pay a certain sum to their masters 2, from which were first levied the sums necesfary for the exigencies of the state 1.

If the laws of Minos were good to make the Cretans excellent foldiers, they do not appear to have been equally proper to regulate their manners and their fentiments. Each citizen was obliged to marry b: but with what aftonishment shall we not look on a legislator, who could approve of a means so infamous as that which the Cretans made use of, lest they should have too many children? Whether in Crete the fertility or extent of the lands did not answer to the number of inhabitants, or that their bodies were more robust, or the women were more fruitful, Minos authorifed, by his laws, a passion which nature difavows, and permitted an excess which modesty never mentions but with herror c.

u Plut. in Numa, p. 62. D.; Dion. Halic. l. 2. p. 122.; Val. Max. l. 1. c. 2. x See Diod. l. r. p. 105.; Strabo, l. 16. p. 1105, 1106.; Plut. in Numa, p. 62.; Dion. Halicarn. l. 2. p. 122. and the tract of opinion, t. 4. p. 513.

У Plato de leg. 1. 1. р. 769, &c. z Arist. polit. 1. 2. c. 10.; Strabo, 1 12. р. 817.; Plut. in Lacon. р. 239.; Athen. I. 6. p. 263, & 264.

a Arift. loco cit. b Strabo, I. 10. p. 739. A.

c Arift. l. 2. c. 10. p 333.; Strabo, l. 10. p. 739, & 740.; Athen. l. 13. p. 602. See also the manner in which they punished adultery in Crete. Ælian. var. 331. l. 12. c. 12.

BOOK II.

Of Arts and Manufactures.

Have endeavoured in the first part of this work to give an idea of the origin and discovery of the arts. I should have liked to have been able to have followed them from age to age, and fixed the degree of perfection, to which they were carried in each century. The deficiency of monuments has not permitted me to execute this project. We see only through the obscurity which surrounds the history of the people of Asia and that of the Egyptians, that these people knew very early many arts, and that their first progress was very rapid. We really find, a few ages after the deluge, the Egyptians, and some countries of Asia, in possession of many of the sciences which are the portion of policed people. The relation which I am going to make of the works executed by these nations, in the times which at present fix our attention, will be sufficient to convince us.

With respect to the Greeks, their knowledge in the arts was then very different from those of the people of Asia and the Egyptians. They were only, at the time we speak of at prefent, in their first elements. Greece languished many ages in ignorance and barbarity.

SECT. I.

Of the State of Arts in Asia and Egypt.

Have thought fit to put in one and the same section, what I have to say in this second part of the state of arts in Asia and Egypt. The people of these countries seem to have advan-

L 2

ced almost equally in the career of human knowledge. Their taste appears to have been almost the same; I will not therefore make separate articles for Asia and Egypt.

C H A P. I.

Of Agriculture.

THE history of the people of Asia, in the ages which are the object of this second part, furnish us with nothing in particular of the state of agriculture properly so called. I think we can only perceive some traces which give room to think, that the art of gardening was then much cultivated in some countries of that part of the world. The Syrians are said to have understood gardening persectly d, a proof that they had applied themselves to it a long time. We might say as much of the Phrygians. The gardens of Midas were very samous in antiquity; but there now remains no description of them.

Herodotus, who speaks of them, contents himself with saying, that there grew roses of a great size and admirable smell c. Homer will give us more lights on this subject. The description of the gardens of Alcinous will let us know what was the taste of the people of Asia, in this part of agriculture. The reader will perhaps be assonished at the relation which I establish between Asia and the isle of the Phæacians; but I think it sufficiently authorised *.

Homer

The fole motive, on which they establish the identity of the isle of the Phaseians with that of Corfu, is its nearness to Ithaca. It is not difficult to destroy

this conjecture, and to shew it is supported on very weak foundations.

Homer has fown too many fables, and put too many contradictions, in the voyages of Ulyffes, for its being possible to determine, with any fort of certainty,

d Plin. l. 20. fect. 16. p. 192. e L. 8. n. 138.

^{*} To this time they have always taken the isle of Corfu for the isle of the Phæacians, so famous in the poems of Homer. Yet I do not know if the reasons on which they sound it are absolutely decifive. I think, on the contrary, facts may be found in the text of Homer, which will not fusfer us to place the isle of the Phæacians in Europe.

Homer is the most ancient author who has spoken expressly of gardens, and who took pleafure in describing them. His works then can instruct us in the species of trees and plants which were known and cultivated in these earliest times. We likewife find there the manner in which their gardens were difposed.

This

the countries where he would make his hero land. Geographic exactness was not the end the poet proposed in the Odyssey. Every moment he displaces countries, and makes his routes, just as he thinks proper. In vain would we endeavour to find most of the countries he speaks of; the trial would be fruitless. I shall mention, for example, the isle of Oea, where the poet places the abode of Circe. Geogrophers pretend that it is the promontory Circei, fituated on the western coast of Italy. But what resemblance can one find between the isle of Oca of Homer and the promontory Circei?

1. Homer fays plainly, that Circe lived in an ifle, and not upon a promontory. 2. There never was a city of Oea in Italy. 3. Homer fays the ille of Circe was fituated in the ocean. We are not ignorant how far the promontory Circe is distant from it. Lastly, How can one reconcile the position of this promontory, situated on the western coast of Italy, with the dancing of Aurora which Homer places in the isle of Oea, where he says, moreover, the saw the sun rise? O-

dvff. l. 12. init.

I know very well that Strabo, and those who defend the geography of the Odysiey, have endeavoured to reconcile, by the help of an ancient tradition, the contradictions I mention. But we see that they are every moment obliged to do violence to the most common notions of geography. They are obliged to overturn all the ideas we can have of it.

But, fay they, the isle of the Phæacians cannot be far from Ithaca, fince Ulyf-

fes was only one day in going to it.

To draw any induction from this reasoning, we should be assured that Homer never loses probability on this subject. Yet we see that, when Ulysses parts from Circe to go to hell, the poet makes him crofs the ocean in one day. With regard to his croffing from the isle of the Phæacians to Ithaca, the-marvellous which Homer has spread over all that recital, does not permit us to infer any thing as to the diffance of places. He explains it clearly enough, fince he fays, that it was not with the vessels of the Phæacians as with those of other nations. These thips, fays he, have neither rudder nor pilot. They are endowed with knowledge. They of themselves know the way to all cities and to all countries; they very soon make the longest voyages. Odyis. 1. 8. v. 556, &c.

I think this passage sufficiently destroys all the inductions which they pretend to draw from the proximity of the isle of Corfn to that of Ithaca. Besides, they do not find any conformity, any relation between the name of Scherie, which Homer gives to the isle of the Phæacians, and that of Corcyra or Corfu. Let us now thew that the state, in which the poet says the isle of Phæacia was when Ulysses landed there, does not in any respect agree with the state the isle of Corfu

must have been in in the heroic ages.

Homer describes the isle of the Phæacians as a country where there reigned, at the time of the war of Troy, an opulence, a luxury, and magnificence, certainly at that time unknown in Europe. I do not speak of the palace of Alcinous, although Homer scems to have exhausted himself to give us the highest idea of it. But I shall insist on the grandeur and decoration of the public squares, on that of their ports, on the beauty and number of their ships with

This poet fays, that they had in the gardens of Alcinous peartrees, pomegranates, figs, and olives. And there is even room to suspect that they had citron-trees f. As to pulse and roots, Homer enters into no detail on this article; only one may conjecture that they had many forts g.

As to the distribution and arrangement of these gardens, we fee that they had a fort of fymmetry. They were divided into three parts: an orchard containing the fruit-trees, a vine-yard, and kitchen-garden. The trees do not feem to have been planted confusedly in the orchard. It appears on the contrary, that they then knew the art of planting by the line *. The vineyard might likewise form an arbour. As to the kitchen-garden, Homer, as I imagine, gives us to understand, that the pulse and roots were ranged in different beds or compartments to They knew likewife how to conduct and distribute running waters in their gardens. Homer remarks, that in those of Alcinous they had

which they were filled; in a word, of the experience of the Phzacians in maritime affairs, and of the extent of their commerce. I shall support it by the ingenuity and address of the Phzacians, in making stuffs of a surprising fineness and beauty. I say, that all this description could not characterise an isle in Europe in the heroic times; and, to convince us of this, it is sufficient to cast our eyes on the state in which the arts, commerce, and navigation, were at that time in Greece. I believe, on the contrary, that from thence we may trace the seatures of the Asiatics. It is to these people we ought to ascribe all that Homer says of the Phzacians; and I so not imagine he had any other views. The poet was too knowing to be ignorant, that, at the time of Ulysses, there was no isle in Greece in a state like that in which he has painted the isle of the Phzacians. I do not think then, that all these conprainted the isle of the Phæacians. I do not think then, that all these conjectures, to which they are obliged to have recourse to place this isle in Europe, can outdo the text of Homer, which to me appears plainly to prove, that the poet designed some Greek colony transported into some one of the isles of

f Odyff. 1. 7. v. 115, &c.

Μηλέαι αγλαόκαρποι, literally, fruits glittering to fight; which one may well interpret oranges, or citrons.

8 Ibid. v. 127, & 128.

* I found my conjecture on this, because Homer uses the word begantos, rather than that of 27705, in speaking of the gardens of Alcinous. Now, the word bexaves comes from the root bexes, which figuifies plants ranged with order and lymmetry.

+ This, I think, is the induction we ought to infer from the terms xexuntal πρασιαί, which Homer uses: his scholiast explains them, and I think with great judoment, by sy ražes diaribhusvas, of plants ranged in order.

two fountains: one dividing itself into different canals, watered all the garden: the other running along the walls of the court, came out at the end of the palace, and supplied the whole city with water h.

Yet we must agree, that this description does not give us a grand idea of the taste which then reigned in gardens. Those of Alcinous, to speak properly, were only inclosures or orchards. We see nothing but fruit-trees or useful plants. No mention of elm, of beach, of plane, nor of any other trees, which in succeeding times have made the ornament and beauty of gardens. No covered walks, no groves, no terrasses. There is nothing faid of slowers, still less of parterres. In a word, there is nothing in this description which gives any idea of what one may call the design and arrangement of a garden.

A more important point is, to examine what knowledge they then had of the culture of trees. It is certain, that the art of planting them where they pleased, was very well known; but were they equally instructed in the art of managing them, to graft, for example? on this I have already had an opportunity of proposing some conjectures i. I maintain that this secret was not known till late: let us give the motives which made me embrace this opinion.

There is no mention made of grafting in the writings of Mofes. Yet we fee this legislator gives to the Israelites very useful precepts for the culture of fruit-trees. He orders them to pull off the fruit from the trees they have planted for the first three years. Those of the fourth must be consecrated to the Lord. They were not therefore permitted to eat them till the sisth year k. This precept was founded on the experience and knowledge which Moses had of the culture of fruit-trees. He was not ignorant that it weakens and exhausts a young tree when you suffer it to bring to maturity the fruit it produces at its sirst effort; thus in ordering the Israelites to pull off the fruit the

h Odyff. l. 7. v. 129, &c. Levit. c. 19. v. 23, &c.

i See part 1. book 2. chap. 1. art. 5.

first three years, the intention of Moses has been to teach his people the means of preferving their fruit-trees, and to make them bear good fruit.

After these details, I think we have a right to presume, that if Moses had known how to graft, he would not have neglected to have given some precept to the Hebrews.

We fee likewise, that Homer says nothing of grafting, although he had occasion to speak of it many times.

One may add, that there is no mention of grafting in the poems of Hesiod that now remain *; notwithstanding his first work, where he treats fo particularly of all that concerns agriculture, is come to us fo entire. But the induction which we might draw from the filence of Hefiod, will not be equally conclusive. First, it is certain, that all the writings of this poet are not come down to us 1. And, fecondly, we find in Manilius a passage that gives us to understand, that Hesiod had spoke of grafting in some of his works m. I will not therefore avail myfelf of the writings of this poet to deny the antiquity of this difcovery. But, allowing that this fecret might be known to Hefied, we can conclude nothing for the times of which I fpeak. This poet is much later than the epocha we are now employed about.

1 See Fabric. bibl. Græc. t. 1. p. 379. m Atque arbusta vagis essent quod adultera pomis. 1. 2. v. 22.

It is certain, that by this expression Manilius meant grafting. Pliny uses the fame term in speaking of scions or grafts. Ob hoc insita & arborum quoque adulteria excogitata sunt. l. 17. sect. r.

Yet there is in all this a considerable difficulty, in so far that Manilius attri-

^{*} One might bring authority from ver. 731. Oper. & Dies, to maintain, that the art of grafting was not unknown to Heliod. But besides that the most able critics look upon the common reading as vitious, and substitute in estadas for errestadus which we read in the editions, it would be very singular to see the verb εντεέφειν become synonymous to έμφύειν; a term conscrated to signify the operation of grafting.

butes in this whole passage many things to Hesiod, which are not found in his works, or even what is contrary to what we find there. Scaliger thinks, that Manilius has confounded the pooms which pass for Orpheus's with those of Hesiod. He even brings on this occasion nine verses of the beginning of one of these pretended poems, which bears the same title with that of Hesiod, called έργα κ ημέραι. In Manil. p. 102, & 103. We should remember, that all the poems attributed to Orpheus are supposititious, so that authority concludes nothing for the antiquity of grafts.

This is all that the hiftory of Asia affords for this time with respect to agriculture.

As to the Egyptians, the reign of Sefostris ought to be looked upon as the most remarkable epocha for the attention of these people to try every thing that could contribute to increase the value of their grounds.

The reader will not have forgot, that from the first ages the Egyptian monarchs applied themselves to draw great advantages from the overflowings of the Nile. They had made and preferved divers canals to receive and disperse at pleasure the waters of the river n. Sefostris augmented the number considerably o. We must attribute to these works the prodigious fertility which historians say Egypt anciently enjoyed. By means of multiplied canals, they carried the water over all the lands. Each inhabitant could procure it easily. They had only the trouble of opening a trench each time they wanted water. Thus Egypt found itself watered in the parts the most remote from the Nile P.

The extreme fertility which this country anciently enjoyed, is fo generally attested, that we ought to put this fact among those which cannot be doubted. In the most remote ages Egypt was able to give to other people a certain affiftance in times of fcarcity q. Under the Roman emperors they called it the granary of Italy. It was the same under the Greek emperors. They drew from Alexandria all the corn they confumed at Constantinoples. Yet these facts so certain and well attested, however, form a problem which it is not easy to resolve.

Egypt is a country of small extent. All the grounds could never produce the same quantity, even in the best of times: lastly, they must always have left in the country the quantity of corn necessary to support the inhabitants; and that quantity must formerly have been very considerable, considering that Egypt was then extraordinarily peopled. How can we perfuade

n See part 1. book 2. chap. 1.

o Herod. l. 2. n. 108, & 109.; Diod. l. 1. p. 66.; Strabo, l. 17. p. 1156, & 1157.

⁹ See part 1. book 2. chap. 1. 3. f Ibid. t. 11. p. 215. P Herod. l. 2. n. 19, & 108.

^{*} Biblioth. anc. & mod. t. 4. p. 123.

ourselves after these reslections, that such a country could ever furnish such immense provisions as the ancients mention? The question becomes yet more difficult to decide, when we compare the recitals of different authors as well ancient as modern, and when we form from their recitals, an exact idea of the fertility of Egypt.

Pliny compares the foil of Egypt to that of the Leontines, looked upon formerly as one of the most fertile districts of Sicily. He pretends, that in that country the bushel of corn gave an hundred for one t. But if we give credit to the testimony of Cicero, nothing is more exaggerated than this fact advanced by Pliny. Cicero says in plain terms, that in the territory of the Leontines, the highest produce was ten for one, and that very seldom. Commonly it was not above eight, and they found themselves then well done to u. The orator from whom we have this account ought to have been well instructed. He had been questor in Sicily; besides, he pleaded before the Roman people the cause of the inhabitants of that province against Verres. Thus, on comparing, after Pliny, the fertility of Egypt to the territory of the Leontines, we shall find, that in Egypt the bushel did not give above ten for one.

This estimation agrees exactly with that which Granger gives us of the fertility of this country, author of an account of Egypt, which, on many accounts, is much to be esteemed *. He says, that the lands the nearest to the Nile, those on which, at the time of the inundation, the water rests forty days, do not give, in the best years, above ten for one; and with respect to lands where the water does not remain above five days, it is much if they get four for one *.

The fame traveller pretends, that they fow now as much land in Egypt, as they fowed anciently; leaving none unbroke

^{*} The greatest part of this work has been reviewed and corrected by M. Pignon, who had been seventeen years conful at Cairo.

I had this from himfelf.

[×] Voyage en Egypte par le Sieur Granger, p. 8, & 9. See also Maillet, defeript, de l'Egypte, lettr. 9, p. 4, & 5.

up that will bear corn. Yet, adds he, if the inhabitants, which at present are few in comparison of what they were said to be formerly, eat commonly wheat bread; Egypt, with its great crops, would scarce produce what would support them y.

He observes, lastly, that the soil of Egypt is so barren, that it is very uncommon to meet with plants or shrubs: the earth is claver and of a dark colour. It is nothing, to fpeak properly, but a composition of falt and dust 2. The seeds and the trees which they plant, do not increase or shoot but by the force of water. It is for this reason, that in Egypt they have neither wood for firing nor building a. With respect to the overflowings of the Nile, it is, fays he, an error, to believe that the waters of that river, at the time of its waxing, bring with it a mud that enriches the lands. When the Nile is at eighteen feet high, it comes to the reddish earth of which its borders are composed, in the higher Egypt. The water being rapid, moulders and carries away its borders, and ftains it of a colour which appears about the confistence of milk b; but it brings no mud properly fo called *.

Granger concludes from all these observations, that Egypt, fo far from having supplied other countries with provisions, was not in a state to find a maintenance for the infinite number of inhabitants with which they pretend it was formerly peopled c,

The other travellers do not speak of Egypt in a way so disadvantageous as Granger. They agree, it is true, as to the aridity of this country d; but they do not look upon this defect as an obstacle to its fruitfulness. Among many travellers, whose evidence I might bring, I shall content myself with that of Mail-

y Granger, p. 4, 5, 11. a Ibid. p. 12, & 13. z Ibid. p. 12, & 26. b Ibid. p. 20.

^{*} He told me, that he was certain, from repeated experiments, that there was nineteen times less mud in the waters of the Nile than in those of the Seine. See alfo Shaw's travels, t. 2. p. 188.

Granger, p. 4.
d Pietro d'ella Valle, lettr. 11. p. 218.; Maillet, descript. de l'Fgypte, lettr. 9. p. 3.

let, who, by the long stay he made in Egypt, could acquire an exact knowledge of that country. Egypt, fays he, to fpeak properly, is nothing but an huge and folid rock. As foon as you dig a little in the ground, or you rake in the fand, you meet with the rock, except in the Delta, which, he thinks, has been formed by the mud of the Nile c. Yet Maillet will have ir. that you now find a foil in Egypt, which, if cultivated, would produce abundantly *: for he is far from thinking, that they fow at present the same quantity of land as formerly. Indeed they cultivate as much as the real state of Egypt will permit; but that space is not nearly so extensive as formerly. The bad policy of the Turks is the cause of this difference. The government has thought proper to forbid the exportation of corn; therefore they have fowed no more than the fields bordering on the Nile. For the fame reason they have given over watching and maintaining the banks and the canals with the fame attention they did formerly f. It is not therefore aftonishing, that Egypt does not now produce the fame quantity of corn it did in ancient times.

This account is very opposite to that of M. Granger. The only fact in which these two travellers agree, is, that at this time there is no corn exported from Egypt; but for what reafons, that is what they do not agree in. Let us endeavour to propose some conjectures on a question at this time so difficult to determine.

It is very certain, that, for want of care and attention, a

Defeript, de l'Egypte, lettr. 1. p. 18, & 19.

Maillet does not seem to have much agreement with himself. In his ninth letter, p. 4, & 5, he says, that, at present, in Egypt, the lands produce commonly tensor one; and he adds afterwards, that a grain of wheat commonly produces from twenty-five to thirty cars. This second safe contradicts the former, and the contradiction is manifest. There is certainly an error in one or other of the calculations. For, according to the last account, the lands in Egypt should produce at this time at least three hundred for one. But as M. Maillet did not digest and publish his memoirs, we do not know whether to impute to him or his editor, the contradictions we fo frequently meet with in this work.

⁷ Maillet, lettr. 1. p. 30, & 31. lettr. 9 p. 1.

great part of the canals, which ferved heretofore to fertilize Egypt, are filled up. The Romans afterwards knew well their importance. They were very attentive to have them cleanfed &. The Mahometans have neglected to keep up these works. We ought not therefore to fay, that they fow as much now as they fowed formerly, fince the Nile no longer waters the fame quantity. But allowing a very great difference between the actual flate of Egypt and its ancient state, I am always surprised that that country could ever be faid to have furnished fuch immense quantities of provision as historians mention. We cannot justify their accounts, but by comparing the ancient produce of Egypt with that of certain districts whose fertility is so very extraordinary. Herodotus affirms, that in Babylon, the ground produced two, and fometimes three hundred to one h. They bring every year a prodigious quantity of corn from Chili, a country extremely barren, and where we do not fee lands in tillage but only in some valleys. But these lands produce fixty, eighty, and an hundred for one i, while our best lands in France do not produce above ten or twelve to one at most k. Thus the crop which they have in Chili from one acre, is at least equal to what we have from ten in our provinces the most fruitful in corn. The fertility is still greater in some provinces of Peru. There they gather from four to five hundred for one of all forts of grain 1.

But we are convinced, by many experiments, that one may make the earth bear and yield much more than it commonly does. This fecret depends on the manner of cultivation and tillage in. Can we not then attribute this prodigious fecundity, which the ancients fay Egypt enjoyed, to fome particular me-

⁸ See Sucton, in August. c. 18.; Aurel. Victor, epitom, c. 1. h L. a. n. 193. 'This is nearly the calculation of Theophrastus, Hist. plant.

^{1 8.} c. 7, p. 162.

i Voyage de Frezier, p. 70, & 106.

k Journ, des feav. Aout, 1750, p. 538.

l Voyage de Frezier, p. 137.; Hift, des Incas, t. 2. p. 335.; Conqu. du Perou, t. 1. p. 46, & 47. m Mem, de Trev. Juillet 1750, p. 1565, & 1566,

thod practifed formerly by the Egyptians? The land of Egypt being no longer cultivated, and that for a long time, with the fame care and industry it was in former ages, its fertility cannot have been the same. Lastly, if we believe a celebrated naturalist, the earth is exhausted by length of time n. It should not then be furprifing, that Egypt, which was one of the first inhabited countries, should now be less fertile than heretofore.

Besides, it is not the only country which has experienced such an alteration. If we believe Pliny, formerly in Libya, the bushel of corn yielded one hundred and fifty for one o. It must be, that things are strangely changed fince the time of this naturalist. At this time, according to the report of Shaw, a most exact relater, the bushel of wheat does not produce in that country above eight or twelve for one. He was told, indeed, that certain districts produce much more; but he affures us, at the same time, that the crop never comes to an hundred fold p. Pliny adds, that they had fent to Augustus a stalk of wheat which came from Libya, which bore more than four hundred blades, all coming from one grain, and fixed to the fame root. They shewed one, almost the same, to Nero q. Shaw says also, that he has feen at Algier a stalk of wheat which contained fourfcore ears. He speaks of another which had produced one hundred and twenty r. But we must observe, that there is great difference as to the produce, between one feed that grows alone, and those which come up all at once in a fown field. Experience teaches us, that one feed alone, grows and produces an hundred times more f than those that are put together in a great quantity in the same place. They then starve each other. The ears of which these authors speak, had probably grown in

P. Shaw's travels, t. r. p. 243.

P. Shaw's travels, t. r. p. 283, & 286.

P. Shaw's travels, t. r. p. 283, & 286.

Journ. des feav. ann. 1681. Janv. p. 11. ann. 1750. Aout. p. 538.; Spectacle de la nature, t. 2. p. 292.; Traité de la cult. des terres, par M. Duhamel, f. 1. p. 20.

Book II.

fome place where they were removed on all fides from other feeds or plants. But, as this matter has still great difficulties, I shall not undertake to pronounce on all these questions. I have laid open the facts as I found them in different authors. I leave the decision to the judgment of the readers *.

C H A P. II.

Of Clothing.

oF all the arts of which we have to speak in this second part, there are none which appear to have been more or better cultivated than those which concern clothing. We see taste and magnificence shine equally in the description Moses gives of the habits of the high-priest, and the vails of the tabernacle. The tissue of all these works was of linen, goats hair, of wool, and of byslus t. The richest colours, gold, embroidery, and precious shones, united to embellish it. But let us enter on each particular.

ARTICLE I.

Of the Colours employed in dying of Stuffs.

THE art of dying must have made a very rapid progress in the earliest times in some countries. Moses speaks of stuffs dyed sky-blue, purple, and double scarlet; he also speaks of the skins of sheep dyed orange and violet ". These

^{*} I have often had occasion to discourse of the actual sertility of Egypt with a person of credit, who had resided many years either at Alexandria or Cairo: he does not think that Egypt produces near so much as it is said to have done formerly; the lands remaining uncultivated in the greatest part of the Upper Egypt, for want of inhabitants.

On the byflus, fee part 1. book 2. chap. 24

u See Exod. c. 25. v. 4, & 5.

different colours require very elaborate preparations. My defign is not to enter into a particular detail of all the colours which may have been then in use, nor to examine the different operations they used in dying the stuffs. I shall only speak of those which deserve a particular attention. I begin with purple, that colour fo valuable, and fo famous with the ancients.

It was to chance alone, according to the tradition of all antiquity, that they owed the discovery of this beautiful colour. A shepherd's dog, pressed by hunger, having broken a shell on the sea-shore, the blood which ran from it stained the dog's mouth fuch a colour, as ftruck the admiration of those that faw it. They endeavoured to apply it to stuffs, and succceded x. There is some variety among the authors in the circumstances of this event. Some place this discovery in the reign of Phoenix fecond king of Tyre, that is to fay, a little more than 500 years before Christ *. Others, at the time that Minos the First reigned in Crete z, about 1439 years before the Christian æra. But the greatest number agree to give the honour of the invention of dying stusts in purple to the Tyrian Hercules. He gave his first trials to the king of Phænicia. That prince, they fay, was fo jealous of the beauty of this new colour, that he forbade the use of it to all his subjects: referving it for kings, and the prefumptive heir of the crown a.

Some authors bring love into the discovery of purple. Hercules, fay they, being taken with the charms of a nymph called Tyros; his dog, one day finding on the fea-shore a shell, broke it, and stained his mouth with purple. The nymph observed it: charmed at first fight with the beauty of the colour, she declared to her lover, that she would see him no more till he

^{*} Cassiodor, variar, l. 1. ep. 2. p. 4.; Achill, Tat. de Clitophon. & Leucipp. amor. l. 2. p. 87.; Palæchat, in chron. Paschal. p. 43. C.

Y Palæphat. loco cit.; Cedren. p. 18. D.

* Phænix was fon of Agenor, and brother of Cadmus. Apollod. l. 3. p. 129.
Cadmus came into Greece 1519 years before Christ.

² Suid, in vece Heandis, t. 2. p. 73. " Autor, fupra, laudati.

brought her a fuit dyed the same colour. Hercules thought of a way to fatisfy his mistress. He got together a great number of shells, and fucceeded to stain a robe the colour the nymph had demanded b.

Such are the different traditions the ancients give out of the origin of purple dye. We perceive very plainly, that all these recitals are accompanied with fabulous episodes. I have nevertheless thought proper to relate them, as they may ferve to fix the epoch of this discovery *. I think we may place it about the times I have indicated. We fee, that Moses made a great use of purple stuffs +, as well for the habits of the high-prieft, as for the ornaments of the tabernacle. This is a proof, that then the art of preparing purple was not absolutely new; for there must have been fome time to bring this colour to its degree of perfection. They could not attain to that but after many essays and trials.

The testimony of Homer serves still more to consirm the antiquity of this discovery. This great poet, an exact observer of customs, gives purple ornaments to heroes who lived about the ages c where I place the discovery of this dye. We might quote more testimonies 4.

It is more easy to fix the epoch when they began first to

Book II.

b Pollux, I. 1. c. 4. p. 30.

Bochart: Hieroz. part 2. l. 5. c. 11. explains very well this little novel.

He shews, that in the Syriac the same word signifies a dog and a dyer, from whence the Greeks took occasion to say that it was a dog who had discovered purple.

^{*} Palæphat, & Cedren, locis cit. were very ill informed when they faid, that,

before the discovery of purple, they were ignorant of dying. The contrary is proved by the Bible. See Gen. chap. 38. v 27.

† It is not quite certain, according to M. Huet, that the word many Argaman, of the Hebrew text, which all the interpreters translate by purpura, sans in reality that colour. This bishop observes, that Argaman comes from Manab, preparavit. It should follow, according to his opinion, that Argaman should signify rather a fort of work and a tissue, not a colour. Rec. de Tilladet, t. 2. differt. 22. p. 255, & 256.

But this reasoning ought not to destroy the common translation, because the word Argaman is used in the Bible, as the word purpura with profane writers, to defign the robes of kings.

c Iliad. l. 6. v. 219.

d See Apollon. Rhod. Argon. l. 1. v. 728. l. 4. v. 424, & 425.

know purple, than to give a clear and precise idea of the procedure of the ancients to give to their stuffs this fo much fought after colour. This is all that remains that one can depend upon on this subject.

The purple dye was drawn from many forts of fea-shells *. The best were found near the isle where new Tyre was built e. They fished for them in other places of the Mediterranean. The coasts of Africa were famous for the purple of Getulia f. The coasts of Europe supplied the purple of Laconia which they had in great esteem s. Pliny ranges in two classes all the forts of testaceous fish which served to dye purple; the buccinums, or trumpet-fish, and the shells called purples, from the name of the colour they furnish h. These last were particularly fought after. They found, by the account of the ancients, in the throat of the fish, a white vein which contained a dark red colour i. This was the ground of purple dye. All the rest of the shell was useless k. The effential point was to take these fishes alive; for the moment of their death they lost this precious liquor 1. They collected it carefully. After having left it to macerate in falt for three days, they mixed it with a certain quantity of water. They boiled the whole in a leaden pot over a flow and moderate fire for ten days. They afterwards put in the wool, being well washed, cleansed, and properly prepared m. At first they left it to foak for five hours; they then took it out, carded it, and put it again into the boil-

^{*} It is for this reason that the Latins called purple habits conchiliate vestes.

c Plin. l. 6. fect. 60. p. 524.

f Ibid. l. s. fect. 1. p. 242. l. 9. fect. 60. p. 524.

⁸ Ibid. fect. 60. p. 524, 525.; Paufan. l. 3. c 21. p. 294. l. 10. c. 37. p. 893.; Horat. carmin. l. 2. od. 18. v. 8.

h L. 9. fect. 61. p. 525.
i Arist. hist. animal. l. 5. c. 15. p. 844.; Plin. l. 9. fect. 60. p. 524.
k Aristotle and Pliny, beis cit.; Vitruv. l. 7. c. 13.
Aristotle and Pliny observe, that it was only in the large shells that they took the vein. As to the small ones, they crushed them with millstones. This purple was not in such esteem as the former.

1 Ibid. locis cit.; Ælian. de animal. 1. 7. c. r.

M. de Jussien, in a memoir which we shall speak of below, observes the same thing with respect to the sish that surnishes the purple of Panama.

m Cicero, philof. frag. t. 3. p. 424.

er till all the dye was ank up and confumed n. They were obliged to mix different forts of shells to make purple o. They added to it various forts of ingredients, as nitre, human urine, water, falt, and fucus, a fea-plant, of which the best fort is found in abundance on the rocks of the isle of Crete P.

The Tyrians, by the confession of all antiquity, succeeded the best in dying stuffs purple. Their operation differed a little from what I have related above. They used nothing to make their colour, but purple shells taken out at fea. They made a bath of the liquor they drew from these fishes. They steeped their wool in this a certain time. They afterwards took it out, and put it into another boiler where there was nothing but buccina or trumpet-fish q. This is all that the ancients tell us of the practice of the Tyrians. In Solomon's Song there is also mentioned a royal purple, which the dyers dipt in the canals, after having tied it in finall bundles r. We shall give a glimpse in these sew words, of fome particular preparations, an exact account of which we cannot obtain *.

We know, that the purple stuffs the most esteemed were those which were twice dyed. This preparation was very ancient. The purple stuffs, which Moses used for the worthip of the Almighty, had been dyed twice f. It was thus that they made this colour fo valuable, that it vied even

n Plin. l. 9. fect. 62. p. 526.

O Id. ibid.

P Ibid. locis cit. p. 526. fect. 64. p. 527. l. 13. fect. 48. p. 700. l. 26. fect. 66.

l. 31. fect. 46. p. 565. l. 32. fect. 22. p. 581.; Plut. t. 2. p. 433. B.; Theoph. hift. plant. l. 4. c. 7. p. 82. See also Turneb. adversar. l. 9. c. 5.

Plin. l. 9. fect. 62. p. 526.

r Chap. 7. v. 5.

[•] I shall only offer some conjectures.

The best way of washing wools, after they are dyed, is to plunge them in running water. Probably the facred author had this practice in view, when he said they should dip the royal purple in canals. As to what he adds, after being tied in little bundles, or packets, one may conclude; from this circumftance, that inflead of making the cloth with white wool, and afterwards putting the whole piece into the dye, as we do now, they then followed another method. They began by dying the wool in skeins, and made it afterwards into purple ftaffs.

f Exod. chap. 25. v. 4.

with gold itself t. One ought not to be surprised at it. The yein of the shell-fish from whence they got the purple, only furnished a very small quantity of liquor. Besides, it must be collected before the death of the fish, without reckoning the other preparations, which required much time and precaution *, and without mentioning the risk they ran in fishing for these shells at the bottom of the sea ". I shall confine myself to this short exposition of the preparations the ancients made use of to dye stuffs purple. Those who desire a more particular account, may confult the modern authors who have applied themselves to find out, in the writings of the ancients, all the facts that have any relation to this matter x

We find in Aristotle and Pliny some details of the preparation of purple; but they are not fufficiently circumstantial. As Aristotle and Pliny writ in the times when this practice was very common, what they have faid was then fufficient to give an idea of it; but it is too little to clear it up to us now, as they have left off the use of this dye for many ages. Accordingly, in spite of all the writings which have appeared on the subject of this operation, it has been long doubted whether we are perfectly instructed in the species of shell-fishes from which the ancients drew purple, they have even thought this fecret absolutely lost; but yet it is certain it has been found again.

They have discovered, as well on the coasts of England z, as on those of Poitou a and Provence b, shells which have all the characters by which the ancients describe the fishes which yielded the purple. We fee many in the cabinets of

t See Arist, hist. animal. l. 5. c. 15. p. 844. A.; Plin. l. 9. sect. 63. p. 527.; Athen. l. 12. p. 526. D.

^{*} It is very probable that the ancients had some secret to keep in solution in a proper liquor, the blood of purple fishes till they wanted to use it. See Acad. des fcienc. for 1736, hift. p. 8.

u Plin. 1. 22. sect. 3.

x See Fabius Columna, and his commentator Daniel Major.

y Acad. des scien. ann. 1711, mem. p. 166, & 162.

⁵ Journal des scav. Aout 1686, p. 195, &c.

a Acad. des fcien. ann. 1711, mem. p. 168, & 179.

h lbid, ann. 1736, mem. p. 49.

the curious. And if they use this no more, it is because they have found a way of making a dye more beautiful, and at less expense, with cochineal. They have even discovered a new purple, which, according to all appearances, was unknown to the ancients, although of the fame species with theirs c.

But further, though the fecret of dying purple should be loft, I do not fee any reason to regret the loss of it much. It appears, from the testimony of all ancient writers d, confirmed by modern discoveries c, that stuffs dyed in this colour had a strong and disagreeable smell. Besides, to judge of the effect of purple by the descriptions we now have of it, that colour could not be very agreeable to the eye. The fearler, fuch as we have now, is much above it. A few reflections will be fufficient to convince us.

They distinguish many forts of purple colours. One was extremely deep, of a red drawing to a violet f. The other was more faint, approaching to our fearlet; this was the leaft esteemed s. Lastly, that which they valued the most, was of a deep red, of the colour of bullocks blood b. It is in allufion to this colour, that Homer and Virgil give to blood the epithet of purpled i. It was this difmal colour they principally fought for in these forts of stuffs k. It was in this that

c Acad. des fiien. ann. 1711. mem. p. 169.

e Journ. des scav. Aout. 1686. p. 197.; Acad. des scien. ann, 1711. mem. p.

191. ann. 1736. mem. p. 35.

f Nigrantis rofæ colore fublucens. Plin. l. 9. fect. 50. p. 524. M. Huet, in the collection of Tilladet, l. 2. p. 252. pretends, on the confrary. that this species of purple approached to the colour we call dry rose, like to that which the leaves of the vines take when they are ready to fall. He adds, it is very nearly the same we see in the interior border of the rainbow.

I think Mr. Huet is mittaken; but admitting his explication, this purple would only be more difagreeable. This yellowith colour which he means, is never plea-

fant to the fight.

B Rubens color, nigrante deterior. Plin. fect. 62. p. 526. h Laus ei summa in colore sanguinis concreti. Plin. ibid.

We observe in general, that the ancients only esteemed dark colours. Anacreon gives the preference to rofes which draw towards black.

d Martial. l. 1. epigram. 50. v. 32. l. 4. epigram. 4. v. 6. l. 9. epigram. 63. See Turneb. adversar. 1. 9. c. 5.

i Iliad. l. 17. v. 360, & 361.; Encid. l. 9. v. 349. k This is the idea Coffiodorus gives us of it; he defines purple, objectitas rubens, nigredo fanguinea. Variar. l. 1. ep. 2. p. 3.

those of Tyre excelled all others. I leave it to be judged, whether fuch a colour ought to produce a very agreeable effect on the eye.

They had yet a fourth fort of purple, very different from that I have spoke of. The colour was whitish 1; but as this fpecies of dye does not appear to have been known but in ages greatly posterior to those we are now upon, I do not think it necessary to speak of it *.

The ancients had so great an esteem for purple colour, that it was specially consecrated to the service of the Deity. I have already had an opportunity of observing, that Moses often used stuffs of this colour for the works of the tabernacle, and for the habits of the high-prieft. The Babylonians gave purple habits to their idols m. It was the same with most of the other people of antiquity. The Pagans were even perfuaded, that the purple dye had a particular virtue, and was capable of appeafing the wrath of the gods n.

Purple was also the distinguishing mark of the greatest dignities. This custom was established from the earliest times. We have feen that the king of Phænicia, to whom tradition fays they presented the first essays of this colour, had it referved for the fovereign o. Among the prefents which the Ifraelites made to Gideon, the scripture makes mention of purple habits found among the spoils of the kings of Midian p. Homer gives us plainly to understand, that it only belonged to princes to wear that colour q. We may remark in reality, that they never used it but for this purpose; a custom observed by all the nations of antiquity.

I shall finish what I have to say of the purple, by examining the opinion of a most able naturalist on the forts of stuffs proper to receive this dye. He proposed his sentiment on account of the American purple which is made at Pana-

[!] Plut. in Alex. p. 686. D.

^{*} Of this white purple, fee La traduct. de Vitruy, par Perranlt, 1. 7. c. 13. p. 249. note 3.

n Diis advocatur placandis. Plin. l. 9. 10ct. 60. p. 525.; Cicero. epist. ad Atn Dis advocation p. 115. tic. l. 2. epiff. 9. t. 8. p. 115. P Judg. c. 8. v. 26.

⁹ Iliad. l. 4. v. 144. .

Book II.

mar. They get it from a species of Persian conch, called, from the place where it is made, purple of Panama. The colour which this shell affords will not take but on cotton, and other stuffs obtained from vegetables. The author of whom I speak, in giving an account of this fact, adds, that there is nothing but the cochineal unknown to the ancients, which can stain red, stuffs made of animal substances. He concludes with this observation, that formerly purple stuffs could only be of cotton f.

I do not think I fay too much, in afferting that this fentiment is plainly contradicted by the unanimous testimony of antiquity. We fee by all the authors who have had occafion to speak of purple, that animal substances, and particularly wool, were fusceptible of this colour t. The very manner tradition reports the discovery of this colour, is a proof of what I advance. The first time they are faid to have feen the effect it had on the mouth of a dog: it was with wool that the shepherd wiped the mouth of that animal which he thought bloody. Hercules took that wool, and carried it to the King of Phonicia ". If the American purple will not take but on cotton, it is because the fishes which supply it, have different properties from those purple shells which the ancients used. We may add, that probably they do not use the same preparations for this dye as they did formerly.

The discussion I have just been upon leads us very naturally

^{*} See les mem. de Trev. September 1703. p. 1689. Sept. 1704. p. 1773.

f Mem. de M. de Justien the elder, read at the accademy of sciences, November 14, 1736; taken from the Mercury of December 1736. p. 2834.

^{**} See Exod. c. 25. v. 5. c. 35. v. 6, & 23.; Horat. carm. l. 2. od. 16. v. 35, &c. Epod. od. 12. v. 21.; Ælian. hift. animal. l. 16. c. 1.; Ovid. att. amat. l. 1. v. 251. l. 3. v. 170.; Seneca. Hercul. Oet. act. 2.; Cicero philosophic. fragm. t. 3. p. 424.; Plin. l. 9. sect. 62. p. 526, & 527.

This author even speaks of living sheep, which they had died purple, l. 8. sect.

^{74.} p. 477. u Palæphat. Achil. Tatius, locis cit.

If we believe Pliny, 1. 7. p. 414. & Hygin. fab. 274. the art of dying wool in general was known very late, fince they give the honour of this discovery to the inhabitants of the city of Sardis, built after the taking of Troy. Strabo, 1. 13.

But this fact advanced by thefe two authors, is denied by all antiquity.

to inquire into the means the ancients used to make their dyes folid and lasting. We see that they used a good deal of falt in these forts of operations *, and they must really do it; but all forts of falt except the crystal of tartar or tartar of vitriol, will diffolve in water, or calcine in the fun y. We fee also that the ancients on many occasions made their dyes with the blood of animals 2. We know that all dyes into which they put the blood of animals, without mixing mineral acids, evaporate, change, and become black with time. It is only by the help of chymistry that we can procure such species of falts as I have now described, and the acid minerals, so necesfary in dying. But chymical preparations were unknown to the ancients: we are therefore led to believe, that they could only have very bad dves.

Yet we never find the ancients complain, that the colour of their stuffs was subject to alter or cliange a. They must then have made up for these chymical operations by particular methods. They must have had some preparations, fome fecrets we are ignorant of. Plutarch tells us, in the life of Alexander, that the conqueror found among the treafures of the kings of Persia, a prodigious quantity of purple stuffs, which for one hundred and eighty years which they had been kept, preserved all their lustre and all their primitive freshness, because, says he, they had been prepared with honey b. A kind of preparation absolutely unknown to us.

We find in Herodotus, that certain people on the borders of the Caspian sea, imprinted on their stuffs designs either of animals, or flowers, whose colour never changed, and lasted as long even as the wool of which their clothes were made. They used for this business the leaves of certain trees, which they bruifed and diluted in waterc. We know that the favages of Chili make, with certain plants, dyes

x See Plin. 1. 9. fect. 62.; Plut. t. 2. p. 433. B.

y Acad. des scien. ann. 1740. H. p. 60. ann. 1741. mem. p. 42, 70, & 71.

z See P. Calmet, t. 2. p. 348.

a Virruv. I. 7, c. 13. & Lucret. I. 6. v. 1072, &c.

b Plut. p. 686. D.

c L. 1. n. 203.

which will bear washing with soap many times without losing their colour d. Lastly, Pliny describes the way which the Egyptians made painted linen, which deferves fome attention. They began, fays he, by laying on certain drugs on white linen, and then put it into the vat full of boiling dye. After having left it there some time, they drew it out painted of divers colours. Pliny observes, that they had only one fort of liquor in the vat. The different colours painted on the cloth, could not be produced but by divers preparations laid upon it. These colours were fo adhefive, that it was not possible to change them, whatever washings they afterwards gave to the cloth. Pliny even adds, that these fort of stuffs were strengthened by it; and were better for being dyed e. We may conclude from all these facts, that, in all probability, the ancients had preparations by which they supplied the succours we draw from chymistry, to fix the colour of our stuffs. And if the particulars of these operations are at prefent unknown, it is because new discoveries infinitely more fure and more commodious have made these ancient methods infenfibly difappear. I have already made this observation f.

There should remain one question more to propose with relation to a red colour different from purple, which is so often mentioned in Exodus 3. Opinions are divided as well as to the sense of the Hebrew word *, as on that of coccus by which the Septuagint and the Vulgate have translated it. Some think it is crimson, others, that it is scalet. By adopting the translation of the Septuagint and the Vulgate, which I believe right, it is easy to shew that the colour called coccus by the Greeks and Romans, is scarlet, very different from crimson. The examination of the materials proper for one and the other colour, ought to decide the question.

d Voyage de Frezier, p. 72.

e L. 35. fect. 42 p 709. All this preparation is described by Pliny in a very embarrassed and obscure manner, according to the custom of this author. I have endeavoured to make it as clear as possible, but I would not warrant the exactness, and less still the reality of it.

Crimfon, properly fo called, is of a deep red, and is made with cochineal, an ingredient absolutely unknown to antiquity. Scarlet is of a lively and bright red. To make this dye, they use a fort of little reddish grains, which they gather from a fort of French or holm oak, a dwarf-tree common in Palestine, in the isle of Crete, and in many other countries h. They find on the leaves and on the bark of this shrub, little nuts or bladders about the fize of a juniper-berry. These excrescences are occafioned by the eating of little worms i. The Arabians have given them the name of kermes; we call them the scarlet-grains or vermilion k, because they use it to make the most beautiful and lively red. Let us apply these principles to the question in hand.

It is certain, that the ancients had a red colour much effeemed, called coccus, which they distinguished from purple 1. The coccus differed from the purple, as well by its preparation, as by its shade and the effect of the colour. Purple, as we have feen, was of a deep red approaching to coagulated blood, and was dyed with the liquor of certain shell-fishes. The coccus, on the contrary, was of a gay red, lively, bright, approaching to the colour of fire m. This dye was made with a fort of little grains, which they gathered on the holm oak n. The ancients even called these grains, which at present we call scarlet grain, fruits of the holm-oak . Neither were they ignorant, that these pretended fruits inclosed worms p. After this exposition,

h Voyage de la Terre-Sainte du P. Roger, recollet. l. 1. c. 2.; Voyage de Monconys, part 1. p. 179.; Bellon, observat. l. 1. c. 17. l. 2. c. 88.; Acad. des

fcien. ann. 1714. mem. p. 435. ann. 1741. mem. p. 50.

i Acad. des fcien. ann. 1714. mem. p. 13.

k Ibid.

Exod. c. 25. v. 4.; Plin. l. 9. fcct. 65. p. 528.; Quintil. instit. orat. l. z.

c. 2. At Rome fcarlet was allowed to every body, but the purple was reserved for the highest dignities.

m Plin. l. 9. fect. 65. p. 528. l. 21. fect. 22. p. 240.

n Theophrast. histor. plant. l. 3, c. 16.; Plin, l. 16. sect. 12. p. 6.; Dioscorid. l. 4. c. 48.; Paus. l. 10. c. 36.

O Πείνε καςπόν. Plut. in Thef. p. 7.; Plin. l. 16. fect. 12. p. 6. calls thefe little grains cufculia, from the Greek xooxudaer, which fignifies to cut little excrescences; because in effect they cut and scrape these small grains off the bark and the leaves of the holm-oak.

P Coccum ilicis celerrime in vermiculum fe mutans, fays Pliny, 1. 24. fect. 4. p. 327.

it clearly appears, that the colour named coccus by the ancients was our scarlet *. The Septuagint and Vulgate having translated by that word the Hebrew term used by Moses to design a red colour, other than purple, it follows, that they believed he meant the scarlet. But independently of the authority and confideration which these interpreters deserve, the etymology of the terms of the original text proves the truth of the fentiment which I propose. We see there plainly intended a dye made with worms q.

But I do not think, that this colour was as brilliant as that which we now call fine scarlet. I even doubt whether the ancients could approach towards it. Let us not forget, that, before chymical discoveries, the art of dying must have been very imperfect. Without the preparations which chymistry affords, we could not dye stuffs fine scarlet. This is the most bright and beautiful colour in dying; but one of the most dissicult to bring to its point of perfection f.

ARTICLE II.

Of the Variety and Richness of Stuffs.

E have seen in the first part of this work, that the invention of embroidering stuffs, and varying the tiffue with different colours, was very ancient. It was not possible, for want of monuments at that time, to enter into any detail of the progress of these two arts. The ages we are now treating of, give us a better opportunity of judging. We here fee great magnificence and great taste in dress. To read some chapters in

This is also the opinion of Mathiolus on Dioscorides.

Q Exod. c. 39. v. 1. & 28. See le P. Calmet, t. 2. p. 350, & 351.

At present they make very little use of coccus or kermes in dying. The cochineal, far superior to all drugs heretofore used to dye red, has made them leave it off. Acad. des fcien. ann. 1741. mem. p. 69.

F See Senac, noveau cours de Chymie, pref. p. 70. Pliny gives us to understand, that the colour of stuffs formerly dyed scarlet was not sufficiently durable nor adhesive, 1. 22. fect. 3. p. 266. See alfo the remarks of P. Hardouin, note 5.

f Acad, des scien, ann. 1741. mem. p. 56.

Exodus, is fufficient to convince us of this. What most deserves our attention, is the manner they could then employ the colours in the making of stuffs. It is certain, that they were not one and the fame colour. Scripture speaks of works where there were many colours t. But in what did they distribute them? were these stuffs striped or shaded? The first of these operations does not require much art; the other requires much more skill and ability. Yet it is very probable, that they then knew the fecret of shading stuffs. Moses speaks of works in embroidery with a tiffue of different colours with an agreeable variety". The expression agreeable variety, which he uses to diffinguish these forts of stuffs, leads us to think, that the colours were not uniform, but that they had observed a gradation. But what completes the confirmation of this fentiment, is the force of the Hebrew word * used to design embroidered stuffs. To a tittle, this word fignifies works of embroidered feathers x. Yet it does not appear, that the Hebrews then made use of the feathers of birds. It is not mentioned in the enumeration of the things used for the ornament of the tabernacle, and for the dresses of the high-priest. The relation between the feathers of birds and the effect of embroideries, expressed by the term of the original text, appears to me to shew an imitation of the manner in which the colours are graduated in the plumage of birds, and confequently of shaded stuffs.

It was not only among the Hebrews, that the art of working embroidery was then in use. This art was equally known to many other people of Asia. Homer, describing the occupations of Helen at Troy, says, that this princess worked a wonderful piece of embroidery. She there represented the bloody sights fought between the Greeks and the Trojans z. He speaks also of another work of the same kind, to which Andromache applied herself when she heard of the death of Hector. The subject of it was many forts of slowers z. Before the war of Troy,

t Exod. c. 26. v. 1, & 31. c. 39. v. 2.

A rippy. Rakamah, v. 36.

y Ezekiel, c. 17. v. 3. speaking of the wings of the great eagle, uses the word sakamah.

² Iliad. l. 3. v. 125. a Ibid. l. 22. v. 440, &c.

the women of Sidon were famous for their address and dexterity in working embroidery, and stuffs of different colours b.

At that time, they also knew the secret of putting gold into the tiffue of stuffs and in embroideries. The scripture observes, that they used much gold in the habits of the high-priest, and in the vails defigned for the tabernacle c. How did they then prepare that metal for the making of stuffs? was it, as at prefent, drawn into wire, beaten, wound, and wrapt round other threads? or was it merely gold hammered into very thin leaves, afterwards cut with a chifel into little plates, or long and fmall shreds, which they put into the texture of their stuffs? Moses fays, " And they did beat the gold into thin plates, and cut " it into wires, to work it in the blue, and in the purple, and " in the fearlet, and in the fine linend." The fense of these expressions does not appear to me sufficiently determinate, abfolutely to decide in favour of the first of these methods which I have shewn. I even think, that the passage in question gives us no idea of gold wire drawn as at prefent with a drawingiron. The most natural interpretation is, to fay, that they twifted the plates of gold about fome of the different stuffs of which the ephod and the vails of the tabernacle must have been composed. They made, by this means, a fort of gold thread refembling ours, except that the basis of this thread was of pure gold cut in shreds, whereas ours is only filver gilt drawn by the drawing-iron.

We might perhaps raise a difficulty, and say, that the stuffs in question were made only of pure plates of gold interwoven: there is mention made of such habits in Pliny. We also know, that they sometimes adorned the images of the gods in dresses of this sort. But the text of Moses is absolutely repugnant to this notion: he says expressly, that the gold was reduced into very thin plates, that it might be wound and twisted to put

b Iliad, 1. 6. v. 189, &c.

c Exod. c. 28. v. 8. c. 39. v. 3. d Ibid. c. 39. v. 3. e L. 33. lect. 19. p. 616.

f Aris, de cura rei famil. l. 2, t. 2, p. 511.; Ælian, var. hist. l. 1, c. 20.; Cicero, de nat, deor. l. 3, n. 34.; Valer. Max. l. 1, c. 1, fcct. 3, externa.; Pauf. l. 5, c. 13.

it into the tiffue of the other threads of divers colours. This detail removes all the difficulty.

The art of putting gold into the tiffue of stuffs, must have been known in many countries in the ages we are now examining. Homer speaks of the girdle of Calypso, and of that of Circe s. We might likewise believe, that this poet mentions silver stuffs h. But all interpreters agree to understand the expresfions which Homer uses in this passage, of white habits i. The ancients did not use to put filver into their stuffs k. We find in reality, fince Mofes and Homer, an uninterrupted tradition in antiquity about gold stuffs, whereas we find nothing like it as to filver ones. We cannot bring one fingle paffage, that is clear and precise, of any ancient author, where mention is made of filver wire. Pliny, who has expressly spoken of gold wire, would he have forgot or neglected to remark that they did the fame work in filver? His subject, his ends, his method, all required that he should speak of it, if that art had been known in his time. fame author, in a particular chapter, treats at large of the ufe they made of filver for divers ornaments 1. Yet, in all the enumeration he gives of the many uses to which they put this metal, there is not one word of filver wire.

I shall finish what I have at present to say on the habits of the ancients, by an observation I think very important. We perceive a very sensible difference between the stuffs the ancients used, and those we use at present. All the dresses anciently might be washed and bleached daily m. The greatest part of ours would be spoiled by such an operation. I only just mentioned this. The fear of falling into details, which, in the end, might become tirefome, hinders me from farther inquiring into them.

⁸ Odyst. 1. 5. v. 232. l. 10. v. 543, &c. h Ibid. l. 5. v. 230. l. 10. v. 24. i See Hesychius, voce Agyvésis. k Sce Vopise. in Aurelian. p. 224, &c. and the notes of Saumaise, p. 394. h Ibid. l. s. v. 230. l. 10, v. 23,

I L 33. c. 12. m See Iliad, l. 22. v. 154, & 155.; Odyst. l. 6. v. 91, & 92.; Herod. l. 2. £ 37.

ARTICLE III.

Of the Discovery and Employment of precious Stones.

IT is faid in scripture, that the ephod and the breast-plate of judgment of the high-priest, were ornamented with many precious stones; the affortment appeared various and complete enough. These stones were mounted in gold, and disposed with order and symmetry. Moses farther says, that he had engraved on them the names of the twelve tribes n. All these saces sufficiently important to merit a particular regard.

We do not find any mention made in ancient history of the use of precious stones, before Moses. Yet I do not think that one ought to look upon him as the inventor and author of that ornament. That knowledge must have preceded the time of this legislator; and it appears to me very probable, that, in this particular, he only conformed to a custom already received. This conjecture is supported by the testimony of the book of Job, a work, I believe, prior to Moses o. Many species of precious stones are spoken of there p. Job could not have entered . into this detail, if jewels had not been well known in his time. I also think we have a glimpse of proofs of the antiquity of this knowledge, in the description Moses gives of the terrestrial paradife. He fays, that one of the branches of the rivers which ran from that place of delights, watered the land of Havilah: it is there, adds he, that we find precious stones q. Moses, I think, would not have indicated this circumstance in so simple a manner, if the fact had not been well known before the time in which he writ.

It is very probable, in reality, that the first men should have known very early coloured precious stones. We may easily imagine in what manner they should have come to this disco-

n Exod. chap. 28. P Chap. 28. ver. 6. &c.

O See our dissertation.

very. The fame causes which originally discovered metals, I mean, the throwing up of the earth, and the ravage of great waters, might have given the knowledge of precious stones. We find these rich productions in the mines where metals are formed'r, in rivers', and even at the furface of the earth t, where torrents often leave them. Although the colour of rough precious stones is neither very lively nor brilliant, yet they are fusficiently fo to be remarked, and for the fight of them to excite our attention; yet they might have neglected them at first, and to the time they found the art of polifling them. It is to this operation, that fine stones owe that brilliancy and liveliness which has made them always fo much fought after. Chance, it is certain, must have had a great share in this discovery. Among the number of rough stones which happened to be seen by the first men, they must have found some naturally broke. The lustre and liveliness with which they had seen these breaks shine, must have given the first notion of polishing. They tried to imitate the operation of nature, in taking from the stones that bed, that dark shell, with which they are commonly covered. We can only form conjectures of the way they could have attained this. They must first have overcome the obstacle which they must have met with in the extreme hardness of most of those stones. Yet chance must have assisted the first men on this occasion. Almost all true stones must be polished with their own powder. Some person must have thought of rubbing two oriental stones against each other, and has succeeded, by this means, to give them a fort of polish. The cutting of the diamond owed its origin to a stroke of chance.

1 Incephraft, de lapid. p. 395.; Finis. 1. 37. fect. 15, cc. 32, cc.; Solin. c. 15, p. 26. D.; Ifidor. orig. l. 16. c. 7.; Alonzo Barba. t. 2. p. 8, & 324. f. Theophraft, de lapid. p. 396.; Strabo, l. 2. p. 155.; Plin. l. 37. fect. 17, & 23. p. 778.; Solin. c. 15. p. 26. D.; Ifidor. origin. l. 16. c. 8.; Anc. relat des Indes, p. 123.; Colonne, hift. nat. t. 2. p. 361. t. Plin. l. 37. fect. 76.; Ifidor. l. 16. c. 8.; Alonzo Barba, t. 2. p. 71.; Hel-

r Theophrast. de lapid. p. 395.; Plin. l. 37. sect. 15, & 32, &c.; Solin. c.

lot de la fonte des mines, p. 22, 24, 25, 40, 55.; Hist. gen. des voyag. t. 8. p. 549.; Rcc. des voyag. au Nord, t. 10. p. 65.; La Condamine, voyage a l'équateur, p. 81, & 82.; Colonne hist. nat. t. 2. p. 361.; Voyage de D. Ant. d'Ul-loa, t. 1. p. 393.; Acad. des scien. ann. 1718. M. p. 85.

Lewis de Berquen, a native of Bruges, is faid to have been the first who put this in practice; it is not yet three hundred years since ". He was a young man, who had just lest school, and being born of a noble family, was in no respect brought up as a lapidary. He had found out, that two diamonds cut each other, if they were rubbed a little strongly against each other: this was sufficient to raise, in an industrious person, and one capable of meditation, very extensive ideas. He took two diamonds, fixed them on cement, he grated them against each other, and carefully collected the powder which came from them. Asterwards, by the assistance of certain iron wheels which he invented, he came, by means of this powder, to polish diamonds perfectly, and to cut them in what manner he thought proper x.

I think we may very well apply this example to the origin of the art of polishing precious stones. Yet I doubt, that in the first times, or even in the ages we are now engaged in, whether they knew the methods we use at present to give to stones that beautiful polish, and those agreeable forms which cause their principal merit. The proceedings of the first lapidaries could only be very imperfect. I think we ought not to judge very savourably of their knowledge, nor even of that which, in general, antiquity might have in this part of the arts:

But how imperfect foever the ancient methods may have been, it is certain, that, at the time of Moses, the art of polishing precious stones was known. They also knew how to set them; a work very delicate. But what appears to me most worthy of notice, is, that they then knew the art of engraving them. The ephod of Aaron was adorned with two onyxes set in gold. They had engraved the names of the twelve tribes, that is to say, he had six names engraved on each stone. The breast-plate of judgment shone with the

u In 1476. Merveill. des Indes Orient. par de Berquen. p. 13.

^{*} Ibid.

Y Exod. c. 28. v. 9, &c. The Hebrew text implies, of a work of an engraver of fine flones, and engraving of feals.

lustre of twelve precious stones of different colours, and on each was read the name of one of the twelve tribes 2. If we have ever fo little experience in the arts, we know, that to engrave fine ftones requires skill, precision, and knowledge. We must have many fine and delicate tools, a great steadiness of hand, and practice. I agree, that, for the fineness of execution, we ought not to compare the engraving of some names to the labour and dexterity required in the figures of men or animals, or subjects of composition. But as to the effence of the art, the process is always the same, and only differs in the degrees of perfection. We ought to be surprised to see, in the time of Moses, and without doubt before, that they were able to execute fuch works. I look upon engraving on fine stones as the most remarkable evidence of the rapid progress of the arts in some countries. This work supposes a number of discoveries, much knowledge, and much experience *.

As to the species of precious stones which adorned the habits of the high-prieft, we can only speak of them in a very uncertain manner. Interpreters do not agree in the fignification of the Hebrew terms; and we must allow, that it is almost impossible, for want of monuments and points of comparison, to be able to ascertain it: we only know, that Moses meant an affortment of coloured precious stones; I say of coloured, because I do not think one ought to put the diamond among the precious stones they knew at that time. Many other reasons authorise this doubt. I could immediately avail myself of the opinions of interpreters and commentators, the greatest part of whom do not admit of the diamond. I could likewise shew, that those who have thought proper to comprehend this stone among those of the breast-plate, are not supported by any certain etymology. But without troubling ourselves with all these discussions, I think we may find facts enow

2 Exod. c. 28. v. 17.

^{*} It must be agreed, that the ancient Peruvians, whose monarchy had not subfifted above 350 years, understood perfectly well the working of precious stones. Hist. gen. des voyages, t. 13. p. 578, & 5794

in antiquity, to make us doubt of diamonds being in use at the time of Moses.

We fee that there is no mention made of this precious stone in the writings of the most ancient authors of antiquity. Homer, Hesiod, Herodotus, who had occasion to describe so many different sorts of ornaments, never mention the diamond*. We must descend almost to the ages just preceding the Christian æra, to find any writer who has made mention of them. Pliny, who appears to have made great researches about precious stones, owns that the diamond was a long time unknown a. And it must have been so in reality. Many ages must have passed away before they knew the value of that stone, and many more before they knew to set a price upon it.

The diamond is of no value but as it shines, and it could not shine till it was cut. Lucky chances, one may say, may have offered early some of these stones naturally polished. These natural diamonds may have put the first men in the way of knowing those that were rough, and may have given hints to cut them. It is true, we fometimes meet with diamonds, where the cutting feems to be fliewn; having long rolled in the bed of rapid rivers, they are found naturally polished, and appear transparent; some are even cut in facets or tables b. They call these forts of diamonds rude plains; and when their figure is pyramidal, they call them natural points c. But these happy conjunctures, besides that they were very rare, could not have been of much use to the first men for the knowledge of diamonds. There is no fort of relation, nor any refemblance between these forts of stones when they are rough and when they are cut. It is not with diamonds as with coloured stones. These, though rough, have a colour,

^{*} It is proved, that the terms adauas, and adauarries, which we find fometimes in the writings of Homer and Hesiod, have no relation to the diamond.

a L. 37. sect. 15. b Leibnitz Protog. p. 23. edit. in 4to, 1748. c Boetius de Boot. gem. & lapid. hist. l. 2. c. 3. p. 121.; Tavernier, t. 2. l. 2. c. 16. p. 277. c. 17, 283.; Alonzo Barba, t. 2. p. 191.; De Laet. de gem. & lapid. l. 2. c. 1. p. 314.; Mariette, traité des pierres gravées, t. 1. p. 155.

which at all times must have made them be remarked, and give an idea to polifi them; whereas diamonds, before they are cut, show nothing like it, and indicate nothing of what they are in the infide. They look like a grain of falt, a common flint of greyish white, dirty and dull. The first men of confequence could not have paid any attention to them. This, we know, has happened to the diamonds of Brazil. They were a long time neglected, and confounded with flints and gravels d. It is not above thirty years, or thereabouts, that they began to know their value e.

We should not then be surprised to see, that in antiquity fine coloured stones were so common, while diamonds were fo rare. They must have been a long time unknown. It required some ages to learn men, that these forts of flints, which they had fo long neglected, were the most bright and the richest production of nature. They could not be instructed before they had discovered the art of cutting them; a very late discovery, fince it is not yet of 300 years standing f. Before that time they could not have feen any diamonds but rough polished, or natural points. We see these forts of stones in the description which Pliny, Solinus, and Indorus, give of the diamond. They describe it generally very finall g, with fix angles or faces h, and transparent i, yet approaching to a black k, and without much water or vivacity. Isidorus even defines the diamond, an Indian stone small and little agreeable 1. All these characters agree very well with the natural toints. These forts of Rones are commonly very fmall. We fometimes meet with fome, which by a fport of nature are cut with fix faces,

d Anson's voyage, p. 44. e Ibid.; Mercure de France, Janvier 1730. p. 124. Fev. 1732. p. 344, & 345.; Mariette, loco cit. p. 161.

Ry Lewis de Berquen in 1476. See the beginning of this article.

⁴ Plin. l. 37. fect. 13.; Solin. c. 52. p. 59. C.; Isidor. orig. l. 16. c. 13.; Marbod. l. de lapid. pret. c. 1.

h Plin, Solin. locis cit. i Plin. Solin. Isidor. ibid.

k Hune ita fulgentem crystallina reddit origo. Ut ferruginei non desinat esse coloris. Marbod. loco cit. 1 Adamas, Indicus tapis, parvus, & indecorus, ferrugineum habens colorens. ioco cit.

in a pretty regular manner m. But these diamonds have little that is agreeable in them. The polishing is coarse, the form irregular, without water and without vivacity: we cannot compare them to any thing better than a piece of burnished steel n. To convince us of the truth of these sacks, we need only to cast our eyes on any of the ancient trinkets adorned with diamonds.

They preserve in the treasury of St. Denis a class of the mantle which our kings used to put on the day of their coronation. This piece is very ancient *. We there see four natural points. There is likewise in the same treasury a relict, almost as ancient † as the class I have spoken of, and adorned with eight natural points. All these stones are very small, black, and no way agreeable to the eye. There is only one on the relic of St. Thomas a little brighter than the others, and has a little more water. It is plain that Pliny means this fort of stones, when he says, that the diamond was like crystal.

All imperfect as these sorts of diamonds are, they are very rare, and are not often met with. Wherefore, they looked upon them formerly as the most valuable production of nature. Pliny remarks, that for many ages none but the most powerful monarchs were able to have them p. They suspected Agrippa, the last king of the Jews, of having an incestuous commerce with his sister Berenice. The diamond, of which he made a present to that princess, almost confirmed their suspicions q; so high an idea had they of this stone, then looked upon as inestimable. All these considerations, joined to the silence with respect to diamonds, of the most ancient writers of antiquity, make me doubt whether this precious stone was of the number of those used by Moses to adorn the ephod and breast-plate of the high-priest. Let

* They think it was about the time of St. Lewis.

m Bibl. choif. t. 2. p. 265.; De Laet, de gemm. & lapid. l. r. c. r. p. 314. n See merveill. des Indes, p. 13.

⁺ It was given by John Duke of Berry, fon of king John.

o L. 37. fect. 15. p. 373.

P Diu non nist regibus, & iis admodum taucis cognitus. 1. 37. fect. 15. init.

Juvenal, fat. 6. v. 155, &c.

us add to this the extreme difficulty of engraving the diamond.

They will object to me, without doubt, the names of the twelve tribes engraved on the stones of the ephod and breastplate. It is with powder of diamond that they commonly execute this fort of work. We may then infer, that, at the time of Moses, they had found out this property in the powder of diamond, and that they were able to use it to polish the diamond itself. The objection is plaufible, and the consequence very natural. But yet it is not difficult to be answered.

Nothing at present obliges us to believe, that the artists, who engraved the names of the twelve tribes on the stones of the ephod and the breast-plate, did make use of the powder of diamond; they might use, for these forts of works, rubies, sapphires, or other oriental stones, reduced to powder': they might even use emery f, the property of which was not unknown to the ancients t. I own, that there is no comparison to be made between a work executed with powder of diamond, and that which is only done with powder of oriental stones *, or emery. But these powders were sufficient to engrave names, which do not require such elegant workmanship as the figures of men, animals, flowers, &c.

Besides, should it be granted, that the engravers employed by Mose's, made use of the powder of diamond, that would decide nothing as to the knowledge of cutting the diamond. It is certain that the ancients knew perfectly the property of the powder of diamond to polish fine stones; they made great use of it, as well for graving, as for cutting them. Pliny fays fo

Mariette, traité des pierres gravées, t. 1. p. 202. f Id. ibid.

See Job, c. 41. v. 15. edit. of 70.; Diofeorid. l. 5. c. 166.; Hefyehius, voce

Epwgis.

The term oriental stones, in the style of a lapidary, does not always signify a stone which comes from the east. They mean in general a very hard stone, such as supphires, rubies, topazes, and amethyss.

It is to distinguish these forts of stones, from those which are soften, that they those of the east being commonly much larder than those of

call them orientals; those of the east being commonly much harder than those of other countries, though we fometimes meet with some as hard as those which come from the cast. And even these last are not all of an equal hardness.

very plainly "; and if he had not, the principal works which the ancients have produced in this way, and which we still have before us, would sufficiently shew it. But it is equally certain, that it never came into their thoughts to use this powder on the diamond itself, and the art of cutting it was unknown to all antiquity. This fact, it is true, appears difficult to comprehend: it is not however for that less certain. This is not the only example that we might quote, of the bounds which the human mind seems often to have imposed on itself. It stops in the moment that it is nearest its end, and when one step further would reach it.

As we are on this article, I think we ought to shew, in few words, what we find among the ancients on the nature of the diamond, and of the places where they found it. The manner in which they speak of them, has given room to some modern authors to think, that the diamonds known in antiquity were not of the same species with those we use at present.

We fee that the ancients got these precious stones from many countries, where they are not to be found at this time. It is said, that at first they came only from Ethiopia; they got them from certain mines situated between the temple of Mercury and the isle of Mercury. These stones could not be much esteemed, since the largest were not above the size of a cucumber seed, and approached to that colour z. Afterwards they got diamonds from many countries, from the Indies, from Arabia, the isle of Cyprus, and Macedonia z. All these stones were very small, the largest being of the size of a nut-kernel b. What appears most associations, is, that, according to some authors, they sound diamonds in the European Sarmatia, among the Aga-

x Aldrovand, Mus. metal. l. 4. c. 78. p. 947.; Colonne, hist. nat. t. 2. p. 353,

u L. 37. fest. 15. p. 773. fest. 76. p. 796.

y Plin. 1. 37. fect. 15. Diodorus and Strabo, who speak likewise of this isle, say plainly that it had many mines of gold and precious stones; but they do not specify the diamond in particular.

Diod. 1. 1. p. 38.; Strab. 1. 17. p. 1177.

Plin. 1. 37. fect. 15.

a Ibid.

Didd. 1. 1. p. 38.; Strab. 1. 17. p. 1177.

thyrfes c, a people who dwelt above the Palus Meeotis *. It was even, if we believe them, in these frozen regions, that they saw the most beautiful diamonds d. Let us further fay, that the ancients were perfuaded that the greatest part of precious stones came from gold mines c.

Except the Indies, we at this time get no diamonds from any of the countries I have named; and even in the Indies; we at prefent only know the kingdoms of Golconda, of Vifapour, and of Bengal f, where there are faid to be mines. Some travellers fay, that some are likewise found in the isle of Borneo g; and they affure us, that formerly they got diamonds from other different countries of the Indies h. Be it as it will, the mines used at present have only been known a few ages. Tavernier fays, that that of Bengal is looked upon as the most ancient i, without fixing the time of its discovery. The mine of Vifapour has only been known about 300 years k. For that of Golconda, at the time of Tavernier, it was only one hundred years standing 1. As to the mines of Brasil, it is only thirty years, as I have before observed, fince they were discovered m. These are the only countries where we now find diamonds.

e Plin. l. 37. fest. 15.; Solin. c. 52. p. 59. D. Plato, in politico, p. 558. and in Tim. p. 1066. speaks of an hard metallic bedy which he calls adauas; but I doubt whether that philosopher meant the diamond. See how he explains himself: " What they call adaptas, is nothing but " a branch of gold, whose extreme density has made it black and very hard." One may also translate this passage by " 'Adamas is only gold which has acquired " a black colour, and, on account of its extreme density, is very hard." Is it really then of the diamond Plato would speak? It is not the loadstone,

which he commonly calls the stone of Hercules or of Heracles, in Tim. p. 108c. in Ion. p. 363. What is it then he would mean? that is what one cannot well comprehend.

f Tavernier, part 2. l. 2. c. 15, 16, & 17. 5 Ibid. c. 17. p. 284. h Boetius de Boot, gemm. & lapid, hist. l. 2. c. 3.; De Laet; de gemm. & lapid. l. r. c. r.

i Locis cit. c. 17. init. k Ibid. c. 15. p. 267.

m See supra, p. 116.

c Amm. Marcell. 1. 22. c. 8. p. 314. * See Cellarius, not. orb. antiq. p. 405.

d Dionys. Perieget. v. 318, & 319.

This passage of Dionys. Perieget. fixes the sense in which we ought to take the term adamantis lapidis, which Ammianus Marcellinus uses, loco cit. He could not mean the loadstone.

¹ Ibid. c. 16. p. 277. Tavernier went to visit these mines in 1665.

If we fee very little relation between the countries I have shewn, and those from which the ancients obtained their diamonds, we shall find still less resemblance between the properties they attribute to these stones, and those we now find in them. According to Pliny, the diamond refifted the hammer, and even made the anvil shake on which they beat it n. They looked upon it as a piece of luck to be able to break it o; and it was not possible to do it but by foftening it in hot goats blood, into which they put it to fleep P. We do not find any of these properties in our diamonds. Their hardness is not so great; but they will be broke by the hammer as often as you will put them to the proof. They are broken, and even bruised very easily. With regard to the goats blood, we should try in vain to soften our diamond with that receipt; we can only work it with its own powder; that is the only agent that will take hold of this ftone.

And I am perfuaded, moreover, that it has been the fame in all ages. If we find any difference between our diamonds and those of the ancients, it is because all that they have said on this fubject is romantic, and little to be depended upon. These inaccuracies are a further proof of the little knowledge they had in antiquity of this precious stone.

The same defects take place in almost all that the ancients have written on precious stones q. If we were to depend upon what they have written, for example, about emeralds, we must say that they knew a species different from ours, and which we have not. They reckon twelve forts of these precious stones, which they distinguish by the names of the kingdoms or provinces from whence they believed they were got. I shall not stop to give the particulars of them; we may fee it in Plinyt. I shall only say, that, according to this

n L. 37. sect. 15.

o Et cum seliciter rumpere contingit, &c. ibid. p. 733. See also Senec. de constant. sapient. c. 3. t. 1. p. 395.

P Plin. p. 733.; Paus. l. 8. c. 18. p. 636.

G See Diod. l. 3. p. 226.; Strabo, l. 16. p. 1115.

r L. 37. sect. 16.

author, the emeralds of Scythia and Egypt were the most

We at present only know two forts of emeralds, the oriental and occidental. Some authors have added a third, which they call the emerald of the old rock t. They are much divided about the places from whence these precious stones come to us. According to Herbelot, it is in the neighbourhood of Asuan, a town situated in the Upper Egypt, that they find the only mine of oriental emeralds known in the whole world ". But there is room to doubt of this fact. It is certain that we still find in Egypt many emerald mines; but, besides that their colour is not beautiful, they are fo foft that it is not possible to work them x. According to Tavernier, Peru is the only place from whence emeralds come: he affirms, that the east never produced any, and he is not fingular in his opinion z. Chardin, on the contrary, fays, that they now get them in Pegu, in the kingdom of Golconda, and on the coast of Coromandel a. We may add the kingdom of Calcutta and the ifle of Ceylon, where Pyrard affures us they find many, and those most beautiful b. With regard to emeralds of the old rock, Chardin fays he has feen in Persia many of this fort, which they told him came from an ancient mine in Egypt, the knowledge of which is at prefent loft c.

In fact, it is very dubious whether we know at present any of the twelve forts of emeralds named by the ancients. For it is very problematical as to those at present got from the east, many perfons believing they only come from America.

We no longer find the qualities in our emeralds which the ancients attributed to some of these stones. Pliny affirms, that the emeralds of Scythia and Egypt were fo hard, that they

f Plin. feet. 17.

¹ Mercure Indien. c. 7, p. 18.; Taver. part 2. l. 2. c. 10. p. 2282

u Bibl. orient. voce Afuan, p. 141.

Maillet, descript. de l'Egypte, p. 307, & 318.

Y Second part, 1. 2. c. 19. p. 293, & 294.

2 See le Mercure Indien. c. 7.

2 T. 4. p. 70.

5 Voyage de F. Pyrard, part 1. p. 286. part 2. p. 39:

CT. 2. p. 239. t. 4. p. 69, & 70.

could not be worked d. On the contrary, we have no stone more tender, nor which fcratches more easily: it is for this reafon that they do not often risk the engraving it. An artist, who has not a steady hand, is in perpetual danger of rubbing off the brilliant angles *. Besides, we cannot comprehend on what was founded the observation of Pliny, that in general it was not allowed to engrave on the emerald c. Ancient history fays quite the contrary. The ring which Polycrates, tyrant of Samos, threw into the sea, and which was afterwards found again in the belly of a fish, was an emerald engraved by Theodorus, a celebrated artist of antiquity f. Theophrastus also relates, that many persons used to have emerald seals to please the sight s. Laftly, Pliny himfelf had before him many examples of these stones engraved h.

The ancients have thought proper to propagate many tales about emeralds. They fay, that, in the ifle of Cyprus, there was on the fea-shore a lion of marble, whose eyes were of emeralds. These stones, they pretend, were so lively, that their lustre penetrated to the bottom of the sea. The tunny fish were frightened by them, and deferted that shore. The fishermen, not knowing what to attribute this accident to, fuspected that it might be occasioned by the emeralds of which the eyes of the lion in question were made. They took them away, and immediately the fifthes returned in as great plenty as beforei.

Herodotus assures us, that he had seen, in the temple of Hercules at Tyre, a column of only one emerald, which gave a very great light at night k. Theophrastus reports, from the Egyptian annals, but without appearing to give

d L. 37. fect. 16.

^{*} See Mariette traité des pierres, t. 1. p. 166. e Loco supra cit. f Herod. lib. 3. n. 41.; Paus. l. 8. c. 14. 8 De lapid. p. 394. h See l. 37. feet. 3. p. 765.

i Plin. l. 37. fect. 17. p. 775.

k L. 2. n. 44.

Theophrastus, who speaks of this column, adds, that it was very large; but does not say that it spread a light in the night; besides, he suspects that perhaps it was not a true emerald, but a bastard stone, a salse emerald. De lapid, p. 304, € 395°

much credit to them, that a king of Babylon had made a prefent to a king of Egypt, of an emerald four cubits long and three broad !. He adds, that the Egyptians boafted also of having in their temple of Jupiter an obelifk of forty cubits in height and four in breadth, composed of four emeralds ". Another writer pretends, that, in his time, they still had in the labyrinth of Egypt a coloffal statue of the god Serapis, nine cubits high, which was only of one emerald ". Cedrenus lastly affures us, that, in the reign of the Emperor Theodofius, they faw at Constantinople a statue of Minerva of one emerald, four cubits high. This was, fay they, a prefent made formerly by Sefostris to the king of the Lydians o. Tradition also says, that Hermes Trismegisthus had graved upon oneof these stones the process for the great work, and had it buried with him P. Without doubt, these relations appear very fabulous and greatly exaggerated. We should be tempted, at first sight, absolutely to reject them. But yet let us examine what could produce them, and what could have been the foundation of them.

I know not at prefent of any emeralds in any place of the fize of those I have mentioned, nor even that come near them. They shew, it is true, at Genoa, a vase of a considerable size? which they pretend is an emerald. But I think I have strong reasons to doubt whether it be truly a fine stone *: I shall therefore range it in the class of those works, to which they have improperly given the name of emerald q. But whence comes the error? what can have occasioned it? It is about this I am going to propose some conjectures.

We might fay that all the aftonishing works, of which I have

¹ Ibid. p. 394. m Ibid. n Apion. apud Plin. l. 37. fect. 19. p. 776.

º Page 322. P This is what the alchymists call even at this time the emerald table. See Couringius de Hermet. Med. l. 1. c. 3. p. 31.; Fabricius, bibl. Gr. 1. 1. l. 1. c. 10.

[#] This vafe is full of blafts and bubbles, a proof that it is only coloured glafs.

M reure de France, Aout. 1757 p. 149, & 150.

9 See l'Escarbot, hist. de la N. France, p. 847.; Le Mercure Indien. c. 7. p. 11. ; Journ. des feav. Nov. 1685, p. 287.

spoken, were made of that species of stone called base emerald. It is found in pieces of a confiderable fize; we may have feen tables of a very great extent. This explication is not absolutely without probability, and in fome fort would clear up the difficulty. But I prefer the following one.

The art of making glass is a discovery which goes back to very remote antiquity. The ancients used to work and cast pieces much more confiderable than we do at prefent. I shall only give for example those columns of glass, with which the theatre built by the care of Scaurus was ornamented r. The ancients knew likewise the art of giving to glass all forts of colours f. I should think then, that those assonishing works which Herodotus, Pliny, and the other authors, fay were of emerald, were only coloured glass. The facts, by this means, become probable. By this hypothesis, it is easy, for example, to explain the particularities of the column which was feen in the temple of Hercules of Tyre. Herodotus fays it was of emerald, and that it gave at night a great light. Now, in my opinion, it was a column of glass, of the colour of an emerald. It might be hollow, and they might put lamps within, which would make it look luminous during the night.

I find in an ancient author a fact which confirms perfectly the explication I propose. We read in the seventh book of the recognitions of St. Clement u, that St. Peter was defired to go into a temple in the isle of Arad *, to see there a work worthy of admiration. These were columns of glass of an extraordinary height and fize. Is it not probable, that Herodotus meant fome fuch work as this? But the Greeks, instead of speaking just the fact, have, according to their custom, imagined a column of emerald, which shone during the night. Let us add, likewife, that it might happen that Herodotus was deceived by the artifice of the Tyrian priests.

r Plin. l. 36. fect. 24. p. 744.

f Ibid. feet. 66, 67. & l. 37. feet. 26.

t L. 2. n. 44. V N. 12. t. 1. p. 555, aprid patres apostolic, edit. Antuerp. 1698, in sol. 1 t was in this ise that the Tyre of which Herodotus speaks was built.

I will fay no more on this subject. I even perceive I have dwelt perhaps too long upon it. Yet I hope to be easily forgiven these little digressions I have fallen into. I thought it would be allowed me more freely, as it is the only time I shall have to treat of this matter.

C H A P. III.

Of Architecture.

THE art of building comprehends many objects, and includes many parts which make fo many diffinct claffes feparated from each other. We may confider architecture either with relation to folidity and the boldness of the design, or on the score of regularity, of elegance, of taste, and the magnificence of buildings. I could only give conjectures of the state and progress of this art in the first part of my work. There remain too sew particulars of what happened in that remote antiquity to form any judgment upon it. We are absolutely ignorant of the taste which reigned then in buildings.

We find, in the ages we are now examining, facts which relate to the different parts of architecture. By the exposure which I am going to make, the reader will judge of the progress of this art, and of the rapid improvements which the Egyptians and the people of Asia Minor had made in it. We shall begin with the Egyptians. Their monuments are the first in date, in the space of time which makes the subject of this second part of our work.

ARTICLE I.

Of the State of Architecture among the Egyptians.

WE have feen, in the preceding books, that the origin of arts was very ancient in Egypt a. The works of which I am going to give an account would prove it, in-

a Part r. book a.

dependently of the testimony of historians. How indeed could the Egyptians have executed them, at the times we are now considering, without a prior knowledge of more and different inventions?

Sefostris, whose reign falls about the beginning of the ages we are now running over, deserves for many reasons to be ranked among the most famous monarchs of antiquity. This prince, after having employed the first years of his reign to over-run and conquer a vast extent of country, gave himself up ever afterwards to find out ways to make his kingdom flourishing. Equally great in peace and war, he signalized his leisure by monuments whose duration will greatly outlive his conquests.

The different countries where Sefostris had carried his arms, enabled him to make many discoveries. He made use of them to enrich Egypt with many very useful inventions b. This prince undertook works of very difficult execution, and of a prodigious expence. The object of these labours, by immortablizing the name of Sesostris, was to contribute also to the security and utility of Egypt.

The first care of this monarch, was to find out the means of putting his kingdom in safety from all incursions. Egypt was open on the east side. Sesostris raised a wall in that part, which extended from Pelusus to Heliopolis, which is about 1500 stadia. He afterwards cut divers canals, some to water the lands, the others for the ease and intercourse of commerce from town to town, and for facilitating the carriage of merchandise. The want of water sit for drinking, is at this time one of the greatest inconveniencies to which Egypt is subjected so Sesostris had remedied it. He had directed his works in such a manner, that the towns most

b Diod. I. r. p. 65.; Athenod. apud Clem. Alex. cohort. ad Gent. p. 43.

Athenodorus might be in the right in faying, that the conquelts of Sefostris gave to this prince the means of bringing into Egypt many able workmen. But when he adds, that it was from Greece that these workmen came, we see very plainly it is a Greek who speaks, and who, right or wrong, would extol his nation. The Greeks in the time of Sesostris were too unpolished to have any able artists among them.

c Diod. l. 1. p. 67. d Chap. r. c Diod. l. 1. p. 66. f Maillet. descrip. de l'Egypte, lettr. 1. p. 16.

distant from the Nile never wanted water, or the means of getting it eafily 8.

According to some authors, Sefostris had projected the junction of the Red sea with the Mediterranean by a canal, which coming from the Red fea, should fall into the Nile h. But the enterprise was not finished. They pretend, that the apprehenfion of laying Egypt under water, or, at least, the corrupting the waters of the Nile by the mixture of the waters of the feat deterred Sesostris from this project i. This motive might have some foundation. It is fince believed, that they were affured; that the level of the Red fea was much higher than the lands of Egypt k. Some modern geographers are of the same opinion 1. Others, at the head of whom we may place Strabos think otherwise in. What is certain, is; say they, that the canal projected by many fovereigns of Egypt, has never been executed n.

The many canals which Sefostris caused to be made, were not the only works he undertook for the emolument of Egypt. The kings his predecessors were content to oppose the inundations of the Nile, by banks which hindered the waters from spreading farther than need required. But these precautions were not fufficient. As the land of Egypt is flat and level; if it happened that the Nile broke its banks, most of the towns and their inhabitants were exposed to be overflowed. To prevent this accident, Sefostris caused terrasses to be raised in many places, of a confiderable heighth and breadth: He ordered the inhabitants of all the towns, to whom nature had not furnished the like ramparts, to leave them, and go and build houses on the causeys which he had caused to be made, to the end that they and their flocks might be sheltered from the floods o.

These towns, raised with immense labour, and rising like

I Ibid. g Herod. 1. 2. n. 108. h Marsham, p. 376. k Ibid.

^{**} Herod. I. 2. n. 108. ** Marinan, p. 370. **

1 Buffon, hift. nat. t. i. p. 104, & 391. **

**m Strabo, I 17. p. 1158.; Riccioii Almagest. t. 1. p. 728.; Fournier, hydrograph. I. 18. c. 9. p. 665.; Journal des seav. Fevr. 1668. p. 21. See also la 10 p. Hardouin, ad Plin. I. 6. sect. 35. p. 341. note 4.

**See les mem. de Trev. Juillet 1705. p. 1257, &c. p. 141. p. 66.

o Herod. l. 2. n. 137.; Diod. l. 1. p. 66.

islands in the middle of the waters, formed, at the time of the inundation, the most beautiful, and, I dare fay, the most uncommon fight that one can imagine. Egypt, then changed into a large sea, offered to the view an immense extent of water, interspersed with an infinity of towns and villages p. Though at this time it is reduced to a quite different state from what it was formerly, yet one still has the same prospect. All travellers fpeak with admiration of the picture which Egypt prefents at the time of the inundation 4.

The works I have given an account of, depend more or less on architecture; those which I have to speak of appertain more directly to that art. Sefostris did not only employ himself in works that might contribute to the fecurity and conveniency of Egypt, he raifed also many monuments to embellish and decorate his kingdom. This prince caused to be built in each town, temples in honour of the divinity that was particularly reverenced there r. That of Vulcan was the most remarkable. The stones which they used for the construction of that edifice, were of an enormous fizes. But indeed this is all we can fav of the magnificence of that temple. We know not what were the dimensions, the proportions, and the ornaments.

The tabernacle fet up by the Ifrachites in the defert, may nevertheless give some ideas of the manner in which at that time the Egyptian temples were constructed. I believe really, that there must have been some relation between the taste which reigned in these edifices and the tabernacle *. It is true. ftrictly speaking, this work ought not to be looked upon as a piece of architecture; it was only, to fpeak properly, a vast tent : this is the first idea it offers to the mind; but by resecting on it more attentively, we shall perceive, that the tabernacle had a great relation with architecture. We ought to lock upon it as a representation of the temples and palaces of the east. Let us recollect what we have faid before of the form

9 Maillet, descript, de l'Egypte, lettr. 2. p. 70. r Diod. l. 1. p. 65, & 66. f Herod. l. 2. n. 108.

P Herod. l. 2. n. 97.; Diod. l. 1. p. 43.; Strabo, l. 15. p. 1014. l. 17. p. 1137.; Seneca, nat. quæst. l. 4. c. 2. t. 2. p. 750.

^{*} This is also the sentiment of Father Calmet, t. 2. p. 391.

of government of the Hebrews. The Supreme Being was equally their God and King . The tabernacle was erected with a view to answer to that double title. It served at once for the temple and palace. The Ifraelites went there fometimes to adore the Almighty, and fometimes to receive. the orders of their fovereign, present in a sensible manner in the midst of his people ".

I think then we ought to look upon the tabernacle as a work which God would have that the structure should have relation with the edifices deflined in the east, whether for the worship of the gods, or the habitation of kings x. From these ideas we may fay, it was then the custom to ornament these monuments with columns variously worked and enriched. There were many in the tabernacle supported on bases of filver or copper, and furmounted with chapiters of gold and filvery. The shaft of these columns was of precious wood, covered with plates of gold and filver z. The whole construction of the tabernacle presented, moreover, the model of an edifice. regular and distributed with much skill. All the dimensions and proportions appear to have been observed with care and perfectly well adapted.

The inductions which we may draw from the description of this monument, are moreover the only lights that history affords on the architecture of the Egyptian temples for the ages we are speaking of at present. I shall speak more particularly of these edifices in the third part of this work. Let us return to Sefoftris.

That prince further fignalized his reign by the erection of two obelifes, which were cut with a defign to acquaint posterity of the extent of his power, and the number of hations he had conquered a. These monuments were of

^{*} See fupra, b. I. ch. z.

u And let them make me a fanctuary, that I may dwell amongst them. Exod.

c. 25 V. 8.

x See Calmet, t. 2. p. 391, & 393. y Exod. c. 26. v. 32. c. 27. v. 17.

² Exod. c. 26. V. 32. c. 27. V. 17. ³ Diod. l. 1. 7. 67.

one piece of granite; and were an hundred and eighty feet high b. Augustus, according to the report of Pliny, transported one of these obelisks to Rome, and placed it in the Campus Martius c. They pretend to have found it in our times *:

A remark which we ought not to omit, is, that Sefostris did not employ any Egyptian in the construction of these difficult works of which I have just spoken. He only made the prisoners work whom he had brought from his expeditions 4. To the end that posterity should not be ignorant of it, he took care to have engraved on all these monuments, that no native of the country had ever put his hand to them e.

Of all the works of which I have spoken, I see none more worthy of attention than the obelifks. According to Pliny, the idea of that species of monuments is due to the Egyptians. He fays, that a king of Heliopolis; called Mestres, was the first who caused one to be raised s. We are ignorant at what time this prince lived. Yet I believe him posterior to Sesostris, and even his successor. In reality, what Pliny reports of the motive which engaged this Mestres to build an obelisk, agrees very much with what other historians have related of the successor of Sefostris . I presume then, that Pliny was mistaken, and

b Diod. l. 1. p. 67.

c L. 36. fect. 14. p. 736.

^{*} Yet this presents us with a great difficulty. This obelisk, according to the measures they have taken, is only about 75 seet, instead of 180, which Diodorus gives to the monuments of Sesostris. See les mem. de Trev. Mai 1751, p. 979. But I doubt, r. with many critics, whether this obelifk was one of those of which Diodorus speaks. We might say, in the 2d place, that supposing it the same work, the ravages of Cambyses might so ruin these ancient monuments, that they must afterwards be diminished by repairing them. This last reason appears to me very plausible.

d Herod. l. 2. n. 108. e Diod. l, 1. p. 66.

Scripture remarks fomething like this in speaking of the buildings of Solomon. 2 Chron. c. 8. v. 9.

f L. 36. seft. 14. p. 735. g Compare Pliny, loco cit. with Herod. l. 2, n. 111.; Diod. l. 1. p. 69.; Isidor, orig. 1. 18. c. 31. p. 159.

that we ought to look upon Sefostris as the first who raised obelifks *.

But further, it is perhaps neither to one nor the other of these two princes that we ought to attribute the invention of that fort of monument. Diodorus speaks of a pyramidal spire erected by the order of Semiramis on the road to Babylon. It was, fays he, of one stone of one hundred and thirty feet; each fide of the base, which was squared, was twenty-five h. It should be then in Asia, not in Egypt, that obelisks took their rife.

Be that as it will, the Egyptian monarchs appear to have had a great tafte for obelifks. I shall not stop to give the names of all the fovereigns who we know have raifed them: we may fee them in Pliny i. I will only speak here of the obelisks which deferve a particular confideration.

After the two obelisks of Sefostris, of which I have already spoken, we may place that which his fon got raised. It was transported to Rome by order of Caligula. The vessel which this prince caused to be constructed for this enterprise, was the largest that had then been seen upon the seas k. All these obelisks nevertheless did not come near to that which Ramesses raised near the palace of Heliopolis. This prince reigned, according to the calculation of Pliny, at the time of the taking of Troy1. Twenty thousand men were employed to work at this monument m. The greatest difficulty was to raise it on

^{*} This is also the sentiment of Marsham, p. 369.

h L. 2. p. 125, & 126.
i L. 36. fect. 14, &c.
k Ibid. p. 736. &. l. 16. c. 40. p. 35.
i L. 36. fect. 14. p. 735. Martham, p. 441. makes Rameffes much more modern; but it is in confequence of an error into which that able chronologist has fallen with relation to Sefostris, whom he confounds with the Sezac of the foripture. As Marsham acknowledges Ramesses for one of the successors of Sefostiss, he ought consequently to have advanced his reign.

m Plin. loco supra cit. The text of Pliny in Father Hardouin's edition, makes

It was by means of this immense multitude of workmen, that the ancient people were able to raise in so short a time the vast edifices whose execution appears ro us at this time fo aftonishing.

its base. To make the sact more marvellous, they have not omitted to adorn it with a tale. Ramesses apprehended, that the machines which they had prepared were not capable of raising and supporting so unwieldy a mass. The means which this prince invented to oblige the workmen to use all their skill, were certainly most extraordinary; he caused his son, say they, to be fixed on the top of the obelisk. The life of this young prince, and of consequence the lives of the workmen, depending upon the success of the enterprise, they took their measures so justly, that they succeeded according to their wishes.

We ought to look upon this obelifk as the most remarkable of all those spoken of in history. It is one of the most valuable monuments which now remain of Egyptian antiquity. It was respected even by Cambyses, at the time when that surious prince put all to fire and sword in Egypt, and who spared neither temples nor those superb monuments, which, entirely ruined as they are at this day, are still the admiration of travellers. After having made himself master of Heliopolis, Cambyses gave up the whole town to the slames; but when he saw the fire gain the obelisk of Ramesses, he ordered it immediately to be extinguished?

We have before feen, that, after the conquest of Egypt, Augustus got many obelisks transported to Rome; but he durst not touch this q. Constantine more hardy undertook the enterprise: After the example of Caligula he made them build a vessel of an extraordinary size, they had even already conducted it by the Nile to Alexandria ; but the death of this prince suspended the execution of his project: it did not take place till the time of Constans his son. The obelisk being brought to Rome, was placed in the circus with infinite labour and expence s. Afterwards it was thrown down. It was to the care of Pope Six-

Book II.

n Plin. loco cit.

O See Marsh. p. 431.

P Plin. loco cit.

⁹ Ammian. Marcell. l. 17. c. 4. p. 160, & 161.

r Ibid

f See Marsh. p. 432.

tus V. that Rome is indebted for the re-establishment of this famous monument. What was most astonishing is, that this obelisk, as well as that of Augustus, was broke in many pieces; yet they found the means of repairing them without impairing their beauty. It was the famous architect Dominique Fontana whom they charged with the care of repairing them. directed all the operations of that important undertaking. We know that it was not without a great number of machines and fingular precautions, they were able to erect them t.

The obelifks, without contradiction, are a species of monuments the most singular which now remain to us of antiquity. There have been found persons who, at the fight of these monftrous maffes, have ridiculously imagined that nature had no part in them, that they were entirely the effect of art. have believed, that the Egyptians had the fecret of melting marble and stones in the same manner nearly that we run metals. These columns, these obelisks of one piece, and of an extraordinary height, give, fay they, room to think, that thefe pieces have been cast and run into moulds as we run a piece of metal.

Others have thought, that the obelifks were a fort of factitious stone, composed of different flints pounded, cemented, and afterwards incorporated by means of fome gum fufficiently hard to bear the cutting and polithing. They alledge, in proof of their fentiment, that, in the whole world, we cannot find at this time a quarry where we can fee blocks of fuch a fize. Further, add they, if one could find them, it would be impossible to draw them out, for example, a piece of the fize of the obelisk of Ramesses, and still more impossible to transport it. They propose likewise other objections which I shall not stop to relate.".

Those who reason thus, shew plainly that they have not ac-

t See vita di Sisto V.de Greg. Leti, parte 3. l. 1. p. 4, &c. p. 22, &c. See also Father Kirker, de orig. & erectione obeliscorum.

u See Maillet, description of Egypt, sect. 9. p. 39, & 40.; Shaw's voyage, t. 2. p. 82.; Mem. de Trev Juill. 1703. p. 1218, & 1219.; Traitè de l'opinion, t. 6. p. 608.; Diarium Ital. P. Montsaucon, c. 17. p. 247.

quired much knowledge in the arts. With respect to the sirst, who have imagined that the obelisks had been melted and cast like pieces of metal; they are apparently ignorant that marble and stones are not suffishe. There are only sands and slints that are so. Moreover, could we even suppose that the Egyptians had had in this particular some secret unknown to us, are these persons ignorant that the effect of susion is to vitrify these fort of substances, and by consequence to change them? Instead of the monuments of marble which we now see, this secret could only have produced monuments of glass.

As to those who believe that the marble of the obelisks was only a species of factitious stone, an assemblage of slints united and incorporated with cement; the objection is more specious. but not more folid. Do they imagine it would be possible to form with mastic pieces of the size equal to that of the obelisks, and of a hardness capable of refisting the injuries of so many ages as have passed since the erection of those monuments? We know, it is true, of these forts of compositions able to bear the chifel, and even susceptible of polish. But experience has shewn, that we have not yet found the art to make with mastic a composition, sufficiently hard and solid to resist the action of the fun in our climates, and by much greater reason in Egypt. Befides, it is not necessary to have recourse to all those expedients, to explain the manner in which the Egyptians have procured themselves the enormous masses which served for the construction of their obelifks.

Pliny informs us, that these people got from the mountains of the Upper Egypt the granite which they used x. They have even discovered the quarry whence they presume these obelisks were cut. We there remark even at this day the matrices of these famous monuments. In that chain of mountains which bound Egypt on the west, and which run along the Nile towards the desert, we find divers forts of marbles, and particularly of granite, the same which had been used for the obelisks. We still see in these places, say the travellers,

^{*} L. 35. fect. 13, & 14. p. 735.

Book II.

columns half cut, and other pieces of marble ready to be detached from the mountains r. The infpection of these quarries suffices to destroy the opinion of those who imagine that the marbles, which the Egyptians used for their monuments, were a composition of which the secret is lost. These pieces came from the hands of nature; art had no other part but the working z.

As to the objections which they form on the impossibility of being able to cut fuch masses, they suppose little knowledge of the natural history of Egypt. The quarries, from whence the obelisks were taken, have no resemblance to the quarries in our countries. They were not obliged to dig the earth, and from thence extract these marbles. They found them on the sides of that chain of mountains of which I have spoken a. They chose a place which was floped, and nearly on a level with the highoff rifing of the Nile. They there cut a piece of marble of the height and thickness they judged proper. I imagine, that the Egyptians proceeded in this work, near by the fame manner that we proceed at prefent among us. On a hill fituated in Lower Normandy, we find immense blocks of granite equal with the furface. They cut and raifed them eafily by digging into the entire mass a trench of some inches depth, into which they afterwards drove, by force, wedges of iron, which divided the stone almost as uniformly as if it had been separated with a faw. They have wrought pieces five and forty feet long, eighteen wide, and fix thick b. This exposition suffices to make us comprehend with what facility the Egyptians might have cut their obelifks. Accordingly the ancient authors, who have spoke

Y Observations de Belon. l. 2. c. 21. p. 210.; Maillet, descript. de l'Egypte, lettr. 8. p. 319. lettr. 9. p. 39, &c.; Granger, voyage en Egypte, p. 76, & 77.; P. Lucas, t. 3. p. 159, &c.; Shaw's travels, t. 2. p. 81, & 82.; Rec. d'observations curieus. t. 3. p. 158.

² See Belon, observat. l. 2. c. 21. p. 210.; Mem. de Trev. Juill. 1703. p. 1219.; Diar Ital. P. Montfaucon, c. 17. p. 247. M. Guettard has discovered, in many districts of France, banks of granite, from which we might get blocks fit for obelisks, still more considerable than all those of the Egyptians. Acad. des scien, ann. 1751. H. p. 11, 14, 85 15.

des feien, ann. 1751. H. p. 11, 14, & 15.

a Plin, l. 36, fect. 14, p. 735.; Maillet, defeript. de l'Egypte, p. 306.; Gradger, p. 98.

b Acad. des scienc. l'eo cit. p. 15.

of them, have acknowledged, that the difficulty of removing and fetting them on their base was, without comparison, much more difficult than the cutting of them c.

The Nile was of great use to the Egyptians for transporting these enormous masses. This river at its greatest height slows to the foot of the mountains where they cut the obelifks d. They drew a canal which ended at the place where the obelifk was laid, and which even passed under the piece which they wanted to take away: for they took care that the breadth of the canal should be so proportioned, that the obelisk should be supported by its two extremities on the earth, and form a bridge. After having estimated nearly what would be the weight of that mass, they built, according to its weight, two floats, which they put into the canal of which I have just spoken. They were constructed in such a manner that the surface exceeded the height of the edge of the canal: they loaded those floats with bricks to make them fink confiderably in the water; then they made it run under the obelisk: when they were certain of this, they took away the bricks with which they had loaded it. These rafts being thus lightened, raised themselves to the furface of the canal, and took away the obelifk e. They contrived afterwards to conduct it by water as near as possible to the place where they would have it erected. As Egypt was formerly cut with an infinity of canals, there were fearce any places where they could not eafily convey these enormous masfes, whose weight might have made any other fort of machines give way except these floats. We can say nothing certain of the rest of those contrivances which they used to land them, to conduct them to the place where they were to be fixed, and to erect them on their base. The ancients have transmitted nothing to us on an object fo curious, and fo important for mechanics *.

Befides,

c Plin. 1. 36. sect. 14. p. 735.

d Maillet, p. 319 loco cit.

e Plin. l. 36. fect. 14. p. 735.

We have at prefent an effort of art still more surprising than the removing and erection of obelisks. These are the two stones which form the pediment of the Louvre. They are 52 feet long, 8 broad, and weigh each more than 80 stones.

Besides, we find that no other nation has ever been curious to imitate the Egyptians in their tafte for obelifks: even the Romans do not appear to have regarded them. They contented themselves with transporting into their capital some of these enormous maffes, rather, without doubt, for the fingularity than for the real beauty of these monuments.

What we have feen of the magnificence and the tafte of the works executed by Sefostris, would make me believe, that this prince may very well have been the author of a great part of the ornaments of Thebes, that city fo famous in antiquity. It is certain, that its foundation ascends to ages very remote f: but it must have been some time before it could attain that degree of splendor and magnificence of which the ancients speak. That interval, nevertheless, may not have been extremely confiderable. At the time of the war of Troy, Thebes passed for the most opulent, and the best peopled city in the universe z. These considerations engaged me to place, in the ages we are now running over, what I have to fay of this famous capital of Egypt. The ancients are not agreed about the circumference of Thebes h. Homer gives it an hundred

thousand weight. We may judge of the labour and pains that these two pieces must have cost cutting. They must have been drawn from the bottom of the quarry, have been conveyed by land near two leagues, and placed at a height more than 120 feet from the level of the ground. Yet it was not so much on account of their weight as their form, that these two stones have been so difficult to raise. In sack, though they were 52 feet long and 8 broad, they were at most only 18 inches thick. This form exposed them to be easily broken, if they had not been always equally supported during the time of their elevation. We may fee, in the translation of Vitturius by Perrault, the precautions which must be tasee, in the translation of Vitruvius by Perrault, the precautions which must be taken to avoid all the inconveniencies that might happen. p. 339. n. 4.

f See Marsh. p. 305, & 306.

g Iliad, 1. 9. v. 381, &c.; Odyss. 1. 4. v. 126, & 127. In comparison of the cities of Asia Minor and of Greece, which were then very small.

h By Cato's account, it was 400 stadia long. Apud Steph. Byzant. voce Διοσπόλις, p. 240.

Diodorus, l. 1. p. 54. fays, that the circuit of Thebes was 140 stadio. According to Strabo, l. 17. p. 1170. the ruins of that city took up 80 stadia in

Eustathius gives the greatest extent to this capital of Egypt, of all the ancients. He says, that it was 440 stadia long. Ad Dionys, Perieget. v. 248.

According to the scholium of Didymus, on Iliad 9. v. 383. the city of Thebes was 3700 arures in surface. We know, from the report of Herodotus,

gates i: an expression which certainly ought not to be taken literally; but which, however, means a very large and powerful city. He adds, that Thebes was able to furnish twenty thousand chariots of war k; by which we may judge of the number of inhabitants which it contained. It must have been by fo much the more confiderable, as the houses were four or five stories high!. Yet we shall never be perfuaded, that it rose to that degree to which the Egyptians have made it amount-Ancient inscriptions say in effect, that this city had included within its walls to the number of feven hundred thousand fighting men m. P. Mela, increasing the number farther, makes them amount to a million n. We easily perceive how much fuch exaggerations are out of the way and abfurd *: Herodotus only reckons forty-one thousand fighting men in all Egypt º.

Homer boasts much of the opulence of Thebes P: and this is a point about which all antiquity feem to be agreed. The ancient authors affure us, that no city in the world ever contained fo much riches and magnificence, in gold, in filver, in ivory, in precious stones, in collossal statues, and in obelisks of one piece q. We may judge of this from a fact reported by Diodorus. He fays, that Sefostris offered to the god, whom they adored at Thebes, a ship, built of

that the arure was 100 Egyptian cubits complete, that is to fay, ten thousand fquare Egyptian cubits; and the Egyptian cubit, which, by the confession of the greatest part of the learned, still subsists at this time under the name of Derah, without having received any alteration, is one foot eight inches, 5\frac{8}{96} royal lines. Thus, the furface of the city of Thebes was from 2,987,825 to 2,997,826 fquare fathoms. That of the city of Paris contains, according to Deliste, 4,100,337; from whence it refults, that ancient Thebes was only a little more than three fourths of Paris.

i Iliad, l. 9. v. 383.

¹ Diod. l. 1. p. 54, m Tacit. annal. l. 2. c. 60.

n L. r. c. 9.

They must suppose from five to fix millions of inhabitants in Thebes. They only reckon in Paris about fix hundred and fifty thousand.

^o L. 2. n. 164, &c. P Diod. loco cit.

⁹ Ibid, L 1. p. 55.

cedar, two hundred and eighty cubits long *, covered on the infide with plates of filver, on the outfide with plates of

gold r.

There remain, in other respects, few particulars of the magnificence Thebes formerly had. Diodorus speaks of four temples which were distinguished above all the rest. The most ancient was, fays he, a wonder in grandeur and beauty. This edifice was thirteen studia about +, and forty-five cubits high. Its walls were twenty-four feet thick. All the ornaments of this temple, both by the richness of the materials, and by the grandeur of the work, answered to the majesty of that edifice, which still subsisted at the time in which Diodorus was in Egypt f.

This is all we can collect from the ancients on the fubiect of Thebes. With respect to the modern travellers, they agree to fay, that this city exhibits at present only a great heap of ruins t. But they fpeak of many monuments which still remain in its neighbourhood. I think that it will not be troublesome to compare their accounts with what the ancients have faid of

the grand edifices built in the plains of Thebes.

Diodorus acquaints us, that it was in the neighbourhood of that capital, that they had raifed those celebrated tombs of the ancient kings of Egypt, which nothing, as he has faid, equalled in magnificence. The Egyptian histories make mention of forty-feven of these tombs. At the time of Diodorus, there only remained feventeen, of which many were then almost destroyed u. That historian has preferved a description which an

† That is, more than half a league.

[&]quot;Two hundred and eighty great cubits are equal to four hundred and one feet, six lines; French meature.

r Diod. l. 1. p. 67. This fact appears amongst the most exaggerated.
f Diod. l. 1. p. 55. It remains to know, if this temple was really the most ancient of all those which Thebes contained, and if this edifice had been brought at its foundation to the point of magnificence of which Diodorus

fpeaks.
t P. Lucas, third voyage, t. 3. p. 143.; Sicard, mem. des missions du Le-

vant, t. 7. p. 159.; Granger, voyage d'Egypte, p. 54.

u L. 1. p. 56. about thirty years before Christ. If we believe Father Sicard, there fill subsite ten, five entire, and five half ruined. Alem. des m.f. du Lev. t. 7. p. 162.

ancient Greek traveller had left of one of these mausoleums. a monument, which, I think, owed its construction to one of the fucceffors of Sefostris. The prince of whom we speak was called Ofymandes x. We shall have occasion, in the following book, to examine into the epocha of his reign, which falls about the time of the war of Troy. Let us return to the description of his tomb.

At the entrance of this edifice appeared a vestibule of two hundred feet long, and fixty-feven and an half high. The most rich marbles had been used in its construction. Afterwards was found a fourre periftyle, of which each fide was four hundred feet long. Figures of animals, ill worked, but each of one stone, and fixteen cubits high, were in the place of columns, and supported the ceiling, made with stones which were twelve feet long. Its whole length was covered with stars of gold, defigned on a ground of sky-blue. Bevond this periftyle, is found a fecond vestibule built like the former; but more adorned with sculptures. The eyes are there immediately struck with three coloffal figures, made from one fingle block. The principal is that of the monarch who had built the monument. He is represented sitting. This statue passed for the largest colossus which they had in Egypt. It must have been at least fifty feet high *. All this piece was, fay they, less estimable for its enormous fize, than for the beauty of the work, and the choice of the stone, which, in such a size, did not present the least defect or the least spot.

From this veftibule we paffed into another periftyle, much more beautiful than the first which I have described. All the walls were loaded with a multitude of sculptures in niches, reprefenting the military exploits of Ofymandes. In the middle of

x Diod. l. 1. p. 56.

They had only measured the foot, which was found to be a little more than feven cubits. The foot of a man is the fixth part of his height. So the statue, of which we are speaking, must have been more than forty-two cubits, or sixty-three feet high, if Osymandes had been represented standing. But, as he was represented sitting, we must abate a sisth for the length of the thighs, and there still remain more than 333 cubits, or 503 feet.

this periftyle they had raifed an altar of most beautiful marble, of surprising grandeur and immense workmanship. At the bottom, they had placed against the wall two statues, each of one block, twenty-seven cubits high. They represented persons sitting.

They went out of this periftyle by three gates, among which were placed the statues I have spoken of, to enter into a hall whose ceiling was supported by high columns. It much resembled an amphitheatre, and was two hundred seet square. This place was filled with an infinity of sigures in wood, which represented a grand audience attentive to the decisions of a senate, taken up, as it seems, with administering justice. The judges, to the number of thirty, were placed on a bench much elevated, leaning against one of the fronts of the body of the building of which we speak.

From this place they passed through a gallery slanked on the right and on the left with many cabinets, in which were seen represented on tables, all the different meats which could flatter the taste. In this same gallery, the monarch, author of the superb edifice of which I speak, appeared prostrate at the seet of Osiris, offering facrisices to him. Another body of the building included the facred library, near to which were placed the images of all the gods of Egypt, the king presenting to each the proper offerings. Beyond this library, and on the same line, they had raised a great hall, the entry of which contained twenty beds, on which the statues of Jupiter, Juno, and Osymandes were seen lying. They believed that the body of that monarch lies in that part of the edifice. Many buildings were joined to this last hall; and they had there placed representations of all the animals facred in Egypt.

They ascended, lastly, to a place which formed, to speak properly, the tomb of the Egyptian monarch. There was seen a circle or crown of gold, a cubit in thickness, and three hundred and fixty-five in circumference. Cambyses, when he pillaged Egypt, they say, took away this valuable piece y.

Such was, according to the ancient authors, the maufoleum of Ofymandes *, on which at prefent I shall make no reflection. All the modern travellers who have had occasion to visit the places where they prefume that Thebes was built, attest to have feen in its neighbourhood many edifices, among which they remark, in spite of the injury and ravage of time, great resemblance with the monument which I have described. Here is what we read on this subject in Paul Lucas, who has taken, as far as one can judge, the ruins of a palace for those of a temple, an error common to him with almost all modern travellers.

" Near Andera, a village which I think was not far distant " from ancient Thebes, although fituated on the other fide of " the Nile +, we perceive the ruins of a palace the most spaci-" ous and the most magnificent that can be imagined. This " edifice is built wholly of gray granite: the walls are all co-" vered with bass reliefs larger than life ‡. The grand front of " this palace offers at first a vestibule supported with grand " fquare pilafters of an aftonishing thickness. A long periftyle. " formed by three ranks of columns, that scarce eight men could fathom, extend along the two fides of the vestibule. and support a ceiling made of stones of fix or seven seet in " breadth, and of an extraordinary length. This ceiling feems " to have been originally painted: there we yet perceive the re-" mains of colours which time has spared. A long cornice-" runs above all the columns of this edifice. Each is mounted

of the Nile, 1.17. p. 1170.

Father Sicard places the tombs of the kings of Thebes to the west of the Nile, on the same side on which the village of Andera is situated. Mem. des

^{*} Let us remark, that Diodorus has taken all this recital from Hecateus, a writer absolutely decried, even among the ancients, for his lies and his exaggera-

⁺ Strabo acquaints us, that the boundaries of Thebes extended on both fides

miss. du Levant, t. 7. p. 161, 162.

† Paul Lucas has either expressed himself very ill in using the term basi rehefs to delign the sculptures of the palace of Andera, or this monument is not of great antiquity; for the ancient inhabitants of Egypt never knew to work bass reliefs: they only knew how to engrave; this is a fast which all the monuments of ancient Egypt, joined to the testimony of all the ancient writers, do not permit us to doubt of.

" with a chapiter composed of four womens heads, dreffed very " fingularly, and back to back. These four faces resemble very " much the manner in which they represent the two heads of " Janus: their thickness is proportioned to the fize of the co-" lums which support them. These sour heads are, moreover; crowned each with a cube about fix feet which supports the " ceiling. This fort of cornice which runs all along the periff style, is of a very singular construction: on the middle of " the portico, which ferves for an entrance to the whole edi-" fice, are feen two large ferpents twifted together, whose " heads reft on two large wings extended on both fides.

" From this vestibule you immediately enter into a large " fquare hall, where we fee three doors which lead to different " apartments: these first apartments lead to others alike supof ported by many large columns. The roof of the edifice is a " terrafs; and to judge of the fize, it suffices to say the Ara-" bians had formerly built upon it a very large village of which we still fee the ruins *. We cannot, however, exactly de_ " termine of how many bodies of building this edifice was " composed; for we find, at some distance from the front, a " grand building which appears to have been the entrance: it is " more than forty feet high. Thirty paces from this we meet, on each fide, with two other buildings, whose gates are almost " fallen to ruins. We there still remark many apartments 2." This monument, as represented by Paul Lucas, appears to have much refemblance with the maufoleum of Ofymandes.

Paul Lucas is not the only one who has spoken of this superb edifice: M. Granger, a traveller, whose exactness and discernment I have already had occasion to commend a, has made a description, which, although infinitely more exact and much

I suspect great exaggeration in this fact.
Third voyage of Paul Lucas, t. 3. p. 37, &c.

² Supra, chap. 1. p. 90.

more circumstantial, yet differs very little from that we have just read: he thinks that this edifice is a temple of Isis.

The first object," says he, "which offers itself to our view is a portico of sixty feet high, thirty-six feet broad, and seventy one thick, embellished with a beautiful cornice, and a fillet goes round it; below which and immediately over the gate, which is twenty feet high and ten wide, we see a fort of escutcheon composed of a globe, supported by two kind of eel pouts, placed on an azure field in the manner of two extended wings. This portico is all covered from the top to the bottom with hieroglyphic inscriptions. From this gate we enter into a very spacious court full of the remains of columns: opposite to the temple, which is in the middle of this court, we find twelve other pillars standing, which support the rest of the ceiling.

"The front of the temple is 129 feet long, 82 wide, and 70 high; the back part 170 feet long, 108 broad; and the height is the same with that of the front. The walls without are covered from the top to the bottom with the Egyptian divinities in bass relief, and hieroglyphic characters; a most beautiful cornice goes round the whole: eight lions

ic heads form gutters.

"We immediately enter into a grand hall, which is 112
feet long, 60 high, and 58 broad. The ceiling is supportby fix rows of four pillars each. The shaft of these columns
is 52 feet and their circumference 23: the chapiters of these
columns are formed by four womens heads, with their backs
to each other. The walls of that hall are covered with an
infinity of figures of animals, of Egyptian divinities, and
hieroglyphic characters. The ceiling, of which the stones
are each 18 feet long, 7 broad, and 2 thick, is painted in
fresco, and the colours are still very lively.

"From this hall we pass into a large square sallon, whose ceiling is supported by 6 columns, 3 on each side, of the same form

^{*} This means engraving.

and proportion as the preceding ones, only a little larger.

" This hall is 42 feet by 41.

"This fame hall leads to four chambers. The first is 63 " feet by 18; the others 43 feet by 17. The walls of thefe " chambers are painted and covered with inscriptions and hie-" roglyphics.

" From the last chamber we enter into a vestibule of 12 feet 66 long, and 3 wide, which leads us to winding stairs, by which " we ascend the terrass. We there find a very dark chamber. " 18 feet square, and o high, built on the ceiling of the grand hall: it is equally enriched with many figures cut in bass re-" lief. We see on the ceiling of that chamber, the figure of a " giant in relievo, whose arms and legs are extended a."

I might add to these relations that of Pococke: according to his opinion, the monument of Ofymandes fubfifts at prefent almost entire. He says, he has seen and measured it b: but his recital is fo diffuse, so obscure, and so conjectural, that we can obtain no fatisfaction from it. Father Sicard believed likewife, that he had found the maufoleum of Ofymandes c: but we have now no complete relation of that illustrious traveller. There now only remains an account too abridged and superficial to instruct and fatisfy the curiofity d.

Let us now relate all that concerns the other antiquities which they find still in the neighbourhood of Thebes. I am going to begin by transcribing what has been faid by two miffionaries who visited those superb ruins towards the end of the last age. They speak of the monuments which subsisted in the neighbourhood of Luxore, a village which they prefume to have been built on the ruins of Thebes f.

"I have counted," fays one of these travellers, "about 120 66 columns in one fingle hall whose walls were covered with " bass reliefs and hieroglyphics from the top to the bottom. I

a Granger, voyage d'Egypte, p. 43, &c.
b Description of the east, Lond. tol. vol. 1. p. 135.
c Mem. les missions du Levant, t. 7. p. 161.
c Relat. au voyage du Sayd, par les P. P. Protais, & Charle-François d'Orleans, mission, dans la collection des voyages, publiées par Thevenot, t. 2.

f Granger, p. 54.

" have there found many figures of marble as high as three perfons, and two particularly of 56 feet high, although they " were fitting on chairs. Two other statues of women coifed " fingularly with globes on their heads, meafured twelve feet from one shoulder to the other." The same traveller afterwards fpeaks of another edifice, which the tradition of the country would have had formerly to have been the refidence of a king. "We cannot," fays he, "doubt much of this even " before we enter into it: this palace shews itself by many ave-" nues formed by rows of fphynxes, the head turned to the in-" fide of the alley. These figures, which are each twenty-one " feet high, are distant from each other about the space of two " paces. I have walked," continues our traveller, " in four " of these avenues, which ended at so many gates of the pa-" lace. I know not whether there were any more, because I only made half the circuit of that edifice, which appeared ex-" tremely spacious. I counted 60 sphynxes, in the length of 46 an alley, ranged opposite to an equal number, and 51 in " another. These avenues are about the length of a mal. "The gates of this palace are of a prodigious height, covered " with admirable stones. That alone which forms the entablature, is 26 t feet long, and broad in proportion. The sta-" tues and the figures in bass relief which this palace contains, " are in very great numbers *."

The fame traveller adds, that the frontifpieces of the temples which he has had occasion to see in that place were not rich in architecture. Yet he saw temples so spacious, that he believes three thousand persons might be ranged with ease on their roofs. He observes, lastly, that all the sigures in bass relief which decorated that monument, were only in profile. But for the rest, these palaces were so ruined, and in such disorder, that one could know nothing of their distribution nor of their arrangement.

^{*} I think that this edifice must have been a temple, and not a prince.

I remark a very great resemblance with the description that Strabo gives us of the Egyptian temples. 1. 17. P. 1158, & 1159.

Paul Lucas, who boasts also of having visited these ruins, speaks in the same manner in his first voyage: or, to speak more properly, he seems only to have copied the relation I have just now quoted s. I therefore think I ought not to dwell upon it. I go to what he has said of another place situated in the neighbourhood of Thebes.

"Near the village of Hermant, we see the ruins of a most grand and most spacious edifice: we perceive on all sides an immense number of stones and columns of the richest and most beautiful marble. The columns which remain still standing, are of a size that nothing can equal: they are all covered with sigures and hieroglyphics: their chapiters addorned with foliages, are of an order of architecture different from all those which Greece and Italy have transmitted to us. There remains standing one part of the building, whose covering is formed by sive stones twenty seet long by five, and two seet eight inches thick. This roof is built in a platform. We see near it two colossal sigures of granite mar-

M. Granger also speaks of these different monuments, but in such a manner as to make us think, that he has visited them and seen them with his own eyes. But yet I shall not stop to relate what he says of the ruins of Luxor. His recital in that respect differs very little from the relation of the two missionaties, and that of Paul Lucas: I shall only take notice of some monuments, which in my opinion no traveller before him ever mentioned.

He speaks of a magnificent palace of which we see the ruins a league and a half from Luxor. "We enter at first into a court which is 162 feet wide and 81 long. The front of the palace is 180 feet, and 36 high; having on each of its sides a column of granite of the Corinthian order. The gate is ten feet thick, eighteen high, and eight wide: we go from that gate to another court, which is 56 feet square, and from

² Voyage du Levant, t. 1. p. 110, & 171.

5 Troisieme voyage, t. 3. p. 17, & 22. i See p. 54, &c.

Father Sicard speaks of it also in the same terms, loco supra cit. p. 160.

56 that into another filled like the preceding ones with the ruins of columns. We fee on the fide of it many chambers which se are gone to ruin, and whose walls are covered with hieroglyof phics, and human figures of both fexes: at the bottom of " this court we fee two gates, the one large and the other " fmall; this last conducts us to five very dark chambers, in " one of which is a tomb of red granite feven feet long, three " wide, and three and an half high. The great gate leads to a court, where we fee the front of the body of a house, which is 180 feet wide and 170 high: the gate, which is placed in 66 the middle, is thirty feet thick, twenty high, and ten wide; " this front is built of large square stones. We then enter into " a court which is 112 feet square; we there see, to the lest, " four columns of white marble standing, and on the right, f three chambers which are gone to ruin. From this court we senter into a hall, which is 112 feet wide and eighty-one deep: on two fides, and the bottom, runs a gallery. That at the " bottom is formed by a rank of eight large columns eight feet fe diameter, and the second rank of fix large square pillars which " fupport the platform. The fide-galleries are only formed by se a range of four columns like to the former, on which is laid se a fimilar platform.

"It feems by the pedeftals, and by the chapiters scattered in the middle of this hall, and by the arrangement of ten co- lumns of the Corinthian order, whose shafts are of one piece, there have been three different ranks of nine each: their diameter is three seet, and their height thirty." This traveller describes besides many more monuments; but they are not worthy of particular attention.

One very important observation to be made on the recitals of M. Granger, is, that he says he has seen columns of the Corinthian order, and even the composite order k, in most part of the edifices of which he has given a description. We know, that the architecture of the ancient Egyptians had no resem-

blance either to that of the Greeks or that of the Romans. This reflection would lead us to think, then, that the monuments I have just mentioned, ought not to be attributed to the ancient fovereigns of Egypt. We know in reality, that the Ptolomeys and the Roman emperors fuccessively adorned Egypt with very numerous and very magnificent monuments: these perhaps are the only ones which fubfift at prefent. With respect to the mixture of the Egyptian, Greek, and Roman architecture, that we there remark, it is eafy to give a reason for that irregularity, by admitting, that these works, although constructed by the Greeks and Romans, must always have had a tincture of the Egyptian taste and genius. We might further remove this difficulty which I have proposed, by faying, that the Ptolomeys and the Roman emperors had an attention to repair many of the ancient edifices of Egypt. This is even a fact which appears fufficiently confirmed by the infcriptions reported by the modern travellers 1. Therefore, this mixture of Egyptian, Greek, and Roman architecture, has nothing furprifing in it. Nothing but an exact and judicious examination can enable us to distinguish among the Egyptian antiquities, what might have been the work of ancient times from what appertains to the more modern ages. We must have feen the monuments in question ourselves, or at least have been able to have judged from the report of some intelligent and unprejudiced perfons, qualities which appear to have been wanting in all, or a great part of the travellers whom I have cited, except M. Granger.

I shall fay nothing at this time of Memphis. There is great appearance, that in the ages we fpeak of, this city either did not exist, or at least did not deserve any attention. Homer, who fpeaks of Thebes with the highest encomiums, does not even name Memphis. This observation has not escaped Aristotle m; and the consequence which he draws from it, is so much the more just, as we cannot go to Thebes without passing by

¹ See Paul Lucas, loco cit. p. 33, 34, 35, & 41, 42.; Granger, p. 42, 43, 33, 84, 85.; Sicard, mem. des missions du Levant, t. 7. p. 45.

¹⁸ Metereol. l. 1. c. 14. t. 1. p. 547.

Memphis. Homer, having been informed of the grandeur and magnificence of Thebes, necessarily must have known that of Memphis, which was much easier of access than Thebes. This reason appears to me decisive, and makes me believe; that they did not begin to speak of Memphis till after the age of Homer.

The fame reason engaged me also not to speak of the pyramids, those famous monuments which have rendered Egypt for ever celebrated. I think their construction posterior to the epoch we are at prefent running over n.

ARTICLE II.

Of the State of Architecture in Afia Minor.

A SIA, in the prefent times, offers us no object of architecture which deferves our attention. Yet we cannot doubt, but the art of building was there fufficiently cultivated; but we want lights of the tafte and skill which reigned at that time in the edifices of the eastern people. The ancient authors supply us with few resources in this matter: the facts which they report are not fufficiently explained, nor fufficiently circumstantiated. They are wanting in those details, which alone could instruct us in the taste and manner of building of each age and of each nation.

Homer, for example, in speaking of the palace of Priam, fays, that it had at the entrance fifty apartments well built, in which the princes his children lodged with their wives. At the bottom of the court, there were twelve other apartments for the fons-in-law of that monarch o: we farther fee, that Paris had built for his particular use a very magnificent lodging P. These facts prove, that, at the time of the war of Troy, architecture must have been cultivated in Asia Minor; but they do not instruct us of the taste in which they constructed those edifices I have just mentioned. We cannot see in what

their magnificence and beauty confifted. Homer only remarks of the palace of Priam, that it was furrounded with porticoes, the stones of which had been worked with care q. He favs much the same of that of Parisr. But we shall see, in the article of the Greeks, that we have now no idea of what Homer intended by the word which we commonly translate by that of portico. We shall further see, that that poet probably knew nothing of any of the orders of architecture. He never speaks of the embellishments or external ornaments of buildings. I think therefore, that the magnificence of the palaces confifted at that time rather in their vast extent, than in the regularity and the decoration of their architecture.

I further do not fee, that one can draw any light from the description which the same poet gives of the palace of Alcinous *. It is to be prefumed, that Homer has tried to put there all the magnificence known in his time: he might have taken for a model the most beautiful edifices he had ever feen. Yet we remark nothing in the description of the palace of Alcinous, which has a direct relation to the beauty and magnificence of architecture. The elegance and the decoration of that edifice confifted folely in the richness of the materials, and that of the interior ornaments. The poet fays, that the walls of the palace and the threshold of the doors were of folid brass †. An entablature of sky-blue went quite round the building: the doors were of gold, the chambranles of filver, and the floors of the same. A cornice of gold went round the apartments.

Homer then describes the statues and other interior ornaments which decorated the palace of Alcinous: but for the reft he favs nothing which denotes an edifice estimable on the score of architecture. The beauties of that art, as far as we can judge, were very little known in Homer's time: I shall further

r Ibid: v. 314. 9 Iliad. l. 6. v. 243.

^{*} See the differtation where I explain the reasons for which I think that the

is of the Phæcians must belong to Asia, fupra, chap. 1.

+ What Homer says of the thresholds of brass is not a pure imagination of the poet's; this custom is attested by many authors. Virg. Æneid. 1. 1. v. 448.; Paul. 1. 9. c. 19. p. 748.; Suid. voce Avrinergou Chenaros, t. 1. p. 219.

have occasion to return to this subject in the article of Greece, and to treat it more extensively.

C H A P. IV.

Of Metallurgy.

F there could remain some doubts on the rapidity of the knowledge which many nations have had in metallurgy, the facts which I am going to relate would put an end to them, and diffipate them entirely. We see the Israelites execute, in the defert, all the operations which concerned the working of metals: they knew the fecret of purifying gold, the art of beating it with a hammer f, that of throwing it into fusion t, and, in a word, to work it in all the possible ways. The scripture indeed remarks, that God had prefided over most of the grand works relative to his worship ". But, independent of these marvellous productions, it is certain that they must have had, among the Ifraelites, many very skilful and very intelligent artists in metallurgy. The golden calf, which that ungrateful and fickle people erected as an object of their adoration, is an evidence equally striking of their perfidy towards God, and of the extent of their knowledge in the working of metals. This operation supposes great skill and intelligence. The long stay of the Hebrews in Egypt had enabled them to instruct themselves in the necessary processes to succeed in such an enterprise.

The Egyptians, as I have infinuated in the first part of this work, had made, even in the earliest times, critical inquiries and experiments in metals. The erection of the golden calk

r Exod. c. 25. v. 31, & 36.

The vulgate translates all the passages in this chapter, where gold is mentioned, by very pure gold. But, following the Hebrew text, it means gold parified, for the verb is always in the participle.

f Exod. c. 25. v. 31, & 36.

t Ib. id. c. 32. v. 4.

u Ibid. c. 31. v. 1. c. 35. v. 31.

is not the only proof with which the scripture furnishes us: what we there read, with regard to the deflruction of that idol, deferves infinitely more attention. The fcripture fays, Moses took the golden calf, burnt it, reduced it to powder, and afterwards mixed that powder with water which he made the Israelites drink x. Those who work in metals are not ignorant, that, in general, this operation is very difficult. Mofes probably had learned this fecret in Egypt. The fcripture remarks expressly, that he had been brought up in all the wisdom of the Egyptiansy; that is to fay, that Moses had been instructed in all the sciences which these people cultivated. I think then, that at that time the Egyptians knew the art of performing this operation in gold; an operation, of which, at the fame time, it is necessary to shew the process.

The commentators are much troubled to explain the manner in which Moses burnt and reduced to powder the golden calf: the most of them have only given vain conjectures, and such as are absolutely void of all probability. An able chymist has removed all the difficulties that can be formed about this operation. The means, which he thinks Moses has used, are very simple. Instead of tartar which we use for such a process, the legislator of the Hebrews has used natron, which is very common in the east, and particularly near the Nile 2. What the scripture adds, that Moses made the Israelites drink this powder, proves, that he knew perfectly well the whole force of its operation a. He would aggravate the punishment of their difobedience. One could not invent a way which would render them more fenfible of it: gold, made potable by the process which I have mentioned, is of a deteftable tafte *.

We ought farther to look upon as a mark of the rapid knowledge, which many people had acquired in the art of working metals, the custom, which was very ancient, of using tin in many works: the manufactory of this metal may be ranked

X Exod. c. 32. V. 20. Y Acts, c. 7. V. 22.

2 Stahll, vitul. aureus, in opufc. chym. phyf. med. p. 585.

3 See les mem. de l'acad. des feienc. ann. 1733, mem. p. 315.

4 It approaches to that of magiftery of fulphur. See Senac, n. cours de chymie, €. 2. p. 39, & 40.

among the most difficult processes in metallurgy. It is yet certain, that, in the ages we are speaking of, they knew perfectly the art of preparing and using tin. The testimonies of Moses, and Homer, do not permit us to doubt of it.

I could cite many other facts, which equally mark the progress that the Egyptians and many other nations had already made in metallurgy: the facred story on one side, and the profese writers on the other, would furnish me with abundant proofs; but I reserve this detail for the following chapter, where I shall treat particularly of gold work.

CHAP V.

Of Sculpture, Gold Work, and Painting.

E cannot doubt that most of the arts, which relate to defign, had been greatly cultivated in the ages we are at present running over. Embroidery, sculpture, engraving of metals, and the knowledge of throwing them into sussin to make statues, were well known to the Egyptians, and many other people of Asia. I shall attend less to report the proofs, than to examine the taste which then took place in these fort of works.

ARTICLE I.

Of Sculpture.

IT appears that the Egyptians had had at all times a great taste for colossus and gigantic figures. We see the marks of it in most of the monuments erected by Sesostris. History says, that this Egyptian monarch caused to be placed, before the temple of Vulcan, his statue, and that of the queen his wife. These pieces, which were of one stone, were 30 cubits

b Numb. c. 31. v. 22. c See infra, art. 2

high d. The statues of his children, to the number of sour, were not much less considerable. They were 20 cubits high e. These facts are more than sufficient to prove the taste that the Egyptians had for colossus. I shall have occasion in the sequel of this work to return again to this article.

As to the part of design, I have already mentioned it in the preceding books . I do not therefore think it necessary to insist on it at present. I reserve, for the third part of this work, some particulars of the manner in which these people executed their colossus. I shall add, at the same time, some reslections on the taste and the practice of the Egyptian school.

I know not in what class to range a very singular monument which an ancient author faith had been executed by the orders of Sesostris. This is the description, such as Clemens Alexandrinus reported after Athenodorus 5.

This author fays, that Sefostris, having brought from the countries which he had travelled over, many able workmen, ordered the most skilful of them to make a statue of Osiris. This artist used in the composition all the metals and all the species of precious stones which were then known; but, above all, he put into it the same persume with which they had, say they, embalmed the bodies of Osiris and Apis. He had given to the whole work a sky-blue colour. Each may form on the arrangement of the different matters what conjectures he pleafes, by supposing, nevertheless, the reality of the fact, which to me appears improbable.

There remain very few lights on the progress and state of sculpture in Asia. It is certain, that, near the same ages, this art was there in much use. The Israelites had cast the golden calf; Moses had placed, on the two extremities of the ark of alliance, two cherubims of gold. Homer speaks of a statue of Minerva much revered among the Trojans.

d Diod. l. r. p. 67.
c Ibid.; Herod. l. 2. n. 107.
f See part r. book 2.
g Cohart. ad Gent. p. 43.
h Exod. c. 37. v. 7, &c.
lliad. l. 6. v. 302, &c.

He places, in the palace of Alcinous, statues of gold, reprefenting young people who carried torches to give light during the night. At the time of Pausanias, they saw still in the city of Argos a Jupiter in wood, which was said to have been found in the palace of Priam when Troy was taken. These facts give us sufficiently to understand, that sculpture was at that time much in use in Asia; but they do not instruct us in the taste in which they made these statues.

Moses does not teach us any thing touching the form of the two cherubims which covered the ark, only that they had extended wings one opposite to the other, and their faces turned fronting each other m. This loose and uncertain description has given room to commentators to represent the cherubims differently. Each has formed a particular idea: I shall not trouble the readers with the detail.

We are not more assured as to the form which the golden calf had. Yet there is great reason to think, that this idol had much resemblance to that of the ox Apis so reverenced by the Egyptians. And I should think in consequence, that it had a human sigure with the head of an ox. There still remain at this time many of these Egyptian representations. If the golden calf was executed in the taste of these models, we might be certain that this piece had nothing estimable on the score of elegance, and the correctness of design.

With respect to the statue of Minerva which is spoken of in the Iliad, Homer does not characterise the design in any manner. He does not even tell us of what it was made. We can only conjecture, that the goddess was represented sitting. On a very remarkable occasion, Homer represents the Trojan ladies going in form to put a veil over the knees of that statue.

As to the Jupiter found in the palace of Priam, Pausanias, who had feen it, has given us no description of it. He only

k Odyff. l. 7. v. 100.

I have already explained for what reasons I have placed the isle of the Phæcians in Asia, p. 84.

¹ L. 2. c. 24. p. 165. m Exod. loco cit. n Iliad. l. 6. v. 303. See also Strabo, l. 13. p. 897.

observés, that the statue had three eyes, one of which was in the middle of the forehead.

Although the authors, which I have just mentioned, have not been so explicit on these pieces of high antiquity, I believe we may say, that all these works were of a very middling taste, and entirely destitute of elegance and agreeableness. I am not reduced to simple conjectures to support this sentiment.

It is more than probable in reality, that the statue of Minerva, of which Homer speaks, was no other than the Palladium. We learn from Apollodorus, that this image was executed in the taste of the Egyptian statues, having the legs and thighs joined together p. The Palladium must have been by consequence a fort of unformed and gross mass, without attitude, and motionless.

ARTICLE II.

Of Gold Work.

Pulence, and luxury which is the consequence, have given birth to gold work. Pomp and effeminacy had contributed to perfect this art, whose origin, as we have seen in the first part, ascended to very remote ages. The enumeration of all the sacts, which prove how much the works in gold were common in the ages we are at present busied in, would engage us in infinite details: this, of all the arts which have relation to design, is that which seems to have been most cultivated. Let us chuse some proper subjects to make known the progress of gold work, and find out the objects which can give us an idea of the point of perfection, to which that art was come at that time in Egypt and in Asia.

The scripture acquaints us, that the Israelites, the moment

[·] L. 2. c. 24. p. 165. PL. 3. p. 186.

It is in this sense that we ought to understand the expression συμβέβηκος, which Apollodorus uses, as Scaliger, Kusther, and many other critics, have proved.

they went out of Egypt, borrowed a large quantity of vafes of gold and filver of the Egyptians a. This fact shews, that gold work must have been then much cultivated among these people. To the testimony of Moses we may join that of Homer. The poet makes mention, in the Odyssey, of many presents which Menelaus had received in Egypt. They confifted of different works in gold, the taste and workmanship of which supposed great address and skill. The King of Thebes gave to Menelaus two large filver tubs, and two beautiful tripods of gold. Alcandra, wife of this monarch, made a prefent to Helen of a gold diffaff, and of a magnificent filver basket, the edges of which were fine gold, and elegantly wrought. This union, this mixture of gold with filver, appears to me worthy of remark. The art of foldering these metals depends on a great number of sciences. This is a proof that the Egyptians had been used a long time to the working of metals. We perceive also in the design of this basket a fort of taste, and a particular kind of finishing.

We ought to refer also to the Egyptians that great quantity of trinkets which the Hebrews were provided with in the defert. It is faid that they offered, for the making of the works destined to divine service, their bracelets, their ear-rings, their rings, their class, without counting the vases of gold and filverf. Moses made all these trinkets be melted, and converted them to different works proper for the worship of the Almigh. ty. The greatest part of these works were gold, and among them they had pieces of great execution and highly finished workmanship. A crown of gold entirely surrounded the ark of alliance t. The table of fhew-bread was adorned with a border of open chased gold-work ". The chandelier of seven branches appears to me, above all, worthy of much attention. The defeription which the holy feripture makes of it, gives us an idea of a very ingenious and well-composed defign x. This piece,

⁹ Exod. c. 12. v. 35. Exod. c 35. v. 22. 1 Ibid. v. 24) & 25.

r Odyff, 1. 4. v. 125, &c. * Ibid. c. 25. v. 11. * Ibid. v. 31, &c.

confiderable in itself, was of very fine gold beat by the hammer y. I pass over in silence a number of other works, equally estimable for the matter and for the workmanship, which must have been very delicate.

With respect to Asia, gold work was at that time as much cultivated as in Egypt. Profane history furnishes us with fufficient testimonies which prove, that many people in Asia had made a great progress in engraving, in chasing, and generally in whatever concerned the working of metals. The greatest part of the works cried up by Homer came from Afia 2. We there remark armours, cups, and vafes of a very elegant defign, and a very agreeable tafte. Herodotus speaks also with great encomiums of the richness and magnificence of the throne on which Midas distributed justice. This prince made a present of it to the temple of Delphos. It is true, Herodotus has not left us a particular description of this throne. But, as he affures us that the work deferved to be feen?, we may conjecture that the workmanship was highly finished. I shall observe lastly, that Homer gives in general, to the nations of Asia, arms much more ornamental, and much more rich, than to the Greeks. Those of Glaucus, and of many other chiefs of the Trojan army, were gold b. The attention of Homer, to cry up these circumstances, proves not only the opulence and luxury of the Afiatics, but also the great knowledge which these people had at that time in works of gold, and the arts which depend on it.

Although my intention was to avoid details, yet I cannot dispense with myself from making some reflections on the shield of Achilles; a work, the idea of which appears to me admirable, and which would certainly produce a high effect, if it was executed. Many reasons engaged me to speak of it under this article. Homer could not take the idea of fuch a work, but from fome models which must have come near it. He has then only followed and embellish-

y Exod. c. 35. v. 31, & 36.

z See Iliad, l. 11, v. 19. l. 23. v. 741, &c.; Φdyff. l. 4. v. δ15, &c. l. 15.

v. 414, & 459, &c.

A L. 1. n. 14.

b Iliad, l. 6. v. 236. l. 2. B. v. 376. l. 10. v. 439.

ed an art invented before the war of Troy. This poet, as I think I have already remarked, is very exact in not giving to the people of whom he speaks any knowledge that did not belong to the ages in which he places them. A more faithful historian than Virgil, he does not anticipate the times. I think that Homer could have seen only in Asia the models which suggested to him the idea of the shield of Achilles. The Greeks were at that time too rude to give them the honour of such a work. With respect to Egypt, I doubt whether Homer was ever there. These motives, I think, are sufficient to refer to the times and to the people whom I am actually speaking of, the masterpiece which we are going to examine.

I fee no fact in ancient history which can serve so well as the shield of Achilles, to make known the state and the progress of arts in the present ages. Without speaking of the richness and variety of the design which runs through that work, we ought to remark; first, the blending the different metals which Homer puts in the composition of his shield. Copper, tin, gold, and silver are employed in it c. Lastly, we must observe, that at that time they knew the art of giving, by the impression of sire on metals, and by their mixture, the colour of different objects. Let us add to this the engraving and the chasing, and we shall agree that the shield of Achilles formed a very complicated work.

If it is easy to make known the beauty and the merit of this important piece, it is not the same as to the mechanism of the work. It is not easy to form a clear and precise idea of it: we do not sufficiently comprehend the manner in which Homer would have us to understand how it must have been executed. Yet let us see if, in modern productions, we cannot find some, whose composition may affist us to comprehend this kind of work.

Let us call to mind those works in trinkets which they made

c Iliad, 1. 18. v. 474, & 475.

Vol. II. X fome

fome years ago, in which, with the fole help of gold and filver differently mixed, upon a plain and uniform furface, they represented divers subjects. The artifice of these fort of trinkets consisted in the infinite number of little pieces inlaid and soldered on the ground of the work. All these different pieces were engraved or chased. The colour and resection of the metals joined in the design, detached the subjects from the background of the work, and made them stand forward. We may conjecture, that it was in this taste nearly, that Homer has imagined the execution of the shield of Achilles by Vulcan. The field of it was tin, intersected and varied with many pieces of different metals engraved and carved. Let us give some examples.

Would Vulcan represent oxen? he chose gold and tint d, that is to fay, a piece of yellow metal and a piece of white metal to diversify his flock. Was his intention to represent a vine loaden with dark-coloured grapes? Gold composed the stem of that vine. It was supported by props of filver e. Pieces of polished and embrowned fleel probably formed the dark-coloured grape. A ditch of the same metal surrounded the vineyard. A palisade of tin might ferve for the inclosure f. I shall not enter into any very particular details: this flight sketch is sufficient to explain the manner in which I conceive the mechanism of that work. As for the rest, what ideas soever we form of the shield of Achilles, we may be affured, that the invention of it was great and magnificent. Such a composition does not permit us to doubt, that, at the time of the war of Troy, goldsmiths work was come to a very great degree of perfection among the people of Asia; for it is always in these countries that Homer places the feat of arts and of famous artifts.

d Hiad. l. 13. v. 574.

e Ibid. v. 561, &c.

f Ibid.

ARTICLE

ARTICLE III.

Of Painting.

THE origin of painting is one of the most difficult questions that occurs in the history of the arts. There reigns a very great obscurity, as to the time of its being invented and put in practice. It is not much more easy to decide to what people we ought to give the honour of it: fentiments are fo divided about the countries, and about the time when this art took its rife. Some have given the honour to the Egyptians 9; others to the Greeks h. It is not here a proper place to examine this point of criticism. With respect to the time in which painting took its rife, fome authors pretend that the invention of this art preceded the war of Troy i; others think it posterior to that epoch k. This is what is to be examined into. But before we give ourselves up to these researches, it is proper, I think, to establish the sense of the word by which I understand painting, and to fix the object of the question.

I define painting, the art of representing on a plain surface, by means of colours, objects, fuch as they appear to us figured and coloured by nature *. From this definition, I fay, and I hope to prove, painting was not known in the ages we are now examining.

The Egyptians boast of having known painting 6000 years before the Greeks. The holy scripture and profane history equally agree to reject fuch a chimera 1. Pliny himfelf has not made any account of this vain pretention, and has not thought

g Plin. l. 7, fest. 57, p. 417, l. 35, fest. 5, p. 682.; Isidor, orig. l. 19, c. 16, h Aristotel. Theophrast. apud Plin. l. 7, p. 417.

i Aristotel. loco cit.

^{*} Theophrast. ibid.; Plin. l. 35. sect. 6. p. 682.

^{*} I comprehend in this definition the Brooch, attended with the different shades and the different degrees of colours which are there observed, besides the effect of mades, clairs obscurs, &c.

⁴ Bliny, 1. 35. fect 5. p. 681.

it worth his while to dwell upon it m. But in rejecting this excellive number of years, we must examine if the Egyptians had not the knowledge of painting very early; many critics, and some modern travellers are of this opinion. Let us examine the testimonies on which they ground their sentiment.

Diodorus, in describing the mausoleum of Osymandes, says, that the ceiling of that monument was spread over with stars on a blue ground a. We might throw some doubts on the truth of this fact. Diodorus is the only one who speaks of it, and that only from the relation of Hecateus, an author much cried down by the ancients. This testimony appears then at least suspicious. But let it be admitted, what will result from it? We are ignorant in what time this mausoleum might have been built. Diodorus does not even tell us the age in which the monarch lived whose ashes it contains. The tomb of Osymandes may be very ancient, and yet have been built in ages posterior to those we are now examining *. Pesides, I shall ask what inductions we could draw from a simple laying on of one colour, on which they had probably applied leaves of gold or silver to imitate stars.

In the ruins of those vast palaces spread in the Upper Egypt, we see, according to the report of some travellers antique paintings of a very lively and shining colour. I will not dispute the truth of these relations; but in agreeing that the sacts are really true, they prove nothing against the sentiment which I have embraced. These paintings are probably the work of some Greek artists called into Egypt by the Ptolomeys and their successors. This conjecture appears to me so much the better sounded, as a modern traveller, describing a temple in which he had seen painting, says, that the columns that supported the ceiling

m Pliny, l. 35. sect. 5. p. 681. n L. 1. p. 56. This is the fentiment of Marsham, p. 403.

O Voyage du Sayd par denx P. P. Capucins, p. 3, & 4. in the collection of relations published by Theyenot. t. 2.; Paul Lucas, t. 3. p. 38, 39, & 69.; Rec. d'observat. curieuses, t. 3. p. 79, 81, 133, 134, 154, 166.; Voyage de Granger, p. 35, 38, 46, 47, 62.

were of the Corinthian order P. He further observes, in speaking of a palace, which, he believes, made part of the ruins of ancient Thebes, that the chapiters of the columns were of the composite order, highly finished q. We are not ignorant that the architecture of the first Egyptians had no resemblance to any of the five orders which we have from the Greeks and the Romans. Another traveller quotes a Greek infeription found in an ancient palace where he had likewife feen paintings r.

I think it right to conclude, after thefe facts, that the monuments in question were not the work of the ancient inhabitants of Egypt; or, supposing that they were, they had been repaired by the Greeks or by the Romans. Thus the paintings which they found there decided nothing for the antiquity of this art in Egypt.

Yet they infift, and pretend to prove by the same pictures, the antiquity of the edifices which contained them. The Perfians, fay they, were for some time masters of Egypt. These people were declared enemies to temples, and to all forts of reprefentations; and, by confequence, we cannot attribute to them the paintings which we still see in the temples and in the palaces of Egypt. These works then must have been executed before the ages in which the Persians conquered Egypt f. I am bold enough to fay, that I fee no fort of consequence in this reasoning.

Cambyles destroyed as much as was possible for him, the monuments of Egypt: we may conclude from this fact, avowed by all antiquity, that every thing that bore the marks of tafte and magnificence, was demolished by this barbarous conqueror. Thus we ought to look upon the palaces and the temples they mention to us as posterior to the invasion of this prince. But fuppoling, what appears to me very probable, that many of these edifices had escaped the fury of this prince, we must re-

P Granger, p. 38, & 39. 9 11 r Paul Lucas, t. 3. p. 38, 39, 41, & 42. f Rec. d'observat, cur. t. 3. p. 134, & 166. 9 Ibid. p. 59.

member, that the conquest of Egypt by Cambyses was only 525 years before Christ. There might then subsist Egyptian pictures anterior to this monarch, without their date ascending to the ages which we are treating of at present. It appears to me much more natural to attribute them to the Greeks. Far from imitating the conduct of the Persians, these conquerors applied themselves to repair the ancient monuments of Egypt. They enriched them with new ornaments, among which number, I think, we ought to put the pictures which they mention.

Let us go on to other testimonies which they produce, to prove, that this art was known in the ages which make the objects of the second part of our work. All is reduced to conjectures, and to inductions drawn from some passages of Homer. They cite no positive fact: they alledge the veils embroidered by Helen and Andromache, of which I have spoke before; and support their opinion by the description of the shield of Achilles, and from some other places of the Iliad and Odyssey. They conclude from these sacts combined and united, that painting must have been in use at the time of the war of Troy. Are these conjectures well sounded, and are these reports really true? That is what we are going to judge of.

The partifans of the opinion which I attack begin by supposing, that they could not think to stain wool and embroider stuffs, but with a view of imitating painting: this proceeding appears, say they, very probable: it is more natural and more easy to represent objects by the help of colours and of a pencil, than by means of threads dyed variously. The shaded embroidery could not have been invented till long after painting, of which it seems only to be a laborious imitation: yet we see that fort of embroidery much in use at the time of the war of Troy. The invention of painting is then anterior to that epoch. It is probable, moreover, that to do these works of embroidery, they used, as we do at this time, coloured patterns: this is sufficient to shew, that they knew to paint, and that that art must have been very common and very extensive in the heroic ages.

They

They draw almost the same conclusions from the description of the shield of Achilles: they insist upon the great variety of subjects and designs which have place in that piece; on the art of grouping sigures in bass relief from the multiplicity of colours which Homer, they suppose, would have us understand, that each object was animated with. The different impressions which the action of the sire leaves on the metals is, say they, the only way the poet could invent to give and vary the shades of the colour: this could not have been suggested to him but by the sight of some picture. For, they add, it is not natural to believe, that at first they thought of representing the colour of objects by the tinge which the action of the sire might impress on metals: every thing, on the contrary, tells us, that they must have begun by using natural colours. The work of Vulcan must only be considered as an imitation of painting to

These are the principal reasonings which they use to support the antiquity of this art; it must be agreed, that they are very specious. Let us try to answer them, keeping in view the definition which I have given of painting: that is an essential point

in the present question.

Is it very certain, that in the works of embroidery of which Homer speaks, there were different forts of colours, different shades. I think not; and I dare say, by examining the force of the terms which the poet uses, we shall see that he means only different sigures, and different slowers spread over the veils embroidered by Helen and Andromache u. I do not think they will ever be able to prove, that the expressions used in these passages mean objects coloured variously *. These designs, to keep close

t Acad. des inscript. t. 1. H. p. 75, &c.; Madame Dacier in her notes on Homer.

u Iliad, l. 3. v. 125, &c.; l. 22. v. 140, &c.

^{*} M. l'Abbé Fraguier and Madame Dacier pretend, that the word everacoss fignifies to represent with different colours.

But they do not quote any authority to prove, that ireacour fignifies to represent with different colours. This word, as well as that of indexes, which Homer uses in speaking of the veil embroidered by Andromache, mean literally, is spread, to sow; that is to say, that there were many figures spread about in these embroideries.

close to the text, were of one uniform colour, different, without doubt, from the ground on which they were embroidered. I do not fee any thing that indicates a mixture of shadings: the figures must have glared on the ground of the embroidery; but the colours which ferved to represent them, were of one and the fame dye: they had no shadings, no degradation. I embrace this idea fo much the more readily, as in the passages where Homer speaks of these fort of works; he never makes mention but of wool of one colour *. Befides, in the Odyssey, they bring to Helen a balket of bottoms of worsted spun extremely fine y. If it had been then the custom to use different shadings in embroideries, Homer probably would have given us to understand by some epithet, that these bottoms were of many colours, and that is what he has not done. In vain then do they imagine patterns painted of different colours, fince it appears certain, that the embroideries of which Homer speaks, were only of one colour. Even the idea of patterns ferving for models appears to me a supposition not well supported. We are ignorant of the manner in which they worked at the time of the war of Troy; and if I might fay what I think, I should believe that they then contented themselves to pounce their designs on the canvas: but in cafe they think patterns absolutely necessary, it must be owned, that they were simple designs of one and the fame colour, fuch as they do at this time with a pencil or with ink!

The conclusions which they intend to draw from the shield of Achilles, do not appear to me to be better found-

The words before mounta, which we find used for the veil of Andromache, may admit of great difficulty. I doubt, notwithstanding, whether they can draw any great advantage from them. This is the only time that this expression is sound in Homer: it is consequently very difficult to fix the sense. Yet, as far as we can judge, Homer did not design slowers of different colours, but rather different species of flowers. We find, it is true, the word mountains used to design objects variously coloured, but that is only in authors greatly posterior to Homer. They will never prove, that, in the writings of this great poet, this word should design objects coloured variously:

x Odysf. l. 4. v. 135. l. 6. v. 53, and 306. l. 13. v. 108.

y Ibid. 1..4. v. 134.

ed. Let us read attentively the text of Homer, we shall fee that he never had in view any thing but a piece of goldsmith's work; and what he fays of the diversity of colours, might be perfectly explained either by the action of the fire upon the metals, or by their mixture and their opposition. We cannot even suspect that he meant shadings, degradations, and union of colours, nothing, in a word, that constitutes the essence of painting.

There is nothing, for example, in the manner in which Homer describes a vine engraved on the shield, which could not be given by the mixture of metals, and by the colour the action of the fire is capable of imprinting on them: the stems were gold, the dark grapes were of imbrowned steel, and the props of filver z. But we must observe, that the poet does not speak of the leaves of this vine. If he had entered into that detail, he must necessarily have said they were green; and that is what Homer has not done; he has left us to understand, that the stems adorned with their leaves were of gold.

This observation should be applied to the whole description of the shield of Achilles: no place acquaints us that this poet had an intention to defign red colours, blue, green, &c. action of the fire, and the mixture of metals, is not fusficient to give these colours: we must use for these sorts of effects metallic colours; that is to fay, paint in enamel, a fecret which certainly must have been unknown at that time. We even fee, that all the personages which Homer had occasion to put in this composition are of gold a, even to shepherds who conduct a flock b.

Lastly, even agreeing that the veils of which Homer speaks were shaded with different colours, and that the objects painted on the shield of Achilles indicate mixtures of dyes and colours diverlified; the antiquity of painting does not appear to me more folidly established. To say, that the art of embroidery

² Iliad, l. 18. v. 561, &c. ^a Ibid. l. 18. v. 517.

b Ibid. v. 577.

had not been invented, but to imitate the art of painting, is a notion without any foundation. How do they know, that in dying of wool, and in making use of different colours to embroider stuffs, the intention of the first men had been to copy painting? The end which they proposed in all times had been to imitate nature: painting itself was not invented but for this purpose. But, add they, it is more easy to represent objects by the help of colours and a pencil, than by any other means. I agree to it: this reason nevertheless is not more convincing; I appeal to experience. It teaches us, that in the arts they have often begun with the most difficult processes before they attained to the most simple and the most easy.

The proof that Homer never had in view painting, properly fo called, and that he even did not know it, is, that the terms confecrated in the Greek language to defign this art *, are not to be found in his writings. Pliny has even remarked, that this poet very feldom speaks of colours c. If painting had been in use in the times that Homer lived, can we believe that he would have neglected to speak of so admirable an invention, he who was so particularly attached to describe the arts? We may add, that we see no pictures † in the palace he is pleased to describe, although he puts there statues and other ornaments of chasing and engraving.

They knew certainly, if I may be allowed the term, to daub wood and other things of some colour. The Greeks, at the time of the war of Troy used to paint their vessels red d, and yet that colour at that time was very impersect c. The foot of the ta-

^{*} Γραφείν and ζωγράφος, which are often found in authors who have written fince Homer, Ζωγράφος is neither in the Iliad nor in the Odyssey. If we there see the word γραφείν, it is not in the acceptation of painting. It never fignifies in Homer but to represent, to describe an object.

c L. 33. fect. 38. p. 624.

† Virgil has not been fo circumspect. He puts pictures in the temple of Carthage. Æneas sinds himself among the heroes who were painted there.

Animum pistura pascit inani. Eneid, l. 1. v. 464, &c.

But this is not the only occasion where, as I have already remarked, Virgil has not been afraid to offend against custom: I shall cite many examples of it in the sequel.

d Iliad, l. 2. B. v. 144.

⁶ See Theophrast. de lapid. p. 400.; Plin. l. 33. sect. 37. p. 624.

ble which Nestor used was also covered with some colours. But shall we give the name of painting to such fort of works? It is the mixture, the union, and the opposition of colours, or even the different shades of the same colour, these are the restlections, the shades, and the lights, which constitute the art of painting. The rest is only plastering.

It is sufficient to cast our eyes on history, to be convinced, that painting was unknown to the ages we are at present speaking of. A crowd of monuments attest the frequent use they made at that time of carving, of chasing, and of sculpture. Nothing like it, nor even approaching to it, with respect to painting. There reigns on this subject the most prosound and most general silence. The scripture, which speaks of so many forts of arts, which forbids so expressly every representation tending to idolatry, says nothing of painting. Lastly, the testimony of an author, who has great knowledge of antiquity, decides it in favour of the sentiment which I have embraced. Pliny affirms, that the art of painting was not yet invented at the time of the war of Troys; and he appears not to have been determined, till after having examined this question very attentively.

Want of attention, and the defect of not having fufficiently reflected on the effence of painting, has made them fall into many mistakes with relation to the origin and epoch of this art. Most authors, who have treated on this subject, have always confounded design with painting; and, because they knew to design in the most ancient times, they have concluded, that they also knew the art of painting, in spite of the essential difference there is between the practice of one and the other. This is, I believe, the source of all the errors which have been propagated about the epoch of painting. They would never distinguish the art of designing from that of painting. I imagine I have said enough to shew, that painting was not known

f Iliad, l. 11. v. 628. I fay of fome colour; for we must know, that there is no agreement about the fort of colour that Homer means by the term Kvares, which he uses on many occasions.

g L. 35. fect. 6. p. 682.

in the ages which make the fecond part of my work, but that it was even posterior to Homer.

SECT. II.

Of the State of Arts in Greece.

WE find very few lights, in the history of the Egyptians and the people of Asia, on the progress of the arts. It is not easy to perceive these different degrees, that successive progression, which ought necessarily to prove all that enters into these kinds of discoveries and inventions. It is not then in the history of the oriental nations that we must study the progress of the human mind. It does not shew itself sufficiently: the gradations are not sensible enough, for want of monuments and historical details.

The Greeks will furnish us with many more resources. We are sufficiently instructed in the state in which the arts had been successively in the different ages which composed the history of that nation. From the moment in which these people began to emerge from their barbarity, to the time in which they sinished their history, we may consider their progress, and sollow the order and the thread of their knowledge. We shall easily discover, in the history of the arts among the Greeks, the different degrees by which these people were raised successively from the most gross practices to the most sublime discoveries.

Fables, it is true, have greatly altered the first monuments of the history of Greece. There reign many contradictions about the time and about the authors of the first inventions. We cannot depend on the facts but to a certain degree. Yet, in spite of the obscurity and uncertainty which a tradition not much to be depended upon, has spread over the times which we are now going to run over, with some attention and the assistance of criticism, we are able to clear up the truth of a great number of events; we there perceive in general a certain connection.

connection, a certain order, which does not permit us to throw them into the rank of those traditions totally void of historical foundations.

In combining, in bringing together many facts, many circumstances, we may succeed to form a very exact idea of the origin and of the progress of arts in Greece.

There are few arts of which the Greeks can boast to have been the inventors. They had received them, for the most part, from Egypt and Asia. But the point of perfection to which these people had carried the discoveries which other nations had imparted to them, sufficiently recompenses for the merit of the invention. We owe to Greece the taste, the elegance, and all the beauties, in a word, of which the arts are capable.

We may yet fay, that the progress of the arts had been flow among the Greeks. From the first ages after the deluge, we see pomp and magnificence reign in Asia and in Egypt. Nothing of this kind in Greece. Instead of those grand works, instead of those works equally magnificent and finished, with which we were entertained at this time, we are going to see nothing but the most simple objects; gross practices proportioned to the little knowledge that a nation must have in the arts, which only just began to emerge from barbarity, and to be polished.

CHAP. I.

Of Agriculture.

ET us recollect in a few words what I have already faid elsewhere of the ancient state of Greece h. We have there seen, that the first inhabitants of that country were plunged into the darkness of the most gross and most profound ignorance. They were, to speak properly, real savages running in the woods, without a chief and without discipline,

a Part t. book t. chap. t. art. 5.

fierce to the degree of cating each other; ignorant of the use of arts, and the proper food of man, supporting themselves with fruits, with roots, and wild plants.

The conquerors, who went out of Egypt a few ages after the deluge, had probably carried into Greece some tincture of the arts; but these first feeds could not prosper. The extinction of the family of the Titans, and the destruction of their empire, replunged Greece into anarchy and ignorance. The different colonies, which paffed some time after this event into that part of Europe from Afia and Egypt, drew them from barbarism and rudeness. These new colonies, by mixing themfelves with the ancient inhabitants, fostened their manners. They engaged some families to quit the woods, and join them. They formed focieties in many districts. The chiefs of these new establishments imparted to their subjects the most necessary knowledge for man, and provided for their most pressing necesfities. Greece was infenfibly polifhed. It was enriched fucceffively by discoveries from Asia and Egypt. Every thing changed its face in that part of Europe. The people were humanized, the arts were folidly established, and acquired even a new degree of perfection. Light succeeded to the darkness of ignorance and rudeness.

Ancient authors do not agree about the time of these happy changes. It is very disficult to determine, from their relations, by whom and in what time the arts were introduced among the Greeks. There remain on these facts the greatest obscurity and the greatest contradictions. Let us try to discover the source of them.

The Greeks had received their arts from the people of Egypt and of Asia; but, conformable in this point with all the other nations of antiquity, they would attribute their origin to the gods. This notion has thrown the greatest obscurity over the history and the epocha of the arts in Greece. We may assign for it many causes.

The chiefs of the first colonies which came into Greece, brought into that part of Europe some tincture of the arts. They introduced, at the same time, the worship of the divi-

nities

Book II.

nities honoured in the countries from whence they came. These divinities were for the most part men whom they had deisied, in acknowledgment for the useful discoveries which they had imparted to mankind. The strangers who introduced these gods into Greece, without doubt made known also the motive of the worship which they paid to them.

These sirst establishments, as I have already said, did not subsist long. The family and the empire of the Titans was extinguished after two or three generations. Greece fell immediately into its ancient state. Ignorance, an inseparable companion of trouble and anarchy, made them forget these events. There only remained a confused remembrance. The Greeks did not hesitate to confound those who had shewed them the arts, with the divinities under whose auspices they had been brought to them: the first cause of error and confusion.

New colonies passed into Greece some time after the Titans. The conductors of these various colonies brought again into that part of Europe the arts and the divinities of the countries from whence they came. These countries were nearly the same with those from whence the ancient colonies came, that is to say, Egypt and Phænicia. The worship of the divinities which these new colonies introduced, did not differ, either in the form or the motives, from that which the Titan princes had originally brought; a new source of errors and uncertainties. Ignorance and the course of time had consounded these epochs; and they afterwards looked upon those as new institutions, whose origin was very ancient.

The divinities of Egypt and Phœnicia, by changing their retreat, infenfibly changed their name. The Greeks, after having adopted them, appropriated them to themfelves, and would make it be believed, that the gods whom they adored were born in Greece. In confequence of this, they fearched for explications and refemblances agreeable to those ideas. The priests took care to propagate them. They disguised the history of the ancient divinities. The truth of the facts was forgot by little and little. The poets, whom we regard as the divines

of paganism, but who were only in reality the divines of the people, foon made this appear the origin of the gods brought from Egypt and Phænicia. They invented different circumstances proper to adorn and to clothe their fictions. Instead of the ancient tradition, they fubflituted gods born in the heart of Greece. This fystem took almost with every body; pride and superstition favouring it.

The Greeks began very late to write history. They had then almost lost fight of those first events. Yet the memory of them was not fo far abolished, but that there remained some traces. The sensible writers of Greece have acknowledged, that all the divinities which they adored had been brought to them from the east i. But those who followed the popular ideas, have written conformably to the fystem reigning in the minds of the people, and have propagated to us those errors adopted in the latter times. Hence that monstrous mixture of ridiculous and abfurd adventures, with which the history of the gods of Greece is filled in the greatest part of the writers of antiquity. Hence those contradictions, which we so often meet with in the ancient authors, of the origin of arts, and the worship of the gods in Greece. We shall see more than one example.

ARTICLE I.

Of Tillage.

IF we believe the most generally received opinion, the Greeks were indebted for the knowledge of tillage to a queen of Sicily, named Ceres k. They have joined to her Triptolemus, fon of Celeus King of Eleufis 1. These two personages were commonly thought to have shewn to Greece

i See Herod. l. 2. n. 50.; Plato in Cratyl. p. 281. k Marm. Oxon. ep. 12.; Virg. georg. l. 1. v. 147.; Diod. l. 5. p. 333.; Ovid. Metam. l. 5. v. 341.; Hygin. fab. 277.; Plin. l. 7. fect. 57. p. 412, & 415.; Justin. l. 2. c. 6. i Id. ibid.

all that concerns agriculture, the use of the plough, the way of breaking oxen and fixing them to the voke; the art of fowing grain and grinding it m. They also give to Ceres the merit of having invented carts and other carriages proper to carry burdens a. It was, fay they, Celeus, father of Triptolemus, who first taught men to use panniers and baskets o to collect and keep the fruits of the earth: The Athenians boast of having first possessed the knowledge of all those things, and even of having imparted it to Greece P. Such had been the most common and generally received fentiment; but it labours under many difficulties:

Ancient memoirs give to Bacchus the introduction of tillage into Greece q. Pliny and other authors have given the honour to one Buzyges an Athenian r. An ancient historian of Crete names for the first inventor of agriculture one Philomelus . The Argives; laftly; and the Pheneates u, dispute with the Athenians the glory of having first known tillage.

We find also great contradictions as to the time in which this art began to be established in Greece. If we follow the most common opinion, which gives that honour to Ceres, we shall be much embarrassed about the epoch of that princess. The Parian marbles x, Justin y, and other authors, place the arrival of Ceres in the reign of Erechtheus fixth king of Athens, 1409 years before Christ. How can we reconcile that date with other facts entirely opposite, and which appear at least as well supported?

Fable and history agree to make Ceres cotemporary with the Titans, Saturn, and Jupiter, &c. 2; an ancient tradition fays, that this princess had learned them to make harvest a: they did

m Ibid.

O Virgil, georg. l. t. v. 163.

O Ibid. v. 165.

P Diod. l. 5. p. 333.; Justin. I 2. c. 6.; Aristid. orat. in Eleus. t. 1. p. 257.

q Diod. l. 4. p. 232, & 249.; Plut. t 2. p. 299 B.

L 7. sect. 57. p 415.; Auson. ep. 22. p. 674, & 675.; Hesychius, voce Buluyns.

f Hygin. poet, astron. l. 2. c. 4. p. 366. t Pauf. l. 1. c. 14. " Id. l. 8. c u Id. l. 8. c. 15. x Epoch. 12.

Y L. z. c. 6. p. 87.
 See Apollod. l. 1.; Diod. l. 5. p. 232.
 Apollon. Argon. l. 4. v. 988, & 989.

not hefitate even to divide with her the honours of divinity. They had built temples to Ceres at the time of the, fon of Phoroneus b, and Phoroneus passed for the first mortal who had reigned in Greece c. They fay also, that the ancient Hereules, him whom they had put in the number of the Dactyli Idai, had had the guard of the temple of Ceres Mycalefia d. Indeed Herodotus does not make the worship of this goddess so ancient. He fays, that it was brought into Greece by the daughters of Danaus. Yet this event precedes the reign of Erechtheus more than 100 years *.

With respect to Triptolemus, some authors have advanced, that he was the fon of the Ocean f. They anciently understood by that expression, a person who came by sea in ages very remote. Paulanias confirms one part of these facts. He fays, that, according to the tradition of the Arcadians, Arcas, grandfon of Lycaon, learned from Triptolemus the manner of fowing corn, and that of making bread g. This Arcas passed for one of the fons of Timiter h.

The arrival of Cadmus in Greece falls 1519 years before. Christ. Through the fabulous tracts which disguise the history of this prince, we just perceive, that in his time the art of fowing grain must have been known, otherwise they could not have imagined to make him till the earth, to fow there the teeth. of the dragon which he had conquered i. But further, an ancient tradition favs, that Ino, daughter of this prince, wanting to cause a sterility in Boeotia, had engaged those who were tofurnish the seeds which were destined to be sown, to place thembefore the fire to make the feed die k.

We farther fee, according to some authors, that Myles son, of Lelex first king of Laconia was looked upon as the inventor of the millstone 1. The reign of this prince preceded by more

b Pauf. l. 1. c. 39, 40. l. 2. c. 35. See also Diod. l. 5. p. 379. c See part 1. book 1. chap. 1. d Pauf. l. 9. c. 27. e L. 2. n. 171. * They have fixed the arrival of Danaus in Greece 1510 years before Christ.

⁹ Apollod, l. 1. p. 13.; Pauf. l. 1. c. 14. 8 L. 8. c. 4. Sce alfo Strabo, l. 14. p. 990. l. 16. p. 1089.

A Pauf. l. 8, c. 3. i Apollod. l. 3, p. 136.; Ovid. metam. l. 2, v. 102, &c.

k Apollod, l. r. p. 3r.; Hygin, fab, 2.; Pauf. l. r. c. 44, p. 108,

¹ Pauf. 1. 3. c. 20.

than a hundred years the epoch in which they have commonly fixed the arrival of Ceres in Greece. We must observe on this fubject, that there must have passed some time between the use of agriculture and the invention of the millstone among the Greeks. Like all other nations of antiquity, these people at first knew no other method of preparing the grains but that of roasting them m.

All these considerations bring me to think, 1. That the origin of agriculture must be more ancient in Greece than is commonly faid. 2. That that art has fuffered interruptions. 3. That the pretention of the Athenians of having taught tillage to all the rest of Greece, is neither well founded nor very exact. This is the manner in which I attempt to reconcile one part of the contradictions which I have mentioned.

I believe we ought to refer the first knowledge which Greece had in agriculture, to the times the family of the Titans feized on that part of Europe ". These princes came out of Egypt, a country where tillage had been practifed time immemorial. It is to be prefumed that they would instruct their new subjects in it . They established at the same time the worship of the gods honoured in the countries from whence they came. Herodotus p, Diodorus q, and all the writers of antiquity, acknowledge that the Ceres of the Greeks is the fame divinity with the Egyptian Isis.

The extinction of the family of the Titans, which ended in the person of Jupiter, replunged the Greeks into anarchy and confusion. The people gave themselves up to lead a wandering and vagabond life: the inhabitants of the coast addicted themfelves to ramble over the feas, and make a trade of piracy's This state sublisted till the arrival of new colonies which came from Egypt and Phœnicia to establish themselves, some time

m Theophrast. apud scholi Hom. ad Iliad. l. 1. v. 449.; Eustath. ad hunc loc.; Riymol. magn. νοςς Ουλοχύτας.

n See part 1. book 1. chap. 1.

See Æschyl. in Prometh. vincta, v. 461, &c.

P L. s. n. 59.

⁹ L. 1. p. 18, 34,-107. l. 5. p. 385. F Thucyd. l. 1. p. 4, & 6.; Plut, in Themith. p. 121. E.

after the Titans, in many countries of Greece. This space of time was more than fufficient to make them lofe the fmall tincture of the arts which the Greeks had learned under the government of their first conquerors. I have faid elsewhere it did not appear to have been of long duration f. The knowledge and practice of tillage must particularly have been abolished soon after. This art had had great difficulty of being introduced into Greece. Triptolemus, with whom tradition has divided with Ceres the glory of having shewn to the Greeks the culture of grains, found great opposition to his designs. This is easy to be perceived even in those fabulous tracts with which the new mythology had loaded the history of this prince: he thought more than once that it would have cost him his lifet. Ceres was obliged to travel in the air in a chariot drawn by flying dragons ": an allegory which must be understood of the measures taken by that princess to take Triptolemus from the dangers which the new art he would introduce had brought him into.

Bacchus ran the same risks, when he would instruct the Greeks in cultivating the vine x. It was not, in reality, a light undertaking to make a change in the manners of fuch foit of favages, as the Greeks were at that time. It was not eafy to subject to the fatigues of agriculture these independent people, accustomed to a wandering life, which did not oblige them to have any care or any trouble. Men do not love to be subjected to labour, whatever advantages may accrue from it y.

The floods which happened under Ogyges and under Deucalion, must also have contributed to make them lose the knowledge and practice of agriculture: thefe deluges ravaged and laid waste many countries of Greece 2.

i Part r. book r.

See Ovid. metam. l. s. v. 654, &c. Hygin. fab. 147.; Euseb. chron. l. 2.

p. 82. i u Apollod. l. r. p. 13.; Ovid. loco cit.; Hygin. poet. aftr. l. 2. fab. 14.;

A Apollod. 1. 1. p. 13.; Ovid. 1000 cti.; Hygin. poet. attr. 1. 2. 140. 14.; Ariflid, orat. in Eleuf. t. 1. p. 257.

**X See Hom. Iliad. 1. 6. v. 130, &c.; Diod. 1. 3. p. 234.; Apollod. 1. 3. p. 141.; Ovid. Mct. 1. 3. v. 514.; Pauf. 1. 1. c. 2.; Hygin. fab. 134.

**Y See part 1. b. 2. ch. 1. art. 2. The example of the favages of America is a

convincing proof.

¹⁴ See Diod. I. 5. p. 276. See also part 1. b. 1. att. v. ; & supra, b. 1.

Greece was then fallen again into the ignorance and barbarity from which the Titan princes had drawn it, when the different colonies which went from Egypt and Phoenicia passed succesfively into that part of Europe. The first of these new colonies was conducted by Cecrops. This prince, at the head of an Egyptian colony, landed in Attica, and fettled there 1582 years before the Christian æra a. Cecrops was not ignorant of agriculture. Cicero tells us, that he introduced in Greece the cu from of spreading of corn, in funeral ceremonies, on the tomb of the deceased when they were buried b. We may conclude then that Cecrops tried to fow grain; but discouraged, without doubt, by the dry and fandy foil of Attica, he laid afide that enterprise. We see that he got his corn from Sicily and Libvac. It was not the same with olives. Cecrops planted them, and fucceeded very well. This prince established afterwards the worship of Minerva, because that goddess, according to ancient tradition, had made known to men the utility of these trees, and learned them to cultivate them d.

A little while after Cecrops, Cadmus and Danaus, coming one from Egypt, and the other from Phrenicia, passed into Greece. Cadmus fettled in Bocotia, and Danaus in the Argolide. We have just feen, that, according to all appearances, these princes had brought agriculture into the districts where they were fettled?.

About one hundred and fixty-three years after Cecrops, Attica found itself afflicted with a very great dearth, because the common convoys, without doubt, had failed them. In this circumstance Erechtheus, conductor of a new Egyptian colony, arrived with a fleet loaden with corn, and delivered the country from the famine which oppressed it. The Athenians, in acknowledgment of fuch an important fervice, placed him on the throne f. Erechtheus studied immediately to put his people in a flate not to have any more recourse to a stranger. Judging the

^a Supra, b. r. b De leg. l. s. n. 25. t. 3. p. 158. § Tzetzes, ex Philocor. ad Heliod. op. v. 30. p. 18. edit. in 4t0, 1503. d See infra, art. 3. ° Supra, b. r. chap. 4. f Diod. l. 1. f Diod. l. 1, p. 34

plains of Eleusis more proper than the rest of Attica for tillage, he caused it to be ploughed and sown s. He had the happiness to succeed in this undertaking, and to accustom the Athenians to tillage.

Diodorus, from whom we have taken one part of this recital, adds, that Erechtheus taught the Athenians the worship of Ceres, and established at Eleusis the mysteries of that goddess, such as they were practised in Egypt. This is what has given room to say, according to the remark of the same historian, that Ceres herself was come to Athens, and to place at that epoch the discovery of corn, which was then brought from Egypt to the Athenians, under the name and under the auspices of that goddess h. We have seen that the Ceres of the Greeks was the same divinity as the Isis of the Egyptians, to whom, according to the tradition of these people, they owed the knowledge of tillage. Erechtheus having succeeded in his enterprise, it was natural that he should establish the worship of Isis. It was from a similar motive that Cecrops, as I have just said, had instituted the worship of Minerva.

But the origin of agriculture, and that of the worship of Ceres, were more ancient in Greece than the reign of Erechtheus: we cannot doubt of this after the different traditions which I have reported. I think then that the establishment of the mysteries of Ceres at Eleusis, and the knowledge of tillage which they place under Erechtheus, ought only to be regarded as a renewal or re-establishment of ancient customs which the troubles and misery of the times had insensibly abolished.

The worship of Ceres was greatly esteemed in Greece, under the reign of Erechtheus: nothing is more famous in antiquity than the mysterics celebrated at Eleusis. That feast, at first peculiar to the inhabitants of Attica, became afterwards common to all the Greeks. Yet the Argives

b Loco cit. & l. s. p. 333.

40 12 1 20 1 5 1 16 65/40

⁸ Marm. oxon. ep. 13.; Diod. l. 5. p. 385.; Justin, l. 2. c. 6. p. 87.; Phurqut. de nat. deorum, c. 28, p. 209.

had received the worship of Ceres before the Atheniansi. But whether it was that they did not know all the mysteries, or from motives at prefent unknown to us, the honour of having communicated to all Greece the worship of Ceres remained to the Athenians. As, in the idea of these people, the knowledge of tillage was joined to the establishment of the mysteries of Eleusis, they would make us believe, that Greece was equally indebted to them for both discoveries. Yet we see that some Greek cities protested against this pretension: but it does not appear they paid any regard to it. The plurality of votes was declared for the Athenians: they pass, in almost all the ancient writings which now remain to us, to have polished Greece. It is to the pens of their writers, that, without doubt, they owe this pre-eminence. The Athenians, vain to excess; have always boafted of having communicated the arts, the laws, and the sciences, to all the rest of the Greeks. Argos, Thebes, and fome other cities, where the origin of arts to me appears almost as ancient as in Attica, have produced neither fo many writers, nor of a merit equal to those of the Athenians. The writings of the Athenians have always carried it-The ancient authors, even the Romans, fed by these writings, have got those ideas of a superiority which the Athenians had at all times thought proper to arrogate: they have adopted them, and have transmitted them to us. This is, perhaps, the fource of that anteriority of knowledge, which the Athenians enjoy even at this time. These indeed are only conjectures: but it is an expedient to which we are too often obliged to have recourse, when we treat of events of this high antiquity.

If agriculture, as I suspect, had been difficult to be introduced among the Greeks in the first ages, these people asterwards thought very differently. In all the states formed by the new colonies of which I have spoken, the sovereigns applied themselves to divert their subjects from the custom of rambling upon the seas. They used various methods to bring them to cultivate the earth: I have spoken of it in the article of go-

vernment k. Their design succeeded, the Greeks were not long of perceiving and acknowledging the advantages of agriculture: they gave themselves up to it with much ardour and fuccess.

Barley was the first species of grain which the Greeks cultivated 1, and the plains of Rharia were the first which were fown in Attica m. The forts of grains which were fown there are not indeed specified by the marbles; the word is effaced, but we may supply it from Pausanias. This author says, that, in remembrance of the first essays of agriculture, the fort of cakes which the Athenians used in their facrifices were still made in his time with barley gathered from the fields of Rharia ". We are ignorant in what time they began to cultivate in Greece wheat and other grains. There is room, for exam. ple, to doubt, if in the ages we now speak, or even for a long time afterwards, the Greeks had any knowledge of oats. We fee that, in the time of the war of Troy, barley was the common food of the horses o.

Homer and Hefiod are the only perfons who can give us any knowledge of the manner in which the ancient Greeks cultivated their lands. We may judge of these original practices by those which subfisted in the times of these authors. It appears that they then gave three ploughings to the ground p. Two forts of ploughs were in use: one which was only a

k B. i. art. 8. p. 65, & 66.

¹ Dionys, Halicarii. l. 2. p. 93, 3 Plnt. t. 2. p. 292. B.; Plin. l. 18. sest. 14. p. 108.; Pauf. l. 1. c. 38; Pindar. Schol. ad Olymp. od. 9. p. 93.

Marm. Oxon. ep. 13. Plutarch feems to oppose this tradition, t. 2. p. 144.

⁰ Odyff. l. 4. v. 41.

P Ibid. l. 5. v. 127.; Hefied, Theog. v. 971. See Salmaf. Plin. exercit. p.

^{509, &}amp;c.; Le Clerc, not. in Hesiod. 1. 264, & 266.

I think we perceive a glimpfe of that ancient practice in the name of Triptolemus. Le Clere, according to his cuitom, has fearched in the oriental languages the etymology of this word. Triptolemus, according to his opinion, fignifies breaker of the ridges. Bibl. univers. t. 6. p. 54, & 91.

But I think that it would be more natural to draw the name of Triptolemus

from two Greek words Τεις & πελέω, ter verso.

This name probably has allusion to the custom of ploughing the land three times; a custom which the tradition of the Greeks implies, without doubt, to have been shewn by Triptelemus. A passage of Hesiod seems to savour this conjecture. See Theog. v. 971.

fingle piece of wood; the other, more compounded, confifted of two pieces of wood, contrived in fuch a manner, that one part made the body of the plough, and the other ferved to yoke the oxen to. I have borrowed from Hesiod this description q: but I confess, at the same time, it is not easy to form a clear and perfect idea of all its construction. We may fay, in general, that thefe ploughs were very simple; they had no wheels, and we do not find that they had any iron about them *.

Oxen and mules appear to have been the animals which the Greeks made use of most commonly for tillager. They used mules preferably to oxen when they wanted to open the earth lightly, as when they gave to the field a fecond ploughing f. We may conjecture also, and with much reason, that horses were fometimes used in this work t.

The Greeks had been a long time without the knowledge of the harrow. This machine does not appear to have been in use even in the time of Hesiod. We see in reality, that this poet employs a young flave to cover with a spade the feeds spread on the surface of the earth ".

The custom of manuring the grounds was established very anciently in Greece. Pliny attributes the invention of it to Augeas, fo famous in Greek antiquity for the immense quantity of his flocks x. The care of cleaning the stables of this

I We may conjecture this from the epithets that the poet gives to the two ploughs of which he speaks. Oper. & dies, v. 432, & 433. See Grævius, lection. Hesiod. p. 48, & 49.; Hom. Iliad, l. 10. v. 353. & schol. ad hunc

verf.

• They might object that Homer, Iliad, l. 23. v. 835, in speaking of a mass They might object that Fromer, finad, 1. 23. V. 335. In speaking of a mass of iron, fays, that it might be of great use to an husbandman, and conclude from thence that it should enter into the construction of ploughs. But I think that the poet would only say, that iron was proper to make many of the tools of which they had need for the country, such as sickles, axes, &c. The reason on which I ground this is, that if they had used iron in the construction of ploughs, the share, without doubt, ought to have been made of it. But Hesiod, who was probably posterior to Homer, says plainly, that the share was made of a fort of oak very hard, called meiro. Op. & dies, v. 436.

f Hesiod, op. & dies, v. 46.
f See Iliad, l. 10. v. 351, &c.; Odyss. 1. 8. v. 124. * Hesiod, op. & dies, v. 816. * L. 17. sect. 6. p. 55. u Id. opera, v. 469, &c.

prince was, fay they, one of the labours which Erystheus imposed on Hercules y. What is certain, is, that the fecret of meliorating the grounds, and fertilizing them by means of manure, was known to the Greeks in the most ancient times. Homer speaks of it precisely 2. Cicero 2 and Pliny b had already remarked it c.

These people had a manner of making their harvest different from that which we practife at present. Their reapers did not range themselves in a line as ours do. They divided thems felves into two parties, and each taking an end of a ridge, advancing one against the other, they met about the middle of the field d. The Greeks did not heap up their grains in sheaves in the barns, as is our practice. They put them in vessels of earth, or in baskets destined for that purpose e. Instead of beating the corn with flails, they made the oxen tread it for There is great reason to think, that the fan which they used had no resemblance to ours. We may conjecture, that this machine was made a good deal like a shovel g.

I have already faid elsewhere, that the Greeks originally, like all other people, had been ignorant of the art of reducing their grain to meal. They then eat it green and half-roafted *. They learned afterwards to grind it. This art must have been very rude in the beginning. They knew nothing but the peftle and mortar to reduce the grain into flour h. The Greeks, by degrees, had in use hand-mills. We have feen, that they

[/] Diod. l. 4. p. 259.; Pauf. l. 5. c. s. p. 377.

⁴ Odyff. 1. 17. v. 207. &c. 3 De fenect. n. 1;. t. 3. p. 312.

b L. 17. sect. 6. p. 55.

The possage of Homer meant by Cicero and by Pliny, is found in the Odys-

fey, 1. 23. v. 225, & 226.

They speak of Laertes, father of Ulysses, whom Homer, according to these two authors, represents employed in manuring his lands. It is in this fense that they translate the word Aiseacherra, used by this poet, though literally this word means simply, to raise or rake. But without having recourse to this passage, which may be dubious, we find in that which I have quoted the custom of manuring the grounds established in a precise manner.

d Hadd, 1.11. v. 67, &c. C Hassod, op. v. 475, & 48z, &c.

d Iliad, l. 11. v. 67, &c. C Hesiod, op. v. 47 f Iliad, l. 20. v. 495, &c. 2 Odyst. l. 11. v. 125. See the notes of Mad. Dacier.

h Mesiod. op! v. 423. * Supra, p. 179.

gave the honour of this invention to Myles, fon of Lelex first king of Laconia *. These machines, notwithstanding, were very imperfect. They were ignorant then of the art of making them move by means of water and of wind. The ancients, during many ages, knew nothing but hand-mills. In Greece i, as well as Egypt k, it was the women who were charged with the labour of turning the mill.

The Greeks had a custom of giving to the grains, before they ground them, many preparations, which proved how very imperfect the machines were which they employed in that operation. They began by steeping the grains in water. They then left them to dry for a whole month; and afterwards dried them by the fire. It was only after all these operations that they brought their corn to the mill 1. I have explained elfewhere the motives of all these preparations m.

I have nothing particular to fay of the manner in which the Greeks used the flour in the first times. I have spoke sufficiently of these ancient practices in the first part of this work n. We cannot determine the time in which the art of making bread began to be known in Greece. Tradition gives the honour of this invention to the god Pan o. We fee by Homer, that this discovery must have been very ancient p. I shall remark farther, that in the heroic times the women appear to have been the only perfons who concerned themselves in the care of preparing this aliment q.

^{*} Supra, p. 179. i Odyff, l. 7. v. 103, &c. l. 20. v. 105, &c. k See part 1. book 2. chap. 1. l Plin. l. 18. fect. 14. p. 108. m Part 1. book 2. chap 1. n Book 2. chap. 1. o Gallodor. var. l. 6. formul. 18. p. 106. P Iliad, l. 9. v. 216.; Odyff, l. 1. v. 147. See Odyff, l. 7. v. 103, &c. l. 18. v. 559, & 560.; Herod. l. 8. n. 137.

ARTICLE

Of the Art of making Wine.

THE epoch in which the Greeks had begun to cultivate the vine, and to know the art of making wine, labours under almost as many difficulties as that of tillage. The Athenians pretend equally to have communicated this knowledge to all Greece They place the epoch in the reign of Pandion the First, fifth king of Athens, 1463 years before Christ. But they were not agreed about the author of this discovery. Some give that honour to Bacchust, others to one Eumolpus, who had, fay they, quitted Thrace, his original country, to come and fettle in Atticau. I do not think we ought to pay much regard to this pretention of the Athenians. In all respects, it appears to me to have no foundation.

The greatest part of ancient authors agree to give the discoyery of the vine to Bacchus. They acknowledge, it is true, many persons who have borne that name; nevertheless, it is only to one who passed for the son of Jupiter. We ought, therefore, to make the first knowledge which the Greeks had of making wine, to afcend to the ages in which the Titans had reigned in that part of Europe; and I think in reality, that the culture of the vine had been introduced among the Greeks under the dominion of these princes. But it must have been with this knowledge as with many others which were abolished in the trouble and confusion which the extinction of the family of the Titans and the destruction of their empire, occasioned in Greece.

I have already faid, that some time after this event, the

^{*} Apollod, l. 3. p. 197.; Hygin. fab. 130.; Justin. l. 2. c. 6.; Pouf. l. 1. c. 23

Propert. l. 2. eleg. 33. v. 29.

(Apollod. l. 3. p. 197.

UPlin. l. 7. fcct. 57. p. 415. Pliny makes this Eumolpus an Athenian, but he is wrong. He was originally of Thrace, from whence he came to fettle at Athens, Sce Strabo. 1. 7. p. 494.

conductors of new colonies, had brought into Greece the arts under the auspices of the gods honoured in the countries from whence they came: depending on this principle, I conjecture, that Bœotia had been the first district of Grecce where the culture of the vine had been renewed. Cadmus, at the head of a Phoenician colony, fettled there 1519 years before the Christian æra. This prince had learned, in his travels, the art of planting the vine. He made it known to his subjects, and established at the same time the worthip of Bacchus, to whom the tradition of the people of the east had given the honour of the discovery of wine. Every thing feems to favour this fystem. The Greeks faid, that their Bacchus was the iffue of Jupiter and of Semele, daughter of Cadmus. Herodotus gives us the explication of this fable, by teaching us, that this prince introduced the worship of Bacchus into Greece x. Yet I believe, from the reafons I have already given, that Cadmus only made a renewal of it.

The Greeks had very particular methods of making wine. After having cut the grapes, they exposed them ten days to the fun and to the coolness of the night. They put them afterwards into the shade for five days, and the fixth they stamped them y This method was very long and very troublefome. It was with great difficulty they could make a large quantity of wine at a time. They must have had a considerable quantity of ground to spread and expose the quantity of grapes sufficient to make, for example, ten butts of wine. And there must not have been a lefs fpace, and more precautions afterwards to make these grapes dry in the shade. All these methods were fubject to great inconveniencies. The wine at that time must have been very dear in Greece, although they collected a great quantity. We may also judge of this, by the epithets which Homer gives to many of these countries.

X L 2. n. 49.
Y Odyff. l. 7. v. 122, &c.; Hefiod. oper. v. 611, &c. See Mad. Dacier's notes on the 7th book of the Odyffey, p. 160.

The Greeks did not keep their wines in casks. The useful invention of these vessels of wood, so commodious, was unknown to them. They put their wines in borachios, and very often into great vessels of earthen ware 2. The Athenians were particularly famous for making of these forts of vessels 2. But the custom of keeping the wine in these earthen vessels, liable to be broken, or in these leather-bags, subject to contract bad fmells, or to unrip, rendered at that time the carriage of wines very difficult, and the keeping of them less fure than with us at present.

Wine, if we believe fome authors, was not the only prefent which Bacchus made to the Greeks. After the example of Ofiris, he taught them to compose with water and barley a liquor, which, for strength and goodness, approached to wine b. Ovid, speaking of the meeting that Ceres, exhausted with weariness, had with an old woman named Baubo, says, that the goddess, having demanded some water, the old woman prefented her with a liquor composed of dried grain c. It feems, that the authors whom I cite would mean beer; but we may doubt if the knowledge of that liquor had been as ancient in Greece as they fay. Homer never mentions it. Is it with defign? or rather, is it not a mark, that in his time beer was not in use?

ARTICLE III.

Of the Art of making Oil.

Hough I have thought we should refuse to the Athenians the honour of having communicated to all Greece tillage and the culture of the vine, I shall not say so much of all that concerns the plantation of olives, and the art of draw-

⁷ Odyff. l. 9. v. 196.; Iliad, l. 9. v. 465.; Herod. l. 3. n. 6.; Diod. l. 5. p. 380.; Plin. 1. 35. fect. 46. p. 711.

a See Cafaub, not, in Athen. 1. 1. c. 22. p. 65. 6 Metam. l. 5. v. 449, &c. b Diod. I. 4. p. 248.

ing oil from their fruit. Attica appears to have been incontestably the first country in Greece, in which that part of agriculture is faid to have been knownd. The Athenians were indebted for it to Cecrops. This prince came from Sais e, a city of the Lower Egypt, where the culture of the olive-tree was the principal occupation of the inhabitants f. Cecrops, who found the foil of Attica very proper for that fort of trees, took care to have them planted s. The fuccess answered his expectation. Athens in a little time became famous for the excellence of its oil. It was even anciently the only place in Greece where olives were to be found h.

Antiquity thought they were indebted to Minerva for the discovery of this tree i. Moreover, this goddess was particularly reverenced at Sais k. The culture of the olive was then brought into Greece under the auspices of Miherva. Cecrops, in imparting that knowledge to the inhabitants of Attica, took occasion to establish, at the same time, the worship of that goddess !. The feast of Minerva was celebrated at Athens m in the same manner as at Sais n, by lighting an innumerable quantity of lamps. The Greeks have propagated many fables about all these events; they relate, that Minerva and Neptune had entered into a difpute about the honour of giving a name to the city of Athens. The question was to determine this dispute. Some faid, that they would refer it to Cecrops o; others, that the oracle ordered all the people to be affembled p; some, lastly q. that the twelve great gods were chosen to judge of the dispute. However it was, they determined, that those of the two divinities who could produce the most useful invention should name

d Herod l. 5. n. 82.; Ælian. var. hist. l. 3. c. 58.; Justin, l. 2. c. 6.
c Diod. l. 1. p. 33.
s Syncell. p. 153. B.
i Virg. georg. l. 1. v. 18.; Diod. l. 5. p. 389.
k Herod. l. 2. n. 59, & 62.; Cicero de nat. deor. l. 3. n. 23. t. 2. p. 506.
l Paus. l. 1. c. 27. l. 2. c. 36.; Euseb. præp. evang. l. 10. c. 9. p. 486.

m Marsh. p. 128. n Herod. l. 2. n. 62.

⁴ Euseb. chron. l. z. p. 75. P Varro apud August, de civit. Dei, l. 18. chap. 9.

⁴ Apolled; I. 3. p. 191.

the city they were building. Neptune, with a ftroke of his trident, made a horse come out of a rock: Minerva, by striking the earth with a lance, made an olive-tree come up: this production got her the victory. The explication of this fable is not very difficult to penetrate into.

It appears that it was not without fome difficulty that Cecrops engaged the inhabitants of Attica to apply themselves to the culture of olive-trees. The establishment of the worfhip of the gods was at that time too intimately connected with the establishment of the arts to receive one without the other. To adopt the worship of Minerva was to declare, that they would apply themselves to those arts of which that goddess passed for the inventress. The ancient inhabitants of Attica, profiting by their neighbourhood to the fea, were accustomed to piracy. Neptune of consequence was their tutelar divinity. One party opposed the new establishment of Cecrops; he would change the ancient manner of life. This prince, nevertheless, found the means to gain the greatest number of the inhabitants, and the plurality of votes gave it for the worship of Minerva, that is to fay, the preference to agriculture.

Yet we fee, in the circumflances of this fable, that spirit of vanity, which, in the latter times, has brought the Greeks to invent the most extraordinary sictions to bring back to their gods the invention and merit of all the arts. They had received them from their sirst sovereigns, who, coming out of policed countries, had brought into Greece the discoveries forgotten or unknown till their arrival. They had introduced, at the same time, the worship of the gods who were thought to be the authors of all these inventions. They infensibly consounded the history and motives of these establishments. The Greeks, naturally vain, and lovers of the marvellous, perplexed the ideas and obscured tradition, to attribute to the divinities which they had created the discovery of all the arts.

I have spoken, in the first part of this work, of the different methods invented originally to give light in the night. We have there seen, that the more or the less industry in the

ways which men invented to remedy the obscurity of darknefs, diffinguished barbarous people from polished nations. If this proposition is true, we may fay, that, in this respect, the Greeks in the heroic ages did not differ any thing from the pcople of whom we now form the most disadvantageous idea. Their little industry had not permitted them to procure any of the proper means to give light eafily and commodiously during the night.

The Greeks were not at that time ignorant of the art of making oil: yet they had not the use of lamps. They likewife knew wax and tallow, but had not found the fecret to draw from them their principal utility. These people, at the times I am speaking of, were lighted only by fires which they had in their apartments r. The princes, and those who piqued themselves upon delicacy, burnt odoriferous woods f. Virgil has conformed to the custom of these ancient times, when he fays, that Circe made them burn cedar to light her t.

With regard to torches, which are often mentioned and spoken of in Homer, they were pieces of wood split lengthwife, which they carried in their hand when they went in the night from one place to another ". I have shewn, in the first part of this work, the antiquity and the univerfality of this practice *. I shall add, that probably they employed for this use refinous woods.

Hother, indeed; has used on one occasion a term, which, at first fight, would make us think the Greeks knew lamps in the heroic times. He tells us in the Odyssey, that Minerva took a vafe of gold to light Ulyffes y: but it is more than probable, that this vafe was not a lamp. In reality, there is never any thing spoken of by this poet which has any relation to these fort of machines: we fee on the contrary, that on all occasions, where he could have placed lamps, he only speaks of burning

r Odyss. l. 6. v. 305. l. 18. v. 306, &c. l. 19. v. 63, &c. s Odyss. l. 5. v. 59, & 60.
t Urit odoratam nosturna in lumina cedrum. Æneid, l. 7. v. 13.

u Odyff. l. 18. v. 309, 310, & 316. x B. 2. chap. 1. art. 4.

y L. 19. v. 34.

torches. Also the scholiasts believe, that the word, which Homer has used to design the vase carried by Minerva, should be understood of a sheath of gold into which they had put a torch 2. I should rather think, that they meant a fort of chafing-dish, into which they put pieces of wood to make the fire lively and clear. The Turks use even at this day, to give them light, machines very like them a. -

But be it as it would, we may be affured that there is no mention made in Homer of oil, of wax, or of tallow, to give light. The Greeks in the heroic times never used tallow, or, to fpeak more properly, greafe, but to rub and foften things which time had hardened b. With refpect to wax, although they knew it, they employed it for quite another use than to burn *. As to oil, they incontestably never used it but to anoint and rub themselves. I confess, that lamps being so ancient in Asia and in Egypt as we have seen c, it is very astonishing, that the knowledge of them had not as yet got into Greece at the time of the war of Troy; but their ignorance in this refpect is not less certain.

ARTICLE IV.

Of the Culture of Fruit-trees.

T is certain, that the Greeks did not apply very early to the culture of fruit-trees. Figs and pears appear to

Z Ad Odyss. 1. 19. v. 34. Z Trev. Mars. 1721. p. 373. Homer only deligns what Minerva took to light Ulysses with, by the word λύχνον. It is certain, that, in the ages posterior to Homer, they constantly understood by λύχμος, a lamp; but I do not think, that, in Homer, that word ought to have the same signification; for he never speaks of oil for giving light. I should think then that λύχνος, in this possage, means a fort of chasing-dish, where they put little pieces of lighted wood. Moreover, this is the only time that the term avyres is found in Homer.

b See Oydff. 1. 21. v. 178, &c. * They covered with wax, ships, tablets of wood to write on, &c. The only time it is mentioned in Homer, is on account of Ulyffes, who, the poet fays, ufed wax to stop the ears of his companions, to hinder them from hearing the voice of the fyrens. Odyss. l. 12. v. 173.

² Part r. b. 2, chap. r. art. 4.

be the first fort of fruits which they knew d: we may add to these apples. We indeed see fig-trees, pear-trees, and appletrees in the description which Homer gives of the orchard of Laertese, father of Ulysses. Figs particularly were regarded as the first aliment of agreeable taste which the Greeks used f. The different traditions which these people have propagated about the epoch in which they had known this fruit, prove, as I have already faid, that the first principles of agriculture were very anciently known in Greece; that this art had fuffered interruptions. Some in reality carry back the knowledge of the fig-tree to Bacchus s, and place that event under Pandion I. h, who reigned at Athens 1463 years before Christ. Others give this honour to Ceres i, whose arrival in Greece they fix in the reign of Erechtheus k, 1426 years before the Christian æra. But, following another tradition, the Greeks had known the fig-tree long before these epochs. This tradition imported, that Syceus, one of the Titans, fon of the earth, being purfued by Jupiter, the tender mother had made the fig-tree come out of her bosom to serve for an afylum and the nourishment at the same time of this well-beloved fon !.

All these variations make us see that the Greeks had received fome knowledge of agriculture under the dominion of the Titans. The troubles which arose upon the death of these princes, made them neglect the culture of the earth, which the new colonies that came out of Egypt and Phœnicia reftored again to honour in Greece, about the commencement of the ages we are now running over.

We cannot enter into any detail of the manner in which the Greeks cultivated fruit-trees in the heroic times. There is nothing can instruct us in it: I think they were at that time very ignorant in this part of agriculture. They have not thought fit to reduce it into precepts. I fancy I have fufficient-

d Elian, var. hift, l. 3, c. 30, ; Plut, t. 2, p. 303, A. e Odyff, l. 24, v. 337, &c. f Athen. l. 3. c. 2. p. 74. h Apollod. l. 3. p. 197.

⁸ Athen. c. 5. p. 78. i Pauf, l. 1. c. 37. p. 89. k Marm. Oxon. ep. 12. 1 Athen. l. 3. c. 5. p. 78,

ly proved elfewhere, that the art of grafting was then abfolutely unknown m. To the proofs which I have given, we may add the reflection which Hefiod made with respect to olivetrees. This author, according to Plinyn, faid, that no man had ever feen the fruit of the olive-tree which he had planted; a fign that in his time the Greeks yet understood very little of the culture of fruit-trees.

I shall observe further on the subject of fig-trees, that the tree to which they gave that name in Greece, was not of the fame species with that which grows in our climates. That fort of fig-tree is much more fertile than ours o, but its fruits cannot come to maturity before they have been pricked by infects, which ingender in the fruit a certain fort of wild fig, called by the ancients Caprificus. Thus they took great care to plant them on the fides of their domestic fig-trees p. This custom is continued even at this time in the isles of the Archipelago q. We must observe further, that these fort of figs are far from being comparable to ours, for goodness and delicacy.

I think I can add to this article fome other practices which have a great relation to agriculture, taken from the general idea

of the productions and labours of the country.

The most common and the most ordinary arts are not certainly the least useful. Strabo, speaking of the ancient inhabitants of Great Britain, observes, that these people, who had many herds, did not know the art of curdling the milk, and making it into cheefe. He gives, with great reason, this fact as a mark of the groffness and ignorance of that nation f. The Greeks, in the ages we are at prefent speaking of, were not fo destitute of knowledge. They were instructed in the art of making cheefes. Homer speaks often of them t. The Greeks pretend to have been indebted for that know-

m See fupra, chap. 1. p. 86, & 82.

o Tournefort, voyage du Levant, t. 1. p. 340.

P Arift, hift, animal, l. 5. c. 31. p. 857; Theophraft, de cauf, plant, l. 2. c. t2. p. 246.; Plin, l. 15. fect. 21. p. 747.; Athen, l. 3. c. 4. p. 76, 77.

d Tournefort, loco cit. p. 338, &c.

r Ibid, p. 340.

Thid, p. 340.
Lliad, l. 11. v. 538.; Odyff, l. 7. v. 225.

ledge to Aristeus King of Arcadia u. He had, say they, moreover taught them the art of raifing bees, and making use of their honey *. I should doubt much of this last fact. It appears that in the heroic times they did not know in Greece the use of hives. We may conjecture this from a passage in which Homer compares the army of the Greeks to a swarm of bees. He does not make them come out of a hive, but out of the cliffs of a rock y.

C H A P. 111.

Of Clothing.

HE manner in which the first inhabitants of Greece were clothed, answered to the groffness of their manners. The skins of beafts which they killed in the chace served them for covering; but not knowing the art of preparing these skins, they wore them quite rough, and with the hair on z. The only ornament which they could imagine, was to wear the fur without 2. The finews of animals ferved them for thread. Thorns without doubt held the place of needles and bodkins. There remain yet in the writings of Hefiod traces of these ancient customs b.

We are ignorant in what time the Greeks learned the art of giving to skins convenient preparations, as to tan them, to curry them, &c. Pliny makes one Tychius, a native of Bocotiac, author of this invention, without marking in what age

u Justin. 1. 13 c. 7.

Aissteus had married Autonoe, daughter of Cadmus. Hefied. Theog. v. 977.;

Diod. l. 4. p. 324.

× Diod. Justin. locis cit.

y Hind. l. 2. v. 87, &c. We find indeed in Hesiod. Theog. v. 594, & 598, these words, σμηνος and σίμβλος, used afterwards to mean the hives where the bees make their honey. But independently of these two words not being found in Homer, and that we have many reasons to think Hesiod posterior to this poet, I would not even conclude from the words of Hesiod, that the Greeks knew in his time the art of gathering the bees into hives. If this practice had been known in the ages in which Hesiod wrote, he would probably have given some precepts, as Virgil has done in his Georgies.

Z Diod. l. 2. p. 151.; Pauf. l. 8. c. r. p. 509. a Pauf. l. rc. c. 38. p. 895b See Hesiod. oper. v. 544. e L. 7. fect. 57 D. 414.

this artist lived. Homer speaks of a workman of this name greatly celebrated, in the heroic times, for his skill in preparing and dressing skins. Among other works he had, says he, made the shield of Ajax. Yet there is no appearance that this should be the same person to whom Pliny has attributed the invention of currying skins. This art must have been known in Greece long before the war of Troy; but it is not possible to determine precisely the epoch.

It is not the same with respect to weaving. I think we may very well refer this establishment in Greece to the time of Cecrops. This prince came from Egypt, where the art of spinning wool, and the art of making stuffs, was known very anciently. He made known this invention to the inhabitants of Attica. The few memoirs which now remain to us of the origin of weaving in Greece, agree very well with this conjecture. The Athenians were looked upon in antiquity as the first who had known the art of making stuffs of wool and slax. They are faid even to have communicated these discoveries to all Greece d. We likewise know, that Athens in all times has been renowned for the skill of its inhabitants in weaving. The quality of the foil of Attica contributed much to the rapid progrefs which this art made among these people. The wool of that country was reckoned, in the judgment of the ancients, the best that was known e.

It is very important for the quality of the wool to keep the sheep in very great neatness. We could not carry our attention farther, in this respect, than certain people of Greece carried it. To procure the finest and best-conditioned wool, their precaution went so far as to cover the skins of their sheep, lest the injuries of the air should alter the sleece, and lest they should contract any dirt.

We see by the manner in which the Greeks anciently stript their sheep of their wool, how impersed the mechanic arts were among those people in the early times. There is a certain time of the year when the wool of the sheep comes off of

c Iliad, l. 7. v. 220, &c.

itself. The Greeks took advantage of that time to procure the wool of these animals, and tore it off 8. It was because they wanted sheers at that time, or other instruments proper for that operation. This custom did not subfift in the time of Hesiod, they knew then to shear their sheep h.

I have faid, in the first part of this work, that anciently the mechanics were disposed in such a manner that they could only work standing i. This custom subsisted still in Greece to. the heroic times, Homer not permitting us to doubt of itk Moreover, the stuffs which they then made, were very badly prepared. They had not yet found the art of fulling them. That art was not known in Greece till some time after the ages, which we are at present speaking of. They give the honour of it to one Nicias of Megara 1.

A very curious question presents itself to us on this subject, the examination of which deserves some attention. Homer gives us to understand, that, at the time of the war of Troy, they used oil in the preparation of their stuffs m. But what was the end of this practice? In what could it confift? Was it to

⁸ Varro, de re rust. l. 2. c. 11.; Plin. l. 8. fect. 73. p. 474.; Isidor. orig. l. 19.

h Op. & dies, v. 775.

i B. 2. chap. 2.

k Iliad. l. 1. v. 31. See Jun. de pict. veter. l. 1. c. 4. p. 26.

It may be objected what Homer says of the Phracians, Odysf. l. 7. v. 105,

Αίδ΄ 1585 υφόωσι κὶ ήλακατα 5ρω Φωτω

and from thence conclude, that, in the heroic times, the women had already quitted the troublesome custom of working standing. But there is the greatest reason to think, that the word "useras ought only to refer to those that foun, and not to those that worked at any trade. This is the more certain, as Eustathius, to whom this passage was not unknown, says positively, in commenting on the 31st verse of the 1st book of the sliad, that, in the times of Homer, the women did not yet work sitting.

1 Plin. l. 7. sect. 57. p. 414.

Pliny, by faying that this Nicias was of Megara, gives us to understand, that the art of fulling stuffs was not known till after the ages of which we now speak. Megara, in reality, according to Strabo, had not been built till after the return of

the Heraclidæ, l. 9. p. 965. It is true, that we find in Paufanias, f. r. c. 39. that Megara was built before the Heraclidæ, and that they only repaired it. But the testimony of Pausanius ought not to overbalance that of Strabo, whose exactness is acknowledged by the whole world. This is also the fentiment of Velleius Paterculus, l. r. n. z.

ai Iliad. l. 18. v. 595, & 596.; Odyst. l. 7. v. 107.

gloss the stuffs, to give them more sineness, or to make them impenetrable to rain or bad weather? This is very difficult to determine in a clear and precise manner: the poet has not entered into any detail or any explication of these different objects. We learn by the modern travellers, that, in China and the East Indies, it is still a practice to use oil for the preparation of many stuffs. What they have said of them will, I believe, give some light on the question we are about.

When the Chinese go a journey, they have a custom of taking with them a fort of habits, of which the stuff is of a thick tassety, done over with many layers of thick oil. This oil has the same effect on these stuffs that wax has on our cloths. They render them impenetrable to the rain a. The Chinese have another way of using oil. They use it to give to their fatins a very lively and very shining lustre a. This last process comes near enough to that which they follow in the East Indies for the making of these beautiful cotton-stuffs which come to us from those countries. The last preparation which they give to the thread of which they are made, is to rub them with oil P.

Perhaps also the Greeks used oil, and the heat of the fire, to draw the worsted, and spin their wool more finely and more easily. The stuffs made of these threads dipt in oil, were asterwards scoured by the means of salts and other preparations which they used in sulling it. We may chuse, among these different practices, those which we shall think most agreeable to the text of Homer; for there is room to conjecture, that he meant some reparation nearly like those which I have shewn. What is more certain is, that these passages of Homer are almost unintelligible.

n Memoire fur la Chine du P. le Comte, t. t. p. 246.

o Ibid. p. 102.

B Lettr. edif. t. 15. p. 400. and 401.

C H A P. III.

Of Architecture.

that word we understand simply the art of joining together materials, and composing of them edifices for the convenience and different uses of life. All policed people have had in this part of the arts, lights pretty near equal. Necessity suggested to them the same ideas and almost the same practices, although relative to the temperature of the seasons, and the influence of the air proper to each climate.

But architecture does not confift folely in the work of the hands, and in a fimple mechanic labour. It ought on many occasions to endeavour to produce the greatest effects, to join elegancy with majesty, and delicacy with folidity. It is taste and intelligence which ought then to direct the operations.

Neither Asia nor Egypt can pretend to the glory of having invented, or even of having known the true beauties of architecture. The genius of these nations turned towards the gigantic and the marvellous, was more taken with the enormous size and prodigiousness of a building, than with the graces and nobleness of its proportions. It is easy to judge of this by what now remains to us of the monuments raised in the east, and by the description the ancients have given us of those which exist no more *.

It was from the Greeks that architecture has received that regularity, that order, that entireness, which are able to charm our eyes. It was their genius which brought forth those magnificent and sublime compositions which we are never weary of admiring. We owe to them, in a word, all the beauties of which the art of building is capable. In this fense, we may say the Greeks have invented architecture. They have bor-

Vol. II. Cc rowed

^{*} I shall insist more particularly on the taste of the eastern people in architecture, in the article of arts in the third part of this work.

rowed nothing with regard to it from other nations. It is an art which they have entirely created. Greece has furnished the models and prescribed the rules which they afterwards followed when they would execute monuments worthy to descend to posterity. We find, in the three orders of Grecian architecture, all that art can produce either for majesty, elegance, beauty, delicacy, or folidity *.

Architecture, the same as the other arts, had but a very poor beginning among the Greeks. Their houses in early times were only simple cabins, constructed in a rude and gross manner built of earth and clay q. They very much refembled the dens and caverns which these people so long had dwelt in r. They found afterwards the art of making and burning bricks, and with them to build houses. The Greeks give the honour of that invention to two inhabitants of Attica named Eurialus and Hyperbius f. They were brothers: this is all we know of their history. We are ignorant in what time they lived.

The different colonies which came from Asia and Egypt fuccessively to settle in Greece, contributed to the progress of architecture. The chiefs of these new colonies gathered the people of many districts to build cities and towns, and accustomed their new subjects to lead a sedentary life. The origin of these establishments ascends to very early times. We have feen, in the first part of this work, that the cities of Argos and Eleusis owed their foundations to the first fovereigns of Greece . They had even, as I have already faid, begun to build temples ".

The first monuments which the Greeks raised, shew us the groffness and the little knowledge they had in the art of building anciently. The temple of Delphos, fo renowned fince for its magnificence, and which, even in the times

^{*} See a parallel of the ancient architecture with the modern; by M. de Chambray, p. 2.

9 Plin. l. 7. fect. 57. p. 413.

1 Id. ibid.; Æschyl. in Prometh, vincto, v. 449, &c.

f Plin. l. 7. fect. 57. p. 413. E Book z, chap, z, art. 5.

u Ibid. book. 2. chap. 3.

we now speak of, was famous for the riches it contained x, the temple of Delphos was originally only a simple thatched building covered with branches of laurel y.

In the time of Vitruvius they faw still at Athens, the remains of a building in which the Areopagi affembled in the beginning of their institution. This edifice, equally gross and unformed, confifted of a fort of cabin covered with fods 2. Such was anciently the manner in which the Greeks built.

Architecture could scarce have made any progress among those people before the arrival of Cadmus. The Greeks had forgot the art of working of metals, of which the Titan princes had shewn them the first elements 2. It was Cadmus, who, at the head of his colony, brought back into Greece fo necessary a knowledge. He did more: he taught these people the art of procuring stones from the bosom of the earth, the manner of cutting them b, and using them for the construction of buildings.

We meet with almost unsurmountable contradictions, when we will critically inquire into and discuss the knowledge which the Greeks had of architecture in the ages which we are going over at present. We may judge of this by the exposure of the facts which the writers of antiquity have transmitted to us on this subject.

If we refer to the testimony and the taste of Pausanias, we must be obliged to place in the infancy of the arts among the Greeks, the most wonderful monuments which these people had raifed. That author speaks of an edifice that Mynias king of Orchomena built to shut up his treasures c, and of the walls of

c L. 9. c. 36.

Mynias might reign about 1377 years before Christ. Pansanias, in effect, places the reign of this prince four generations before Hercules, l. 9. c. 36, & 37. As this historian reckons twenty-five years for a generation, Mynias should have preceded the birth of Hercules about 100 years, which we may fix about seventy years before the taking of Troy.

Tyrinthus built by Prætus d, as works worthy the admiration of all ages. He does not fear to put them in competition with the pyramids of Egypt; but I think this fentiment appears to me to labour under many difficulties.

The edifice constructed by Mynias was a fort of rotunda, a little flatted. All the building rested on a stone which was the centre of the arch. It ferved for a key to the whole work, on which rested all the parts. The whole monument was built of marble e. The walls of Tyrinthus were built of rough stones, but fo large, that, according to Paufanias, two mules could with difficulty draw the least of them. Little stones put in be. tween these great ones, filled up the intervals f. See what were the monuments which this author, as I have already faid, compares to the pyramids of Egypt.

To judge of these works, even from the description of Pausanias, we see nothing in them to be so much cried up. Besides, he is the only one who has mentioned them. Homer, Herodo; tus, Apollodorus, Diodorus, and Strabo, who had had fo many occasions to speak of the monuments of Greece, say nothing of the building of Mynias. With respect to the walls of Tyrinthus, they tell us, that they had been built by the workmen that Prætus brought from Lycia g. Further, they only represent that place as a fmall citadel raifed by Prætus in an advantageous post to serve him for a retreat h. Yet we shall not suspect, that these authors have despised the monuments of Greece, and still less that they have neglected to speak of them. Lastly, let us observe, that, according to Pausanias, the edifice raised by Mynias was arched, a fact no way credible, especially as it was constructed of marble: yet there is great appearance, that, even at the time of Homer, the Greeks did not know to work mar-

d Pauf. l. g. c. 36.

Prætus was brother of Acrifius, whose reign falls in the year 1379 before Christ.

Pans. 1. 9. c. 38.

I Id l. 2. c. 25.

Apollodor. 1. 2. p. 68.; Strabo, 1. 8. p. 572.

Liad, 1. 2. v. 559.; Apollod. 1. 2. p. 68.; Strabo, 1. 8. p. 572.

ble. We do not find in his poems any word to characterife and distinguish it from other stones. If marble had been then known, could Homer have forgot it in the description of the palace of Alcinous, and above all, in the palace of Menelaus, where he favs there shone gold, silver, tin, ivory, and the most rare productions i.

Lastly, it is very dissicult to reconcile the date of these monuments with the epoch which the Greeks assign for the invention of almost all the instruments necessary for the construction of edifices. If we believe the greatest part of the authors of antiquity, they owe to Dædalus the plane, the faw, the wimble, the foure, and the manner of taking and finding of levels by means of a plummet. It is true, that Dædalus divided with his nephew Talus, Calus, Attalus, or Perdix, (for authors differ about his name), one part of the glory of these inventions k. The mother of this young man had intrusted Dædalus to instruct him in the fecrets of his art. He had moreover more genius and industry than his master. At the age of twelve years, having met with the jaw of a ferpent, and having used it with success to cut a little piece of wood, that adventure gave him the idea of making an instrument which imitated the sharpness of the teeth of that animal. He took for this business a sheet of iron, and cut it after the model of these little teeth, short and thick fet, which he had remarked in the ferpent. It was thus that he found the faw 1. They also attribute to him the invention of the compass, of the throw, and the potter's wheel m. History adds, that Dædalus was not exempt from the low jealoufy which has at all times been the vice of artifts, even of those who professed the most noble and most elevated arts. Apprehending that he should be outdone by his disciple, he destroy, ed him.

_ i Odyff. 1. 4. v. 72, &c.

As the interpretation of the word nature of used in this description is liable to be disputed, I have not thought proper to give it a determinate signification.

k Diod. l. 4. p. 319, & 310.; Hygin. fab. 274.; Ovid. metam. l. 8. v. 247,

[&]amp;c. Plin. l. 7. fect. 57. p. 414.
1 Diod l. 4. p. 319, & 320.; Hygin. fab. 274.; Ovid. metam. l. 8. v. 241. & feq.
m Id. ibid.

Although it be thus in this little history, Dædalus, by the confession of all chronologists, is posterior to the edifices which I have just mentioned. Yet how could they imagine he should build without the help of instruments, which they say had been invented either by that artist or by his nephew?

Further, there is great reason to doubt, whether these practices were known, even in the ages in which historians have placed these discoveries. To judge of the reality of facts, and what to think of the tools used in the heroic times among the Greeks, it is Homer we ought to confult. We shall see that he does not feem to have any idea of the greatest part of the inventions attributed to Dædalus or his nephew. Without reckoning many places in his poems, where he had occasion to speak of the faw, the compass, and the square, the vessel which he caused to be built for Ulysses in the isle of Calypso, afforded him a fine field to speak of all the tools of which he could have any knowledge. These nevertheless which he gives to his hero, only confift of a hatchet that cut at both ends, a plane, wimbles, a level, or a rule to make the wood straight n. There is no mention of the square, the compass, or even the saw. This last instrument would yet have been the most necessary for Ulysses for the construction of his ship. Shall we presume, that Homer neglected to give one to the King of Ithaca *? We cannot fay that this prince may be thought to have wanted tools necesfary and proper for the work which he undertook. The poet has not placed him in a defert and abandoned island. Ulysses was then with a goddess in a capacity of supplying him with all the helps of which he stood in any need. There is great room to believe, that Homer gives to his hero all the tools that were in use at this time. Since there is no mention made of the square, the compass, or the saw, we ought to presume, that these instruments were not yet invented. The Greeks, in the

n Odyst. 1. 5. v. 234, & 245, &c.

^{*} The word neiw, which in Greek fignifies a faw, is not found in Homer, nor any thing equivalent to it.

heroic times, were almost as destitute of mechanical knowledge as the people of the new world. The Peruvians, whom we may look upon in many respects as a policed nation, were ignorant of the use of the saw o. We know even at this time, many people to whom this instrument is unknown p. They supply it by different means. They cleave the trunks of trees into many parts by means of wedges of stone. Afterwards they sashion each piece with hatchets, and thus they come, with difficulty, to make planks q. The Greeks must then have used very near the same method r.

The doubts which I have raised about the inventions attributed to Dædalus, have engaged me to propose some on the monuments of which he is looked upon as the author.

They make him travel into Egypt to be instructed and perfected in the arts. He profited so well by the lessons which he received there, that he surpassed in a little time, say they, the most able architects of that country. They chose him to construct the vestibule of the temple of Vulcan at Memphiss. He executed it in a superior manner. This work acquired its author so much glory, that they placed in the temple his statue in wood made by himselfs. They did more. The genius and invention of Dædalus placed him in so high a reputation among the Egyptians, that these people decreed to him divine honours. If we should believe Diodorus, there subsisted, even in his time, a temple consecrated under the name of this samous artist, in one of the isles bordering upon Memphis. This temple, adds he, was in great veneration through all the country u.

It was not only in Egypt that Dædalus exercised his talents: he had lest in many countries the testimonies of his skill in architecture. He built at Cumæ, on the coast of Italy, a temple to Apollo, in acknowledgement of his happy escape from

O See part r. book 2. chap 3. P Lettr, edif. t. 18. p. 328.

Part r. book 2. chap. 3.; Voyage de Dampier, t. 2. p. 10. t. 4. p. 231.

See Virgil, georg. l. 1. v. 144.

Id. ibid.

Crete. They boafted of the architecture of this temple as very beautiful and very magnificent x.

In the residence which Dædalus made in Sicily, he embellished that ishe with many works equally useful and ingenious: he built among others on the height of a rock a very strong citadel, and made it absolutely impregnable y. Mount Erix was fo steep, that the houses which they had been obliged to construct near the temple of Venus, appeared ready to fall every moment down the precipice. Dædalus augmented the fize of the fummit of that mountain by means of earth he brought there, and supported it with a wall z. He dug also near Megara in Sicily a grand pond, through which the river Alabon discharged itself into the sea 2. His industrious genius shone still more in the construction of a cavern which he dug in the territory of Selinunta: he knew how to manage and employ with fo much art, the vapour of the fubterraneous fires which came from thence, that the fick people who entered into that cavern, foon perceived themselves thrown into a gentle sweat, and were cured infenfibly, even without finding any inconveniency from the heat b. Diodorus adds, that Dædalus made in Sicily many other works which the injuries of time have destroyed.

But these monuments, however commendable they might be, ought not to be put in comparison with the famous labyrinth which he made in the ifle of Crete. This work alone would have been fufficient to immortalize the name of Dædalus. Ancient tradition fays, that he had taken the model and the defign from that which we fee in Egypt; but he had only executed an hundredth part of it c. Dædalus had confined himfelf to imitate the entrance of the labyrinth of Egypt, where we met with such a surprising number of turnings and windings, so difficult to remark, that it was not possible to get out when we

x Virgil. Aneid. l. 6. v. 17, & feq.; Sil. Ital. l. 12. v. 102.; Aufon. Idyll. 10. v. 300, & 301.

y Diod. l. 4. p. 321.

E Ibid. p. 320. & l. 1. p. 71.; Plin. l. 36. fect. 19. p. 739. b Ibid.

were once engaged among them: and it must not be imagined. favs Pliny, that the labyrinth of Crete had a resemblance to those which we execute in gardens, where, by means of a great number of multiplied alleys, we find the fecret of making many ways in a very fmall space. The labyrinth of Crete was a very spacious edifice, distributed into a number of separate pieces, which had on all fides openings and gates, the number and confusion of which hindered us from distinguishing the way out. This is what the ancients have related of the works executed by Dædalus.

It appears at first fight very fingular, that such like edifices should have been built in ages fo gross and so ignorant as those of which we are speaking at present: it is still more surprising, that one fingle man should have been equal to so many labours of fuch different kinds, and these executed in countries so diftant from each other *. Nothing, at first fight, appears to be better established, than the long possession in which Dædalus has been supported to the present time of having been an univerfal genius. The fact is attefted by a crowd of authors as well Greek as Roman. Their testimony nevertheless does not perfuade me, and I think, that all that the writers of antiquity have handed down to us on this fubject, may be founded on no reality.

How could we perfuade ourselves in effect, that the Egyptians, who avoided all commerce with other nations d, should have chose a stranger to decorate the temple of their principal divinity? This fingle confideration would fuffice to render the fact very dubious; but it entirely destroys it, when we see that Herodotus, who speaks of the same monument e, does not fpeak a word of Dædalus, nor of his ftay in Egypt. I pass over in filence the other works attributed to this artist, of which I could equally make a criticism: I confine it to the labyrinth of Crete, an edifice so boasted of by the ancients, and which appears alone to have caused the greatest reputation of Dædalus,

^{*} In Greece, in Egypt, in Crete, in Italy, &c. 4 See Herod. l. 2. n. 91. See also part 1. book 6.

c L. 2. n. 101.

Let us examine the age of the authors who have made mention of this monument, and we shall see that they all lived more than 1200 years after the time to which they have referred its construction. Besides, they only speak by tradition: they agree, that, though the labyrinth of Egypt existed still in their times, that of Crete was destroyed f. Neither are they agreed as to the form and species of this work. Diodorus and Pliny fay, that the labyrinth of Crete was an immense edifice, and of a wonderful structure g. But Philocorus, a very ancient author, did not think the fame. It was, in his opinion, a prison where the criminals were shut up very fafely h. Cedrenus and Eustathius advance, that this fo boafted monument was only a cave where they found many avenues, turnings, and windings, and that art had helped nature a little i. This fentiment is confirmed by M. de Tournefort, who, in the year 1700, visited these places with great exactness k. The testimony of this able traveller, joined to the diverfity of opinions which reign among the authors who have spoken of the labyrinth of Dædalus, shews the little regard we ought to pay to their recitals. Let us finish by giving the proof.

Why has not Homer, who was, without comparison, much nearer to the age of Dædalus than all these writers, faid any thing of the labyrinth of Crete? If fuch a work had existed in his time, is it to be believed, that he would have paffed it in filence? He who fo often makes mention of the ifle of Crete; he who very feldom fails to give to the cities and the countries of which he speaks some epithets, which are always taken from their arts or their natural history? But further, Homer speaks of Dædalus 1, and of the taking away of Ariadne by Theseus m; but he does not fpeak one word of the labyrinth. Yet an occasion of speak-

f Diod. l. r. p. 71.; Plin. l. 36. fect. 19. p. 740. 8 Diod. l. r. p. 71.; Plin. l. 36. fect. 19. p. 740. h Apud Plut. in Thef. p. 6. i Cedren p. 122. k Voyag. du Levant, t. r. p. 65, &c. ! Iliad, l. 18. v. 590, &c.

ing of it presents itself too naturally for the poet to let it escape him, if the tradition about that monument had had place in his time.

Herodotus, who, after Homer, is the most ancient writer which now remains to us of antiquity, has likewife kept a profound filence about the monument of Crete. Yet he fpeaks of Minos: he relates, that that prince died in Sicily about the time when he purfued Dædalus n. He might have made fome digreffion on this occasion, on the adventures and works of that artift; and we cannot reproach Herodotus of lofing occasions to entertain his readers with curious and interesting anecdotes. For what reasons then, describing the labyrinth of Egypt, should he say nothing of that of Crete? It was nevertheless the place to call it to mind, by so much the more, as, on this subject, he cites the celebrated works on which Greece plumes itself o. Herodotus then would not have forgot a monument, which, though inferior to that of Egypt, would not have failed to have done honour to the Greeks.

Paufanias, who has moreover entered into a grand detail of the works attributed to Dædalus, does not fay, that the labyrinth of Crete had been constructed by that famous artist. Lastly, if it is true, as I hope to shew, that the labyrinth of Egypt, from which all thefe authors avow that Dædalus had taken the model of his, was not constructed till above 600 years after the time we now fpeak of p, they will grant how little reality there was in the monument of Crete. This is also the fentiment of Strabo. He gives us to understand very clearly, that all that the Greeks have uttered of the labyrinth, and of the minotaur, was only a fable q. I think further, that it is the fame with all the inventions attributed to Dædalus. They

are

[°] L. 2. n. 148. n L 7. n. 170. q L. 10. p. 730, & 731.

P See part 3. book 2. q L. 10. p. 730, & 731. We find, it is true, ancient medals and ancient stones, on which this labyrinth is represented with its turnings and windings. We see the minotaur in the middle of that edifice. See Goltzius, Aug. tab. 49. 11.; Montfaucon, antiq. expliquée, t. 1. p. 76.

These monuments would then equally prove the existence of the minotaur and the labyrinth. I doubt whether any one would maintain at this time, that D d 2

are pure imaginations, founded on fome idioms of the Greek languager.

I shall not enter into a particular detail of the manner in which the houses of private persons were then built: Homer only supplies us with slight hints on this object. We are very little affured of the fignification of the greatest part of the terms which he uses to design the different parts of an edifice. We fee that anciently the roofs were a terrals f. This was a custom almost general in all the east. But the practice of the Greeks, of making the doors of their houses open outwards into the street t, must appear very fingular: they were obliged each time they wanted to go out, first to make a noise against the door, to give notice to passengers to keep at a distance ".

It is very difficult to comprehend, and still more to explain, the manner in which, according to Homer, the doors could be opened and shut. We fee plainly, that the locks and the keys which the Greeks used, did not refemble ours; but it is not easy to comprehend the contrivance and the mechanism of these instruments. We may conjecture, that there was on the infide of the door a fort of bar, or bolt, which they could let down or raife up by means of a latchet *. The keys which they used for this purpose were made in the manner of a pick-lock; it was a piece of copper pretty long, turned like a fickle, and had a handle of wood or ivory. There was in the door a hole

there really existed a monster; such as these medals and engraved stones represent to us. We ought to put the labyrinth of Dædalus and the minotaur among the number of those popular traditions which certain cities adopted, and with which they loved to decorate their monuments.

f Odyff. l. 10. v. 552, &c.

† Odyss. 1. 21. v. 391. See Madam Datier's notes. u Phot. p. 196.; Terent. Andria, act 4. seen. 1. v. 687.

r Δαίδαλος fignifies in general a workman very ingenious, very able, and even a work made with art. This is an observation which has not escaped Pausanias. He adds, that they gave the name Δαιδαλος to ancient statues of wood, even before Dædalus, 1. 9. c. 3.

The Andrian was translated from Menander, and the scene was at Athens.

x Odyff. I. 1. v. 441, 442. I. 4. v. 802.

y Odyff. I. 21. v. 6, & 7. We may see the figure of those keys in the remarks of M. Huet in Manil. I. 1. p. 8.

which was just under the bolt: they put in the key by the hole, and feized on the latchet which held the bolt; and fo lifted it up, and opened the door. The locks which the negroes of Guinea use at this time, may give us some idea of all this mechanism z, almost unintelligible in the writings of the ancients.

It appears, that, in the heroic times, they were very curious to adorn and enrich the infide of their houses. The apartments of the palace of Menelaus were very fumptuous and very magnificent 2: but there is great reason to think they did not then know the art of decorating the buildings on the outfide. Of all the edifices described by Homer, not one of them prefents us with what may be called the ornaments of architecture. This poet only speaks of porticoes b, and yet we have not a fufficiently clear idea of these forts of works. We are ignorant of what could have been their structure and dispofition. The use which the Greeks then made of these porticoes, is absolutely contrary to what we now understand by that fort of building. It was in effect under these porticoes that they lodged their friends and other strangers of consideration c. This reflection fuffices to destroy the ideas which that name naturally prefents in our language; and we must agree, that we cannot explain, at this time, what Homer understood by the word which we commonly translate by that of portico *.

From all that I have faid, it follows, that we can determine nothing of the state and the progress of architecture in Greece for the ages we are at prefent about. We should not be in this difficulty, if we would adopt the fen-

² Nouv. relat. de la France Equinox, p. 143, & 144. a Odvst. l. 4. v. 12, &c. b Ibid. l. 4. v. 297, & 302.

a Odyss. 1. 4. v. 72, &c. b Ibid. 1. 4. v. 297, & 302.
c Iliad, 1. 24. v. 644.; Odyss. 1. 4. v. 297.
* It is only by a fort of tradition that we are used to translate, by the term portico, the word a1880a, used by Homer in the description of these palaces. The grounds of that explication are entirely unknown to us. It is plain, that a 1850a comes from a 160, uro, luceo; but it is not equally proved, that they were formerly in constant use, as the scholiasts say, that they lighted fires under the porticoes of great houses. It is, notwithstanding, on this pretended use that they ground their explication.

timent of Vitruvius on the origin and the epoch of the different orders of architecture invented by the Greeks. "Anciently," fays he, " they were ignorant of the art of proportioning the " various parts of a building: they used columns, but they " cut them at hazard, without rules, without principles, and without having any attention to the proportions which they a ought to give them: they placed them likewife without any " regard to the other parts of the edifice. Dorus, fon of He-" len and grandfon of Deucalion*, having caufed a temple " to be built at Argos in honour of Juno; that edifice was " found by chance to be constructed according to the taste and the proportions of the order which afterwards they " called Doric. The form of this building having appeared " agreeable, they conformed to it for the construction of edi-" fices which they afterwards had to build d.

" About the fame time," adds Vitruvius, " the Athenians " fent into Asia a colony under the conduct of Ion, ne-" phew of Dorus †: this undertaking had very good fuc-" cefs. Ion feized on Caria, and there founded many cities: " thefe new inhabitants thought to build temples. They pro-66 posed for a model that of Juno at Argos; but ignorant of the proportion which they ought to give to the columns, " and in general to the whole edifice, they fought for rules " capable of regulating their operation. These people wanted, in making their columns fufficiently strong to sup-" port the whole edifice, to render them at the fame time " agreeable to the fight. For this purpose, they thought 66 to have given it the same proportion that they found be-" tween the foot of a man, and the rest of his body. " According to their ideas, the foot made a fixth part of " the human height: in consequence, they gave at first to a "Doric column, taking in its chapiter, fix of its diameters; "that is to fay, they made it fix times as high as it

^{*} He was king of all Peloponnesus, and lived about 1522 years before Christ.

d Vitruv. l. 4. c. 1. † Ion was fon of Xuthus, brother of Dorus.

" was thick e: afterwards they added to it a feventh dia-" meter *.

"This new order of architecture was not long in giving " birth to a fecond: they would immediately go beyond their " first invention. The Ionians (it is Vitruvius who still speaks) " tried to throw still more delicacy and elegance into their edi-" fices. They employed the fame method which they had " before put in practice for the composition of the Doric or-" der: but instead of taking for a model the body of a man, 66 the Ionians were regulated by that of a woman. With a view to make the columns of this new order more agreea-" ble and more pleafing, they gave them eight times as much " height as they had diameter f. They also made channelings " all along the trunk to imitate the folds of the robes of women: the volutes of the chapiter represented that part of 66 the hair which hung in curls on each fide of the face. The "Ionians added, laftly, to these columns a base which was " not in use in the Doric order g." According to Vitruvius, these bases were made in the manner of twisted cords, as a kind of case for the columns. This order of architecture was called Ionic from the name of the people who had invented it.

This is what Vitruvius relates of the origin and epoch of the Doric and Ionic orders: he makes it afcend as we have feen, to very early times.

I shall not stop to shew the little resemblance to truth there is in this whole narration; but whatever had been the origin of these two orders, I think we cannot refer them to the ages in which Vitruvius has placed them. We do not fee in effect, that Homer, greatly posterior to these times, had the

e Vitrov. !. 4. c. r.

^{*} Vitruv. ibid.; Plin. 1. 36. fect. 56. p. 755.

At that time we may fay, that the Doric column had the proportion of the body of a man. For the foot of a man is at least the seventh part of his height.

f Vitruv. l. 4. c. 1.

Atterwards they gave to the columns the height of eight of their diameters. At this time, they have nine, if we include the chapiter and the base.

g See M. de Chambray, p. 15. 19, & 3301 fee also the notes of Periault on

Vitravius, p. 176. note 6.

least idea of what we call the orders of architecture. I have already made this remark: I shall add, that if it had been known, it would very probably have been put in practice. Occasions were presented to him more than once in his poems. Homer speaks of temples consecrated to Minerva and to Neptune, and yet he gives no description of them h. With respect to palaces, what he has faid does not give an idea of any order or of any defign in architecture. We should not even dare to affirm, that the columns mentioned in these edifices were of stone; they were only, according to all appearances, simple . posts *. Lastly, the only eulogy which Homer makes of the palace of Ulyffes, confifts in faying that it was very high, that the court was defended by a wall and by a hedge. The poet also praises the strength and the solidity of the gates of this palace, giving us to understand, that it was very difficult to force them. He feems to infift much on this article k, which was a very effential point in the heroic times, on account of the robberies which then were very frequent in Greece. These reflections are fufficient, I think, to make us reject the recital of Vitruvius, too modern an author, with relation to the ages of which we now speak, for us to believe his simple testimony. It is better to acknowledge our ignorance of the state in which architecture then was in Greece, than to refer to fuch suspected traditions.

h See Iliad. 1. 6. v. 297.; Odyff. 1. 6. v. 266.

i See Iliad. l. 6. v. 242. l. 20. v. 11.; Odyst. l. 4. v. 72, &c. l. 7. v. 85, &c.

^{*} I remark at first, that Homer never calls these columns shaus, a word which properly fignifies a column of stones; but always ziovas, which can only be understood of posts of wood. I shall observe, in the second place, that they drove pegs into these columns to hang different utensils upon, and that they there contrived cavities proper to keep different arms in. Odyss. l. 22. v. 176, &c. l. 8. v. 66, &c. l. 1. v. 127, &c. l. 19. v. 38.

But, moreover, Homer willing to give us an idea of the largeness of an olive-tree which supported the bed of Ulysses, compares it to a column; and it is there

to be remarked, that he uses the word niwr, to design that column. Odysi. I. 23. v. 191.

k Odyff. l. 17. v. 264, &c.

C H A P IV.

Of Metallurgy.

H Istorians are not agreed about the time in which the art of working of metals became known in Greece. Some make this discovery ascend to the most early ages, others place it in ages much more recent; these contradictions nevertheless are only in appearance. It is easy, by distinguishing the spirit and the motives of these traditions, to reconcile the recitals which at first appear the most opposite.

I think, that the knowledge of metals, and the art of working them, had originally been brought into Greece by the Titan princes: many facts feem to favour this conjecture. The Greeks, according to fome authors, attribute to Sol the fon of the Ocean, the discovery of gold k. I have already faid, that anciently they called fons of the Ocean, those who from time immemorial had come by fea into a country. It was by this way, that the Titans had come into Greece: they came out of Egypt 1. The Egyptians attribute to their ancient fovereigns the discovery of metallurgy m: they had deified them in acknowledgment of that invention, and of many others which these monarchs had imparted to their peoplen. A prince, whose name the Greeks have rendered by that of Elios, and the Romans by that of Sol, had been, by the confession of almost all historians, the first who had reigned in Egypt o. This monarch was also regarded as the most ancient divinity in the country P. Gold was the first metal that men have known 4. Nothing hinders us to believe, that the prince of whom we now speak, had shewn to the Egyptians the manner of working this metal. I even think to find a proof of it in the relation which at all times has been established between the Sun, the name of an Egyptian monarch, and gold. The art of

k Gellius apud Plin. l. 7. fect. 57. p. 414.

l See part 1. book 1. art. 5. m Ibid. book 2. chap. 4.

n Diod. l. 1. p. 17. o Ibid. P Ibid.

See part 1. book 2. chap. 4.

Vol. II. F. e

work-

working of metal was brought into Greece by the Titans, and under the auspices of the Sun: these princes came by sea This was enough to make the Greeks fay afterwards, that the discovery of gold had been communicated to them by Sol son of the Ocean.

We may consider in the same point of view, what they related of the discovery of silver: they said they were indebted for it to Erichthonius. This prince, according to the tradition of the Greeks, was the fon of Vulcan f. No one is ignorant, that the Egyptians revered Vulcan as one of their most ancient divinities; who was looked upon to have invented firet, and who among the Greeks was thought to prefide at all the operations of metallurgy ".

With respect to copper, the first who worked that metal in-Greece, were, according to fome authors, workmen brought by Saturn and Jupiter x. We fee, lastly, that, from a very ancient tradition, Prometheus passed for having learned the Greeks the art of working in metals y. We know, that this person, so famous in antiquity, was cotemporary with the Titans. All these facts then seem to declare, that the first knowledge of metallurgy had been brought into Greece by the Titan princes; and it is after this ancient tradition, that the authors have spoken, who made the art of working of metals afcend to the first ages of Greece.

I have already remarked on many occasions, that the reign of the Titans had been very fhort, whose fall had drawn along with it that of the knowledge which these strangers had imparted to Greece z. There must have new colonies come from Egypt and from Asia to re-establish, or, to speak better, to recreate the arts in that part of Europe. Cadmus ought to be looked upon as the first who renewed in Greece the art of working of metals. This prince discovered in Thrace, at the foot of Mount Pangæus, mines of gold. He had learned the

r Plin. l. 7. sest. 57. p. 414. f Apollodor, 1. 3. p. 196; " Sec Odyff, I. 6. v. 233, & 234. t Diod. l. 1. p. 17.

^{*} Strabo, l. 14. p. 966.; Stephan. in voce Aidrofos, p. 38. Y Æschil. in Prometh. vincto, v. 501, &c.

² See part 1. book 1. art. 5.

Greeks to dig for them, to draw from thence the metal, and to prepare it 2. He also made copper known to them, and the manner of working it b. This fentiment is even supported by the name which in all times they have given to one of the principal alloys which enters into the preparation of copper. Calamine or Cadmia, which is of great use to refine that metal, and to augment its weight, had received from Cadmus the name which it bore formerly, and which it retains even at this day c.

We are ignorant by whom, and at what time the art of working filver had been brought into Greece. I should incline also to give Cadmus the honour of the re-establishment of that part of metallurgy. I ground it upon this, that Herodotus 4 tells us, that Mount Pangæus, where Cadmus found mines of gold, contained also mines of filver.

It is therefore with fome fort of reason, that this prince has passed, in the writings of most authors, for the first who had shewn to the Greeks the art of working metals; and it is not difficult, as we fee, to reconcile the different traditions which have been preferved in Greece about the origin of that discovery. There is nothing contradictory in it. In effect, though the knowledge of the arts had perished with the Titans, there were nevertheless preserved some traces of them. Some writers had collected them, and transmitted to us the history of them. Others have neglected these ancient traditions, or perhaps were ignorant of them. They have therefore attributed to the chiefs of the last colonies who came into Greece, the discovery of many arts of which they were only the restorers.

We do not meet with the same division nor the same diverfity of opinions about the time in which the Greeks knew and learned to work iron. The ancients agree fufficiently to place this discovery under the reign of Minos the First e, 1431 years before Christ. This knowledge had passed from Phrygia into

a Plin. l. 7. fest. 57. p. 414.; Clem. Alex. strom. l. 1. p. 363. See also Herod. l. 7. n. 6, & 12.

b Hygin. fab. 274.; Strabo, l. 14. p. 998.

c In Latin Cadmea. See Plin. l. 34. fect. 2, & 22.

c Marm. Oxon. ep. 11. d L. 7. n. 6, & 12,

Europe, with the Dactyli, when they quitted the neighbourhood of Mount Ida to come and fettle in Crete f. Neverthelefs, it does not appear that the art of working iron had been much extended in Greece. It was originally with the Greeks as with all people of antiquity. They used copper for most of the things for which at present we use iron. At the time of the war of Troy not only arms 8, but even all tools, and all the instruments of mechanic arts h, were of copper. Iron was then so esteemed, that in the games which Achilles caused to be celebrated in honour of Patroclus, he proposed as a confiderable prize a ball of that metal 1. Homer speaks always of it with great distinction k.

With regard to tin, it was by commerce with the Phœnicians the Greeks had procured that metal, They made great use of it in the heroic ages. I shall have occasion to speak of it more particularly in the article of commerce and navigation.

It appears, that, at the times which we are now fpeaking of, the art of working gold, filver, and copper, had made a very great progrefs among the Greeks. We fee, by the writings of Homer, that these people knew at that time all the instruments proper for the fabric of these metals 1. I reserve the detail of all these practices for the following chapter, where I shall treat of the knowledge the Greeks has in gold work in the ages of the war of Troy.

HAP. V.

Of Designing, Graving, Chasing, Gold Work, and Sculpture.

TT/E are ignorant in what time defign, and the arts which have relation to it, took their rife among the

f Ephorus, apud Diod. l. 5. p. 381.; Heffod, apud Plin. l. 7. fect. 57. p. 414.
g See infra, book 5. chap. 3.
h Iliad. l. 23. v. 118, &c.; Odyff. l. 3. v. 433. l. 5. v. 244.
i Uliad. l. 23. v. 826. k Ibid. l. 7. v. 473. ct paffin. l Odyff. l. 3. v. 433.

Greeks. Antiquity has transmitted nothing to us that is satisfactory on the origin of all these different discoveries. They attribute to Love the first essay that Greece had seen of the art of designing, and casting objects in earth.

A young girl, violently smitten with her lover from whom she was to be separated for some time, endeavoured to find ways to soften the rigour of absence. Taken up with this business, she remarked on the wall the shadow of her lover, designed by the light of a lamp. Love makes us ingenious. It inspired that young person with the idea of preserving that dear image, by drawing about the shadow a line which followed and marked exactly the contour. History adds, that our lover's father was a potter of Sicyone, named Dibutade. This man having considered the work of his daughter, applied clay on these strokes, by observing the contours such as he saw them designed: he made by this means a profile of earth which he burnt in his surnace m. We are not assured of the time in which this Dibutade lived. Some authors place him in very remote ages n.

Such had been, according to ancient tradition, the origin of defign and in figures of relief in Greece. We are ignorant of the consequence of this first essay. We can say nothing of the degrees that the greatest part of the arts, which have relation to design, went through successively among the Greeks. We may conjecture, that these practices have not begun to make any great progress till after the arrival of the colonies conducted by Cecrops, Cadmus, &c. These princes came out of Egypt and Phœnicia, countries where the arts concerning design were known from time immemorial.—Whatever it be, a number of sacts reported by Homer shew, that, in the ages we are now upon, the Greeks were instructed in many arts which depended entirely upon design.

They knew how to work in ivory, and apply it to diffe-

m Plin. l. 35. fect. 43. p. 710.

n See Junius, in Catalog. p. 56.

rent uses °. They applied it to the adorning of chairs and other furniture °. These works were of very great value, and much sought after. They must even then have had in Greece, artists distinguished for their taste and skill. Homer speaks of one Icmalius, as of a workman who excelled in these forts of works °.

It is certain also, with respect to gold work, that the Greeks knew many parts of that art. We see frequently, in the writings of Homer, the princes of Greece using cups, ewers, and basous, of gold and silver. The shield of Nestor was composed of frames or sticks of gold. This prince had also a cup of pretty elegant workmanship. It was adorned with study of gold, with two double handles, and other different ornaments. Homer farther speaks very often of workmen who knew how to mix gold with silver to make precious vessels. The Greeks knew also, in the heroic ages, the art of soldering these metals.

We might fay, that all these works, of which I have spoken, had been brought into Grecce from foreign countries. Yet I do not know that there is room to presume it. Homer does not say it. We know his exactness in this respect.

As to the art of engraving metals, I do not think that the Greeks had then done any of these works. I ground this, first, because there is never any mention made in Homer of rings or of seals. Secondly, on the ways which the Greeks, according to the relation of this poet, used to seal the trunks and the coffers in which they put their most valuable effects. The use of locks and padlocks was entirely unknown to them. That one might not open their packets, without their knowing of it, they wrapped them round with cords very artfully tied. These fort of knots were used instead of seals and signets. They were so ingeniously invented, and so complicated, that he alone who had made

Odyff. 1. 4. v. 73, &c.

9 Ibid. 1. 19. v. 56. & 1. 23. v. 200.

1 Ibid. 1. 19. v. 56. & 23. v. 200.

1 Iliad, 1. 8. v. 192, & 193.

⁹ Ibid. l. 19. v. 56, & 57. Iliad, l. 8. Ibid. l. 11. v. 631, &c. Odyff. l. 6. v. 232, &c. l. 23. v. 159, & 160.

them could unloose and open them. Homer, to extol the skill of Ulysses in making these fort of securities, says, that it was from Circe that he had learned the secret . If the Greeks had then known the art of engraving seals, they would not have had recourse to these knots, the common use of which must have been very incommodious and very troublesome.

Yet, if we will believe certain authors, the Greeks, in the heroic times, had rings and feals in use. Plutarch speaks of the ring of Ulysses, on which that hero had engraved a dolphin. Helen, by the report of Hæphession, cited by Photius, had for a feal a very uncommon stone, the engraving of which represented a monstrous sish. Polygnotus, lastly, a Greek painter, who slourished about 400 years before Christ, in his picture of the descent of Ulysses into hell, had painted young Phocus, having on one of the singers of his lest hand an engraved stone set in a ring of gold.

But these authors were too distant from the times we are speaking of, for their testimony to be capable of balancing the authority of Homer, the sole guide we ought to sollow for the customs and manners of the heroic ages. Pliny has been very sensible of this. That great writer has not suffered himself to be imposed upon. He has not hesitated to advance, that seals and rings were not in use at the time we are speaking of at present.

The Greeks were at that time ignorant of the art of drawing gold into wire, and of using it in gilding. The custom was anciently to enrich with gold the horns of bulls or heifers which they offered in facrifice. Homer describes the manner in which they proceeded at the time of the war of Troy; it was on occasion of a facrifice offered by Nestor to Minerva. The poet says, that they made a workman come to apply the gold on the horns of the victim. This man brought with him utensils proper to perform that operation. They consisted of an anvil, a hammer, and pincers.

u Odyst. 1. 8. v. 447, &c. x T. 2. p. 985. . y Cod. 190. p. 493. z Paus. 1. 10. c. 30.

^{*} L. 33. fest. 4. p. 602. See also Hesych. voce Остпосомтос.

Neftor gave the gold to this workman, who immediately reduced it into very thin plates. He afterwards wrapped these plates about the horns of the heiser b. We do not remark in this proceeding any thing that could make us believe, that the Greeks then knew the art of gilding, as they knew it afterwards, and such as we practise at this time. There is no mention neither of glue, nor of the white of an egg, nor oil, nor glutinous earth, nor, in a word, any ingredient proper to keep the gold on the horns of the victim. The manner in which they gilded then, consisted in covering with plates of gold, extremely thin, the things to which they would give the colour and the brilliancy of that metal.

Homer does not furnish us with any other lights about the working of metals in Greece for the times we are speaking of at present. Let us go to sculpture.

This art had been a long time unknown to the Greeks. We may judge of this by the manner in which they anciently represented the divinities whom they adored. Their images were then of simple posts or large stones; often even of pikes dressed in a particular manner. The idol of Juno, so revered among the Argives, was, in the early times, only a piece of plank, a piece of wood worked very rudely. I could cite many other examples, which I omit for the sake of brevity. The idols of the Laplanders, of the Samoyedes, and the other people situated towards the extremities of the north of bring back to us the image of the grossness and ignorance of the ancient inhabitants of Greece.

It is probably from Egypt that these people had recei-

b Odysf. l. 3. v. 432, &c. This is the sense of the verb περιχέω, used in all this description.

^c Lucan. Pharf. l. 3. v. 412, &c.; Justin, l. 43. c. 3.; Clem. Alex. in protrept. p. 40, & 41.; Strom. l. 1. p. 418.; Plut. t. 2. p. 478. A.; Paus. l. 2. c. 9. l. 7. c. 22. l. 9. c. 24, & 27.; Tertullian, apolog. c. 16. p. 16.; Ad Nation. l. 1. c. 12. p. 49.

d Pauf, l. 2. c. 19.; Clem. Alex. in protrept. p. 40.

e Rec. des voyages au Nord, t. 8. p. 192, & 410.; Hist. gen. des cerem. relig. t. 6. p. 71, & 81.

ved their first knowledge in sculpture. We may refer this enoch to Cecrops. In effect, this first sovereign of the Athenians had paffed in antiquity for having introduced into the temples of Greece the use of images f. The Athenians shewed, in the time of Pausanias, a statue of wood reprefenting Minerva, which, they faid, had been given by Cecrops 8. The works of fculpture, which the Greeks made for some time, savoured too much of the Egyptian manner. Without tafte and knowledge, their fculptors contented themselves at first with following the models which had been prefented to them h. The reader has not forgot what I have faid in the first part of this work on the taste of Egyptian statues i. We find again the same defects in those of the ancient Greek sculptors. They were for the most part squared figures, having the arms hanging down and joined to the body, the legs and feet joined one against the other, without gesture and without attitude k. The Greeks at first still imitated the taste of the Egyptians for gigantic figures 1.

Sculpture remained long in this state among the Greeks. They reckon more than 300 years from Cecrops to the ages in which they make Dædalus live. It was then that the Greek artists began to recognise the deformities and the want of agreeableness in the ancient statues. They thought they could make better. Dædalus, (that is to fay, the sculptors who appeared in the ages in which they placed that artift), in copying the Egyptian models, did not stick to them fervilely. They tried to correct the defects, and they succeeded at least in part. Nature was the model which they proposed. The face and the eyes of ancient statues had no expression. The artists of whom I speak studied to give it

f Euseb. chron. 1. 2. p. 55.; Præpar. evan. 1. 10. c. 9. p. 436.; Isidor. orig.

l. 8. c. 11. p. 69.

B L. 1. c. 27. See also Euseb. præp. evan. l. 10. c. 9. p. 486.

h See Diod. l. 1. p. 109.

k Diod. l. 4. p. 319.; Palæphat. de incred. c. 22.; Scaliger, in Euseb. chron. p. 45. 1 Strabo, l. 17. p. 1159.; Pauf. l. 30. c. 19. p. 257.

them. They detached from the body the arms and the legs. put them in action, and gave them various attitudes m. Their statues appeared with graces which they had not yet feen in these fort of works. They were so struck at it, that antiquity faid of the statues of Dædalus, that they appeared animated, moved and walked of themselves n; exaggerations which thew the happy change which was then made in the Greek fculpture o.

Although there was great difference between these new productions and the ancient ones, they were still very far from that degree of perfection to which the Greeks afterwards carried sculpture. I think that the works of Dædalus, fo boafted of in antiquity, owed the greatest part of their reputation to the groffness and ignorance of the age in which they appeared. This is the judgment which Plato has given us of them: Our fculptors, fave he, would make themselves ridiculous, if they made at present statues in the taste of those of Dædalus Pt. Paufanias, who had feen many of them, confesses that they were shocking, that the proportions were too large and coloffal 9.

After having shewn the origin of sculpture among the Greeks, and its state in the ages we are at present employed about, it remains to examine the materials that these people then used for their statues. We have seen, that the first works which were made in relief were of burnt clay r. They learned afterwards to handle the chifel, and began to try it on wood. This is the only folid matter that the Greeks knew how to work for a long time. All the historians agree in faying, that the ancient

m Diod. 1. 4. p. 319.; Euseb. chron. 1. 2. p. 88.; Suid. voce Δαίδαλε-ποίη. µата, t. 1. p. 514.; Scaliger in Euseb. chron. p. 45.

n See Plat. in Mænone, p. 426; In Entyphron. pattim; Arist. de anima. l. r. c. 3. t. r. p. 622.; De repub. l. 1. c. 4. t. 2. p. 299.

O Diod. l. 4. p. 319.; Palæphat, de incred. c. 22. p. 29.; Euseb. chron. l. 2.

P In Hipp. Maj. p. 1245. 9 L. 2. c. 4. l. 3. c. 19. * Supra, p. 221.

statues f, and even those attributed to Dædalus, were of wood t.

We find, it is true, in fome authors, certain traditions which feem to declare, that, before the war of Troy, the Greeks had known the art of sculpture in stone u, and even in marble x. But I have already explained myself on these fort of testimonies. I think we ought not to regard them when they are not supported by the suffrage of Homer. Statues of stone are never mentioned in his poems. With respect to marble, I have shewn, that, according to all appearances, this poet had not even known it y.

The art of throwing of metals into fusion to make statues of them, was equally unknown to the Greeks in the heroic ages. This fecret must only have been known and practifed very lately. Paulanias also regarded as supposititious, the statues of copper run at one cast, which they attributed to Ulysfes 2. We shall readily adopt his sentiment, if we reslect on the measures and extraordinary precautions they must take to fucceed in fuch works. The Greeks furely were not then in a capacity to undertake them, and less still to execute them. Yet, if we believe the same author, these people then had statues of copper. This is the manner in which he pretends the Greeks executed them. They made, fays he, a statue succesfively and by pieces. They ran separately, and one after the other, the different parts which compose a figure. They afterwards collected them, and joined them together with nails a. They repaired the whole without doubt with a chifel. The equestrian statue of Marcus Aurelius in the capitol is executed in this taste b. However imperfect this practice be, I yet think it was unknown to the Greeks in the ages we are at prefent upon.

f Plin. l. 22. fect. 2. p. 654; Pauf. l. 1. c. 27. l. 2. c. 17, 19, 22, 25. l. 8. c. 17.; Plut. apud Eufeb. præp. evan. l. 3. c. 8. p. 99.

t Diod. l. 1. p. 109.; Pauf. l. 2. c. 4. l. 8. c. 35. l. 9. c. 11.
u Euftath. ad Iliad, l. 2. v. 308, &c. × Pauf. l. 2. c. 37.

y Supra. p. 226. Z L. S. C. 14.

^a L. 8. c. 14. l. 3. c. 17. Mem. de Trev. Juillet 1703, p. 1208.

We perhaps might be authorifed, from some passages of Homer, to support the sentiment of Pausanias. This poet, for example, says, that one sees on each side of the gate of Alcinous two dogs of gold and silver, which Vulcan had made a present of to that prince. He places in the same edifice statues of gold, representing young boys, who held in their hand torches which they lighted to light the dining-room. Homer farther makes a wonderful description of the two slaves of gold which Vulcan had forged to accompany him, and assist him in his work.

But we must remark, first, that it is to a god that the poet attributes these uncommon works. Let us observe afterwards, that it is in Asia that he places them f. The marvellous, moreover, which he puts in this whole description, does not permit us to believe, that he had had in view any thing like, or even approaching to, what he there fpeaks of. We should range these passages among the number of sictions which poets use fometimes to surprise and amuse the reader. We might even go further. I think we may perceive a very fensible relation between these slaves of gold of Vulcan who walk, think, and affift the god in his work, and what they gave out anciently in Greece about the statues of Dædalus g. It was, by what appears, one of these popular opinions to which the greatest geniuses seem to pay homage. I do not think then, that we can conclude any thing of the true taste of sculpture among the Greeks in the ages of which we now speak. In general, I am persuaded, that they had then very few statues in Greece. Homer does not put any in the palaces of the Greek princes of whom he had occasion to speak, nor in any other place. I shall add, that he even has not in his writings particular terms to defign a statue *.

[©] Odyff, l. 7. v. 92, &c. d Ibid. l. 7. v. 100, &c. e Iliad, l. 18. v. 417, &c. f See fupra, chap. r. p. 84. 8 Sec p. 226.

^{*} Homer never makes use but of the term ayahua; he even uses that expression to mark in general all forts of ornaments. It was only afterwards, that the Greek writers restrained the signification of the word ayahua, and consecrated it to design statues. See Feith. antiq. Hom. 1. 1. c. 4. p. 31.

We shall not be surprised that at this time I say nothing of painting. I have discussed that matter extensively enough in treating of the arts which the people of Asia and Egypt could have the knowledge of in the ages which make the object of this second part of my work. I have declared myself for the sentiment of Pliny, who believes the invention of painting posterior to the heroic times h. I have nothing new to add to it. The reasons which I have alledged regard the Greeks as much and more, than the people of Asia and the Egyptians. I am persuaded that neither one nor the other then knew the art of painting in the sense in which I have explained it i.

C H A P. VI.

Of the Origin of Writing.

THERE now remain very few lights about the first means that the Greeks had employed to render their thoughts sensible to the eyes, and to transmit them to posterity. We only see, that in the first times they made use of practices almost like to those which all the people known in antiquity had used originally. We find among the Greeks these forts of poems, which they set to music, to preserve the memory of important facts and discoveries k. I suspect also, as I have said elsewhere, that they anciently made use of representative writing, which consists in designing the objects of which they would speak. With respect to hieroglyphics, I am ignorant whether the Greeks have known that sort of writing; I find no trace, no vestige in their history. Yet I would not infer that these people have never practised hieroglyphic writing. We are not suf-

h See p. 170, 171.

i Ibid. p. 162.

k Tacit. annal. l. 4. n. 43.; Acad. des inferiet. t. 6. p. 153. See also fupra.
book 1. hap. 3. art. 8. p. 77, & 78.

l See part 1. book 2. chap. 6.

ficiently instructed in the ancient customs of Greece, to dare to pronounce any thing on that subject.

Alphabetic writing had only been introduced very lately into that part of Europe. Cadmus, according to the report of the best historians of antiquity, was the first who made known to the Greeks that fublime knowledge m. Some authors, indeed, would do that honour to Cecrops n. But this fentiment is neither proved nor followed. There are also found modern critics who have advanced, that, before Cadmus, the Pelafgians had an alphabetic writing o. Whatever refearches I have been able to make on this fubject, I confess that I have not been able to find the least figns of it in antiquity. Every thing fays to us, that we ought to refer to the arrival of Cadmus the knowledge of alphabetic characters in Greece. The comparison of the Phænician alphabet, and the Greek alphabet, would alone be fusficient to convince us. It is visible that the Greek characters are only the Phænician letters turned from right to left. Let us add to this the names, the form, the order, and value of the letters which are the fame in one and the other writing p. The reasons which they would oppose to this sentiment appear to me fo weak and fo void of authority, that I do not think I ought to stop to oppose them.

The ancient Phoenician alphabet brought into Greece by Cadmus was defective enough; it ended at Tau q. It was only afterwards and at different times that they added to it Upfilon, Phi, Pfi, &cr. If we have regard to some Greek and Roman t authors, this first alphabet would have been still more imperfect than we have faid. They will have it in effect, that the alphabet of Cadmus had only been composed of fix letters. They name Palamedes, Simonides, Epicharmes, for the authors of the new

m Herod. l. s. n. 58.; Ephorus apud Clem. Alex. strom. l. 1. p. 362.: Diod. d. 3. p. 236.; Plin l. 7. sect. 57. p. 412.; Tacit annal. l. 11. n. 14.; Euseb. prxp. evan. l. 10. c. 5. p. 473.

n Tacit annal. l. 11. n. 14.

P See Bochart chau. l. 1. c. 20. p. 420, &c.

⁹ See acad. des inscript. t. 23. mem. p. 420.

1 Plin. l. 7. sect. 57. p. 412, & 413.

letters with which the Greek alphabet was fuccessively enriched. But this account very much refembles a fiction of the Greek grammarians, very ignorant of the origin of their own language; a fiction adopted afterwards by the Roman authors, and by the greatest number of our modern writers. Many reasons bring me to think thus. The diversity of fentiments, about these pretended inventors of letters which were wanting in the ancient Greek alphabet u, prove at first fight how very uncertain every thing was they have faid of their discoveries. I find afterwards in the Greek language more than fix Phœnician letters, which agree with each other both in name and found x. Befides, there are numbers of the most common Greek words, the most ancient and the most necessary, which are only written by means of the letters which they attribute the invention of to Palamedes, to Simonides, or to Epicharmes y. Laftly, we fee that the form of the characters has greatly varied among the Greeks; it has experienced fuccessive changes, similar to those which the writing of all languages has experienced. I observe, that fome of the characters which they pretend to have been newly invented, only appear to be modifications of other letters more ancient 2. We ought not then to regard what some modern writers have propagated about the pretended augmentations made fucceffively to the alphabet of Cadmus by Palamedes, Simonides, and Epicharmes. These facts are nothing less than proofs, that custom alone can have enriched the Greek alphabet with the characters of which it had need a.

We fee, by all that remains of the monuments of antiquity, that originally the Greeks formed alternately their lines from right to left, and from left to right, in the same manner that ploughmen draw their furrows. This is what has made them

u See Hermannus Hugo, de prima scrib. orig. c. 3.; Fabricius, bibl. Græc.

l, 1, c, 23, n, 2, t, 1, p, 147.

x See le Clere, bibl. choif. t, 11, p, 39, 40.

z See acad des infeript. t, 23, mem. p, 420, & 421. y Id. ibid.

a Id. ibid. loco cit.

give to this ancient manner of writing the name of Boustrophedon, a word literally signifying furrowed writing *.

I doubt further if we ought to look upon the Greeks as the inventors of this manner of writing. I am much inclined to think that the Phoenicians wrote thus originally, and that even at the time of Cadmus. It is in effect more than probable, that the Greeks, on receiving the writing of the Phœnicians, would at first follow the manner in which these people ranged their characters. Even this practice, which now feems to us fo odd, yet might be that which shall first have presented itself. In the origin of alphabetic writing, and when they had begun to make use of that invention, it must have appeared very natural to continue the line backwards, and to follow it thus alternatively. I should think, that they must have had some reflection to have determined them, after the first line was finished, to bring back their hand under the first letter of that line, and thus to begin again all the lines in the fame way. It is true, that in the manner of writing in Boustrophedon, they were obliged at each line to form a part of the same characters in a contrary way. But experience teaches us, that, in making discoveries, we almost always begin with the most difficult processes. Moreover, I prefume, that in the early times they only writ with capital letters; and we know that in the Greek alphabet there are many which we may form equally contrarily. We must obferve, further, that originally they engraved these characters on hard fubstances, or at least very firm ones. This practice did not permit to write fast as we do at this time. In this case it would be almost indifferent to engrave the same characters from right to left, or from left to right.

Writing in Boustrophedon had subsisted a long time in Greece, It was in this manner that the laws of Solon were written b. This legislator published them about 594 years before the Christian

D Suid. in Κάτωθεν νόμος, t. 2. p. 674.; Harpocra: in Κάτωθεν νόμος, p. 203.

^{*} I did not think it necessary to give a model of this fort of writing, reflecting that it is found in many works which are in the hands of every body. See among others vol. 23. des mem. de l'acad. des inscriptions.

zera. They likewife discovered some inscriptions in Boustropheden which only afcend between 500 and 460 years before Christ c.

The Greeks only knew very lately the inconveniency of forming their lines alternatively from left to right, and from right to lest. At last indeed they found, that the method of writing uniformly from left to right was the most natural, because it reftrained and fatigued the hand less d. This discovery must have made them infenfibly abandon writing in Boufirophedon. An ancient author, whose works have not yet been published, says, according to the report of Fabricius, who cites him in his Bibliotheca Græca, that it was Pronapides who first introduced into Greece the method of writing uniformly from left to right e. This Pronapides passed in antiquity for having been the preceptor of Homer f. We may then advance, that it was nearly about 900 years before Christ that the Greeks began to write uniformly from left to right. But we had better confess that we can fay nothing very fatisfactorily on the ages in which this practice has been constantly observed in Greece. We see plainlv, by fome monuments which afcend to very remote times, that this fort of writing had place among the Greeks very anciently. The Abbé Fourmont has reported in his voyage to the East, inscriptions written from left to right, which appear to have been at the time of the first war between the Lacedæmonians and the Messenians, that is to say, 742 years before Christ g. But we know also, that, near 100 years after that event, writing in Boustrophedon must have still been in use. The manner in which I have just faid the laws of Solon h were written, and other infcriptions posterior to that legislator, prove it sufficiently. It appears then, that, for some ages, they continued to write indifferently in Boustrophedon, and uniformly from left to right. Further, it does not appear to me possible to determine precifely the time in which the first of these prac-

c Muratori, nov. thef. t. 1. col. 48.

d See part 1. book 2. chap. 6.

e Bibl. Græc. t. 1. l. 1. c. 27. n. 2, & 3. p. 159.

f See Diod. l. 4. p. 237. g Acad. des infeript. t. 15. p. 397. t. 16. hist. p. 104.

h Supra, p. 232.

tices had been absolutely abolished. It can only be time, refearches, and fome happy events, which can procure us an explication of all these difficulties.

The Phænician writing, in passing from Asia into Greece, received a change still more considerable than what I have spoke of. The Phoenicians, like most of the eastern people, did not express the vowels in writing; they contented themselves with afpirating them in pronunciation. The Greeks, whose language was more foft than that of the Phœnicians, had not occafion for fo many aspirations: they converted them into vowels which they expressed in their writing. This change was very easy: the name of the principal aspirations used in the Phœnician language must naturally have furnished that of the Greek vowels i.

This manner of writing could not certainly have taken place at the beginning, when Cadmus instructed Greece in the art of writing. There must have passed some time before they could have thought of making changes in the Phonician writing. It would be difficult to affign the epoch in which the vowels had been introduced into the Greek writing. We may perhaps, after an ancient historian, attribute that innovation to Linus k, the master of Orpheus, of Thamyris, of Hercules, &c. This person so famous in antiquity was of Thebes in Bocotia, a city founded by Cadmus, and where, of confequence, writing must have been fooner perfected. But, moreover, this is only a conjecture on which I do not pretend to infift.

The Greeks, in their common business, used originally to write on tablets of wood covered with wax m. It was with a flyle of iron that they drew their characters n. With respect to laws, treaties of alliance, or of peace, it was their custom to engrave them on stone or on brass o. They preserved in

the

i See Bochart, chan. I. 1. c. 20. p. 493.

We may nevertheless still believe, that anciently the Phænicians expressed the vowels in their writing. This conjecture is not void of foundation. But it would draw us into too long a discussion.

aw us into too long a uncum.

k Dionyf, apud Diodor I. 3. p. 236.

n Id. ibid. 1 Pauf. l. 9. c. 29.

[·] Pauf. l. 4. c. 26.; Tacit. annal. l. 4. n. 26, & 43.; Suid in Ακεσίλαος, 1. I. p. 89.

the fame manner the remembrance of events which interested the nation, and the succession of princes who had governed them P.

Besides, it appears, that it has been anciently with the Greeks the same as with all other people of antiquity, that is to say, that, in early times they made very little use of writing. We see by Homer, that, in the heroic ages, they did not use it in the most necessary acts of civil life. They decided processes and differences by the verbal deposition of some witnesses. We have even room to doubt whether treaties of peace were then reduced to writing.

In the Iliad, the Greeks and the Trojans, ready to engage, propose to terminate their differences by a single combat between Paris and Menelaus: they stipulate what shall be the conditions on each side according to the event of the battle. Priam and Agamemnon advance to the middle of the two atmics. They bring lambs to facrisice, and wine to make libations: Agamemnon cuts the wool from the head of the lambs: the heralds of the Greeks and Trojans divide it between the princes. Agamemnon declares with a loud voice, the conditions of the treaty. They cut the throats of the lambs, they make libations; the treaty is ratisfied; and it is not said, that the conditions were couched in writing.

On another occasion, Hector challenges to single combat, the most valiant of the army of the Greeks. Many princes prefent themselves, to accept the defiance: they agree, that chance shall determine who shall fight the son of Priam. The manner in which they proceed is remarkable: instead of writing his name, each of the princes makes a mark which he casts into the helmet of Agamemnon s.

If they were to erect a monument, Homer does not fay that they put any infcription upon it : we fee, that they then contented themselves to put on the monuments a column, or some other characteristic mark u. Laslly, there is not spoken

P Acad. des inféript. t. 15. p. 397.

q Iliad. l. 18. v. 499, &c.

t Ibid. l. 3. v. 140.

G Ibid. l. 7. v. 175, &c.

t Ibid. l. 43. v. 245, &c.

liad. l. 17. v. 434.; Odyff. l. 12. v. 14, & 15.

of by this poet any correspondence, or any order given in writing. They gave all their instructions and all their commissions verbally.

The only time that mention is made of writing in Homer is with relation to Bellerophon: he fays, that Prætus fent that prince to carry to Jobate, a letter which contained an order to put him to death x. This letter, as far as we can judge, was written on tablets covered with wax y. It must be notwithstanding, that the error of writing fo rarely as they did in the heroic times, was not continued, and writing must necessarily become more common between the space of time that passed from the war of Troy to the age of Homer. The degree of perfection to which we fee, in the time of that poet, the Greek language was already brought, is a certain proof of it. It had then all the characters of a rich language, polished, regular, in a word, capable of all kinds of writing. But the Greek language could never have come to that purity and that elegancy, if, from the war of Troy to the age of Homer, the Greeks had not writ much *.

x Iliad. l. 6. v. 168, &c.

We might perhaps remove the doubts about the figuification of the terms used by Homer on this occasion; and it must be confessed, that these doubts are not without foundation. For Homer designs what Bellerophon shewed to Pratus, only by the vague word σήματα, literally, morks, signs. This manner of expression is singular enough, and does not design alphabetic writing but very vaguely. The word σήματα would agree better with hieroglyphics. Nevertheless I have thought I ought to follow the common manner of interpreting this passage.

y See Plin. l. 13. sect. 20, & 27. l. 33. sect. 4.

^{*} We must observe, that Homer was born and brought up in Asiatic Greece; it was then in those countries that the Greek language began to be formed and persected.

BOOK III.

Of Sciences.

HAVE treated of the origin of sciences in the first part of this work; I have even tried to unfold their progress: I often could not do it, but by the help of many conjectures. There now remains to us scarce any detail about the events that happened in that high antiquity: the ages which we now run over, will furnish us with more matter for our researches. The sacts are sufficiently known, and even circumstantial enough. We shall see among some nations a remarkable progress, which must be attributed probably to the invention of alphabetic writing *.

Before the discovery of that admirable art, the people had, it is true, some means to preserve the memory of their discoveries. But these succours were so imperfect, that they could contribute but weakly to the advancement of the sciences, and, if I may use the word, to their propagation. Alphabetic writing has removed all obstacles: the sciences are extended and multiplied. Different colonies, coming from Egypt and Asia, brought the sciences into Greece, and drew that part of Europe from barbarism and ignorance. The sciences did not find at their first beginnings a soil, or minds properly disposed. The fruits which they bore, were in small abundance, and came very late. It was by length of time that Greece was indebted for all forts of knowledge which has so greatly distin-

^{*} The reader will perceive, without doubt, that I here recall nearly the fame ideas which I have already prefected in the beginning of the preceding book. But as it is important, that he should not lose the view of the plan and the gradation which I have proposed in this work, I thought these repetitions necessary. I even soriete, that I shall be forced still to make use of it more than once.

guished them from other countries. But that flowness has been compensated by the beauty and the abundance of the productions of every sort which she has brought forth since.

C H A P. I.

Of Asia.

E have seen before, that the history of Asia was almost entirely unknown to us in the ages which make our object at present. The little that we have been able to collect, only regards the people who inhabited the coasts of that part of the world which are washed by the Mediterranean. The Phænicians have been almost the only ones about whom history has furnished us at this time with any lights: they shall also be the only ones of whom I will speak in this article.

It is in Phœnicia that we find the first traces of a philosophic system of the origin and the formation of the world. We ought in effect to put in the rank of the first philosophers that Asia has produced, Sanchoniatho, of whom Eusebius has preferved for us a valuable fragment ^a. This author wrote about the beginning of the ages we are at present running over: his work is, after the books of Moses, the most ancient monument which remains to us of antiquity. Sanchoniatho has transmitted to us, as well as a philosopher as an historian, the ancient traditions of the Phœnicians; I have often made use of the little that remains of his writings ^b. It is one of the sources from whence I have drawn, in a great measure, the history of the arts and the discoveries in the first ages. It is commonly thought, that Sanchoniatho was cotemporary with Joshua ^c.

a See at the end of the first vol. our differtat, on the fragment of Sancho-niatho.

b See ibid. what we think of this work.

C See Bochart, chan. l. 2. c. 2.; Fourmont, reflex. critiq. fur l'hist des anc. peuples, t. 1. p. 36, & 37.

We also see that there is mention made in the book of Jofhua of a city in Palestine, named Dabir. The facred historian observes, that that city was formerly called Cariath-Sether d. The name by which that city was originally known, leads us to believe, that, in the early times, they had in Palestine public schools where they taught the sciences. Cariath Sepher in effect fignifies the city of books, or of letters. A fimilar denomination feems to shew, that they had commonly a great number of learned men affembled in that city. The sciences must consequently have been much cultivated in Palestine from the first ages after the deluge.

We ought not moreover to be furprifed at this. Thefe countries had been certainly the first which were policed c: it is natural then that they should have produced in it very early many philosophers. Thus we see that the first systems of philosophy ascended among the Phænicians to very remote epochas. This is what we learn from the writings of Sanchoniatho. That author has drawn from ancient works the ideas which he has propagated, about difintangling the chaos, of the original state of the world, and of the first events which happened in it f. It is certain, then, that, in the most early times, the Phænicians had carried their speculations fo far as to explain the manner in which the world had been formed. How obscure and how perplexed soever their cosmogany was, it supposes nevertheless some studies, fome refearches, and fome reasonings. For the rest I do not think I ought to dwell upon the ideas these Asian philosophers had about the origin and formation of the world : and enow of other critics and literati have already taken care to explain that fystem, for me to be dispensed with from giving an account of it. I shall only remark, that the nearer we go to the ages bordering on the creation, the more traces we shall find of that great truth, which the presump-

e See part 1. book 1. d Josh. c. 15. v. 15. c ! E Euseb. præp. evang. l. 1. p. 31.

tion and raffiness of man has in vain endeavoured afterwards to obseure *.

One Moschus of Sidon furnishes us with the most ancient example of this soolish enterprise. He has been looked upon as the first who has shewed the absurd system of the formation of the world by the fortuitous concourse of atoms ; a system which, many ages afterwards, Epicurus endeavoured to renew in Greece. Strabo further tells us, that Moschus, of whom we now speak, wrote about the time of the war of Troy h. We cannot decide whether this opinion is well or ill sounded, Strabo being, as I think, the only one of the ancients who has spoken of this Moschus.

With respect to the sciences properly so called, the naviga-

In another fragment drawn from the same Sanchoniatho, it was said, that Thaut had meditated much about the nature of the serpent called by the Phenicians Ayaboaitew, good genius. Philo teaches us, that Zoroaster, in his facred commentary on the ceremonies of the Persian religion, has spoken of this good genius in an admirable manner, by saying that this God is the matter of all things, exempt from death, or eternal in his duration, without beginning, without parts, &c. Apud Euseb. præp. evang. l. 1. c. 10. p. 41, & 42. I ask if such

ideas lead to Atheifin ?

I have already fiid, Eusebius, and the modern authors who have followed him, have been deceived by the enignatic style of Sancheniatho. It was, besides, the general taste of the learned of antiquity. They affected to speak only in riddles, by emblems, and in a manner almost unintelligible. No philosopher of these ancient times has presented his doctrine plainly and simply. No one has even thewn any part of the sciences, whatever it was, in a clear and intelligible manner. This taste still reigns at this time in all the eastern writings.

⁸ Strabo, l. 16. p. 1098.

tions of the Phonicians must have contributed much to the advancement of aftronomy and geography. It was in the ages of which we are now speaking, that these people undertook those voyages of great extent which have rendered their names fo famous in antiquity. They passed the straits of Cadiz, and trusting themselves on the ocean, they advanced on one side to the western extremity of Spain, and on the other to the coast of that part of Africa which is washed by the Atlantic i. The discovery which the Phoenicians made of the help they could draw from the observation of the polar star to direct the course of a veffel, was the cause of the success which accompanied their maritime enterprises k. I reserve the circumstances of them for the article of navigation. The details into which I shall then enter, will make us better perceive to what degree the Phonicians must have possessed, even in the ages which at prefent fix our attention, the principal parts of mathematical fciences.

C H A P. II.

Of the Egyptians.

Iftory, in the ages we are at present speaking of, will furnish us with many lights on the state of sciences in Egypt. I shall treat each object separately, and under different articles; and I shall shew their state and progress relatively to the times which make the subject of the second part of my work.

ARTICLE I.

Of Medicine.

IN examining the origin and state of medicine in the first part of this work, I have faid that there was no mention

i See infra, book 4. chap. 2.

k See ibid. loco cit.

VOL. II.

Hh

made

made of phylicians by profession before the time of Moses. I have related the ways which they used originally in treating the fick, and the expedient they had invented to the end that every body might profit by particular discoveries. They exposed the fick in public to enable them to receive the falutary counsels which each could give them 1. It is proper to remark, that then they did not know writing. Since the invention of that art they put in practice another cufrom which must still have contributed more to make known the different remedies which they could use. Those who had been attacked with any diftemper, put in writing how and by what means they had been cured. These memoirs were placed in their temples to ferve for the instruction of the public. Every one had a right to go and confult them, and to chuse the remedy of which he thought he had need *.

Afterwards, the number of these receipts being augmented, it became necessary to put them in order. Those who were charged with this care, came to know more particularly the composition of the different remedies. By comparing the one with the other, they learned to judge of their virtue. They acquired by that means more exact knowledge than what they had before. They began from that time to confult these forts of persons, and to call them on critical occasions. As Moses speaks of physicians by name m, we may, I think, refer to the ages in which he lived, the origin of that profession.

We ought to look upon the Egyptians as the first who reduced into principles, and fubjected to certain rules, the vague and arbitrary practices by which they were guided

1 See part 1. book 3. chap. 1.

The same custom was also observed in other countries. See Plin. l. 29. c. 1.

^{*} In Egypt, these forts of registers were deposited in the temple of Vulcan at Memphis. Galen. de composit. medicament per genera, l. s. c. 2. t. 13. p. 775. edit. Charterii.

p. 493.; Pauf. l. 2. c. 27, & 36.; Strabo, l. 8. p. 575.

It was from these registers, according to Pliny and Strabo, that Hippocrates had drawn a great part of his knowledge. Plin. loco cit.; Strabo, l. 14. p. 972. m Exed. c. 21. v. 19.

for a long time. They passed in antiquity for having cultivated medicine more anciently and more learnedly than any other people n. It is not very difficult to give a reason for this. There never had been a country where physicians had been, and still are more necessary than in Egypt. The overflowings of the Nile exposed them at all times to frequent maladies. The waters of that river having no free course during the two months and an half which precede the fummer-folftice, it must necessarily happen that they should be corrupted o. When the inundations are great, the Nile in retiring forms marshes which infect the air p. These standing waters have always occasioned in Egypt epidemic distempers. They must particularly have felt the pernicious effects in the first ages, when they had not yet taken the neceffary precautions to facilitate the running off of the waters. But these very precautions must have been for a long time baneful to the inhabitants of that climate. The moving of the earth, occasioned by the construction and maintaining of that innumerable quantity of canals with which Egypt was formerly watered, and the works which they must have made to drain the morasses, must have produced most troublesome accidents. It is known what malignant vapours these forts of earths just moved produce.

Besides, the inhabitants of the cities and the villages, which were not upon the borders of the Nile, did only drink, for the greatest part of the year, standing and corrupt water q. That of the wells is not better r. Springs are extremely uncommon in Egypt. It is a fort of prodigy to meet with one s.

n Hom. Odyff. l. 4. v. 231.; Isocrat. in Busicid. p. 329.; Plin. l. 7. c. 56. p. 414.; Clem. Alex. strom. l. 1. p. 362.

o Voyage de l'Egypte par Granger, p. 19, & 20. P Description de l'Egypte par Maillet, p. 15, & 26.

⁹ Granger, p. 25.

It is the water of marshes formed by the overflowings of the Nile.

r Plut. t. 2. p. 367. B.

f Maillet, p. 16.

Besides, from the report of travellers, the air there is very unwholesomet. There reign annually in Egypt, from the vernal equinox to the fummer-folflice, deadly malignant fevers. In autumn, their thighs and knees are furrounded with carbuncles, which kill the patients in two or three days. At the time of the increase of the Nile, the greatest part of the inhabitants are attacked with obstinate dysenteries, caused by the waters of that river, which at that time are greatly loaded with falts ".

The ferene weather is above all the most dangerous in Egypt. As the fun is very hot in these climates, it raises a great quantity of exhalations and malignant vapours, which cause great defluxions on the eyes; from hence it comes that we fee fo many blind people there x.

This country is also subject to a very singular and very frequent inconveniency. When they are attacked with it, they think all their bones are brokey. These accidents are produced by the winds which blow in Egypt. As they are loaded with many falts, they occasion frightful pains in all parts of the bobody, often even palfies, which they cure with great difficulty. Thus we fee very few robust people, and scarce any old ones in Egypt z. It was apparently the same when Jacob passed through it with his whole family. We shall be tempted to imagine, that the Egyptians had not been accustomed to have seen perfons of a very advanced age, by Pharaoh's question to Jacob about the age of that patriarch *.

Egypt having been exposed at all times to so great a number of general and habitual distempers, they must have tried very

c. 40. init. edit. in fol.

y Maillet, p. 15.

Z Granger, p. 24, & 27.

It is true. Her dotus fays, that after the Libyans there were no men on the earth more healthy than the Egyptians. He attributes this good health to the temperature of the air which is always equal, 1. 2. n. 77.

t Gemelli, t. 1. p. 33, & 113. u Granger, p. 21, &c.; Relat. d'Eg par le Vansleb. p. 36. Maillet, p. 15; Granger, p. 22.; Yoyage au Levant par Corneille le Brun,

But it must be observed, that Herodoms only speaks of a partie lar district. Travellers agree generally enough, that Egypt is a very unwhol fo e country. We might join to the testimonies that we have already cited, that if metro della Valle, t. 1. p. 325. and of Gemelli, t. 1. p. 33. We may likewife fee what Pli-

early to find out the proper means to remedy them. From hence came physicians.

We may conclude from what we find in history, about the practice of the Egyptians, that these people had been the first who had perceived the necessity of dividing among many perfons the different objects of medicine.

The ancients tell us, there has been no country where physicians were in fuch great numbers as in Egypt. They inform us at the fame time, that those who exercised that profession, did not undertake to treat indifferently all forts of diftempers. They had for diftempers of the eyes, for those of the head, for those of the teeth. The distempers of the bowels, and the other internal maladies, had likewife their particular physicians 8. The Egyptians were not a long time in comprehending, that the life and study of one man was not fufficient to be instructed perfectly in all the parts of a science fo extensive as physic. It was for this reason they obliged those who embraced that profession, only to apply themselves to one fort of distemper, and to make that the only object of their fludy. The ancient authors, by inftructing us in this practice, have transmitted nothing to us of the nature of the remedies which the Egyptians used. They have only given us general notions on this fubject. We know only that thefe people made a vaft use of regimen and purging drinks *. Perfuaded that all diftempers came from the aliments, they looked upon the remedies, which tended to evacuate the humours, as the most proper to preferve health b. We fee farther, by the exposure which an ancient author has made of their fystem of physic, that they excluded every remedy whose application might become dangerous. They only employed those which they might use as fafely as their daily foods.

² Herod. I. : n. 84.

^{*} They believe the purge of the Fgyptians was a fort of borfe-radify, or an herb which refembles celery. There are even some who will have it, that it was a composition not unlike beer. Le Clerc, hist. de la medic. 1. r. c. 18. p. 58.

b Herod. 1. 2. n. 77.; Diod. 1. 1. p. 73.

c Hocrat. in Busir. p 329.

It appears further, that these people were as much busied with the care of preventing distempers as with that of curing them. What gives room to think thus, is, that it is faid, that the Egyptians used to purge every month, for three days succeffively, with vomits and clysters d.

The Egyptians are faid to have first made known and used the oil of fweet almonds e. We may also rank in the number of medicines invented by these people, Nepenthe, to which Homer gives fuch high encomiums. Helen, as he fays, had learned the composition from Polydamna, wife of Thonis king of Egypt. This medicine was fo admirable, that it made one forget all ills, and diffipated all weariness f.

The qualities of the Nepenthe of Homer have, as appears to me, a great relation to those of opium. We know that the virtue of that medicine is not only to provoke fleep; it has that of making us gay, and of producing even a fort of drunkenness. Thus we fee, that the Egyptian women, who used a great deal of Nepenthe, were looked upon formerly folely to possess the fecret of diffipating anger and chagring. Opium is at this time very much used in the east *; a custom which we ought to regard as a confequence of the attachment which these people have always had for original practices: therefore I am very much inclined to believe, that it is of this fort of medicine that Homer would speak under the name of Nepenthe, and that in his time the Egyptians were perhaps the only people who knew the preparation of it +.

The manner of treating diftempers in Egypt did not depend upon the will and choice of the physician. All the precepts concerning medicine were contained in certain facred books. The physicians were obliged to conform to them exactly. It

d Herod. Diod. ubi supra. e P. Æginet. de remed. 1. 7. c. 20.

f Odyss. 1. 4. v. 220, & seq. B Diod. l. 1. p. 109.

The Turks take about a drachm of it when they prepare to march to bat-

⁺ Yet it must be agreed, that the opinious of the critics are pretty much divided above what Homer would design by the Nepeuthe. We may consult on this subject the dissertation of Father Petit, entitled, Homeri Nepeuthes, Traject. 5689.

was not permitted them to change any thing h. If they could not fave the difeafed by following that method, they were not answerable for the event; but if they rejected it, and the fick person happened to die, they were punished with death i. This subjection of the physicians of Egypt to the custom of the country is farther confirmed to us by Aristotle: he speaks of an ancient law of the Egyptians, by which it was forbid the physicians to stir the humours, that is to fay, to purge the sick before the fourth day of the diffemper, unless they would do it at their own risk k. We may judge after this exposure, if medicine could ever make any progress in Egypt, or be enriched with useful discoveries. The state of the diseased, the symptoms and the daily accidents, were not what determined the physicians to apply the principles of their art. The theory and even the practice being fixed, they had less need of judgmenz than of memory. The Egyptians apparently imagined, that all bodies were conflituted in the fame manner; and, against daily experience, they prefumed the diftempers were not combined differently.

Some authors pretend, that, with a view to make their remedies more efficacious, the Egyptian phyficians added to the fludy of their profellion that of astrology, and of certain mysterious rites 1. They say, that physic in these countries was mixed with many fuperstitious practices m. This opinion appears probable enough. We know that these people gave themselves a good deal up to judicial astrology. Herodotus assures us, that there had not been a nation more superstitious than the Egyptians n. It should not then be surprising,

h Diod. l. r. p. 74.

This was a confequence of the same spirit of attachment that the Egyptians had for every thing that was established anciently. See Plato de leg. l. 2. p.

i Diod. l. 1. p. 74.

k De repub. l. 3. c. 15. p. 358. or rather, according to Victorius, p. 265. on
this passage of Aristotle, to alter nothing of the laws established which forbid them to do any thing before the fourth day had passed, this is conformable to the doctrine of Hippocrates.

¹ Scholiast. in Ptolom. Tetrabibl. 1. 1.

m Conringius de Hermetica medic. l. r. c. 12, &c.; Borrichius de ortu & progresso chemiæ, p. 59.; Le Clerc, hist. de la medic. l. 1. c. 5. p. 13.

п L. 2. п. 37, 65, 82.

that they had believed that the influence of certain planets, and the protection of some tutelary genii, contributed much to the curing of distempers. Yet we must agree, that neither in Herodotus, nor in the authors of great antiquity, do we find any thing which authorises us to believe, that the Egyptians employed superstitious practices in the manner of treating the fick.

We shall finish what concerns physic in Egypt, by remarking the attention the government paid to every thing that could concern the preservation of the citizens. It cost the Egyptians nothing to be attended when they were at war, or when they travelled in the kingdom. They had physicians paid with the public money, to take care of those who fell sick on these occasions. This fact farther proves to us, that physic was not practised for nothing. It was the same with the Hebrews. Moses ordered, that if two men happened to sight, and one of them was wounded, the aggressor should render to him whom he had struck what it should cost him for being cured. This precept was sounded, without doubt, on the practice already established, of paying the physicians for the care they took of the sick.

ARTICLE II.

Of Astronomy.

T Could only give very vague and very succinet notions of the state of astronomy among the Egyptians in the first ages. We have there seen, that, before Moses, these people had a solar year composed of 360 days s. It was very probably from the observation of the difference and the inequality of the meridian shadows, that the Egyptians came to perceive, that the revolution of the sun in the course of a year greatly surpassed the duration of twelve lunations.

o Diod. l. 1. p. 74.

P Exod. c. 21. v. 19. Mercedem medici folvet, fays the Chaldaic paraphrase on this verse.

⁴ See part r. book 3, chap. 2. art. 2.

There is great room to think, that to measure the different heights of these meridian shadows they had used originally the gnomons which nature had shewn to them, such as trees, mountains, edifices, &c.

But natural gnomons could not furnish the means of exactly measuring the duration of a solar year; the Egyptians soon perceived their imperfection and infufficiency, nevertheless, without knowing the utility these forts of instruments might be of. This double confideration led them to invent artificial gnomons. We cannot contest with these people the merit of having brought them first into use. It is impossible not to recognise in the obelisks, gnomons constructed with so much care, expence, and study. For to imagine that the Egyptian monarchs, in causing these enormous masses to be cut, proposed no other end, but a foolish oftentation of their riches and their power; this is what I cannot perfuade myfelf of. The choice of this fort of monument does not appear to me to have been made by chance. The form of the obelifks was not folely owing to caprice and fancy. The fovereigns who had caufed them to be made, tried most certainly to immortalize themselves by these grand enterprises; but it was the motive of public utility, and the glory of contributing to the advancement of the sciences, which must have directed the form and choice of these forts of monuments.

It is not, even here, a simple conjecture on our part. We have a glimpse, in a passage of Appion reported by Josephus, that at all times the obelisks had been destined by the Egyptians for astronomic uses. This grammarian gives a description of a sort of gnomon, singular enough, which he attributes to the invention of Moses. The legislator of the Jews had invented it, says he, to answer the same purposes as the obelisks. Nothing truly can be more ill sounded or absurd, than all that Appion

Advers. App. l. 2. p. 469. edit. of Havercamp.

has related on the account of Moses; but this passage at least proves, that, in antiquity, they were persuaded that the obelisks had been originally raised to serve for gnomons; and this is all that I pretend to establish.

To the testimony of Appion, let us join the authority of Pliny. According to that author, the Egyptians had cut their obelisks in imitation of the rays of the sun. He adds, that this was the name by which they designed these grand spires. This denomination, without doubt, was relative as much to the form of these monuments as to the use for which they employed them *.

Even though we had not precife testimonies about the use for which the Egyptians had destined their obelisks, what a nation has done which was never diffinguished by its astronomic knowledge, will suffice to instruct us of it. Augustus, after having fubdued Egypt, caused to be transported to Rome two grand obelisks: he fet up one in the Circus, and the other in the Campus Martius. He took all the necessary precautions, that it might ferve for a gnomon t. Augustus, in making this obelisk ferve for astronomic observations, probably only imitated the practice of the Egyptians. These people had not invented these fort of monuments only to procure more sure and exact instruments than natural gnomons, to determine the duration of the folar year by the meafure of the meridian shadows. I do not think I need repeat what I have faid elfewhere of the antiquity of obelisks. I have shewn that we must fix the epoch to the reign of Sefostris, that is to fay, about 1640 years before Christ u.

f Plin. l. 36. fect. 14. p. 735.

. t. Plin, l. 36. sest. 15. p. 736. " Supra, book 2. chap. 3. p. 131.

^{*} The Egyptians had apparently given the name of the rays of the sun to obelishs, because they could conceive the sphere of that star, as being divided into an infinity of pyramids which had their summit at the surface of his disk, and their base at the circumference of that sphere. Daviler, in his dictionary of architecture, on the word obelish, advances, that the Egyptian priests called these obelishs the singers of the sun, because these grand spires served for a style to mark on the earth the different heights of that star. I am ignorant from what author of antiquity Daviler has drawn this sact.

These ancient gnomons were moreover greatly inferior to those invented in our times. To convince us of this, it is sufficient to cast our eyes on those which still subsist. They are cut in the form of quadrangular pyramids cut off at the top; it was impossible of consequence to determine any way the meridian, the point of the shadow formed by the summit of the obelisk: that point made part of an impersect shadow very difficult to distinguish. It must then, in many cases, be consounded with the body of the obelisk*. But even supposing that they could come to determine this point with exactness, they could not give the true height of the sun at noon, that is to say, that of its centre. They could only obtain the height of the north side of that star.

An ingenious people, fuch as the Egyptians were, must have perceived, almost from the first moment in which they employed obelisks to measure shadows, the inconveniencies of that fort of gnomon. The early knowledge which the Egyptians had acquired in geometry, suggested to them, without doubt, the ways to remedy the impersection of their astronomic instruments. They contrived to put to the top of the obelisks a ball supported by a very small shaft, and elevated sufficiently, that the shadow which it formed should find itself absolutely disengaged from the shadow of the obelisk. The projection of that shadow on the ground near the gnomon, formed an ellipsis, by which the middle determined, by its position, exactly, enough the height of the centre of the sun.

We do not find, it is true, in ancient authors any direct proof that the Egyptians were accustomed to place balls on the summit of their obelisks; but we know that Augustus had one put

I i.2

^{*} This must happen every time that the meridian height of the sun, that is to say, the arch of the meridian comprehended between the horizon and the sun's place, surpassed the angle which the sides of the obtuse pyramid formed, which terminated the obelisk, with the plane of its base. And it must be observed, that in Egypt, at the summer solftice, the height of the sun must be more than 80 degrees.

upon the top of the obelisk transported by his order into the Campus Martius x. The fame reasons which have determined me to believe that that Emperor only imitated the practice of the Egyptians, in destining that obelisk to astronomical observations. make me think that it was also from their example that he added the ball of which I have spoke. Besides, we see on yerv ancient Greek medals, obelisks topped with a ball. We are not ignorant that the Greeks had from the Egyptians all their aftronomic knowledge. Thus the academy of infcriptions, confulted by that of the sciences, about the antiquity of that usage in Egypt, have not helitated to make it ascend to the most remote ages y.

I think then we may refer to the times which at prefent employ us, not only the invention of gnomons, but moreover the practice of terminating them with balls. It is probable that to this discovery we ought to attribute the reform which the Egyptians made in the duration of their folar year; a reform which had constantly taken place in the ages which elapsed from the death of Jacob to the establishment of monarchy among the Jews. This is what remains for me to discuss.

I have faid before, that in the time of Moses, that is to say, about 1480 years before Christ, the Egyptian year was composed only of twelve months, of thirty days each 2. The advantage which these people drew from their industry, by being able to procure instruments more exact than natural gnomons, was to perceive that 360 days did not contain the whole duration of the annual revolution of the fun. They estimated at first that excess to five days which they added to their year. Let us try to find in history some tacts which may enable us to fix the epoch of this reform.

If we should refer to the ancient traditions of the Egyptians, we must make the establishment of the year of 365 days ascend

^{*} Plin. l. 36. fect. 15. p. 737.

y Memoires de l'acad. des infeript. t. 3. hist. p. 166.

² Supra, p. 249.

to the most remote times. This is the fable they have propa-

gated on this subject.

They fay, that Rhea having had a fecret commerce with Saturn, fell with child. The Sun, who perceived it, loaded her with maledictions, and pronounced that she should not be brought to bed in any of the months of the year. Mercury, who was also in love with Rhea, likewise succeeded to gain her good graces. She communicated to him the embarraffment in which she found herself. In acknowledgment for the favours which he had obtained, Mercury undertook to defend that goddess from the effects of the malediction of the Sun. That quickness of mind by which he is so well known, supplied him with a very fingular expedient to do it. One day that he played at dice with the Moon, he proposed to play for the 72d part of each day of the year. Mercury won, and profiting by his gain, he composed five days, which he added to the twelve months of the year. It was during these five days that Rhea was brought to bed. She brought into the world Ofiris, Oris, Typhon, Ifis, and Nephthe 2.

I shall not endeavour to explain the mystic sense of this sable: I have only reported it to shew to what antiquity the Egyptians made the establishment of their year of 365 days ascend.

They must nevertheless have preserved some tradition of that event, less altered than that which I have just spoke of. Syncellus attributes to a monarch named Aseth, the reform of the ancient Egyptian calendar. Under that prince, says that author, the Egyptian year was regulated to 365 days, for till that time it only had 360 days b. This sact does not furnish us with any great lights about the time in which this form of the year began to have place. We know very well, it is difficult to fix the reigns of the ancient sovereigns of Egypt. Nevertheless, in

b P. 123. D.

^a Plut, t. 2. p. 355. D. Diodorus feems also to have had some knowledge of this allegorical f.ble. See l. 1. p. 17.

collecting the different facts which history can furnish, and by examining the form of the principal cycle which the Egyptians used, known by the name of the canicular cycle, we may determine the precise date of the institution of the year of 365 days.

In the description which Diodorus makes of the tomb of Osymandes, King of great Thebes, he fpeaks of a circle of gold whose circumference was 365 cubits, and one cubit in breadth. Each of the 365 cubits answered, fays he, to a day of the year: they had marked there for each day, the rifing and fetting of the stars, with the prognostic of the times, conformable to the ideas of the Egyptian aftrologers c. Ofymandes is called Ifmandes by Strabo, who adds, that the prince called Ismandes by the Egyptians, was the same as the Memnon d who is so often spoke of by the historians of antiquity, as sovereign of Ethiopia. It is very probable, that Ofymandes, a very warlike prince e, had conquered that kingdom *; an event, which may have thrown the ancients into an error. Whatever it be, we find this Memnon in some lists of the king's of Egypts. And we know moreover, that he was extremely revered under that name among the Egyptians. His reign falls about the time of the war of Troy. We may prove this as well from the authority of Homer, of Hesiod, of Pindar, and of Virgil, as by the testimony of the most ancient monuments, such as the coffer of Cypse. lides, the throne of the Amyclean Apollo, the statues of Lycias, the pictures of Polygnotus, &c g. Thus we have been before af-

^c L. 1. p. 59. This circle was taken away by Cambyfes, when he made the conquest of Egypt. Diod. ibid.

e See Diod. l. 1. p. 57. d L. 17. p. 1167.

^{*} Ancient inscriptions, of which Tacitus speaks, attell, that Rhampses, King

of Thebes, had conquered Ethiopia. Annal. 1, 2, c, 60.

I should think, that this prince might well be the Osymandes of Diodorus.

We know how much the Greek and Latin historians have disfigured the names of the Egyptians.

f Syncell. p. 72, & 151.

⁵ Odyst. i. 4. v. 188. l. 11. v. 511.; Hesiod. Theogon. v. 984.; Pind. Olymp. 2. v. 148.; Pyth. 6. v. 30.; Virgil. Æncid. l. 1. v. 489.; Paus. l. 5. c. 19, &c. 22. l. 10. c. 31. l. 3. c. 3.

fured at the time of the war of Troy, the solar year of the Egyptians was of 365 days, and by consequence that the reign of Afeth must have preceded that epoch. But the examen of the cycle that the Egyptians called the caniculary cycle, will furnish us with a much more precise date.

The ancients speak very often of the great year of the Egyptians, nominated by some authors the year of God. Cenforinus and many other writers inform us, that this year of God, which some authors also call the heliac year, commenced every 1461 years. It was nothing else but a canicular cycle*. We see very plainly, that they only spoke of the duration of this cycle in the number of 1461 years, so ill applied by Tacitus for the duration of the life of Phænix, by Dio to the Roman calendar, and by Phirmicus to the general revolution of the planets.

This being granted, we find, from the year 1322 before Christ to the year 139 of the Christian æra, a canicular cycle, well attested by the authorities and by the calculations of a number of authors. There is not then any thing farther to be done at present, but to see if the establishment of the year of 365 days agrees with the commencement of the cycle. For it is evident, that, in the times which the Egyptians give for the first time of 365 days for their year, Thoth was canicular, and one of the characters of that first year ought to have commenced with the rising of the canicular. This is a fact of which we may acquire sufficient proofs, by collecting what is said by the ancients of the manner in which the Egyptians re-

^{*} The first month of the Egyptian year was called Thoth. When the heliac rising of the canicule fell on the first day of the month, they said that Thoth was canicular, and they comprehended under the name of canicular cycle, the time which clapsed from one canicular Thoth to the succeeding one. That interval was necessarily 1460 Julian years. For the Egyptian year of 365 days being too short by about six hours, the rising of the canicule would anticipate a day every four years, and running retrogade all the days of those years one after another during four times 365 years, or 1460 years. Thus it was only after 1461 Egyptians years, equivalent to 1460 Julian years, that the heliac rising of the canicule would return to the first day of the month Thoth, and would commence a new canicular cycle.

gulated their years by the rising of the Dog-star *. I think then we may fix the institution of the year of 365 days to the year 1322 before Christ †.

The manner in which the Egyptians placed their five intercalary days, was very different from that which we follow at present. They had not distributed those days in the course of a year. Thus, instead of having, as we have, equal and unequal months, theirs were all of 30 days each. At the end of the twelve months they placed their five intercalary days following each other, between the last month of the sinishing year and the beginning of the following ‡.

By means of this correction, the Egyptians approached very near the exact determination of the folar year. They had found it very near to a quarter of a day. Their aftronomers at last came even to discover, that the year precisely of 365 days was too short by some hours of the folar natural year. But I doubt if they had attained to this point of precision in the ages we are now running over.

We only go step by step in the discovery of truth. The Egyptians began by perceiving the disproportion there was between the solar year and the lunar year, which had originally served them for a rule, as well as all the first people. They at first determined this excess to fix days. Having afterwards found out that this number was not sufficient, they then added sive days to their year. But it was not for some time after the epoch of which we are speaking in this second part, that they came to know precisely how much the duration of the solar year exceeded that of the lunar. Their observations, for the

^{*} These people had a particular attention to the rising of the canicule, whose appearance anounced the overflowing of the Nile; an attention which was one of the principal causes of the progress which they made in astronomy.

of the principal causes of the progress which they made in astronomy.

'† I refer for the proof of all that I have just advanced about the epoch of the institution of the year of 365 days in Egypt, to the history of the Egyptian calendar given by M. de la Nauze, in les memoires de l'academie des inscriptions,

^{1. 14.} M. p. 334.

† The Mexicans use them in the same manner; they place their five intercalary days at the end of the year. During these five days, which they think have been expressly left out by their ancessors, as void and without being reckoned, they abandon themselves totally to idleness, and only think of losing, in the most agreeable way possible, these days which they look upon as superfluous. Hist de la conquête du Mexique, 1, 3, c. 17, p. 554.

ages we now speak of, had not acquired sufficient justness to give the exact measure of the annual revolution of the sun from west to east. The Egyptian astronomers had not then discovered, that that star takes up more than fix hours besides the 365 days, to return to the same point of the heavens from whence it went. This fact is not difficult to prove. It fuffices to recall what I have faid above of the circle of gold placed over the tomb of Osymandes. That circle, as we have seen, was divided into 365 cubits, each of which answered to a day of the year. Yet the natural year including about the fourth of a day more, it follows, that a circle thus divided into 365 equal parts could not give an exact calendar. For there is no point mentioned where they could have the part referved for the fourth part of a day, which the true year requires befides the 365 days. Moreover, we do not fee that this fort of calendar was accompanied with any rules which could correct the defect. It is for this reason, I think, the Egyptians had not discovered the true duration of the solar year till ages posterior to those which at present engage us h.

ARTICLE III.

Of Geometry, Mechanics, and Geography.

I Shall not enlarge much about the progress of the Egyptians in the other parts of the mathematics of which I have to speak. I have shewn, in the preceding books, that surveying must have been known very anciently among those people. The tributes which Sesostris imposed upon all the lands of his kingdom, and the manner in which he ordered they should be gathered, must have contributed to the advancement of geometry in Egypt. The taxes were proportioned to the quantity of land each inhabitant possessed. They had even regard to

i Part 1. book 3. chap. 2. art 3.

Vol. II. Kk the.

h It is also the sentiment of Marsham. See p. 237.

the diminutions and to the alterations which the Nile might cause each year to the lands over which it extended k. Such an establishment must, without contradiction, perfect the first practices of geometry, and by a necessary consequence occasion new discoveries. Moreover, we cannot determine to what degree that science had then been carried in Egypt.

Of all the parts of mathematics, mechanics is that which the Egyptians appear to have known best in the times we are about; indeed there does not remain to us any precife testimony about the discoveries of these people in mechanics: history does not furnish us in that respect with any lights. But as it is certain that the Egyptians had cultivated geometry in the first times, and that it is by the application of the theories of that science to the different questions which concern motion and the equilibrium, in which confift mechanics properly fo called; there is great room to prefume, that these people corrected readily their first practices, and rectified and subjected them to some fixed and constant methods. It would be difficult enough in reality to conceive, that, without any other guide but a blind practice, and destitute of principles, the Egyptians could have elevated on their basis such masses as the obelisks 1.

It may be asked, what machines the Egyptians used for such works? Were they like ours? Laftly, did they execute thefe grand enterprises with less apparel than the celebrated Fontana used when he set up again the same obelisks by the order of Sixtus V.? That is what we know not how to decide. We only fee that the Egyptians took very extraordinary precautions and measures to execute such like undertakings m.

Geography also received great increase amongst the Egyptians in the ages which we are now employed about. The

k See Herod. l. 2. n. 109.

¹ See fueron. 1.2. n. 109.

1 See fueron. 2. chap. 3. p. 132.

Yet we must say that Zabaglia, who had lately drawn from the earth an obelisk, was absolutely ignorant of mathematics, and only worked from genius and practice. See Trev. Mai, 1751, p. 1202.; Acad. des inscript. t. 23. mem. p. 370.

m See fupra, book 2. chap. 3. p. 132.

vast conquests of Sesostris contributed greatly to the progress of that science. That monarch applied himself to have a map made of all the countries which he had gone over. He did not content himself with having enriched Egypt with his geographical productions; he had still a further care to make them difperfe copies even into Scythia, from a defire to make his name go into the most distant climates n.

The memory of these maps of Sesostris was perfectly well preferved in antiquity. In the poem composed by Apollonius Rhodian on the expedition of the Argonauts, Phineas king of Colchis predicted to those heroes the events which should accompany their return. Argus, one of the Argonauts, explained that prediction to his companions, told them that the route which they must keep was described on tables, or rather on columns, which an Egyptian conqueror had before left in the city of Oea, capital of Colchis. He adds, that the whole extent of the roads, the limits of the earth and the fea, were marked on these columns for the use of travellers. The scholiast of Apollonius calls the Egyptian monarch Sefonchofis, of whom mention is made in this passage: but he observes, that many authors also called him Sesostris. We know, moreover, that this prince had conquered Colchis, and that he had even left there a colony q.

For the rest, we ought not to be surprised that geography made so great a progress in Egypt. At all times the learned of that nation had made it a particular study. That science was one of those to which the priests particularly applied themfelves".

I could yet fpeak more largely about the geographical knowledge of which we find so many proofs in the writings of Mofes. I have already spoken of it in the first part of this work s. The division of the land of promise begun by Moses, and sinished under Joshua, gives a very perfect testimony of the pro-

n Eust, in fine epitt, ante Dionys. Perieg.

O L. 4. V. 272, &c. P lbid. ad verf. 272.

Herod. l. 2. n. 103, & 104. r Clem. Al r Clem. Alex. strom. 1. 6. p. 757.

f Book 3. chap. 2. p. 258.

gress which geography had made at that time t. We cannot help being struck, when we read in the Bible the circumstances and the detail of that division. That fact alone will sussice to convince us of the antiquity and affiduity with which certain people had applied to geography. The degree to which we shall fee that this science was carried in the time of Homer, will be fufficient to give us a complete proof of it. I shall give an account of it in the third part.

In treating of the article of sciences among the Egyptians, we must not forget one circumstance which does honour to these people. It was among them that we find the example of the most ancient library spoken of in history. Among the number of buildings with which the fuperb tomb of Ofymandes was accompanied, there was one which contained the facred library u. One read above it this infeription, The remedies of the foulx.

CHAP III.

Of Greece.

HERE is fcarce any nation which has not pretended to have invented the arts and the sciences. I have shewn, in the first part of this work, to what degree this pretension might be depended upon. It is certain, that each people has had notions about the first practices which have given birth to arts and sciences. But it is equally true that these first notions were readily perfected in certain countries, while in others the people remained a long time confined to those gross practices which we ought not to honour with the name of fciences; perhaps even these nations would never have attained to more elevated theories, if they had not been instructed by colonies which came from countries more en-

t Deut, chap. 3. v. 12.; Jos. chap. 13. & chap. 18. u Diod. l. r. p. 58. See what I have faid of this monarch, book 3. chap. 2. art. 2. p. 255.

² Diod. lice cit.

lightened. It is in this fense that we should regard the first inhabitants of Δ sia and of Egypt, as the masters who have shown to the nations of Europe the greatest part of the arts and sciences which we now enjoy. The sciences had already made a pretty great progress in the east at the time when the Greeks scarce knew the first elements.

Greece had produced formerly many famous perfonages, to whom certain writers of that nation would give the honour of the invention of arts and sciences. But the best Greek authors have paid no regard to these popular traditions. They have been the first to ridicule them, and to acknowledge that it was from Egypt and Asia that Greece had all its knowledge. The traditions of which I speak attribute, for example, the invention of arithmetic to Palamedes y. Plato with reason takes away the abfurdity of fuch an opinion. "What, then," fays he, " without Palamedes Agamemnon would have been igno-" rant of the number of his fingers z?" We must form the fame judgment of the other discoveries of which the common people among the Greeks make the great men of the heroic ages pass for the authors. We know in what time these boasted personages lived, and these times are greatly posterior to the coming of the first colonies from Asia and from Egypt into Greece. This is fufficient to demonstrate the forgery of the facts with which certain writers would embellish the history of the ancient heroes of Greece. We can only fay in their honour, that having perfected the first knowledge that Greece had originally received from the east, they merited in some fort to be looked upon as the inventors.

Without speaking of the Titan princes, of Inachus and Ogyges, we should regard Cecrops, Danaus, and Cadmus, as the authors of the greatest part of the knowledge which, in succeeding times, has distinguished so advantageously the Greeks from other people of Europe. These first tinctures, it is true, must have been imperfect enough. The sciences, at the time of the transmigrations of which I speak, had not yet acquired

Fee Plato de rep. p. 697.

262

in Asia and in Egypt the degree of perfection to which they came afterwards in those climates. A colony, moreover, could not communicate to a nation among whom they were going to fettle, all the discoveries which the country enjoyed from whence they came. Even what they brought, would only thrive by length of time. Thus we fee, that, for many ages, the fciences only languished among the Greeks. It was necessary to bring them out of that state of infancy, that men of a superior genius, perceiving what their nation wanted, should ascend, if I may fo fay, to the fource which had given to Greece its first instructions. They went to draw anew from Egypt and Asia the lights of which they had need. By these voyages they enriched their country with new discoveries; and the disciples foon furpaffed their mafters. These facts appertained to ages of which I have no occasion to speak. Let us confine ourselves to our object. Let us examine the state of sciences among the Greeks in the times which actually fix our regard: these are them which are defigned in antiquity by the name of the heroic times.

ARTICLE I.

Of Medicine.

IT is useless to observe, that originally among the Greeks, as well as among all the nations of antiquity the professions of physician, of surgeon, and apothecary, were united in the same person. That part of medicine which was employed in curing internal distempers, was scarce known to them ^a. We scarce find any examples of cures of such like distempers. Here is one, nevertheless, which merits on many accounts our attention. Fable has extremely disfigured it; but it is not difficult to pick from it historical foundations. This sact may serve to make known in what

a See part 1. book 3. chap. 1.

manner many of the remedies had been found: it will alfo give us room to make some reflections about the recompenses which they gave to the ancient physicians when they fucceeded.

History fays, that there had happened a most strange accident to the daughters of Prætus king of Argos. They thought they were metamorphofed into cowsb. Fable attributed this fingular delirium to the wrath of Bacchus, or to that of Junoc; but it is easy to perceive it was the effect of a distemper of which the phylicians report various examples d. Abas, who had poffessed the throne of Argos before Prætus, had left by Idomenea his daughter, a grandfon named Melampus . This prince was given to a pastoral life, according to the usage of the early times, when the children of kings and of gods, that is to fav, kings themselves, often kept their own flocks. The profession of a shepherd gave an opportunity to Melampus of making some discoveries in physic. He passed in antiquity for the first of the Greeks who had found out purges f. Melampus had remarked, that when the goats had eat hellebore, they were violently purged; he thought of having the milk fent to the daughters of Prætus. Others fay, that he gave them hellebore alone. It appears, that Melampus joined to that re. ceipt some superstitious remedies 2. He is the first that is said to have put in practice in Greece these pretended means h. However it was, Melampus fucceeded in curing the daughters of Prætus of their madness.

The phylicians of the heroic times did not undertake to cure the fick but for a good fum. The recompense which Melampus required is a proof of it. He demanded first the third part of the kingdom of Argos. The Argives, after some

c Apollod. l. 2. p. 68.

b Virgil, eclog. 6. v. 48.; Servius ad hunc. loc.

d See P. Ægineta. l. 3. de Atrabile.; Le Clerc, hist. de la medec. l. 1. p. 4. e Apollod. l. 2. p. 68, & 69.

His father called him Amythaon. Melampus lived about 150 years before the Greek Esculapius. f Apollod. 1. 2. p. 69.

Apollod. ibid.; Ovid. Metam. l. 15. v. 325, & feq.; Servius ubi supra. b Herod. 1. 9. n. 49.

Book III.

difficulties, having confented to it, Melampus added to his first demand, that of a third of the same kingdom for his brother Bias. History fays, that as all the Argives became mad, they were obliged to agree to all his pretentions i. It is true, that other historians relate the fact in a manner much more natural. They fay it was the King of Argos, who, as an acknowledgment, divided his kingdom with Melampus and Bias his brother k.

This is not, lastly, the only example that antiquity gives us of fuch like recompenses granted to physicians. I shall very foon have occasion to relate another. Nevertheless, we shall ceafe to be assonished at it, when we shall have restected that these physicians were the sons or grandsons of sovereigns.

We also find another example of cures attributed by antiquity to Melampus. But fable has fo difguifed the fact, and the circumstances agree so little with chronology, that I have not thought proper to relate it 1.

All that I could collect about the curing internal distempers in the ages of which we now fpeak, is nearly reduced to this. I have already had occasion to remark, that formerly this part of medicine was almost entirely unknown. The science of the first physicians only consisted in the practice of furgery m. The ancients have very well observed, that although they had physicians in the Greek army before Troy, Homer does not fay, that they were employed in the plague with which the camp was afflicted, or any other fort of distemper. They were only called to heal the wounded n. Our reflections then ought only to fall upon the manner in which, in the heroic times, the Greeks treated wounds. Homer will give us fome examples.

i Herod. l. 9. n. 33.; Apollod. l. 2. p. 69. Servius says only that Melampus made it in his bargain, that they should give

the kingdom. Ad eclog. 6. v. 48.

k Diod 1 4. p. 313; Pauf. l. 2. c. 17.

1 See Le Clerc hift, de la medec. l. 1. p. 26, & 27.

m See Apollod. l. 3. p. 172.; Plin. l. 29. c. 1. init.; Hygin. fab. 274. p. 328.; Cels. l. x. in præfat.

n Cels. loco cit.

In the Iliad Menelaus is wounded with an arrow in the fide: they make Machaon immediately come to heal him. The fon of Æsculapius, after having considered the wound, sucks the blood, and puts on it a dreffing to appeale the pain o. Homer does not specify what entered into that dressing *. It was only composed, according to all appearances, of some bitter roots. This conjecture is founded on this, that in the description which the poet gives of the healing of fuch a wound, he fays expressly, that they applied to the wound, the juice of a bitter root bruifed P. It appears, that this was the only remedy which they then knew. The virtue of these plants is to be ftyptic. They use them for hindering suppuration, and by that means to procure a reunion of the wounds more readily. These bitter roots had the same effect as brandy and other spiritous liquors, of which they make use at this time. But these fort of remedies must have caused much pain to the wounded, by the irritations and inflammations which they could not fail of occasioning +.

I had forgot to fay, that their first care at that time, was to wash the wounds with warm water q. We see also, that after that they knew and practifed the fuction r.

0 I.. 4. v. 218, & 219.

9 Iliad. l. 11. v. 845. l. 14. v. 6, et feq.

r Ibid. l. 4. v. 218.

It must be agreed, that the word ἐκκυζήσως, which Homer uses on this occafion, is susceptible of two interpretations; for it may also signify simply to wipe
the wound after having pressed it. This is the sense which Le Clerc has followed.
Hist. de la medecine, i. 1. p. 49, & 50.

But besides that many interpreters have thought, that on this occasion Homer had intended to mean fullion, I am determined by the authority of Eustathius, who takes it in this sense. He even adds, that in his time, among the most barbarous nations, they practifed this remedy which would succeed commonly.

[·] Plato, repub. l. 3. p 623. has cited this wound of Menelaus for an example of the manner in which they cured wounds in the heroic times; but as he makes use of the expressions of Homer, he can give us no insight into the nature of the remedies that Homer means.

P Piζαν πικεήν. Iliad. l. 11. v. 845, 846.

† This is what makes methink, that we must not take literally the epithets which Homer gives to these fort of remedies. He calls them ήπια, οδυνήφατα Φαςμακα, foft remedies, alleviating. I think, that by these terms the poet would only say, that these remedies alleviated the pain, by procuring the healing of the wounds. See Iliad. 1.5. v. 401.

We must also observe, that all the offensive arms which they assed in the heroic times were of brass f. There is room to think, that wounds made with fuch arms, were not as difficult to cure as wounds made with arms of iron t. In as much as the rust of copper taken internally is pernicious and mortal, by fo much is it useful when employed externally. Verdigrease 'deterges and dries the ulcers; it confumes the fungus and fuperfluous flesh. They make also a very falutary use of vitriol to abate inflammations. There could refult none but good effects from the copper remaining in the wounds. That metal has in itself a styptic virtue. The filings of copper enter into the composition of many remedies which they use to prevent the corruption of the flesh. Some authors even pretend, that a nail of brass put into the flesh of a dead animal will hinder it from corrupting ". Finally, the discovery of the property of copper for healing wounds is very ancient. All antiquity agrees to fay, that Achilles had cured Telephus with the ruft of his lance, of which the point was copper. This hero paffes for the first who had found out the good effects of verdigrease in the treatment of wounds x.

The notion, that, by the virtue of certain words, they could flop the blood and heal wounds, is a very ancient superstition. At this time men are not so infatuated with them. These illegitimate means, which a false religion had given birth to, and which credulity had maintained, were in use at all times and among all people y. Homer surnishes us with very striking proofs of the credit which the Greeks gave to these impostors. Ulysses relates, that having been dangerously wounded by a wild boar, the sons of Autolycus bound up the wound, and stopped the blood by pronouncing certain words z. There is also great reason to think, that there was much supersti-

See infra, book 5. chap. 3.

t It is the sentiment of Aristotle, problem. 35. sect. r. p. 683. See also Plut. t. 2. p. 659.

u Plut. t. 2. p. 659.; Journ. des scavans, Juillet. 1678. p. 159.

^{*} Plin. l. 25. fect. 19. p. 365.

y See Le Clerc. hist. de la med. part 1. l. 1. p. 35, &c. 2 Odyst. l. 19. v. 457.; Plin. l. 28. c. 2. p. 446.

tion in the wonderful knot, the invention of which they attributed to Hercules. The ancients pretended, that that knot had a very particular virtue for healing wounds 2.

The care of regulating the nourishment of the wounded is one of the principal objects of physic. It is of absolute necessity and of very great consequence, to prescribe, on these occasions to the lick, rules for eating and drinking. We are always furprifed at the regimen which Homer makes his wounded heroes observe. Machaon, son of Æsculapius, was himself a very able physician. He was a soldier as well as a phyfician. He was wounded dangerously in the shoulder in a fally which the Trojans made. Nestor immediately brought him back to his tent. Scarce are they entered there, but Machaon took a drink mixed with wine, in which they had put the fcrapings of cheese and barley-flour b. What ill effects must not this mixture produce, fince wine alone, in the opinion of perfons of skill, is very opposite to the healing of wounds? The meats which Machaon afterwards used, do not appear any way proper for the state in which he found himself c.

The conduct which Homer makes his heroes observe, is so extraordinary, that Plato could not help remarking it; but, at the same time, he endeavours to find, in the manner of living in the heroic times, reasons for excusing such a regimen. Yet, I doubt, if the motives on which Plato sounds the defence of Homer, be as solid as they are ingenious. It is better to attribute, with a very learned author in these matters,

² Plin. 1. 28. c. 6. p. 455.

b Iliad. l. 11. v. 506, 507, & 637, &c.

Mad. Dacier has translated ἄλφιτα λευκά by wheat-steur. But it is certain, that ἄλφιτων never signified but barley-flour. See Plat. repub. l. 2. p. 600.

Besides we know, that the mixed drink which Homer calls zuzzaw, they made anciently with barley-slour. See the schol. of Euripid. ad Orest. p. 209. edit. Steph.

c Iliad. l. 11, v. 629. d In Ione, p. 366.; repub. l. 3. p. 622, & 623. Plato had not Homer before him when he writ this part of his Republic. He confounds the personages, by saying, that it was Eurypilus who took the drink in question. It was, according to Honner, Machaon himself. We do not see that Eurypilus, after he is wounded, is said to have taken any thing. It is a small inattention of Plato, into which M. Le Clerc has equally sallen. His de la 2006. 1. 1. p. 42.

this irregular conduct to their ignorance of the true principles of medicine. It is certain, that, in the heroic times, that part of this science which concerns the dieting of the sick was abfolutely unknown c.

I have faid in the first part of this work, that, according to all appearances, they did not know to bleed anciently. That remedy does not feem to have been in use among the Egyptians. With respect to the Greeks, we do not find the least trace of it in Homer; yet bleeding must have been known and practifed in the heroic times, if we would refer to the testimony of Ætien of Byzantium. That geographer fays, that Podalirus, brother of Machaon, returning from the war of Troy, was thrown by a tempest on the coast of Caria. The report being spread, that he was a physician, they brought him to King Damætus, whose daughter had fallen from the top of a house. They fay, he cured her by bleeding her in both . armsf, The King, in acknowledgment, gave him that princess in marriage, with the Chersonesus. As we are ignorant from whence Ætien of Byzantium had taken this history, and that he is the only one who fpeaks of it, there is great room to doubt of it; fo much the more as this geographer is an evidence too modern with relation to times fo remote as those of which we fpeak *.

We have feen in the first part of this work, that, among the people of the east, the care of labours had been originally intrusted to the women. It had not been the same with the Greeks in the first ages. It was expressly forbidden the women to exercise any parts of medicine, without even excepting that of delivering women. This prohibition had had very bad consequences. The women could not resolve to call men in these critical moments. For want of help many perished in their labours. The industry of a young Athenian woman who disguised herself

like

e Le Clerc. hist, de la med. l. 1. p. 44.

f Stephan. in voce Dugya, p. 625, & 626.

* Thom, de Pinedo conjectures, that Ætien of Byzantium writ between the agoth and sooth year of the C. ristian æra. Fabricius thinks, that it might be more ancient by 100 years. Bibl. Grac. 1. 3. p. 46.

269

like a man to learn physic, drew the women out of this ferape. They had remarked, that this pretended physician was the only one which the women used. This raised sufpicions. They carried her before the Areopagus to give an account of her conduct. Agnoditia (for that was the name of our young Athenian) had no trouble to draw the judges from their error. She explained the motive of her difguife. This adventure was the cause of the abrogation of the ancient law. Since that time, the women have had permission to preside at labours 8.

The princes and kings at this time did not despise the practice of physic. Almost all the famous personages of the heroic ages were distinguished for their knowledge in that art. They reckon in this number Aristæus, Jason, Telamon, Teucer, Peleus, Achilles, Patroclus, &c. They had been instructed by the centaur Chiron, whose skill and knowledge at that time had rendered him the oracle of Greece. They were particularly attached to the knowledge of fimples. They defign even now many plants by the name of fome one of these heroes; a proof, that in antiquity they passed for the first who discovered the virtues of them h.

We could join to these illustrious personages Palamedes. It is not that he had applied to know the fecrets of medicine. He had refused to be instructed in that science by Chiron. Palamedes was a fatalift, and confequently looked upon medicine as a knowledge odious to Jupiter and the fates. The example of Æsculapius being thunderstruck, frightened him i. But, as the penetration of his mind was equal to every thing, they fay, he hindered, by his advice, the plague which ravaged all the cities of the Hellespont, and even Troy, from attacking any perfon in the Grecian camp, although the place where the camp was fituated was very unwholesome. Palamedes, they add, had forefeen this plague, because the weives descending from mount Ida rushed upon the beafts, and even upon the

³ Hygin, fab. 274. p. 328. h See Le Clerc, hist, de la med, i. 1. p. 30.

i Philoftrat, heroic. c. 10. p. 708.

men. The means which he used for hindering the army of the Greeks from being attacked with the plague, was to order them to eat little, and particularly that they should abstain from slesh. He injoined them also to use much exercise. They fay, this advice had all the success possible k.

If this fact had been well proved, we might fay, that, on the fubject of medicine, Palamedes knew more than all the Greeks, without excepting Podalirius and Machaon. But all this fine story does not deferve the least credit. I should not have had occasion to have spoke of it, if, false as it is, it had not served to confirm what I have said precedently about the discoveries which some Greek writers would give the honour of to their heroes. To destroy all these traditions, it suffices to open Homer, whose testimony ought to have so great a weight in every thing which concerns the heroic times. This poet says expressly, that the Greeks were a prey to the deadly arrows of Apollo. We see nothing every where but heaps of dead upon the piles, which burn without ceasing!

I shall only speak one word of Medea. That princess passed in antiquity for a very famous magician. She would not probably have had this bad reputation but for the knowledge she had acquired in botany, and the criminal use she too often made of it. They have seen her do surprising cures. They knew also, that by her secrets she often got rid of those who had drawn upon them her enmity; they needed no more to make her to be looked upon, in these times of ignorance, as a magician of the first order.

Among all the furprifing things she had done, there was none more celebrated than the making old Æson young, the father of Jason her lover. Ovid has described this fable in a very elegant and pathetic manner m. Many mythologists have endeavoured to give a reasonable meaning to this absurd tale.

k Philostrat. heroic. c. 10. p. 710, & 711.

¹ Iliad, l. 1. v. 51, & feq. m Metam. l. 7. v. 162, & feq.

There are some who have thought that they had got a glimpse of it from an experiment which they took a great deal of pains about at the end of the last age. I mean the transsussion of blood, a remedy which they tried many times with ill success. Others search for the origin of that sable in a tradition which imports, that Medea knew herbs, whose virtue was to make white hairs black. But all these explications are not supported on any historical soundations.

ARTICLE II.

Of Mathematics.

THE Greeks, in the ages of which we at present speak, had only very contracted notions of mathematics. What they knew in it does not merit the name of science. We are always aftonished, when we compare the brilliant ages of that nation with its beginnings. Their genius has been far from being unfolded as readily as that of the people of the east. Compare the Greeks of the heroic ages to the Phænicians of the same ages, and we shall find almost as much difference between them as between the most policed people of Europe, and the nations of America the moment they were discovered. The Greeks even did not know to put in practice, till very lately, the knowledge which the Asian and Egyptian colonies had imparted to them. However imperfect we suppose these first tinctures, the little use which the Greeks made of them for almost 1000 years will always be a great subject of astonishment.

P Bannier, loco cit. p. 460.

^{*} Bannier, explic. des fables, t. 6. p. 459, & 460.

Clem. Alex. strom. l. 1. p. 363. See le Clerc, hist. de la medicine, l. 1.

§ I.

Of Arithmetic.

This impossible to give even imperfect and vague notions of the state and progress of arithmetic in Greece for the heroic ages. Antiquity does not furnish us with any lights about the first methods that the Greeks had made use of to make their calculations. I shall content myself with proposing some conjectures about the arithmetical symbols used anciently among these people.

The Greeks, like all the nations of antiquity, had no knowledge of figures properly so called, that is to say, characters solely destined to express numbers. They made serve for this purpose the letters of their alphabet, divided and ranged in different manners. It appears, that at first they designed numbers by the initial letters *, to which they afterwards added the numeral letters q. The first being, if one may say so, only the abridgment of the names of number, they ought to have made use of them before they gave to the letters of the alphabet a value dependent, not only of the rank which they held, but even an arbitrary agreement, which is plain from the manner of expressing units, tens, hundreds, &c. This second operation is much more complicated than the first. It could not be introduced, till they had received from the Phænicians the Epi-

^{*} This method could not have had place in the case where the same initial letter agreed to many names of different numbers. It would be difficult, for example, to make use of Epsilon for the numbers six, seven, nine, Ex, intelligible when it was necessary to express them in one and the same calculation. They must necessary, in that case, have had error and confusion, to design those numbers by the initial letter of their name. We are ignorant in what manner the Greeks in the first ages remedied this inconveniency. But the monuments, which still subsist, do not permit us to doubt of the great use they made, generally speaking, of initial letters of the names of numbers, to express their value in an abridged way.

⁴ See les mem. de l'acad. des inscript. t. 23. mem. p. 416, &c.

Jemons, Bau, Koppa, and Sampi*, which appear to have come later into Greece than the greatest part of the other characters.

In the times of Herodian, the first manner of rekoning still existed in the laws of Solon, and on ancient columns. It was perpetuated among the Athenians; but, as it had been insensibly abandoned by the other cities of Greece, from thence it comes, that the grammarians, such as Terentius Scaurus, and Priscian, never speak of it but as a custom particular to the Athenians.

It is clear, notwithstanding; that, at the beginning, this custom must have been common to all the people of Greece. We find proofs of it in some fragments of very ancient inscriptions. But we must agree at the same time, that the other method of reckoning, that is to say, by numeral letters, was introduced very early into many districts of Greece u.

I should like to have been able to have spoken more ex-

To express all the numbers which are between 1000 & 1,000,000, they did not trie new numerical symbols; they contented themselves with only removing the accent to the inferior part of the character, which without that only meant units, tens, hundreds: this new position of the accent determined the character to represent units, tens, and hundreds of thousands.

r See his treatife περί ζων αριθμων.

" Ibid. loco cit.

ibid. isto tii.

Vol. II. M m tensively

^{*} It is the name which the Greeks gave to three characters, which they added to the twenty-four letters of their alphabet, to extend and facilitate the practice of calculations. These characters were formed thus, \$\varphi\$, \$\frac{1}{2}\$, \$\frac{1}{2}\$, and represented the numbers \$\varphi\$, 90, and 900. The twenty-four letters of the alphabet, taken according to the order that they had given to them originally, marked the numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80. 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, & 800. The combination of the eight letters, \$\vec{1}{2}\$, \$\

¹ Terent. Scaurus de orth. p. 2258. edit. de Putl.; Priscus, de fig. num. p. 1345.; Acad. des inscript. t. 23 mem. p. 417.

1 See Acad. des inscript. t. 23. mem. p. 416, & 417.

tenfively of the origin and state of arithmetic among the Greeks in these early ages. 'The silence of ancient authors has not permitted me. It would be difficult to supply it by conjectures, which besides would necessarily have this defect, to be very uncertain and very arbitrary. Astronomy will furnish us with more matter for our refearches.

6 II.

Of Astronomy.

NOthing shews better the little disposition the ancient Greeks had for the sciences, than the state of impersection in which aftronomy had languished among them during so many ages. It is certain, that at the times of which we now speak, and very long after them, their calendar was very imperfect-It was, without doubt, because the Greeks did not give themselves up to agriculture till pretty late, and that they had been a very long time without undertaking navigations of a great extent x.

It appears nevertheless, that that nation had never wanted aftronomers. The greatest part of the famous personages of the heroic ages were faid to have applied themselves to the study of the heavens. There is fcarce one of them, to whom they have not attributed some astronomical discoveries y. If we would even believe Philostrates, Palamedes had been instructed enough in that science to explain the cause of the eclipses of the fun 2. I have already fufficiently explained myfelf as to what we should think of the pretended discoveries of these heroes; it would then be losing of time to stop any longer about it.

There is great reason to think, that, in the beginning, the Greeks did not reckon their years but by the feafons; and yet there was not, in that respect, an uniformity between the

Book III.

x See fupra, book 2. chap. 1. p. 172, &cc. & infra, book 4. chap. 4. y See Lucian de aftrol. t. 2. p. 364, & fej.; Achil. Tat. Hag. init.

z Heroic. e. 10. p. 709.

different people of Greece. The Arcadians, who passed for the first who had endeavoured to make themselves a calendar, originally made the year of three months, and afterwards of four-The Argives and the Acarnanians gave fix to theirs a.

We cannot fix the age in which the Greeks came to accommodate in a reasonable way the duration of their years to the course of the seasons. Anciently their years were purely lunarb. The Greeks were not long of perceiving how irregular that manner of dividing the time was. In less than seventeen of these years, the order of nature was absolutely reversed; fummer taking the place of winter, and winter that of fummer. They were obliged to have a remedy for these inconveniencies. 'The Greeks invented fuccessively different periods, or cycles, to make the duration of their years concur with the periodical return of the feafons; but they wanted the most effential sciences, without which it was not possible to succeed in fuch an enterprife. We have a striking proof of this, even in the nature of these periods. The first was the Dieteride.

This period supposed, that twenty-five lunar revolutions anfwered exactly to two folar revolutions. In confequence of this false principle, the Greeks believed they had found the true means of bringing back again the different months of their year to the fame feafon, by intercalating a thirteenth month every other two years, in fuch a way that the years were alternatively of twelve and of thirteen monthsc. They called that period Dieteride or Trieteride, that is to fay, a period of two years, or a period of three years, because that intercalation did not take place but each third year, after two years revolution 4.

a Plin. l. 7. c. 48. p. 403.; Censorin. c. 19.; Solin. c. 1. p. 4.; Plut. in Numa, p. 72. B.; Stob. eclog. phys. p. 21.; August. de civit. Dei, l. 15. c. 12. p. 129.; Macrob. Saturn. l. 1. c. 12. p. 242.
b Solin. c. 1. p. 4.; Suid. in Ένισυτδς, t. 1. p. 747.; Macrob. Saturn. l. 1.

o. Soint. c. 1. p. 445, c. 13. p. 251.

C. 1. p. 242. c. 13. p. 251.

We shall afterwards see the proof of what we are going to report of their ancient periods, which necessarily suppose lunar years of 354 days.

C. Censorin. c. 18.

d Ibid.

The Greeks were not a long time without discovering the imperfections of that reform *. They imagined then to double the interval of the intercalation of the thirteenth month, and not to make that intercalation till four years had revolved, or, what is the same thing, at the beginning of each fifth year. It is from hence that that fecond period took the names of Tetrateride and Pentateride, under which names it has been equally known d. Lastly, as the Tetræteride was still more defective than the Dieteride t, the Greeks invented a third. which they called Octateride, or Enneateride, observing that this new cycle commenced every ninth year c. Authors are divided about the manner in which the intercalation was used in this third period. Some say, that they intercalated three months after eight years had revolved; others fay, that the Greeks added every eighth year an intercalary month, and it was in this that their oftesterides confifted f. Macrobius pretends, that they had feven common years of 354 days each, and that the eighth year they intercalated the ninety days which eight folar years furpass eight lunar years g.

I think that the Enneateride had place in Greece in the time of Cadmus. We fee, in effect, that, under this prince, there was mention made of a great year, and that that great year was of eight years h. We are not ignorant that the ancients, by these great years, understood the periods invented to reform the duration of the ordinary years,

^{*} The Dieteride exceeded the duration of two folar years about feven days. It of confequence occasioned twenty-eight days, that is to fay, near a month's error every eight years.

d Cenforin. c. 18.

[†] It must have been 15 days, or 15 days and a half, that 49 lunar months wanted of four solar years. Thus the Tetrateride made from thirty to thirty-one days of error every eight years, near three days more, of consequence, than the Dieteride. But the irregularity, caused by that period, acted in a quite opposite order. The Dieteride kept back the return of each month, with relation to the season to which it should appertain, and the Tetrateride on the contrary advanced it.

e Confor. c. 18. f Newton's chronology of the Greeks, p. 78, & 79.

E Saturn. l. 1. c. 13. p. 251. See alfo Suidas in Εναυτές, t. 1. p. 747. h Apollod. l. 3. p. 137.

and to bring them back to the order of the feafons and the revolution of the stars. I still think we have a glimpse of the traces of this period in the manner in which the ancients fay that Minos published his law i. The using of all these different cycles proves plainly the ignorance and incapacity of the Greeks in astronomy at this time.

In course of time, they applied themselves to find out means more proper to regulate with exactness the duration of their years. The ancient annals of Greece attribute these first refearches to an answer of the oracle of Delphos. The oracle having faid, that they must celebrate the solemn feasts not only according to the usage of their country, but further, that they ought to observe there three things *, the Greeks thought that by these three things, the oracle had ordered them to have regard to days, to months, and to years; they imagined, that, for this effect, they ought to regulate the years by the course of the fun, and the months by that of the moon k.

The authors from whom we have this fact, do not tell us the time in which they applied themselves to conform to the orders of the oracle; but it is certain, that there passed many ages before the Greeks were instructed in the means proper to conduct them to the end which they proposed to themselves.

According to the testimony even of the most esteemed of their writers, these people before the reign of Atreus had not yet given attention to the proper motion of the fun from west to east. They fay this prince was the first who instructed the Greeks in it 1. We are not ignorant that the reign of Atreus only preceded the war of Troy fixteen years. Philostrates, at the same time that he will do honour to the exalted knowledge of Palamedes, is forced to confess, that then they had nei-.

i See Marsh. p. 613.

k Gemin, apud Petav. Uranol. c. 6. p. 32. 1 Strabo, l. 1. p. 43.; Lucian, de astrol. t. 2. p. 365, & 366.; Achil. Tat, Ifag. p. 140.

ther rules nor measures for the months and for the years. It must then be looked upon as certain, that all the practices which the Greeks used in the heroic times, were very impersect.

Some moderns nevertheless have imagined, that the enterprise of the Argonauts had caused a great progress to be made in astronomy in Greece. They say the hazards of a long and dangerous navigation on seas unknown, forced the Greeks to apply with great attention to know the state of the heavens. There have been some who have even advanced, that, at the time of the expedition of the Argonauts, they had charged the samous centaur Chiron with the reform of the ancient calendar of Greece which wanted exactness. Chiron, continue they, made a new calendar for the use of the Argonauts two years before their expedition. He formed even constellations in order to facilitate the voyage of these heroes. They have done more: they would assign in what points of the heavens Chiron had sixed the points of the equinoxes and of the solstices.

An opinion so contrary to all that ancient history teaches us of the little knowledge the Greeks had of astronomy in the heroic times, has not failed to be advanced. We have demonstrated the falsity of it in a manner plain enough for its not being necessary to be insisted on anew. Yet, to the end that nothing may be omitted about a matter so interesting, I shall shew in few words the means by which they have combated a system so opposite to history and to reason. I shall only abridge what has already been said by two celebrated and well-known authors?, by adding only some reslections to their reasonings.

To the prefent time they had only regarded Chiron as a Theffalian very well verfed in botany. In this respect they were conformable to the unanimous testimony of all antiquity. They had never speke of Chiron but as a physician who knew

m Heroic. c. 10. p. 709.

n Newton, chron of the Greeks, p. 85, 87, 80, & feq.

o Le P. Hardouin, differt. fur la chron. de M. Newton. It is inferted in the memoirs of Trevoux, Septem. 1729, art. 87.; Bannier explicat. des fables, t. 6. 342, & feq.

better than all his cotemporaries the use of plants, especially of those which serve for the curing of wounds. But further: it is known that Jason was brought up by Chiron p, The centaur, fay the ancients, imparted, to his disciples all his knowledge, and particularly that of medicine. They even add, that Chiron gave from this motive the name of Jason to that hero, instead of that of Diomede which he bore before q. We do not fee that in these ancient traditions there is any mention made of astronomy. On what authority then is it that a modern author is supported to make Chiron an astronomer capable of making a calendar, and to fix the true state of the heavens, especially in the ages he mentions? They support themselves from a fragment of an unknown poet mentioned by Clemens Alexandrinus, But further, what fays this passage which makes the only basis of the fystem which we attack? Here it is, translated literally, that we may judge if fuch an authority is capable of destroying the unanimous fuffrage of antiquity. " Hermippus of Beryte er gives the name of Sage to Chiron the centaur; and he who " has written the Titanomachy reports, that he had first learn-" ed the human race to live according to justice, by shewing them the force of an oath, the joyful facrifices, or thankfgi-" vings, and the figures of the heavens f."

Without speaking of the whimsical affortment of these three forts of knowledge, without being willing to examine the authority of an unknown poet, and of whom the ancients have transmitted scarce any thing to us, could even what he has faid make us conclude, that Chiron had been learned enough in astronomy to range all the stars under their different constellations? Do we see in the passage in question, that the centaur

P The scholiast of Pindar brings to prove it two verses of Hesiod. Nemes 2- ad vers. 92.

¹ Id. Pyth: 4. ad vers. 211.

This is what the scholiast of Apollonius says also, l. r. v. 554.

r Strom. l. 1. p. 360, & 361.

Σχήματα 'Ολύμπε. Clem. Alex. loco cit.

had reformed the calendar in favour of the Argonauts, and lastly, that he had fixed the four points of the folstices and the equinoxes in the middle, that is to say, in the fistcenth degree of Cancer and of Capricorn, of the Ram and Libra?

What we can conclude, as appears to me, most naturally from this passage; is, that Chiron joined to the knowledge of botany, that fort of astronomy which concerns the heliacal setting and rising of some constellations, such as the Hyades, the Pleiades, and Orion, whose appearances surnish prognostics about the wind, the tempests, the rains, and other accidents hurtful to agriculture. He might know also, that the observation of the stars near the pole is useful in navigation. Perhaps he might have given some instructions to the Greeks about these objects. It was this point, without doubt, to which the celestial knowledge of Chiron was reduced. The state in which astronomy then was in Greece, does not permit us to doubt of it. These sciences, moreover, were limited enough, and did not put the person who possessed them, in a state of executing all that they would give the honour of to Chiron *.

We must besides have paid very little attention to the manner in which the Greeks sailed in the heroic times, to imagine, that the Argonauts had need of a calendar to mark exactly the rising, the setting, and the position of the stars. The Greeks then only cruised about, that is to say, sailed along the coasts. It was not necessary in the enterprise of the Argonauts to bear off to the open seas; their object was to make the passage from Thessaly to Colchis. Of what use then would the pretended calendar of Chiron have been to them? Shall we suppose, that these adventurers knew how to take the height of the stars, to know the place in which they were? What I shall say in the sol-

What Clemens Alexandrinus adds, of Hyppo, daughter of Chiron, whom Ovid, by the by, calls Ocyroe, confirms the explication which I have just given of the aftronomical knowledge of Chiron. Hyppo, daughter of the centaur, fays Clement, having espoused Eolus, the same Ulysses came to shew to her husband the science of her father, that is to say, the contemplation of nature. Euripides, adds he, says of this Hyppo, that she knew and predicted divine things by the oracles and by the rising of the stars. Strom. 1. 1. p. 361.

lowing book, about the manœuvre of the Greeks in the heroic ages, will shew us how incapable they were of such an operation. We shall there see, that even in the times of Homer, that is to fay, more than 300 years after the epoch which we are actually fpeaking of, the Urfa Major was the only guide which their pilots knew t.

These are, I think, proofs more than sufficient to destroy all the imaginations which they have propagated about the calendar made by Chiron. If it was necessary to add to this some reflections, the writings of Homer and Hefiod alone would furnish us with enow to overturn the fystem which we attack. Homer, who in his poems has had fo many occasions to speak of the stars, and who in effect speaks of them very often, yet only names fix constellations, Urfa Major, Orion, Charles's Wain, the Hyades, the Pleiades, and the Great Dog. It is a strong prefumption, that, even in his time, the Greeks knew no more. In the description which he makes of the shield of Achilles, where he fays, that Vulcan, among other fubjects, had reprefented all the constellations with which heaven is crowned u, we do not fee, that he places there a greater number.

If from Homer we pass to Hesiod, we shall see, that the number of the constellations known to the Greeks were not augmented in his time. This poet only mentions those which were fpoken of by Homer. For Sirius and Arcturus x, of which the names are found in his writings, and of which we fee no trace in those of Homer, are only two particular stars, which make a part, one of the Great Dog, and the other of Charles's Wain. Anacreon, although greatly posterior to Homer and Hefiod, only names one constellation more than these two poets *.

t Book 4. chap. 4.

u 'Εν δε τὰ τείζεα πάντα τά τ' έςανός ἐςεφάνωται. Iliad, l. 18. v. 484. x Opera, v. 609, & 610.

The name Σειρίος given to the Great Dog, and that of 'Αρπτέρος, given to Charles's Wain, make one suspect, that Hesiod is not quite as ancient as Homer. * It is the Little Bear. We fee, that it was known in his time, because he

uses the plural auagus instead of the ingular auagu, which Homer and Hesiod always use.

It was Thales, as I shall show in the third part, who learned the Greeks to know the Little Bear.

Lastly, if we were to examine all the ancient Greek authors who have had occasion to speak of the constellations, we shall see, that they knew no others but the two Bears, Orion, Charles's Wain, and the Pleiades.

With regard to the zodiac, there is no mention made of it in any writers of antiquity. We do not find that term used but in authors much younger *. We should not be surprised at this. It is certain, that, before Thales, the Greeks had no idea of astronomy considered as a science *. If we refer to Pliny, Anaximander had been the first who had made known to them the obliquity of the ecliptic z; a discovery which I think notwithstanding ought to be referred to Thales a. Pliny likewise tells us, that Cleostrates had been the first among the Greeks who was said to have made known the different signs which compose the circle of the sphere b; and from the manner in which Pliny expresses himself, we see, that he was only a little time after Anaximander c.

It appears to me then demonstrated, that in the ages which at present make our object, and even a long time after, the Greeks knew only such of the constellations whose observation is most necessary for agriculture. It had only been successively and by length of time, that they came to know and design the greatest part of the constellations, of which they would make us believe the pretended planisphere of Chiron was composed. We shall have occasion to convince them still more of this by the exposure which I shall make in the following volume of the state in which astronomy then was in Greece.

z L. 2. feft 6.

^{*} It is neither in Plato nor in Aristotle. And we find no more of it in the poem of the sphere which remains to us under the name of Empedocles. Apud Fabric, Bibl. Grac. t. 1, p. 477.

Fabric, Bibl. Grac. t. 1. p. 477.

It is true, that in the treatife de mundo, inserted among the works of Aristotle, we see the word Zadia used to design the twelve signs; but all the critics agree at this time, that that treatife is not Aristotle's

this time, that that treatife is not Ariffotle's

Aratus is the most ancient author who has designed the zodiac by the term
Zάιδιος Κωικλος. Aratus lived about the year 270 before Christ.

y This is what we shall prove in the 3d part
2 See what is faid on this subject, part 3.

b Plin. l. z. sect. 6.

Besides the names by which the Greeks have designed the constellations, it would suffice alone, in my opinion, to prove, that far from having been invented before the expedition of the Argonauts, they must be on the contrary posterior to that epoch. By the confession of the partisans of the system which we now attack, the greatest part of these names have a direct relation to that expedition a; in this point we are perfectly agreed. We only differ in this, that they suppose that the Greeks had formed their constellations before the voyage of the Argonauts. We pretend, on the contrary, that they could only be since that event; and we prove it by the names of many of the constellations; such as that of the Dragon who guarded the golden sleece, of Medea's cup, of Castor and Pollux, and of Chiron himself. These names necessarily suppose the expedition of the Argonauts become already samous by its success.

With respect to the ship Argo, one of the principal constellations of the Greek planisphere, there is no appearance that it had been formed in Greece. They can only perceive one part of the stars which composed it. I shall be easily enough brought to believe, that that constellation was the work of Greek astronomers established at Alexandria under the Ptolemys. The name of Canopus, given to the most brilliant star of that constellation appears to shew it positively enough. No one is ignorant that that word is purely Egyptian. It was the name of a god much celebrated and highly revered in Egypt c.

Lastly, is it well proved, that, in the times of which we are speaking, the Greeks designed even the constellations which they knew by the names which remain at this time in use in our astronomy? Do we not see, on the contrary, that these names and these signess have suffered great variation among these people? The Great Bear, which afterwards they called Helice, is never called but Arstos by Homer and He-

d Newton's chron. of the Greeks, p. 87. C See Plut. de Iside & Osiride, p. 359. E.; Vost, de islol. l. 1. c. 31.

fiod *. The constellation of Charles's Wain, called by Homer Bootes, and Arcturus by Hesiod, has since been named Arctophylax, the keeper of the bear so That of the Bull did not bear in the early times, among the Greeks, the name of that animal. They named that constellation originally † the guardian of the seasons s.

But what has been the origin of the names and the figures that the Greeks have given anciently to conftellations? To what cause are the changes they have made in them referred? This is what I shall treat of in a particular differtation; I shall expose my conjectures about the origin of the names by which the first people have originally designed the constellations. I shall likewise give an account of the changes that these names have received among the Greeks, and of the motives which occasioned them h. I think for this reason I shall be dispensed with at present from entering into any detail on this object.

With respect to the planets, it is certain, that, at the times we now mention, the Greeks only knew Venus. This is in effect the only planet which is spoken of in the writers of great antiquity. But the discovery of Venus conducted the Greeks but very slowly to the knowledge of the other planets. This is a fact of which I shall give the proof in the succeeding volume. We shall see there, that to the time that Eudoxus and Plato returned from Egypt, the Greeks had no idea of the proper motion of the planets. It is easy to be convinced of this, when we respect, that, at the time of Pythagoras, these people still believed that the Venus of the morning and the Venus of the night were two different planets. It was Pythagoras who drew them from so gross an error.

^{*} Besides the names of "Αρατος, of "Αμαζα, and of 'Ηλιαη, given by the Greeks to the Great Bear, we see that they likewise design it by that of "Αγαννα. Hesychius in νους "Αγαννα.

f See Hygin. poet. astron. l. 2. n. 2. p. 360.

⁺ Le gardien des termes.

⁸ Sphæra Empedoel. v. 98, & feq. See Hygin. poet, aftron. l. 2. where he has related all the different names given to the confiellations by the Greeks.

h See at the end of this volume the first differtation on the names of the confellations.

The facts which I have exposed appear to me sufficient to give an idea of the state of astronomy among the Greeks, in the heroic times. The inductions that may be drawn from them, if we may say so, present themselves.

§ III.

Of Geometry, Mechanics, and Geography.

Shall not stop to inquire what knowledge the Greeks might have had in Geometry, in mechanics, and in geography, in the ages we are running over at prefent. The facts which ancient history, and particularly Homer, furnish for this epoch, prove that the Greeks then had some notions of the fundamental practices of these different sciences. I have shown elsewhere, that, without such knowledge, no political fociety could fublift. But to determine precisely the state in which the mathematics were in Greece in the heroic ages, is impossible. Ancient authors have transmitted nothing particular nor precise about this object. I do not think then that it ought to be attempted. I could only repeat most of the conjectures, which I have proposed in the first part of this work, on the origin and unfolding of the sciences. The reader need only recollect what I have there faid, and he will fee that almost all the reslections which I there made on the first people, may very well be applied to the Greeks of the heroic ages. I think it will be better, to propose some conjectures on the causes which hindered for fo long a time the progress of the sciences in Grece.

I have already faid, and do not fear to repeat it, it is always furprifing, that the people with whom we cannot contest the glory of having carried the arts and sciences to the utmost perfection; that the people regarded at this time, and with reason, as our masters and models in all matters which raise and distinguish the human mind, had been so long a time bounded by notions extremely gross. From the epoch of the establish-

ment of the first colonies of Asia and Egypt in Greece, to the time of Thales, that is to fay, for more than a thousand years, the Greeks made no progress in the sciences, which the people of the east had communicated to them. The continual intercourse which Greece kept up with Egypt and Phoenicia, one would think, would have contributed to kindle and develop the feeds of knowledge. Yet this com merce with people fo improved, did not produce the effect naturally to be expected from it. These first feeds were stifled. Let us endeavour to give a reason for this slowness and inactivity. By examining the state in which Greece was in the ages which at present fix our attention, and by reflecting on the events which happened there at that time, we shall see that it was not possible for the Greeks to perfect the first knowledge which they had received from Asia and Egypt.

I think, it is demonstrated by all the lights that history can afford us on the origin and progress of the sciences, that they did not begin to acquire any fort of perfection, but in pretty considerable states. Greece in the heroic ages, and long afterwards, reckoned almost as many kingdoms as cities. We may easily comprehend how weak those fort of states must have been. What inhabitants they had, must have been solely taken up with the care of their own preservation. In such a situation the sciences could hardly make any progress.

Befides, a nation cannot cultivate the sciences but in proportion to its enjoying tranquillity, which Greece was very far from enjoying the sweets of in the heroic times k. Exposed to the incursions and ravages of strangers, tormented with divisions and intestine wars, engaged to carry their arms into distant climes; lastly, exposed to the most fatal revolutions, how could these people give themselves up to that repose and study which the arts and sciences require? To prove this, let

i See part 1. book 3. chap. 2. art. 6.

us give a short but exact picture of the different revolutions with which that part of Europe was then agitated.

We have just feen that they formerly had not in Greece any flourishing states; and of consequence they had no security, no tranquillity in that part of Europe. These countries then, quite open, and without defence, were a prey to the avidity of the neighbouring people, who every instant came to attack and plunder them. In these unhappy times the inhabitants removed themselves, as far as possible, from the sea-coasts for fear of pirates 1. They had fcarce any more fecurity in the inland parts. The people pillaged, stript, and mutually drove them from their habitations. Thus they were always obliged to be armed m: they could neither trade, nor even cultivate the earth n.

The different colonies which came from Asia and Egypt to fettle themselves in Greece, about the beginning of the ages we are now running over, drew them from the horrors to which they were then a prey. The conductors of these new migrations communicated to the Greeks the sciences which these people had always wanted, or which at least they absolutely neglected to cultivate. They built cities in advantageous places, and at the fame time commodious for traffic. They also found out the means of inhabiting the coasts with some security. The fea-ports, becoming rich, were augmented by little and little: the most powerful built walls, and secured themselves from incursions o. It was thus that Greece began infensibly to instruct and polish itself.

But the spirit of discord, almost at the same time, seized on the different states, which then formed themselves in each district. Without particularising the number of petty intestine hostilities, the two wars of Thebes, the last of which ended with the ruin of that city, of themselves put all Greece in combustion. The expedition of the Argonauts, which afterwards employed the choice and flower of the nation in a distant

l Thucyd. l. r. n. 7.; Philocor, apud Strab. l. 9. p. 109. m Thucyd. l. r. n. 5, 6, 7, 12, & 17. n Sec infra, book 4. chap. 4. Thucyd. l. r. n. 7, & 8.

country, the league formed a little afterwards for the destruction of Troy, lastly, the revolution which the return of the Heraclidæ caused in Peloponnesus, did not give the Greeks time to breathe. The war of Troy had occasioned the greatest disorders in Greece p; but the revolution which rendered the Heraclidæ masters of Peloponnesus, had still more fatal consequences. This last event replunged Greece nearly into the same state of barbarism, from which the colonies from Asia and Egypt had drawn them.

The reader may call to mind what I have already faid in the first book, of the efforts which the descendents of Hercules made to enter into the domain of their ancestors, 80 years after the taking of Troy q. After various attempts, they made themselves masters of Peloponnesus. The success of their enterprise threw Greece into the greatest trouble and confusion. Almost all the ancient inhabitants were driven from their first fettlements. The commotion was general. The bad effects which this event produced were not confined to these calamities. The troops which the descendents of Hercules employed, were for the most part composed of Dorians of Thesfaly. These gross and savage people threw Greece into a state of ignorance and barbarism, nearly equal to that into which the Normans threw France about the end of the ninth century. These Dorians exterminated or drove out almost all the inhabitants of Peloponnesus, and of one part of Attica. They destroyed most of the ancient cities, and founded new ones; the citizens of which, ignorant of letters, and neglecting the feiences, only applied themselves to agriculture and the military art. Those of the ancient inhabitants who remained in these countries, were reduced to flavery. The others, forced to look for new habitations, fettled themselves in the isles, and on the coasts of Asia Minor. The business of their settlement, and the care of defending themselves against the people of those countries, hindered them for some time of thinking to cultivate

P See infra, book 5. chap. 3. 9 P. 45, & 46. r Thucyd. I. r. n. 12.; Pauf. I. S. c. 3, & 4.

letters. Yet they did not entirely neglect them. The fertility of the countries which they inhabited, foon procured them that case and repose so favourable to arts and sciences, that there came from those countries the first authors, who deserved, in every respect, to descend to posterity; authors, whose works we cannot at this time too much admire*. It was from these colonies that letters repassed into European Greece, and there began to banish barbarism, which nevertheless supported itself there a long time, and reigned to the age of those celebrated men, whom the Greeks honoured with the name of Sages, that is to say, to the times of Solon and Pisisfratuss.

* Homer, Herodotus, &c.

f See Les memoires de l'academic des inferiptions, tom. 7. memoires, p. 331, & 332.

Vol. II.

() e

BOOK

B O O K IV.

Commerce and Navigation.

In treating of the origin of commerce and navigation in the first part of this work, it was necessary to restrain ourselves to general views. An effect of the obscurity which reigns over the history of the ages which then fixed our attention; those at present in question will procure us more satisfaction. One may enter into some details on the state of commerce and navigation among many nations. In the account I am going to give, I shall observe the chronological order and the succession of sacts, as much as possible; it is for that reason I shall first speak of the Egyptians. The maritime enterprises of Sesostris are the most ancient we have any knowledge of in the times of which we now undertake to give the picture.

C H A P. I.

Of the Egyptians.

Said in the preceding volume, that the first inhabitants of Egypt had little inclination for commerce; I shewed also, that they must have addicted themselves to navigation only very lately. Policy and superstition opposed them a. Sesostris, who ascended the throne about 1659 years before Christ b, silenced these motives, and banished these prejudices. This prince, whose ambition knew no bounds, had proposed the conquest of the universe c. But it was difficult for him to undertake so vast a project with-

² See book 4. chap. 2. C Diod. l. 1. p. 63.

b Supra, book 1. chap. 3. p. 11.

out a fleet. Rejecting therefore the principles which the kings his predecessors had followed, with respect to the marine, he equipped a very large fleet; it confifted, they fay, of 400 faild. If we believe the report of the authors of antiquity, these were the first ships of war that had been seen e. Before, the Egyptians had only had weak barks, or even rafts, which ferved them to coast about the borders of the Arabian gulf s. It was likewise on this sea that Sesostris made his sleet be built 8. I am persuaded, though the ancients do not say so, that to effect this he had recourse to Phænician workmen. It is equally probable, that the greatest part of the tackling which rigged these vessels, was got from the same nation.

By means of his fleet, Sefostris made himself master of the greatest part of the maritime provinces, and the coasts of the Indian feah. We do not fee that this prince is faid to have had ships on the Mediterranean. Diodorus fays, it is true, that Sefostris conquered the Cycladesi. But it is very probable that this expression should be understood of some isles of the Indian sea, and not of those which the ancients knew under that name in the Mediterranean. The manner alone in which Diodorus expresses himself, is enough to shew it *; especially, as neither he, nor Herodotus, say in any other place, that Sesostris had a sleet in the Mediterra-

The reign of this prince was a brilliant, but short epoch, for the marine among the Egyptians. In effect, it does not appear that the fucceffors of Sefostris ever entered into his views, or continued his projects. The writers of antiquity do not mention any maritime enterprise undertaken in Egypt, in the ages we are at present running over. The an-

Herod. l. 2. n. 102.; Diod. l. 1. p. 64.
 Herod. l. 2. n. 102.; Diod. l. 1. p. 64. . d Id. ibid. p. 64. f Plin. l. 7. fect. 57. p. 417.

h Herod. & Diod. locis cit. These authors only speak of the Red sea; but it is known, that under that denomination, the ancients included all the space of sea which washes Asia to the fouth.

^{*} The name of Cyclades is a generical term, which may agree with many collections of ifles.

cient manner of thinking, with respect to commerce and navigation, refumed its empire. Entirely taken up with the means of rendering the inland commerce of his kingdom very flourishing, Sefostris wanted to have an easy communication between the different provinces of Egypt. With this view, he had caused many canals to be cut, which came from the Nile 1, and communicated with each other. By thus facilitating the transport of commodities, he had taken care that plenty should spread itself over all his kingdom. These works so proper to encourage commerce, yet could not inspire the Egyptians with a tafte for it; they did not try to extend their commerce to any distance, nor to make with foreigners ethablishments capable of supporting it; for I do not think one can refer to this end the different colonies which Cecrops and Danaus conducted from Egypt into Greece, about an hundred years after Sesostris. We know that the chiefs of these new migrations kept up no relation with Egypt m. They ought then only to be looked upon as adventurers, who, discontented with their lot, put themselves at the head of a troop of vagabonds to go and feek their fortune in a foreign land. I also think that it had been with these second colonies as with the first, that is to fay, that they made their passage from Egypt into Greece in Phænician bottoms n.

The Egyptians continued to give very little access to firangers. The ports of Egypt, except that of Naucratis, remained always shut. They were not opened till under the reign of Pfammeticus o, that is to fay, more than 1000 years after Sefostris.

Although ancient Egypt was little given to commerce, the people notwithstanding enjoyed immense riches. They owed them to the exploits and the conquests of their first fovereigns. These princes had over-run and subjected a great part of Asia p. These wars were not unprofitable:

¹ Herod. l. 2. n. 163. Diod. l. 1. p. 66.

n See Marsh. p. 109, & 110. m See Herod. l. 2. n. 154.

p Id. ibid. p 23, 24, & 56.

Sefostris got by his expeditions an immense booty q. Befides, he imposed confiderable tributes of every fort on the nations he had conquered. They were even obliged to bring them to Egypt f. The fuccessors of this prince imitated his example. Ancient inscriptions, which still subsisted in the times of Strabo and Tacitus, marked the weight of gold and of filver, the number of arms and of horses, the quantity of ivory and perfumes, of corn and other commodities that each nation was to payt. These tributes, by the report of Tacitus, equalled those which in his time the Parthians and even the Romans could demand from the people under their dominion u.

It is not then furprifing, that, in spite of their difinclination to commerce, ancient Egypt is faid to have enjoyed great opulence. By the conquests of her first monarchs, she was become the centre or boundary of a great part of the riches of Asia. The superb monuments which these princes caused to be erected, the immense works which they undertook, fpread money over the nation, and circulated their treasures. Each private person profited by it, and might that way alone enrich himself readily enough. Besides, they were very luxurious in Egypt in early times. One may judge of this by the quantity of gold and filver vafes, the precious habits, &c. which the Ifraelites brought from that country when they came out of it x.

C H A P. II.

Of the Phanicians.

HAVE referved for the ages which we are running over at I present, many details relating to the commerce and navigation of the Phænicians. It is in effect to this epoch, that most of the maritime enterprifes which have rendered these people so

⁹ Ibid. p. 65. r Diod. l. r. p 64, & 65. * Strabo, l.,17. p. 1171.; Tacit. annal. l. 2. c. 60.

f Ibid. p. 65. u Ibid.

^{*} Exed. c. 12. v. 35.

famous in antiquity should be referred. Their history furnishes a very convincing proof what industry can do, and shews very evidently to what pitch commerce is capable of raising a nation which applies to it with ardor.

When we speak of the Phœnicians, we must distinguish the times with accuracy. These people possessed originally a large extent of countries, comprised under the name of the land of Canaan. They lost the greatest part of it by the conquests of the Ifraelites under Joshua. The lands which fell in the divifion to the tribe of Asher, extended to Sidon y. That city notwithstanding was not subdued. The inhabitants preserved their lives and liberty z It even appears, that they were not disturbed, but were permitted to enjoy great tranquillity 2. The Sidonians made use of this to continue their commerce, and laboured to extend it more and more. They even found themselves soon able enough to oppress the Israelites in their turn. This event happened in the times of the judges b. We are ignorant of the circumstances, which besides are foreign to our object. Let us return to the commerce of the Sidonians.

If the conquests of Joshua took from the Phœnicians a great part of their dominion, they were well paid by the consequences of that event. To support and maintain their commerce with advantage, these people had occasion to establish warehouses in the different countries where business might draw them. They were not able to form lasting settlements, but by the aid of a certain number of colonies. The revolution occasioned in the countries of Canaan by the irruption of the Hebrew people, enabled the Sidonians to send colonies whereever they thought proper. In essect, the greatest part of the ancient inhabitants of Palestine seeing themselves threatened with entire destruction, had recourse to slight to save themselves. Sidon offered them an asylum: they cast themselves upon them; but the territory of that city was not sufficient to support this multitude of resugees; it happened that they were

y Josh. c. 10. v. 28. P Ibid. c. 18. v. 7.

Z Judges, c. 3. v. 3. b Ibid. c. 10. v. 12.

still under a necessity of finding new fettlements. Sidon lent them ships, and made good use of these new inhabitants to extend their trade and form fettlements. From hence, that great number of colonies which went then from Phænicia, to spread themselves in all the countries of Africa and of Europe.

I shall not undertake to particularise exactly all the places where the Phoenicians came to introduce themselves. The readers may confult the authors who have discussed this matter with the extent it requires, and the exactness it merits *. I shall confine myself to general facts, which may enable the reader to judge of the nature and of the extent of the commerce which that nation carried on in the ages we are speaking of at present. I shall also observe, that then there was no mention made of Tyre, not even of the ancient Tyre which was taken by Nabuchodonofor. That city was not built till about 40 years after the taking of Troy d. It owed its origin to a colony of Sidonians c. Their beginnings, like all those new fettlements, were very weak. Homer, who speaks fo often of Sidon, does not once name Tyre. That city was not diffinguished enough in his time, to deferve a place in history.

To return to our subject, the first settlements of the Phcenicians were in the isles of Cyprus and Rhodes. They pasfed fuccessively into Greece, into Sicily, and Sardinia. Afterwards they transported themselves among the Gauls, and always advancing, they discovered the fouthern part of Spain-These people were incontestibly the first navigators who are faid to have penetrated into that extremity of Europe. It is even in the Phœnician language, that we must fearch for the etymology of the name which that kingdom still bears at this day t.

Till

Plin. 1. 8. fect. 43, & 83. SPANIJA

e See Procop. de bello Vandal. l. 2. c. 10.

d Marsh. p. 290.

e See part 1. b. 4. c. 2. art. 1.

† They pretend that Spain was formerly filled with so prodigious a quantity of rabbits, that these animals, by means of digging the earth, almost overturned the houses. Varro, de re rustica, l. 3. c. 13.; Strabo, l. 3. p. 213, 214, & 256.;

Till this time the Phonicians, like all the people of antiquity, had not gone out of the Mediterranean: their maritime expeditions were confined to the compass of that sea; and the fouth of Spain was the bounds of their voyages. But that reftless nation, covetous of gain, foon undertook the greatest enterprifes. By passing the southern point of Spain, the Phoenician failors had perceived, that the Mediterranean communicated by a pretty narrow canal with another fea. The dangers which presented themselves of going over this dangerous paffage, and to engage themselves in unknown latitudes, had always frightened the Phænician pilots. Yet encouraged by perpetual fuccesses, they durst at last venture themselves. Thus, about 1250 years before Christ, the Phoenician ships were seen coming out of the Mediterranean, and paffing the Straits, entered on the oceans. Success crowned the boldness of this enterprife. They landed on the western coast of Spain. This first voyage was followed by many others. The Phœnicians foon fent colonies into these countries, founded cities there, and formed lafting fettlements.

Their principal attention was to that ifle known at prefent by the name of Cadiz g. They were not long of discovering the importance and advantage of that port. It was a convenient storehouse to lay up the rich effects which they brought from Asia and the neighbouring countries. They could likewise collect there those they received from Betique and other countries of Spain. To secure the possession of that isle, the Phænicians built a city there h, to which they gave a name declarative of the utility it was to them, and the use they made of it. They named it Gadir, a word which means refuge, inclosure i.

The advantage which the Phoenicians had at first by

SPANIJA in the same language, from whence the Romans have made Hispania, and we Spain, as much as to say full of rabbits. Bochart in Phaleg. 1. 3. c. 7.

p. 190. f See Diod. l. 5. p. 345.; Bochart in Phaleg. l. 3. c. 7. p. 189.; In Canaan,

l. 1. c. 34. p. 662.

8 It is situated near the western coast of Andalusia.

h Diod. l. s. p. 345. i Bochart in Canaan, l. s. c. 34. p. 673.

trading with Spain, was very confiderable. The ancient inhabitants of that rich country were very destitute of arts and sciences. They had gold and filver in abundance, but they did not know the use of them: ignorant of the value of those metals, they employed them for the most vile uses k. The Phœnicians knew very well how to avail themselves of that ignorance. In exchange for oil and fome trifles which they gave to these people, they received of them fo prodigious a quantity of filver, that their ships could not transport the treasure. They were obliged to take out all the lead with which their anchors were loaded, and to put there the spare silver. The history of the first voyages which the Europeans made to America, gives us an exact image of these ancient events.

The riches which the Phænicians drew from Spain, were not confined to gold and filver; without speaking of wax, honey, pitch, vermilion, &c. iron, lead, copper, and above all tinwere the most lucrative objects h. All that was formerly used of this last metal passed through the hands of the Phænicians. This fhort exposure suffices to shew the immense profits the return of ships loaded with such cargoes would produce; for it is certain, that Phœnicia kept up a correspondence with all its colonies except Egypt, which appears to have had entirely oppofite principles.

Spain was not the only country beyond the pillars of Hercules, where the Phœnicians had penetrated. Being familiarifed with the navigation of the ocean, they extended themselves to the left of the straits of Cadiz, as far as to the right. Strabo affures us, that these people had gone over a part of the western coast of Africa a little time after the war of Troy. According to this author, they had there formed fome settlements and built some citiesa.

I dare not place in the fame ages their passage into England.

k Strabo, l. 3, p. 224.

1 Arist. de mirab. auscult. t. t p. 1165.; Diod. l. 5, p. 358.

m Diod. l. 5, p. 361.; P. Mela, l. 2, c. 6.; Strabo, l. 3, p. 412, 213, & 219.;
Plin. l. 3, sect. 4, p. 145. l. 4, sect. 34, p. 228. l. 34, sect. 47.

n L. r. p. 83. l. 3. p. 224.

We might perhaps determine it by a reflection which the reading of the writers of antiquity furnishes us with. They were perfuaded, that all the tin that was confumed in the known world, came from the ifles of Caffiterides; and there is no doubt that these isles were the Sorlingues, and a part of Cornwall o. We fee by the books of Moses, that, in his time, tin was known in Palestine P. Homer teaches us also, that they made use of this metal in the heroic ages q. This poet, we know, never gives to the ages he speaks of but only such knowledge as he knew belonged to them. It should follow then, that the Phoenicians had traded in England, in very remote antiquity. Yet that is not my fentiment.

In acknowledging that they used tin very anciently in many countries of Asia, yet I do not think that they got it from England. There is too great a distance between that isle and Spain, to prefume that the Phoenicians had attempted that paffage in the ages we are at prefent speaking of. Such a passage could not be made without quitting the coasts too much. They must abandon themselves entirely to the open sea. It may be faid, that it was from the coast of Gaul opposite to England, that the Phœnicians went into that country; but that opinion would suppose, that, in the most early times, these people had run over all the coasts of Spain, and almost all those of Gaul; a fentiment that appears to me improbable. I think then, that, in these ancient times, it was Spain and Portugal which furnished the Phænicians with the tin with which these people traded fo advantageously with other nations. This metal was formerly very plentiful in these two countries r.

From the enumeration I have just made of the countries the Phoenician traded to in the ages we are at prefent speaking of, we may plainly fee, what then was the greatness and extent of

See Bochart, Can. l. r. c. 39. p. 722, & 724.
 Num. c. 31. v. 22.
 Iliad, l. 11. v. 25, & 34, &c.
 Diod. l. 5. p. 361.; Strabe, l. 3. p. 219.; Plin. l. 4. fect. 34. p. 228. l. 34. fect. 47.; Stephan. de urbib. 2000 Tagraross, p. 639.

their commerce. We may judge of it by the quantity of goldand filver the Ifraelites found in Palestine, and by the luxury and magnificence which then reigned in these countries. The fovereigns were then clothed in purple, the people wore gold ear-rings and fine necklaces. Even their camels were adorned with studs, chains, and plates of gold f. Those facts are very fufficient proofs of the riches the Phoenicians had been used to in Palestine. Their commerce was so much the more advantageous, as in these ancient times the different countries of our world had scarce any relation with each other. By this means, the Phænicians became commissioners and factors to all the known world. We fee, that, at the time of the war of Troy, the Sidonians were able to furnish other nations with every thing that could contribute to luxury and magnificence t. Such was the fource of the immense riches that the Phænicians had amaf. fed. All trade being in their hands, these intelligent people only let people have a glimpfe of what they thought proper. They concealed with care the places to which they failed, and tried by all forts of means to take the knowledge of it from other nations u. The obscurity which they affected to throw over their trade, made them be taxed with cunning and fraud x. Let us at prefent enter into some examination of the manner in which the Phænician ships were constructed. We will also say a word or two of their progress in the art of navigation.

Originally they had only rafts, pirogues or fimple boats. They used oars to conduct these weak and light vessels. As navigation extended itself and became more frequent, they perfected the construction of ships, they made them of a much larger capacity. There must then have been more hands and more art to work them. The industry of man commonly increases in proportion to his wants. They were not long of discovering the use they might draw from the wind to hasten and facilitate the

f Judg. c. 8. v. 21, &c. t Hom. Iliad. l. 6. v. 289, 290. l. 23. v. 743.; Odyff. l. 4. v. 154. l. 15. v.

^{114.} Strabo, 1, 3, p. 265. x Odyff. l. 14. v. 288, &c. l. 15. v. 414, &c.

course of a ship; and they found out the art of aiding it by means of masts and fails. There reigns a very great obscurity about the time when these accessory parts of a ship were invented. I think the Phænicians were the first who made use of the wind. I even think this manner of failing pretty ancient among these people. For how could they have undertaken such long and difficult navigations as I have just mentioned with ships without fails? Like our galleys, these vessels went also with oars. They used fails when the weather was favourable, and had recourse to oars during calms, or when the wind was con-

I faid in the first part of this work, that many people were given to navigation very anciently. They could not long traverse the seas, without having disputes and contests rise up among them. Covetousness, the pride of being at the head, and jealoufy, must make them then think of the means of attacking and defending themselves with success on the seas. From thence they invented a fort of ships proper for that use. We have feen before, that Sefostris passed in antiquity for the first who had shewn ships of war y. But I think we should rather give that honour to the Phænicians 2. Be that as it will, we know, that, in the ages of which we now speak, they distinguished two forts of vessels, one destined for commerce, and the other for naval expeditions. The fabric of these two forts of thips was different. The Phoenician thips of war, which I prefume ferved as a model to other nations, were long and pointed. They called them Arcoa; this is all that can be faid. Their merchant ships were called Gaulus and Galoi; they were on the contrary of a round form b, or, to speak more properly, almost round *. For I cannot believe, that, by the expression round vessels, the ancients meant a perfect roundness. How could

 [↑] Supra, chap. r. p. 191.
 2 See ibid.
 2 Bochart, Canaan, l. 1. c. 11. p. 819, & 820.
 b Bochart, ih.

^{*} This is the idea Festus gives when speaking of the ships called Gaulus; he defines them, Gaulas, genus navigii pene retundam, voce Gaulas, p. 162.

fuch fhips keep their way? They would at most be only capable of failing on rivers. I think then the Gaulus had their holds very large to be able to carry more goods. They called them round in opposition to thips of war, which were extremely

pointed.

These forts of vessels which had their hulk large and the keel flat c, were subject to great inconveniencies, and must have caused great obstacles in failing. A ship in effect round built and of a large and flat bottom, would only draw very little water *. From hence it would vield to all winds, because it would want a point of support. Having a few feet in the water, fine would flide over the furface of the wayes, without being able to defend herself or resist them. She could not then hold her courfe without having the wind in the poop; and would not be able to carry much fail +. The run of the Phoenician merchantships must have been, in consequence of these principles, very flow and very uncertain. Such fort of vessels must necessarily take up a great deal of time in the least voyages. It is not difficult to shew why the first navigators had studied to give a round form to their merchant-ships. That fort of construction agreed perfectly with the state of navigation in these remote times. At that time they never quitted the coasts but from necessity. The

c Tacit. annal. l. 2. c. 6.

* They say of a ship, that she draws so many feet of water, to express how many feet she is sunk in the sea.

[†] A flip of a long make, and that enters deeply into the water, keeps for course in almost all winds. By presenting her side, the makes, from the large sect of water against which she presses, a support sufficient to resist the contrary motion which the wind may impress on her fails. A king's ship, for example, of more than one hundred and sifty feet long, and that draws more than twenty feet of water, what a force must it not be for such a ship to be able to displace. fidewife the enormous mass of water which resists it in a direction perpendienter to its length? It follows then from the effort of the wind, combined with the resistance of the water, that such a vessel will escape by the diagonal. Thus the wind large, or on the quarter, is at this time reckoned the best wind to make a, vovage. The wind in the poop is not fo favourable, because in that case there is only a part of the fails in nie; the wind cannot act upon them all at one time.

ancients, of consequence, could not give much depth to their veffels d; they endeavoured then to gain in the breadth what they had loft in the depth.

Commerce and Navigation.

I do not think that these ships had a prow and a poop marked and distinct. The form of them might be the same e. They might, as appears to me, fleer them both ways. I judge thus from their fabric, which was very different from our veffels. We have only one rudder fixed to the poop, but the ancients had three or four f; that is to fay, properly speaking, they had none; and what they used for it was, as I presume, a fort of very large and very long oar *. These ships might, by this means, be worked any way they pleafed. Some Indian nations still use at this time ships which equally fail by the prow or by the poop g. Perhaps also the rudders of the ancients, instead of being fixed to the poop or the prow, were placed on the fides h, as they are feen on the prdos or pirogues of Bantam i.

The methods and practices the Phænicians made use of to direct their navigations, are not known to us. History has transmitted nothing to us on a subject so curious and interesting. I shall not therefore stop to make conjectures founded on no facts. I only think to be able to explain why these people had undertaken great enterprises before any other nation of antiquity.

In treating of the means used by the first navigators to know their route, and to be certain after a storm how far they had been thrown out of their way, I faid, that Urfa Major had been probably the first guide they had fol-

d See Tacit. annal. l. 2. c. 6.

e See Hygin. fab. 168, & 227.; Suid. in voce 'Αμφιπεύμνα.ς, t. 1. p. 153. and voce Dizgota, p. 589.; Scheffer. de milit. nav. veter. l. 2. c. 5. p. 147.

f Athen. 1. 11. c. 12. p. 489.; Hygin, fab. 14. p. 50.; Scheffer loco cit. p. 146. * There are feen pretty large and strong boats on the Seine which have no o-

⁸ Rec. des voyages qui ont servi à l'établissement de la compagnie des Indes olland. t. 4. p. 594.

h See Tacit, annal, l. 2. c. 6.

i Voyages de la compagnie des Indes Holland. t. 1. p. 367.

lowed. I have shewn at the same time to what inconveniencies that choice exposed them k. The Phænicians were the first who perceived it. They must therefore have searched in the heavens some point that would serve to direct the course of a fhip in a more precise and certain manner than Ursa Major. They must have perceived, that above that constellation there was one much less, almost the same figure, but in a contrary fituation, and being much nearer the pole, never fet for the feas they then frequented. They knew this constellation by the name of Urfa Minor. The Phænicians chose a star to be their guide and their point of knowledge 1. I fay a flar in general; for in the times we are treating of, that is to fav, about 1250 years before Christ, the star which is at the extremity of the tail of Urfa Minor, and by which we regulate at this time, could not shew the pole with precision. It was then too distant m. I believe that the Phœnicians made use, in the ages I speak of, of the bright star placed in the shoulder of Urfa Minor, which is of the fecond magnitude, and very remarkable. It was this discovery which probably encouraged the Phonicians early to undertake great voyages, and to expose themselves on unknown scas. Their skill in maritime affairs and in bufiness was greatly celebrated in the times of the war of Troy n.

C H A P. HÍ.

Of the Phrygians, Lydians, Trojans, &c.

Istory has not handed down to us the same lights on the L commerce of the other people of Asia, as on that of the Phoenicians. Yet it cannot be doubted, that trade was very flourishing in many countries in that vast part of the world,

k See part 1. book 4. chap. 2.

l See Bochart, Can. l. r. c. 8. p. 410.; Palmer. exercitat. p. 445. m Acad. des sciences, année 1733, mémoires, p. 440. a Odyss. l. 15. v. 414, & 415.

and particularly in Asia Minor, in the ages we are speaking of at present. It is true, as I have just said, that we are ignorant of the details and particulars. We can only judge from certain tracts dispersed in the writings of the historians of antiquity.

What fable, for example, declares of Midas, king of Great Phrygia, that he turned into gold every thing he touched, must be understood, I think, of the skill of that prince to improve the productions of his country, and of his attention to make trade flourish there. Such was the source of the riches of this prince, fo boasted of in antiquity o. May not one say, by a metaphor, which is not too far fetched; that the effect of trade is to turn all into gold? This conjecture appears to me fo much the more probable, as Midas was particularly attached to the perfecting navigation. They far he had invented the anchor which they used to stop their ships P. We also see that the Phrygians were looked upon, for fome time, as mafters of the fea q. None but trading nations could pretend to that fort of superiority.

The Phrygians also passed in antiquity for the inventors of waggons with four wheels r, fo commodious for carrying merchandise by land. I had forgot to mention, that an ancient tradition attributed to Demodice, wife of Midas, the invention of coining money f. We must then couclude, from all these facts, that the people of Great Phrygia were then much given to trade.

We might fay as much of those who inhabited the Lesser Phrygia. Trade must have been very flourishing in that country. Tantalus, who reigned there about the middle of the ages which now employ us, had been equally renowned as well for his riches as for his fordid avarice t. Mafter of a great treasure, he durst not touch it. His fon Pelops made a

^{*} See Plin. l. 33. fect. 15. p. 613, & 614.

⁹ Syncell. p. 181. P Paufau. l. 1. c. 4. p. 12.

r Plin. 1. 7. fect. 57. p. 415.

C Poliux. l. 7. c. 6. § 83. p. 1063.; Heraclid. in polit. verbo Φουγίων.

* Sec Mezeriac. ad. epift. Ovid. t. 2. p. 229.

better use of it. Obliged to renounce the throne of his father, and to fly his country, he went into Greece when Acrifius reigned in Argos. Pelops had brought great riches from Afia. That prince knew to disperse them properly. They owed to him a degree of power that foon raifed them above all the fovereigns of Greece t, though at that time very poor and very indigent, trade being still unknown in that part of Europe.

I have nothing particular to fay at this time on the commerce of the Lydians. We have seen, in the first part of this work, that these people were addicted to trade in very early. times ". They continued it with fo much fuccefs, that Creefus, their last sovereign, was reputed the richest monarch in

the universe.

It is also certain, that trade must have been in great esteem in the kingdom of Troy. The riches of Priam do not permit us to doubt of it x. The states of that prince were situated very advantageously. They were extended over all the western coast of the Hellespont: the isles of Tenedos and of Lesbos were even comprehended in them y. The Trojans had known to profit by that happy fituation, to addict themselves to commerce and navigation z. They had good ports a and skilful builders of ships b. Æneas and Antenor were able, even after the ruin of their country, to equip each a fleet, confiderable enough to look out for, and form new fettlements c.

I know not whether we must put the Carians in the number of trading nations. The origin of these people is not otherwife known. It is only known, that they pretend to have inhabited, time immemorial, that province of Asia Minor, which, from their name, is called Caria d. It appears, that the Carians frequented the fea very early. But it was not with a view to trade. They only did it to rob and pillage the coafts.

² Thucyd. l. r. p. 6, & 7.; Plut. in Thef. p. 2. A.

u Book 4. c. 4. p. 269, & 273.

Y Hom. ibid. &c.; Virgil. Æneid. l. 2. v. 21, &c.

Z See Plin. l. 7. fect. 57 p. 417.

a Virgil. Æneid. l. 3. v. 5, & 6.

b Hom. Iliad. l. 5. v. 60, &c.

C Virgil. Æneid. l. 1. v. 242. l. 3. v. 4, &c.

d See acad. des infeript. t. 9, mem. p. 113.

This at least is the idea that ancient authors give us . We fee in effect, that under the reign of Cecrops the Carians came to make descents, and to ravage the coast of Attica . They infested by their piracies the Ægean sea before the time of Minos g. They were even fettled in the Cyclades. If we believe Thucydides, Minos came there to drive them out h. I fay, if we believe Thucydides, for Herodotus does not agree with that author about the manner in which Minos treated the Carians He pretends, that the king of Crete did not drive them from the Cyclades; they were permitted to stay there, on condition, that they joined a number of their vessels to the fleets which that prince should think proper to equip i. Though it be thus in these two narrations, it always results, that the Carians were addicted to navigation in very early antiquity; but it is not feen that they applied equally to commerce.

C H A P IV.

Of the Greeks.

F the reader will call to mind what I have faid in the pre-The the reader will call to mind what I have faid in the pro-ceding books of the ancient state of Greece k, he will eafily perceive, that commerce must have been unknown there for many ages. The first inhabitants of that part of Europe had no connection nor communication, and by consequence no trassic nor trade. Their best historians agree in this 1. Nearly about the time of Abraham, some colonies going out of Egypt passed into Greece. These new migrations civilized the inhabitants a little, and communicated to them some tinctures of the arts and sciences; but these first feeds were foon choaked m. Lastly, they saw successively,

c See Thucyd. 1. r. p. 6.

f Philocor. apud Strab. l. 9. p. 609. h Ibid. l L. 1. n. 171. g Thucyd. l. r. p. 4.

k See part 1. book 1. art. 5.; part 2. book 1. c. 4, & book 2. fest. 2. c. 1.

See Thucyd. l. 1. p. 2.

m See supra, 5. 2. p. 173.

and at last, in the space of one age, Cecrops, Cadmus, Danaus, &c. come and form new establishments in Greece-These last colonies succeeded more happily than the first in polishing that country. Their chiefs succeeded in persuading the Greeks to addict themselves to agriculture a. From thence commerce was seen to spring up among these people. These sacts are persectly conformable to all that remains of ancient traditions. They teach us, that the custom of trassicking was not introduced into Greece till some years after the arrival of Cadmus. It is to Bacchus, grandson of this prince, that antiquity attributes the institution of all the rules relative to this object o.

I faid in the first part of this work, that originally trade was only carried on by exchange, and that it was by estimation they then regulated the price of the effects with which they would trade. We have there also seen, that the people were not long of perceiving the inconveniencies of that way of trading, and had sought for means to remedy it, and that successively they had invented measures, then weights and scales. I remarked, that they had afterwards introduced metals into commerce, as common signs and representations of merchandise; and that in the first times it was the weight which regulated the price; and that, lastly, they had sound out the art of making money properly so called p. The history of commerce among the Greeks, gives us a faithful image of these different gradations; but it is difficult to mark the epoch, and assign the time of the greatest part of these customs.

It is certain, that the primitive manner of buying and felling by exchange originally had place in Greece. This manner of trafficking was still used at the time of the war of Troy. In the Odyssey, Minerva, disguised in the figure of a stranger, says, that she traded on the sea, and that she was going to Temese to look for tin to exchange against iron section. Exchange not only had place in trading by wholesale, but likewise in trading by retail. In the Iliad, many ships load-

n See ibid. p. 174. P Book 4. c. 1.

o Plin. 1. 7. fect. 57. p. 411.

[¶] L. J. v. 182, &c.

ed with wine arrived from Lemnos at the Grecian camp; immediately the troops try to procure it, some for tin, others for iron, these for skins, and those for oxen. They even gave flaves r.

In these passages Homer does not say, that they measured or weighed the goods with which they trafficked; but it must be understood. We see in effect, by other places of this poet, that measures f and balances t were then known. We must not therefore depend upon those authors, who would make Pheidon of Argos pass for the inventor of weights and measures in Greece u. That prince did not appear till some time after Homer x. I shall entirely agree, that Pheidon found the art of perfecting weights and measures: that is the sentiment of many writers of antiquity y.

Although the manner of trafficking by exchange was still used at the time of the war of Troy, yet from that time metals were introduced into commerce. Homer often speaks of talents of gold z. It appears plain enough, that in early times it was the weight that determined the value of metals among the Greeks, as well as among the ancient people. We might even fay, that we find a proof of it in the etymology of the word talent, which was the fame with the Greeks as the French ideal livre, or livre of account. That term fignified originally in Greek balances, weights.

With respect to money, it is almost impossible to be able to determine with precision the time the use of it was introduced into Greece. The ancients are divided as well about the epoch as about the author of that invention. Some give the honour to Erichthonius fourth king of Athens 2. This

prince

r L. 7. v. 492, &c. t Ibid. l. 8. v. 69, &c. f Iliad, 1. 7. v. 471, &c.

¹¹ Plin. 1 7. fect 57. p. 414.; Euseb. chron. l. 2. p. 112.; Schol. Pindar. ad Olymp. od. 13.

x See Marth, p. 420.

Y Syncell. p. 198.; Ifidor, orig. l. 16. c. 24.

This is what should be concluded from the manner they express themselves about Pheidon. Herod. l. 6. n. 127.; Strab. l. 8. p. 549.

See Feith, antiq. Hom. 1, 2, c, 10. p, 201.
 See Hygin, Iab. 274. p. 327.; Plin. 1, 7, feQ. 57, p. 414.; Pollux, 1, p. c, 6. D. 1063.

prince lived about 1513 years before Christ. Others refer the art of coining money to Pheidon King of Argos b. This epoch falls about 800 years before Christ. There are lastly some who attribute that invention to Æginetes c, but without fixing the time.

If we consult Homer to clear up this question, we shall find nothing that is absolutely decisive. This poet, as I have just faid, speaks often enough of talents. We see farther, that, on many occasions, to distinguish the value or the price of a thing, he makes use of this expression: It is worth an hundred oxen; it is worth nined. This manner of expression, as well as the use of the talent in Homer, has given room for great disputes among the critics.

Some think, that this manner of defigning the price of a thing by a certain number of oxen, should not be taken literally. It should be understood, fay they, of certain pieces of money which they called oxen, because they bore the impression of that animale. The coins of that fabric were of gold f. They were current principally among the Athenians, and in the ifle of Delos 8. According to Plutarch, Thefeus was the first who used this money. He marked it with an ox, says that historian, either in memory of the bull of Marathon, or with a view to exhort the Athenians to tillage h. I do not think, that Plutarch has hit upon the true motives of this custom. I shall give the reason of it immediately. Though it be so, we cannot doubt, that these pieces of gold, marked with the impression of an ox, were formerly pretty much dispersed in Greece: they

Indeed Pliny and Hyginus do not expressly say, that Erichthonius first used money. Yet it may be conjectured, as on one side Pliny says, that Erichthonius invented silver, and on the other, Hyginus says, that this prince was the first who made that metal known to the Athenians. This conjecture is strengthened by the testimony of Pollux, who places Erichthonius in the number of those who passed for having introduced money into Athens.

b Strabo, l. 8. p. 577.; Pollux, loco cit. p. 1062.
c Ælian. var. hid. l. 12. c. 10.

d Iliad, l. 1. v. 449. l. 6. v. 236. l. 21. v. 79.

e Pollux, l. g. c. 6. § 60. p. 1029.; Schol, Homeri ed Iliad, l. 2. v. 449, & ad l. 21, V. 79. f Schol, Hom. ad Iliad, loco cit.

E Pollax, leco cit. p. 1029, & 1030.

¹ In Thef. p. 11.

have even given rife to that famous and ancient proverb, He carries an ox upon his tongue;, which they applied to those who had fold their vote, and were filent for money k.

Other critics maintain, that Homer meant it all naturally of oxen, and that this was the manner of estimating and denoting the price of all goods at the time of the war of Troy. Thus, when they said, that such a thing was worth ten oxen, an hundred oxen, &c. they really meant, that they should give ten oxen, an hundred oxen, in exchange for that merchandise.

There are, lastly, some who take a middle way between these two opinions, and pretend, that, in these passages of Homer, there is no question neither of pieces of money, which bore the impression of an ox, nor of real oxen. Their opinion is, that this sort of money consisted in pieces of gold or silver, which they cut proportionate to what they valued an ox m.

With respect to the talent, it is still more difficult to give an exact notion, or to conjecture what idea they annexed to that word in the heroic ages. Certain commentators advance, that they had then pieces of money called talent a. Others, and these much the greater number, believe that weight alone regulated the price of that fort of money; that is to say, that they called talent a certain quantity of metal weighing a certain weight: it is for this reason, say they, that there are spoken of in antiquity great and little talents relative to their weight. Farther, they maintain, that they never had pieces of money known and denoted by the name of talent: it was, add they, a simple way of counting and valuing large sums. Among such disputes and difficulties, here is the sentiment which to me appears most probable.

i Æschyl in Agamemn. v. 36.

k Pollux, loco cit. p. 1030.; Suidas, t. 1. p. 449.; Hefychius, νοτε Τάλαντον; Eustath. ad Iliad. l. 1. v. 449.

¹ Pollux, l. 9. c. 6. fegm. 73, & 74.; Kuster, ad Suid. Αλφανει, not. (14.)

m Ottho Sperling. de numm. c. 22. p. 144.

⁴ Feithius. l. 2. c. 10. p. 201.

I think, with the greatest number of authors, that they had in the heroic ages stamped money among the Greeks. I prefume, that this invention had been brought to them by the different colonies from Asia and Egypt, who came successively to establish themselves in Greece. I think I have sufficiently shewn, in the first part of this work, the antiquity of money in Phœnicia, Affyria, and Egypt o. I shall add, that the first money of the Greeks bore the impression of an ox. The testimony of the writers of antiquity is precise and unanimous in it p. The motives of this choice are eafily perceived. Before the Greeks had introduced metals into their commerce, they made use of oxen as the most precious merchandise to value all other forts of goods q. The Romans did the fame in early times r. When the Greeks afterwards learned the art of impressing on a certain portion of metal, a mark which could ascertain its price and value, they naturally chose at first the impression of the object which had ferved them originally to value all other merchandife. It feems to me then, that Homer meant thefe ancient pieces in the passages where he values the price of any goods by a certain quantity of oxen. I further think, that it had been with the first Greek money as with that of all the ancient people. I would fay, that it was very gross and shapelefs. We must look upon Pheidon of Argos as the first who is faid to have shewn the Greeks the art of giving to their coins a regular and agreeable form. It is in this fense, as I presume, that we should give to this prince the title of the inventor of money in Greece.

It is not so easy to explain what Homer understood by the word talent. I do not think, that they ever had a piece of money which bore that name. We must presume, that the talent was then fictitious money. We know in effect, that, befides real forts of gold, filver, and copper, the ancients used fictitious money in calculation, otherwife called money of ac-

<sup>Book 4. c. r.
P See Jupra, p. 309, & 310.
See Pauf. l. 3. c. 12. p. 235.
r See Plin. l. 18. fect. 3. p. 98. l. 33. fect. 13. p. 61c.; Columel. in præfat,</sup> I. 7.

count, which was only, as at this time, a manner of computing. For example, with the French, the fum of fifty livres is reputed to contain fifty pieces called livres. Yet these pieces are not real; that fum must be paid in different species, as in lewis-d'ors, in crowns, or other current money. It may have been the fame with the talent of the Greeks, which having ferved originally to weigh gold and filver, was afterwards applied to mean a certain quantity of these metals reduced into money; a quantity which, according to all appearances, was inconfiderable enough in the first times. In effect, Homer gives the fum of two talents of gold, as one of the least objects of all those which composed the prizes of the games celebrated by Achilles to honour the funeral of Patroclus f. Let us obferve farther, that the fame poet never speaks of drachmas, nor obulufes, &c. It may be inferred from this, that thefe little coins, fo proper to facilitate trade by retail, and, above all, in the fale of provisions, were still unknown in Greece at the time of the war of Troy.

I shall not stop to inquire into the means which the Greeks used originally to execute their interior commerce. We are ignorant in what time these people had learned to make use of beafts of burden to carry goods. We only know, that they used carts very anciently. The Greeks were indebted for that knowledge to Erichthonius fourth king of Athenst, which epoch falls about 1513 years before Christ. With respect to boats, it is not possible to fix the time in which the use of them was introduced into Greece.

Whatever way the Greeks carried on their interior commerce, it must have been a long time weak and languishing. Anciently they had no ftrong cities in Greece, and much less flourishing states. They did not cultivate the earth, and the arts were very little known there ". Independent of their want

f Iliad, l. 23. v. 269. t Ælian, var. hiftor. l. 3. c. 38.; Tertull, de speck, c. 9.; Euseb, chron. l. 2. u See Thucyd. l. 1. p. 2, 6, 9.; Herod. l. 8. n. 137. See also supra, book 2. fect. 2. c. r.

of industry, the dangers to which travellers were exposed in the heroic times, formed an obstacle to the circulation and progress of commerce. The roads were every where infested with robbers, and they could not travel without being well armed x. Thefeus made himfelf immortal by his courage and activity in clearing his country of the thieves who infested it. These exploits established the public security, and the roads from that time were free y. This hero had proposed the example of Hercules, who had employed the best part of his life in running over Greece to exterminate thieves and robbers *.

If the Greeks, in the heroic times, had little opportunity for exercifing their commerce by land, they found yet greater difficulties to furmount with respect to the sea. One may judge of this by the facts which the history of navigation among these people prefents; an history which must necessarily precede that of their maritime commerce.

The Greeks, whose lot it feems to have been to borrow from other nations the first elements of the most useful sciences, owed to foreigners the first notions of the art of navigation, an art in which they afterwards excelled. The first principles were brought to them by the colonies, which, about the time of Abraham, made the conquest of Greece under the conduct of the Titan princes 2. The anarchy which followed the fudden extinction of that family2, did not allow the Greeks to profit by that discovery. The sea-coast became even dreadful to those who inhabited it. They were soon attacked by a number of pirates. Being unable to oppose their violences, they had no choice but to retire into the inland countries b. The conductors of the last colonies which came from Egypt and Asia into Greece, shewed these people how to defend themselves

^{*} Thucyd. l. 1. p. 2.; Apollod. l. 3. p. 206.; Plut. in Thef. p. 3.

Y Apollod. Plut. loco cit.; Pauf. l. 2. c. 1. p. 112.

Such was the state of France at the beginning of the third race. All com-

munication of one country with another was then intercepted.

Z See Æschyl. in Prometh. vincto, v. 466.

² See part 1. art. 5. p. 65, 66. b Thucyd. l. 1. p. 6.

against the incursions of pirates. For this purpose they persuaded them to unite, to build cities, and to fortify them c. The Greeks were then enabled to inhabit the fea-coasts, and to anply to navigation.

The inhabitants of Attica appear to have been the first who enioved this advantage. They owed it to Cecrops, who, at the head of an Egyptian colony, came and fettled in that country 1582 years before Christa. There is room to believe, that this prince was either accompanied by a small fleet, or that he caused some ships to be built on a model of his making. We see, in effect, that Cecrops used to send to Sicily for the corn his colony wanted . It must also be thought, that the Athe. nians had fome naval forces at that time. History fays, that Erifichthon, fon of Cecrops, feized on the isle of Delos 1558 years before Christ. Such an expedition could only succeed by means of a certain number of ships. Yet it does not appear that these first enterprises had any consequences. Every thing, on the contrary, leads us to think, that the Athenians, after the death of Cecrops, neglected naval affairs, and loft fight of that important object. We see, that, in the time of Theseus, they were obliged to have recourse to the failors and pilots of Salamin to conduct the ship that carried this hero into Crete g. We will remark farther, that for many ages the Athenians had only one port, which was that of Phalerish, which, to fpeak properly, was nothing but a bad harbour.

Other people of Greece addicted themselves, about the fame ages, to navigation, and diftinguished themselves greatly. Such were the inhabitants of the ifle of Ægina, to whom ancient memoirs attribute the invention of that art i. Such also were the inhabitants of Salamin, who

1 Hefiod, fragm. p. 343.

C Philocor. apud Strab. l. g. p. 609.; Thucyd. l. z. p. 168.

d See fupra, book 1. chap. 4. art. 1. p. 16.

C Tzetzes ex Philocor. ad Hefiod. op. v. 32. p. 18. edit. in 4to. 1603.

F Pauf l. 1. c. 31.; Eufeb. chron. l 2. n. 90. p. 76.; Athen. l. 9. p. \$92. according to the correction of Cafaubon, animady, p. 673.; Syncell. p. 153.

h Pauf. 1. 1. c. r. p. 3. 8 Plut. in Thef. p. 7.

appear to have excelled, in the heroic times, by their skill and experience in navigation k. We may also put the Argives in the number; and that not without good reason. The vessel in which Danaus came into Greece, has been celebrated by all the writers of antiquity 1. We are not ignorant, that this prince seized on the throne of Argos 1510 years before J. C. m; but we may fay, that none of these people could be compared with the Cretans. Minos has always been looked upon by the ancients as the first Greek prince who had the empire of the fea a. I fpeak of Minos the Second, who took fo bloody a vengeance of the Athenians for the murder of his fon Androgeoso, This prince was able to equip a fleet strong enough to clear the sea of the pirates who infested it P. This empire of the sea, of which antiquity gives the honour to Minos, must only be understood of the superiority he had in the Cretan sea and the adjacent isles: that is to fay, that this prince having a great number of ships in these parts, was there the most powerful. With regard to the maritime commerce of the Cretans, I do not find any thing of all that remains of antiquity, that can give us the least indications of it.

We see some traces of maritime expeditions in what the ancient mythology has preserved for us of the voyages of Bellerophon, of Perseus, and of Herculus 9. But I doubt if these enterprises have been fo extensive as certain modern critics would perfuade ust. The Greeks were then too ignorant in navigation. Although their writers have boafted greatly of the naval forces, yet we ought not to form a great idea of the fleet of this prince. The ships which composed it, scarce deserved that name.

See infra, p. 321. 1 Apollod. l. 2. p. 63; Plin. l. 7. sect. 57. p. 417.

M See fupra, p. 34.; Herod, l. 3. n. 122.; Arist. de repub. l. 2. c. 10.; n Thucyd. l. 1. p. 4.; Herod, l. 3. n. 122.; Arist. de repub. l. 2. c. 10.; Diod. l. 4. p. 304; Strabo, l. 10. p. 730.

O Plato de leg. l. 4. p. 815.

P Thucyd. l. 1. p. 4.

See les mem. de l'acad. des inscripit. t. 7. H. p. 37, &c.

They had no fails. Dædalus is always looked upon in the Greek antiquity to have invented them, when he tried to find means to fly from the isle of Crete. This famous artist then found, fay they, the fecret of availing himself of the wind to hasten the course of his ship. By means of this discovery his fhip passed fafely through the middle of Minos's fleet, without their being able to inclose him; the use and force of oars giving way to the activity of the wind, of which Dædalus had the advantage f.

This knowledge had not then made a great progress among the Greeks. It appears, indeed, that after Dædalus they used fails; but they were ignorant of the art of managing them properly. Æolus, he who received Ulysses on his return from Troy, passed in Greece for the first who had shewn the failors to know the winds, and the manner of profiting by them, by turning the fails agreeably to their direction t. Yet what can we think of these instructions? At the time of Homer, that is to fay, about 300 years after the war of Troy, the Greeks only knew the four cardinal winds ". Vitruvius and Pliny tell us, that these people were a long time ignorant of the art of subdividing the intermediate parts of the horizon, and of determining a number of rhombs sufficient to serve the purposes of a navigation of fmall extent a.

The voyage which the Argonauts undertook to penetrate into Colchis, made the Greeks make fome progress in naval architecture. Till that time, by the confession of their best historians, these people only used barks and little merchant-vessels b. Jason foreseeing all the dangers of the expedition he meditated, took extaordinary precautions to make it fucceed. He caused to be built at the foot of Mount Pelion in Theffaly, a ship, which,

f Plin. l. 7. sect. 57. p. 418; Paul. l. 9. c. 11. p. 731.

† Diod. l. 5.p. 336.; Plin. l. 7. sect. 657. p. 416.; Servius ad Æneid. l. 1. v. 56.

U Odyss. l. 5. v. 295.

† Virruv. l. 1. c. 6.; Plin. l. 2. sect. 46. p. 56.

b Diod. l. 4. p. 285.

for largeness, and completeness of rigging, surpassed all those that had been feen to that time. This was the first ship of war which went out of the ports of Greece c. The fame of this armament being fpread, all the most distinguished people of the nation would have a part in it, and embarked under the conduct of Jason, 1253 years before J. C.

It would be very fatisfactory to be able to penetrate into the motives and the object of an enterprise in which all Greece was interested. But the events of these remote times are so involve ed in fables, that it is very difficult to obtain the truth from them. We cannot determine exactly what the golden fleece was, of which the Argonauts proposed the conquest. The sentiments of ancient authors are very much divided on this point. The voyage of the Argonauts was intended, according to some. to draw from Colchis the treasures which Phryxus had carried there d: others think, that the notion of the golden fleece arose from the custom they had, in these countries, of collecting, by means of sheep-skins, the gold which rolled down certain torrents e. Varro believes, that this fable owed its origin to a vovage undertaken by fome inhabitants of Greece, who went to look for skins and other rich furs which Colchis furnished in abundance f. According to this fentiment, which has been adopted by many modern critics g, we should only look upon the expedition of the Argonauts as an enterprife formed by fome merchants affociated to make new discoveries. I do not speak of the visions of the alchymists. Accustomed to find every where the fecret of the great work, they will have it, that the Argonauts undertook the voyage to Colchis, with a design to bring

e Diod. ibid.; Plin. l. 7. fect. 57. p. 417. d See Herod. l. 7. n. 197.; Diod. l. 4. p. 209.; Hygin. fab. 3.; Pelæphat. c.

^{31.} p. 39.

e Strabo, l. 11. p. 763.; Appian. de bell. Mithridat. p. 242. Near Fort-Louis, they use such fleeces to gather the gold powder which the Rhine rolls down. When these skins are well filled, one may, by allusion, call them sleeces of gold.
f De re ruft, 1. 2. e., 1.

² Le Clerc, h. univ. t. 1. p. 247.; Mem de Trev. Juin. 1702. p. 66.

from thence a book written on sheeps skins, in which was contained the fecret of making gold h.

Of all those who have tried to clear up this event, I think that Eustathius has given us the most just and most exact idea of iti. He took it from an ancient historian k. The voyage of the Argonauts, according to this author, was at once a military and mercantile expedition. The object which they propofed to themselves, was to open the commerce of the Euxine sea. and at the same time to secure some establishments. To succeed in this, they must have had a fleet and troops. Thus the armament of the Argonauts was composed of many ships, and they left colonies at Colchis. We find proof of this in Homer and many other writers 1. Yet most of the poets have only spoken of the ship Argo, because, being admiral of the fleet, that veffel carried the princes who affifted in the voyage. The other objects of that enterprise do not equally interest poetry and the mufes.

I shall not undertake to follow the Argonauts in their voyage. For want of fusficiently understanding navigation, their fleet was a long time on different coasts. They ran a great risk in the paffage of Cyanees or Symplegades. They formerly called to a heap of rocks which shew themselves four or five leagues from the entrance of the Euxine fea. As they are very near each other, in proportion as you are distant from them, or you approach to them, thefe rocks appear to join or to feparate. The waves of the fea, which dash against them with impetuosity, raife a vapour, which, obscuring the air, hinder the distinguishing exactly the objects, and augment the illusion m. At the time of the Argonauts, they believed these rocks moveable, and they imagined that they joined to destroy ships in their

Swid. voce Δέρας, t. 1. p. 525.; Anonym. Incred. c. 3. p. 86.
 i Ad Dionyf. Perieget. v. 689.
 k Charax.
 l Iliad, l. 5. v. 641, &c.; Plin. l. 6. fect. 5. p. 305.; P. Mela, l. 2. c. 19. p. 106.; Strabo, l. 11. p. 758.; Eustath. loco cit.
 m Tournesort, voyage du Levant, t. 2. p. 149, &c.

passage n. Terrified at the aspect of this strair, our heroes let go, fay they, a dove, to try if the could pass it safely. bird escaped by losing the end of her tail. The Argonauts, emboldened by this example, attempted the paffage. The ship Argo only touched with her poop, of which she lost a little o. The dove is, without doubt, an emblem of a small vessel which they fent to discover the passage. Apollodorus says, that she loft the end of her tail; an expression which signifies, that the ship struck her rudder against some rocks. They add, that fince that time Neptune fixed these rocks p; that is to fay, that this passage being afterwards known, they made no more difficulty of attempting it.

Lastly, after many other adventures, which I pass over in filence, the Argonauts discovered Caucasus. That mountain ferved them as a land-mark, and directed them to enter into Phasus, where they anchored pretty near Æa, which was then the capital of Colchis. I shall fay nothing of the confequence of that expedition, which does not afford any light either in commerce or navigation. I shall only add one reflection on that event, confidered merely as a maritime enterprife.

Some persons, little attentive to the times, and to the circumstances in which the Greeks attempted the voyage to Colchis, have not perceived all the boldness of it. That exploit fo boasted of, say those critics, would not at this time be the fubject of the most trifling conversation. It was to make themfelves immortal at a very little expense. They were very happy, add they, who lived in fuch ages; they had only to place themselves properly, &c.

I doubt whether those who speak thus of the expedition of the Argonauts, have paid sufficient attention to the state of navigation at that time in Greece. That art scarce emerged

o Apollod. l. 1. p. 48, & 49. P Ibid. p. 49.

n Apollod. l. r. p. 43.; Homer. Odyst. l. 12. v. 66, &c.; Strabo, l. r. p. 35. l. 3. p. 222, &c.; Plin. l. 4. sect. 27. p. 219.; Ammian. Marcell. l. 22. c. 8. p. 310.

from its infancy. The Greeks, in the heroic ages, were abfolutely deficient in experience and skill in navigation, yet they went to encounter a fea that was entirely unknown to them 9.

I think then, all things confidered, there was as much danger, and, of confequence, as much merit in the voyage to Colchis, as there has been in the most famous voyages undertaken for these two centuries. The succours which the navigators of these latter times have been able to procure to themselves, diminish considerably the obstacles they may meet with.

After the expedition of the Argonauts, the Greeks turned their thoughts more particularly to maritime affairs. We may judge of the progress they made in the marine, by the fleet which they affembled to carry the war into Afia and ruin Troy. It confisted of twelve hundred vessels. Yet this armament was only thirty-five years f posterior to the voyage to Colchis.

I shall not stop to give the particulars of the number of ships which each of the people of Greece furnished, who had a part in that grand expedition. I shall content myself with some general observations.

The naval forces of Agamemnon, King of Argos and Mycenæ, must have been considerable. This prince had equipped 160 ships t. The Athenians brought 50 u. That was a great many for people who had only begun to use the sea since the reign of Theseus. It is astonishing enough, that in less than forty years they were able to furnish such a number; but it is much more furprifing, that the Athenians should have suffered their marine afterwards to come to nothing; there was no more mention of it for the space of 700 years, which had elapfed from the war of Troy to the battle of Marathon: for, according to the remark of Thucydides, it was not till ten or twelve years after the famous day that the Athenians be-

⁹ See Strab, l. 1. p. 39.
F Hom. Iliad. l. 2. B. v. 16, &c.; Thucyd. l. 1. p. 8.
f See Ban. explicat. des fables, t. 6. p. 442.
I Hom. Iliad. l. 2. B. v. 83, & 118.

came failors x; and yet from that time they were looked upon as the people of Greece who best understood navigation y.

The Lacedæmonians must also have addicted themselves to maritime affairs some time before the war of Troy. Menelaus king of Sparta commanded fixty ships z. One would believe that these people at that time surpassed the Athenians, who had only fent fifty. But it must be observed, that the armament of Menelaus was not composed folely of ships furnished by Sparta. Homer names many other cities, who, being at that time dependent on Menelaus, had contributed to form his fquadron; whereas the fifty ships of the Athenians had been equipped by the fingle city of Athens. Navigation, besides, had never been the part in which the Lacedæmonians distinguished themselves. Lycurgus, who gave laws to Sparta many ages after the war of Troy, forbade navigation entirely a.

It is remarked, that Homer does not speak of Corinth, a city greatly celebrated by the other writers of antiquity, for its commerce and maritime forces. Without doubt, in the heroic times, the Corinthians were not yet famous for their skill in marine. Besides, these people were at this time subject to the kings of Mycenæ; they marched under the orders of Agamemnon b.

It appears, that the combined flect of the princes of Greece arrived happily before Troy; history does not furnish us, in this passage, with any event relative to navigation.

I have faid in the first part of this work, that there is no mention made in early antiquity of fea-fights. If we would believe certain memoirs, Minos was the first who ventured on one c. This is a fact which can neither be denied nor affirmed

X L. 1. p. 11, & 12.
Y They faid in Greece: The Athenians for the fea.

z Hom. Iliad. l. 2. B. v. 94.

^{*} See part 3. book 4. chap. 3. b Hom. Iliad. l. 2. B. v. 77.; See also Paus. l. 2. c. 4.

c Plin. l. 7. fect. 57. p. 418.

positively. It only appears pretty certain, that this prince suppressed the pirates who ravaged the Ægean sead. But that might happen without fea-fights; perhaps it was by destroying their ships in the harbours and roads, to which they were used to retire. We find also in Athenæus, that the Argonauts were attacked by the Tyrrhenians, who engaged them in a bloody battle. All these heroes, except Glaucus, were wounded there c. No author of antiquity has spoken of this event. Athenæus is the only one who has mentioned it on the authority of an ancient writer named Posis. He reports this fact in the third book of his work intitled Amazonide. As this Posis is entirely unknown to us, it is uncertain whether this author deferves much credit.

We may oppose to all these different facts, the filence of Homer. We do not find in his writings any indication of a naval battle. He never speaks even of a battle between ship and ship. Yet such descriptions would have adorned his poems, and it would have been easy for him to have placed one there. But further, we have feen in the preceding chapter, that the Trojans had ships. Æneas and Antenor faved themselves, each separately, at the head of a pretty considerable fleet f. Yet it is not feen, that the Greeks endeavoured to oppose their retreat. History fays nothing of it. This filence is fo much the more fingular, as the Greeks, as to what appears, were masters of the sea. It is faid in the Iliad, that when Iphidamas came to the fuccour of Troy with twelve ships, he lest them at Percope, and finished his voyage by land 8. It is not then easy to comprehend how Æneas and Antenor could pass by the fleet of the Greeks, which had the fame route for their return, without giving battle. It is true, fome authors pretend, there had been a treaty between the Trojan princes and the Greeks, not to disturb them in their retreat h. This is a fact which I shall not undertake to examine into: but supposing that Homer has followed this opi-

d See fupra, p. 318. EL. 7. c. 12. p. 296. L. 11. v. 218, & 229.

f See fupra, p. 296. h Dionyf. Halicarn. l. 1. p. 37.

nion, not to attack the fleet of Æneas, nor that of Antenor, by the ships of Menelaus, of Ulysses, and the other Greek princes; when he relates the maritime expeditions before the taking of Troy, it is very worthy of remark, that this poet has not thought of making a description of some naval combat, he who has neglected no occasion of speaking of all that he could have read and feen.

.I have traced fuccinctly the history of the marine among the Greeks in the heroic times. Let us now examine what was the construction of their ships, and the manner in which they were navigated. Homer shall be my principal guide. It is to his writings that we ought to refer all that concerns that high antiquity.

We may be certain, that the Greeks, in the heroic times, did not use much art in the building of their ships. Pieces of timber placed at a little distance from each other, and united by tenons, formed the carcase i. Planks of a moderate thickness, pinned, and fastened with cords to the ribs of the ship, made the fides k. Other planks much longer, made the lower part or bottom of the hold 1. These ships were decked; and Thucydides is deceived in advancing, that the veffels that carried the Greeks before Troy, were not covered m. It is fufficient to open Homer to be convinced that they were. This poet fays, that Ulysses finished his ship, by covering it with very long planks n, terms which necessarily mean the deck. I presume, that these ships had no keel; Homer would not have forgot it o. With respect to the rudder, they had only one p; it was fortified on both fides with hurdles made of the branch-

i Odyff. l. s. v. 252, & 253,

k Ibid. v. 248. I fay pinned, and not nailed; because Homer uses in these passages the word you pos instead of nhos, which he commonly uses for nails. There are many nations at this time who only use pins, to fix the planks of

their ships. See M. Paul. 1. 1. c. 23.

1 Odyst. 1. 5. v. 252.

1 Odyst. 1. 5. v. 252.

See also 1. 13. v. 73, & 74. where it is faid, that the Phæacians set up Ulystes's bed on the deck of their ships.

O The ships of the Cossacks in the Ukraine have no keel. Mercure de France, Novembre 1750, p. 56, & 57. P GJyff. 1. 5: v. 255.

es of fallow or ofier. This method was invented to enable the rudder to refift the impetuofity of the waves q. The ships of the Greeks differed at that time from those of the Phœnicians, which, as I have remarked, had more than one rudder 1.

We do not fee, that they used any iron at that time in their construction . These vessels then must have been very rude, especially as the Greeks, in the ages I speak of, were still ignorant of the use of the saw. They wrought their wood only with a hatchet and plane t. We may judge, after this detail, of the state of naval architecture at that time among these people. Their workmen had no other guide, but a very rude practice. They were not in a state to apply mathematics of which the Greeks, at that time, had no notion, to this part of navigation.

We should be surprised at the fort of wood the Greeks used to build their ships They used alder, poplar, and firs ". We take care at this time, that no fuch forts of wood be used in the building of our ships; we only use it for the inside work x. But it must be observed, in these hot countries, the trees I have just mentioned are of a different species from those of our climates. They are much more hard, and much less subject to alter or warp. At this time, the Turkish ships are entirely built of fir, because the fir in these countries is as good as the oak in France. The preference then which the ancients gave

It appears that the Greeks afterwards adopted the practice of other nations, and put more than one rudder to their thips. See Scheifer, de milit. naval. 1. 2. c. 5.

⁹ Ibid. v. 256, & 257.

r See supra, chap. 2. p. 304.

p. 146, & 147.

As to what this author fays, that, in all the representations which remain of the ship Argo, that ship is always represented with more than one rudder, this concludes nothing for the times of which I speak. These representations are ar-bitrary designs, made in times too late to have any authority. It is well known that there are now no monuments of that high antiquity.

f See Pauf. l. 9. c. 16. p. 742.

x See fupra, book 2. fect. 2. chap. 3. p. 207, & 209. u Odyss. 1. 5. v. 239.; Plato de leg. 1. 4. p. 824. x They never use fir for the outside, but when they sheath the ships going to America, to defend their fides against the worms that cat into them.

to these woods, was very well founded: they even found a great advantage in using them; for these woods being very light, they were most proper to make the ships built of them good failors.

Homer does not acquaint us whether the Greeks used to careen their ships in the heroic times. Suidas fays, the Phæacians, among whom Ulyffes was cast by a tempest, covered their ships with pitch y; but this authority is too modern for ages fo diftant as those of which we now speak. What we are sure of is, that, in latter times, they used for this purpose, pitch, gum, and even wax z.

It is not the same with respect to balast. They perceived at that time the necessity of giving to ships a certain weight to make them fink into the water, to ferve them as a counter. poife, and hinder them from being overfet. Thus the Greeks took care to balast their ships 2. They pretend that Diomede, on coming from Troy, used for this purpose the stones of that unfortunate city b.

Our ships have four masts. Those of the Greeks, at the time of the war of Troy, had only one c, which even did not remain fixed, fince they used to lower it upon the deck when the ship was in port. They raised it when they would go out, and fecured it with ropes d. The mast was only croffed with one fail-yard c. It would be difficult to determine, with certainty, if the yard carried many fails, or only one. The first fentiment appears the most probable, confidering that Homer always named fails in the pluralf.

y Voce Naurinaa, t. 2. p. 600.

² See Ovid. de remed. amor. v. 447. epift. 5. v. 42. Metam. l. 11. v. 314. l. 14. v. 532.; Voss. de idol. l. 4. c. 92. p. 549.

As the ancients did not use wax to light them, it is not surprising that they

used it to lay upon their ships.

a Odysf. l. 5. v. 257.
c Odysf. l. 5. v. 254. b Lycophron, Cassand. v. 618.

d Iliad. l. r. v. 434.; Odyff. l. 2. v. 424, & 425. l. 15. v. 290.

Their masts must have been disposed almost like the passage-boats, and large vessels used on the Seine. They lower them when they want to go under the arch of a bridge.

e Odyff. 1. s. v. 254.

f Ibid.

They managed them by means of many ropes. We fee, that, in the heroic times, the different tacklings of a ship had each their particular name, and that relative to their destination g.

The fails were made of different substances, of hemp, rushes, of long-leaved plants, of matts, and skins h. Yet it appears, that those of the Greeks were most commonly of cloth i. It is the same with respect to cables; they used skins, flax, broom, hemp, in a word, all the different plants and barks which could ferve for that purpose k. Cables of rushes or seawillow feem to have had the preference with the Greeks in the heroic times. They got them from Egypt, where this plant is very plentiful 1. Homer does not fay, whether they covered the ropes with any preparation, which, by defending them from the impressions of air and water, might keep them from rotting.

The custom of painting and adorning ships is very an-

8 Odyff. l. s. v. 260.

In these passages, by vnigas, must be understood, the ropes which work the yard; by zz/85, those which serve for the sails; and by zzoz, those which secure and hold 14st the mast, the same which we call strouds. When cables are mentioned which serve to hold the ship, whether in port or on the coast, Homer always defigns them by the word πευμνήσια; but when the rigging in general is spoke of, this poet uses the word resounds. Thus, properly speaking, the nelociala are the ropes which ferve for working a thip, and the nevernition those only at the stern. The difference of these two words is evident from their etymology. The first comes from mei 3w; this name is taken from the use the failors make of these ropes. They use them to make the ship turn and move as they please. The second comes from πεύμνη, which means the poop or stern of a ship.

h See Vost. de physiol. l. s. c. 39. p. 661.; Schesser, l. 2. c. 5. p. 141.

i Odyss. 1. 5. v. 258, &c. 1. 2. v. 426. Eustathius conjectures that the fails of the Greeks were of linen, on this, that it is faid in the second book of the Odyssey, v. 426. that those of Telemachus's ship were white.

k Iliad. l. 2. v. 135.; Odyff. l. 2. v. 426.; Aul. Gell. l. 17. c. 3.; Voff. & Scheffer, locis cit.

1 Odyff. 1. 21. V. 300, & 39r.

These cables were made of a plant, called Eybius, which they gathered in the morasses of Egypt. It was a fort of cane or reed which had at the top a sort of hair, if one may call it so. They made cables for ships and ropes of this hair, as they then made ropes for wells of the bark of the linden-tree. See Strab. l. 17. p. 1151.

cient, and took place before the war of Troy m. Herodotus fays, that then they used vermilion for this purpose. The manner in which he expresses himself, gives to understand, that this custom did not subsist in his time".

After having spoken of the construction of ships and their rigging in the heroic ages, it is proper to examine what their form was at that time.

It appears, that the Greeks had very early two forts of constructions; one for merchant-ships, and the other for ships of war. The first were very broad, having the hold very large o. The others, on the contrary, were made long. Such, they fay, was the ship in which Danaus came into Greece. This veffel had fifty oars, that is to fay, twenty-five on each fide. They pretend, that it served for a model for the ship Argo, the first vessel of war the Greeks are said to have built P. Befides, we flould look upon all these vessels as a fort of gallevs which went with fails and oars. In effect, independently of fails, rowers are always mentioned, and the benches on which they fat q. I shall fay nothing of vessels of many ranks of oars; they are not mentioned in Homer. They were only used fince the war of Troy r.

Whatever form the ships of the Greeks might then have, they could not be very large. The largest Homer mentions are those of the Bœotians; they carried, fays he, one hundred and twenty men f. It may, perhaps, be thought, that the poet only meant the troops that difembarked: but this is not probable, fince, as Thucydides very well observes, the foldiers ferved for rowers t. I think then the whole complement of these ships was one hundred and twenty men. Besides, we may judge of the smallness of their capacity from the custom the Greeks then had of drawing their ships on land when they

m See Feith, antiq. Hom, l. 4. c. 12. p. 500. n L. 3. n. 58. Odysff l. 5. v. 249, &cc.

P See Bochart in Chan. l. 2. c. 11. p. 819.; Meziriac, ad ep. Ovid. t. 2. p.

⁹ Iliad, l. 1. v. 309.; Odyst. l. 2. v. 419, &c.

r Thucyd. l. 1. p. 8, & 10.

f Iliad. l. 2. B. v. 16, & 17.

L. 1. p. 8. See also Huet, hist. du commerce, p. 270, & 271.

were in port ". Thus we fee, that, when they were going to embark, the first operation was to launch the ships into the water x. This work was then fo eafy, that the failors never failed to take away the rudder of their vessels when they were on shore, lest any body should run away with them without their knowledge y.

This custom of putting their ships on dry ground when they were not using them, is very extraordinary; and yet it was generally practifed. The Grecian fleet was flut up in their camp before Troy. They had fortified their camp as well for their fecurity, as to defend their veffels from the incursions of the enemy z. It is not easy to comprehend how they could, after a certain time, make use of such vessels which must have been extremely warped and open; they must have taken great care to repair them. The Greeks must have been fo much the more attentive, as failing on the Mediterranean, their ships required a firm consistence. 'The surges of that fea are very fhort and very frequent; of confequence, they would dash oftener against the ship, and make her work much more than upon the ocean.

As to the manner of conducting a veffel, every thing proves to us how very ignorant the Greeks were in that art in the heroic times. Although these people steered in fight of the land, as much as they possibly could a, yet they were forced on many occasions to go off to sea b. I know not by what means failors, at that time, could direct their course. We have great advantages from the observation of the meridian heights of the fun, It is thus that we determine with case the elevation of the pole, and fleer in confequence. But these practices were absolute-

u Had. I. r. v. 485.; Odyff. I. rr. v. 20.; Hefiod, op. & dies, v. 624.; Stra-Бо, 1. 4. р. 298.

x Iliad. l. r. v. 308.; Odyif. l. 2. v. 389. l. rr. v. 2.; Hefiod. op. & dies, у. бзг.

y See academ. des inscript. t. 7. H. p. 38.

² Hiad. I. 7. v. 437.

2 Virgil, in making his hero range along the coasts of Greece, Italy, and Sicily, instead of conducting him over the open sea, is, in that point, conformable to the ancient practices.

b This is in feg-terms, to put off to fea.

ly unknown to the Greek navigators. They never thought of the operations we make in the day-time, to afcertain the course of a ship in the open sea.

With respect to those which they executed during the night, we fee that the Greeks had then fome notions of the utility arising from the observation of the stars to conduct them at fea. They pretend, that they owed this knowledge to Nauplius, one of the Argonautsc. Be this as it will, it is certain, that the art of governing a ship by the aspect of the stars, must have been ancient enough in Greece. Homer describes Ulysses conducting his boat by regarding attentively the Pleiades, Urfa Major, and Orion d. We fee also Calypso ordering this prince to make his route by leaving Ursa Major on the left. That constellation was the principal guide of the Greek pilots f. I have shewn, in the first part of this work, the inconveniencies of this practice, and the dangers which must result from it s. Besides, these observations, at that time, must have been very rude and very defective. They made them by a simple view: the Greeks, at that time, not having instruments to take their height.

They knew still less of sea-charts. How then could they be certain of the land they wanted to find, or, on the contrary, avoid the fands, rocks, and the coasts, where they were in danger of running aground? Laftly, what must have been their embarraffment, when they were overtaken by a storm? In dark nights, in thick weather, which do not allow us to fee the stars, a pilot could not make his course. He must then wander at a venture h, and land where he could. Homer makes Ulyfies arrive in different countries; but it is always without this hero's dreaming of the climates in which he finds himfelf 1.

c Theon. Alex. ad Arati phæn. p. 7. d Odyss. 1. 5. v. 272, & 275, &c. f See Scheffer, 1. 4. c. 6. p. 297, &c.

e Ibid. v. 275, & 277.

⁸ Book 4. chap. 2.

h See Virg. Æneid, l. 3. v. 200, &c. 1 Odyff, l. 6. v. 119, &c. l. 9. v. 174, &c.

We shall remark further, that the Greeks, in the ages of which I speak, wanted many machines, the use of which is indispensable in navigation. At the time of the Argonauts, they did not know anchors k. I even doubt whether they were known in the age of Homer. The Greek word, which is used to mean an anchor properly fo called, is not found in any of his poems. He has not borrowed any comparison from it. If we examined attentively the different tackling described by this poet, when he speaks of ships going either into harbours, or into unfrequented roads, there is nothing there to make us fuspect that the Greeks used anchors. I know very well, there are some passages in the Iliad and in the Odyssey, which they commonly translate costing anchor; but it is improper, and without foundation 1. The Greeks at that time only used, as to what appears, large stones to hold their ships. When Ulysfes came to the road of the Leffrigons, he tied his ship to a rock with cables m. When that prince went from the port of the Phæacians, the rowers unloofed the cable which held the ship by means of a stone bored through, to which she was tied n. It appears to me demonstrated, that at that time the

k Plin. 1. 36. fect. 23. p. 741.; Arrian. Peripl. Pont. Eux. p. 121.

¹ The passages we speak of are sound in the Iliad, 1. 1. v. 436.; Odyst. 1. 15. v. 497. & 1. 9. v. 137. Έκ δ΄ εὐνας εθαλου,—οῦτ΄ εὐνας βαλεειν. They translate these passages by cassing anchor. The reason on which the ancient critics, such as Eustathius and Hesychius, support themselves to interpret εὐνη by anchor, is, say they, because εὐναζω, which signifies to seep, comes from εὐνης for, add they, the immobility of a ship at anchor may very well be represented as a fort of fleep, especially in a poetic style. Did to agricas exhaulousing ev-งหอ๊กงณะ รหง งฉบัง, Eo quod anchora dejesta, navis velati dormat. Without doubt. it is from this explication that the lexicographers have rendered the word sound by

But I do not think that explication free from all criticism. I doubt whether one can say, even in a poetic style, of a ship at anchor, that she steeps: for in what manner could they six her? she is always rolling. Besides, could not we equally fay of a ship fixed by cables to a rock, or held by large Rones, that she Aceps, as they can fay it of a ship held by anchors?

I think then, that by the word sorn we ought not to understand anchors, such as the Greeks used afterwards, but large stones which served to hold the ihips.

in Odyth. 1. 10. v. 96. 2 Ouvil. 1. 13. v. 20.

Greeks had no knowledge of anchors, and, for want of that machine, they used large stones o.

There is greater reason also to think that these people did not use the founding lead. Homer never speaks of it, and no other writers contradict his filence. We may judge, from thefe facts; of the dangers to which the Greek failors were exposed. They could fcarce know the depth of the fea, or know how many fathoms it was, or be fure that the anchorage was good, &c. They ran the risk then of striking every instant. Befides; having no anchors, when they were furprifed by a tempest near rocky coasts or fands, what must have been their situation? They were exposed to see their ship split, or at least aground every moment. The least accident they had to fear, was to drive confiderably. They must often have been thrown out of their course; for I do not think the Greeks then knew the art of putting many masts above each other. They could not of confequence lie near the wind and land; for it was not possible for them, when they were once blown under the coast. to get from it, and bear off to fea; the upper fails being the only ones that could act upon fuch an occasion. Lastly, we do not fee that they had coasting-pilots to direct them, with respect to roads and harbours of difficult access, in the heroic ages. I make no doubt therefore that shipwrecks were very frequent in those times. Thus the ancients had pilots in such esteem, that history has not disdained to preserve the names of many of them. They name those who conducted Theseus's ship into Crete P.

There is a great deal faid in the voyage of the Argonauts of Typhis, who was pilot to those famous adventurers q. They have not even forgot Anceus who replaced him in that bufiness.

[•] It is for this reason that the word \(\lambda/\theta \text{of signifies, on many occasions, an anchor. See le trésor de H. Etienne, au mot Ailos. P Plut. in Thes. p. 7.

⁹ Apollod. l. 1. p. 42, & 43.; Hygin. fab. 14. p. 36.

Apollod. l. 1. p. 49.; Hygin. fab. 14. p. 46.

Lastly, we see that Homer speaks with the highest encomiums of Phrontis pilot of Menelaus's ship.

It only remains now to speak of the maritime commerce of the Greeks in the heroic times. It could not have been very considerable; these people at that time not being in a state to undertake voyages of great extent. I doubt if they knew the ocean; for, if they have spoke of it, it was as an inaccessible sea. It was not till 600 years after the expedition of the Argonauts that the Greeks durst enter upon it. With respect to the Arabian gulf and the Red Sea, they had not navigated them before Alexander.

Besides, for a nation to give itself up to maritime trade, it must be either, that the countries which they inhabit produce staturally great riches, or that it be supplied by their industry. The Greeks, in the times we are speaking of, were neither in the one nor the other position. Greece was not rich in minerals; and its soil, to be sertile, required great cultivation. Its ancient inhabitants, destitute of arts and industry, were not able to get from the earth all that it could afford. Thus, they were, in general, very poor ". Besides, they had scarce any communication with each other ". Destitute of natural riches, and the means of supplying them, with what could these people be able to traffic?

Independent of these reasons, other obstacles still opposed the progress of maritime trade in Greece. They had then no security on the seas. They were insested with pirates. Without speaking of the Carians, the Phænicians, and the Tyrrhenians, the Greeks themselves were addicted to piracy, the moment that they became acquainted with the sea. They were carried to it by that spirit of rapine and robbery, which animated them at land z. The trade of piracy was not infamous in the heroic

f Odysf. 1. 3. v. 282, &c. t See Herod. I. 4. n. 152.

u Athen, l. 6. c. 4. p. 231, & 232. X See Jupra; p. 309. See Odyst. l. 3. v. 71, &c.; Thucyd. l. 1. p. 4.; Strabo, l. 17. p. 1142.

times; on the contrary, they made it honourable a. Sovereigns themselves were of the number. Menelaus, in the Odyssey, does not blush to say to Pisistratus and Telemachus, who admired his riches, that they were the fruit of his maritime expeditions b. It was by this way that most of the Greek princes had amassed great riches c. We easily see what an injury such a licence must have been to maritime commerce, and how it must have interrupted it.

Minos passed in antiquity for the first who began to purfue pirates. But it appears, that, in the time of the Argonauts, they took still more essionate an ancient author, that they had then made an order in Greece, which forbade any one to fend to sea any ships which carried more than sive men. Jafon alone was excepted from this general law. On the contrary, they had given him an express commission to go to sea with an armed force to destroy pirates and robbers.

If we could adopt the notions of the celebrated Bianchini on the motives which occasioned the Trojan war, it would follow, that at that time the Greeks wanted to have a very extensive trade, and that, in general, navigation and maritime trade had been the principal object of the politics of these people. M. Bianchini, in effect, will have it, that the war of Troy had for its object, not the pretended rape of Helen, but the navigation and free trade of the Ægean and Euxine sea. Such was, according to him, the true motive which armed the Greeks against the Trojans. That expedition, adds he, was not determined by the destruction of the Trojan empire, but by a treaty of commerce advantageous to the Greeks.

a See Thucyd. l. r. d. 4, & 6.; Feith. antiq. Hom. l. 2. c. 9. p. 192. l. 4. c. 2 p. 408.

The northern nations formerly thought the same. They then looked uponpiracy as a lawful way of acquiring riches. Bibliotheque anc. & mod. t. 2. p.

^{256, &}amp; 261, &c.

b L. 4. v. 90, &c.

d Thucyd. l. 1. p. 4.

f La iftoria universale, deca 3. cap. 30. p. 451, &c.

I do not think I ought to stop to refute so singular a paradox, which would reduce the Iliad to a mere allegorical story in the oriental taste. We may freely put this system in the number of those which spring from a lively and fruitful imagination; but, not having the least probability, finds itself absolutely contradicted by all the historical notions which remain to us about the object and events of the war of Troy.

BOOK

BOOK V.

Of the Art Military.

for the military art the same resources, as for laws, arts, and sciences. I shall further observe, that the following ages do not surnish us, till some time afterwards, with much more knowledge on all these objects. With respect to the military art in particular, it is certain, that from the heroic ages to the time of Cyrus, we perceive neither change nor progress in the manner of making war, among the people of whom I here trace the history. Thus what we are going to read may six our ideas about the knowledge the Egyptians, the Asiatics, and the Greeks had in the military art, for a long course of ages.

C H A P. I.

Of the Egyptians.

GYPT, generally speaking, was never a warlike nation. More attentive to make the laws, arts, and sciences flourish, than to exercise her people in combats, the military virtues were not those which were cultivated with the greatest care. Thus it was not by the splendour of her arms that Egypt has attracted the attention of posterity. Yet it must be confessed, that she has produced some conquerors, whose exploits do not give place to any of the most celebrated heroes of antiquity.

Sefoitris,

Sefostris, who afcended the throne about 1650 years before J. C. a, has a just title to be put in this number. His reign is the epoch of the military glory of the Egyptians. This prince, possessed with the highest ambition, proposed nothing less than the conquest of the universe b. He took the necessary measures to affure the fuccess of his arms. His first care was to regulate the state of the troops. This object apparently had been neglected, or at least ill managed by his predecessors, since the ancients have regarded Sesostris as the author of the rules concerning discipline and the military service in Egypt c. It is for this reason I shall refer to his reign what the authors of antiquity have transmitted to us on this subject.

We perceive, that the maxim of the Egyptians was to keep on foot a numerous militia, divided into two bodies: that of the Calafires, and that of the Hermotybies. The one amounted to one hundred and fixty thousand men, and the other to two hundred and fifty thousand. The custom was to distribute these troops into the different provinces of the kingdom d. The foldiers had no pay, and were forbid to exercife any mechanic art e But the state had provided abundantly for their subfishence. They affigned to each foldier twelve aruras of land, exempted from all taxes and imposts f. They let it to farmers who made the most of it, and paid them a certain rent g.

R See Jupra, book 1. p. 10. b Diod, l. 1. p. 63.

Aritt. de rep. l. 7. c. 10.; Diod. l. 1. p. 105, & 106.

Herod. l. 2. n. 164, & feq. e Id. ibid. n. 165, & 166.

Herod. l. 2. n. 168.; Diod. l. 1, p. 85.

Thefe twelve aruras equalled nearly nine acres Paris measure. The arura here spoken of was a superficial measure, which, according to Herodotus, was the square of one hundred Egyptian cubits, or ten thousand square cubits. The learned are well enough agreed, that the derach of Cairo, which, according to Gravius, is one foot eight inches 6222 royal lines, is perfectly equal to the ancient Egyptian fathom, and that this measure has never been altered. By this account, the arura must have been 814 fathoms, 28 seet, 85 inches, 51 237 lines squared; and, of consequence, 12 aruras equal 9777 fathoms, 19 seet, 16 inches, $36\frac{28.4}{70.4}$ lines squared. The Paris are is, we know, precisely 900 squared fathoms; thus nine acres equal 9300 squared fathoms. It is plain then, that 122 fathoms, 16 feet, 127 inches, 107 107 lines squared, and 12 Egyptian aruras equal nine acres Paris measure.

⁵ Diod. l. s. p. 85.

It was from the Calasires and the Hermotybies that they drew the prince's guard. It was composed of two thousand men, who were relieved every year. During the year of service, they gave every day extraordinary to each soldier five pounds of bread, two pounds of meat, and about two or three pints of wine h. We may judge from this account, that a soldier had not only wherewithal to live, but he was even able to maintain a family. For the intention of the legislature was to encourage the marriage of the troops, reslecting, that the son was obliged to follow the profession of his father i.

As to military discipline, the ancients have transmitted to us few particulars on that article. They only acquaint us, that those who quitted their ranks, or were disobedient to their generals, were marked with infamy. Yet they could be restored if they repaired their fault by resolute and great actions. The maxim of the Egyptians was, that they should leave a soldier a way to re-establish his honour, and convince him, that he ought to be more sensible of that loss than of life k. For the military profession was in high consideration among these people. After the facerdotal families, those whom they most esteemed, were, as in France, the families dessined to arms 1. We see further, that, in the Egyptian armies, the right was the post of honour m.

It refults from what we have just read, that commonly the Egyptian forces amounted to four hundred and ten thousand men; but when the sovereign thought proper to augment his troops, or that it was necessary to recruit them, it was among the husbandmen that they took soldiers. The history of Sefostris will prove to us, that they had sometimes recourse to that expedient.

The army which this monarch levied, answered to the grandeur of his projects. It consisted of six hundred thousand foot,

h Herod. l. 2. n. 168.

i Diod. p. 85.; Herod. n. 166.; Arist. de rep. l. 7. c. 10.; Dicæarchus apud schol. Apollon. Rhod. l. 4. v. 272.

k Diod. l. r. p. 89.

m Diod. l. r. p. 77, & 78.

n Diod. l. r. p. 33.

twenty-four thousand horse, and twenty-seven thousand armed chariots o, without mentioning a fleet of four hundred fail equipped on the Red fea P.

Sefostris having put his army in motion, conducted it to the fouth fide, and fell immediately upon the Ethiopians. Having defeated them, he imposed for a tribute the obligation of bringing to him every year a certain quantity of gold, ebony, and ivory q. Returning afterwards to these countries, he passed into Asia, whilst his fleet coasted it. Every thing submitted to him. But it will be difficult to determine precisely to what point this conqueror carried his arms in that part of the world. If we would believe certain authors, Sefostris past the Ganges, traversed all the Indies, and came to the eastern ocean r. But this fact appears improbable. Herodotus bounds the extent of the conquests of this monarch, on one fide, to the parts of Asia situated along the Arabian gulf, and, on the other, to the eastern provinces of the same continent s; and the testimony of this author is of great weight in all that concerns events of that high antiquity. We may add, that the passage from Egypt to the eastern ocean appears absolutely impossible for fucls an army as that of Sefostris. With respect to Europe, the historians of antiquity agree in faying, that Thrace was the bounds of his conquests in that part of the world t.

For the rest, the expedition of this monarch will scarce afford us any light into the manner of making war in his time. The particulars are not known to us. We are ignorant of the means Sefostris used to reduce so readily that infinite number of nations of which the ancients speak. What we know, is, that at that time they made great use of armed chariots. They were the principal strength of their armies. We have already feen, that the Egyptian monarch had

P Ibid. • Diod. 1. 1. p. 64.

Diod. I. 1. p. 64.
 P. Idid.
 G. Idid.; Herod. I. 2. n. 1104; Strabo, I. 16. p. 1114.
 Diod. p. 64.; Strabo, p. 1114.; Lucan. Pharfal. I. 10. v. 276.
 G. L. 2. n. 102, 103, & 106.
 L. 2. n. 102, 103, & 106.

twenty-feven thousand. It is also said in scripture, that they had a great number, which Pharaoh raifed to go in purfuit of the Israelites ". But this was not a custom peculiar to the Egyptians; it was common to all the people of antiquity.

We have read in the first part of this work, that most of the ancients attributed to Orus the invention of riding a horse and that some nations, notwithstanding, did that honour to Sefostris *. I then said, that this opinion did not appear to me well founded. I shall not repeat here the reasons which determined me to reject it. I shall only add, that those who refer to Sefostris the art of riding, have probably interpreted tradition very ill. It fays, without doubt, that this prince had first thought of forming a body of cavalry. He had them in effect in his army. In the roll of the troops of Sefostris, Diodorus diffinguishes expressly the cavalry from the armed chariots y. We remark the fame distinction in the description the scripture makes of the forces collected by Pharaoh to oppress the Hebrews in their flight 2. I think then we may reconcile the different relations of the ancients, by attributing to Sefostris the institution of cavalry in the Egyptian armies. It is perhaps to this novelty, that he was indebted for the quickness of his exploits.

Be this as it will, the rapidity of the conquests of this monarch prove, that most of the people he attacked were very ignorant in the military art. There were neither cities nor fortresses to stay the progress of the conqueror a. We cannot doubt of this, when we read the names of the countries subdued by Sefostris. If this prince had met in his career some places fortified a little, and if they had under flood the art of defending them, he would have employed more than nine years in fubduing so great a number of nations. Yet it is to this

u Exod. c. 14. v. 7. * Book 5.

y L. r. p. 64.

Z All Pharoah's horses, his chariots, and his horsemen. Exod. c. 14. v. 13. We likewife find in the ancient versions the words, in mos, of avalante equus & insidens equo.

a See part 1. book 5.

fhort space that the ancients have limited the duration of his expedition b; and the fact is very probable. What we know of the conquests of Alexander, Attila, Gengiscan, Tamerlane, &c. flew with what facility a conqueror could anciently overrun the world.

The ignorance they were in at that time of the art of defenfive war, made it very eafy to fublift an army as numerous as that of Sefoftris. I have faid elfewhere, that the gaining of a battle opened to the conquerors an immense country. They feized on all, and the places abandoned by the conquered people enabled them to maintain and fubfift their troops c. 'Though it is very probable, that the army of Sefostris was divided into many corps, which marched and acted feparately; yet it is faid, that for want of provisions it was thought they would have perished in Thrace, and that the conqueror was obliged to return immediately d. This circumstance leads me to think, that Sefostris found in these countries a resistance which he had not experienced elsewhere. The fact is so much the more probable, as the Thracians have always passed for one of the most warlike nations of antiquity.

It does not appear, that Sefostris took any measure to preferve to his fuccessors the vast countries which had submitted to him e. Satisfied with having conquered innumerable nations, this monarch did not think on the means of fecuring his conquests. Thus they had no consequences. Their duration may be compared to their rapidity. The provinces which the Egyptians came from conquering, were as foon loft as acquired: the vast empire formed by Sesostris did not descend to his posterity.

If this prince neglected to fecure his conquests, he does not deferve the same reproach with respect to his hereditary dominions. On his returning to Egypt, he employed the leifure which peace afforded him, to fecure his kingdom from all invasion. With this view, he fortified the side of Egypt which looks to the east, and where the access was easy, by a wall con-

b Died. l. 1. p. 65. c Part 1. book 5.

⁴ Juffin. l. 1. c. 1.

d Diod. l. 1. p. 65.

tinued to the length of fifteen hundred stadias. This rampart extended from Pelusis, situated on one of the mouths of the Nile, to Heliopolis, built at the place where the river begins to divide itselfs. Sesostris caused also to be executed many other works which contributed as much to the security as to the utility of his kingdom. He had cut a great number of canals along the Nile. These works changed the face of Egypt. Before, it was a country open on all sides, which might be entirely over-run by horses and chariots. But, by means of this number of canals, Egypt became an intrenched country, and Sesostris rendered it almost impracticable for carriages, and even for horses.

From the reign of this monarch, to that of Sefac, that is to fay, for near feven hundred years, it is not feen that Egypt fignalized itself by any military enterprife. It feems that the spirit of glory and of conquest which had animated them under Sesostris, was extinguished in a very little time. According to some authors, we should throw the blame on this prince himself. Apprehending, say they, that the taste for war might inspire his subjects with sentiments of independence, he endeavoured to find out ways to soften their manners, and enervate their courage. They affure us, that he succeeded only too well in bringing about this satal change, and that the Egyptians soon degenerated. This policy of Sesostris was sounded on the knowledge that prince had of the character of the people he had to govern. They affure us in effect, that the ancient sovereigns of Egypt had been exposed to frequent re-

f From 62 to 63 French leagues.

B Diod. l. r. p. 67.

Herod. l. 2. n. 108; Diod. l. r. p. 66, & 67.

If we believe Herodotus, Sefostris made Egypt absolutely impracticable for

If we believe Herodatus, Sefostris made Egypt absolutely impracticable for horses: but this featiment does not appear exact; for it would follow, that they would have neglected to breed horses. Now, on the contrary, we see by many passages of scripture, that, under the Jewish kings, there must have been a producious number of norses in Egypt, and even that they were very much esterned. See I Kings, c. 10. v. 28, 29.; 2 Chron. c. 12. v. 3.; Isalah, c. 36. v. 9.; Capt. c. 1. v. 8.

It is better to fay with Diodorus, that the prodigious number of cauals made Egypt very difficult to go over in carriages, and almost inaccessible with cavalry.

i Nymphodor, apud scholiast, Sophoel, Oedyp, Colon, v. 318, p. 283, edit. H. Stephan, 1568.

volts, and that at all times they had taken measures to defend themselves, and to prevent conspiracies and factions k. Sefostris without doubt thought, that he had need of the same precautions, and put them in practice. I shall have occasion to return to this policy of the Egyptian monarchs in the third part of this work 1.

C H A P. II.

Of the People of Asia.

E have seen in the first book, that we are totally ignorant of the events which happened in the Assyrian empire during the course of the ages about which we are employed at present. It is, of consequence, impossible to give any idea of the state in which the military art then was in the greatest part of Asia. We can only speak of the nations who inhabited the western coasts of that part of the world. The invasion of Palestine by the Israelites will surnish us with some details and some reslections on the manner in which they made war in these countries, in the time of Moses, of Joshua, and the Judges. I could also comprehend under this present article, the expedition of the Greeks to Troy. Yet I shall only speak of it in the chapter of Greece, lest I should fall into repetitions which it will be impossible to avoid.

Many circumstances may have already given room to remark, that, among all the nations of antiquity, there have been few whose progress in the arts and sciences has been so rapid as those of the first inhabitants of Palestine m. The history of the wars they had to maintain against the Israelites, will not give us a very great idea of their skill in the military art, if we did not know that these events

k Diod. l. r. p. 100.; Plut. t. 2. p. 180. A. l Book 2. chap. 2.

m See part 1. book 4. chap. 2. art. 1.; part 2. book 2. c. 4. art. 2. p. 159.
book 4. c. 2. p. 294.

had been directed by the decrees of Providence. The Lord had struck all the people of these districts with the spirit of terror and blindness n. It is not then to their cowardice or their ignorance, that we should impute the rapid and continued success of the Hebrew people. It appears, on the contrary, that these nations were very warlike, and that they were not without the knowledge which could then be had of the military fcience.

We fee immediately, that the people of Palestine had many horses in their armies o, a method only known to policed nations. They had also a great number of chariots of war P, and knew perfectly the art of using them. The scripture obferves, that the tribe of Judah could not reduce the inhabitants of the valleys, because they had a great number of chariots armed with feythes q. They then were warlike people, used to arms and combats.

I have had occasion to insist often of the ignorance in which they were formerly in the art of fortifying and defending cities. I have just said, that probably Sesostris had not met with any fortified places in his expeditions. The invation of this prince leads us to make some reflections on the inhabitants of Palestine. It is in effect in these countries that history offers an example of fortified places. Moses tells us, that the cities there were defended by very high walls, and by gates strengthened with bars and posts r. It appears further, that they also knew, in these countries, the use of machines proper to overthrow the ramparts of the cities which they befieged f. Yet it is not feen, that either in the wars undertaken by Moses, or in those conducted by Joshua and his fuccessors, there is any mention of sieges undertaken. and carried on in form, although they very often speak of the taking of cities. Here is what feveral passages learn us touching the manner which they then used to take a place. They

^{*} Exod. c. 23. V. 7. P Ibid.

Deuter, c. 3. F. 5.

o Joshua, c. 11. v. 4. I Judg. c. 1. v. 19. I Ibid c. 20. v. 19.

laid an ambush; the army afterwards advanced against the city: the besieged went out to give battle, they seigned to give way; and when they had drawn them to a certain diffunce, the corps placed in ambuth marched to the town, and finding it without defenders, they seized on it and set it on fire. On this fignal, the army which gave way, faced about and charged the enemy. The troops which were become masters of the city, came out of it then, and finished the defeat t.

I fairly confess, I do not comprehend fuch a manœuvre. How can one suppose in effect in the besieged so little forecast, as not to leave in the place a body of troops sufficient to guard it against a fudden affault? Befides, how could one imagine that they should even forget to shut the gates? This precaution, so very fimple, is fufficient to put a city out of the reach of fuch enterprifes. But I have already faid, all these events only happened by the special order of Providence.

A fact that appears to me almost as astonishing, is the security and tranquillity of the inhabitants of Palestine on the march and flay of the Ifraelites in their neighbourhood. We do not fee that, for forty years that the Hebrew people over-ran thefe countries, the neighbouring nations were much diffurbed at it. The greatest part of them were not informed of the design of the Ifraelites, till they faw themselves ready to be attacked. In what part of the known world, could a troop of more than a million of fouls u at this day affemble themselves, without alarming the neighbouring states, or without their fending to demand the reason of their projects? It may be answered, that, in these remote times, there was none or very little intercourse among these nations. Scarce did the neighbouring states keep up any relation with each other. Thus a nation scarce knew the designs formed against it, till the moment they saw the ene-

t Josh. c. 8. v. 12, &c.; Judg. c. 20. v. 29, &c. u See Numb. c. 1. v. 45, & 46.

my at their gates. The people were always surprised, and of confequence almost always conquered.

The history of the wars which are spoken of in the books of Moses, of Joshua, and Judges, proves the truth of what I have already often repeated, that the gaining of a battle was commonly decifive in the ages I am speaking of. We there see wars finished often in a month, sometimes even in two or three days. It was because they did not then know the art of making use of fortified places. There, of consequence, remained no way to the conquered to defend their liberty, and to agree with the conqueror after the first defeat x.

I have nothing particular to fay of the manner in which the Hebrews and the inhabitants of Palestine were armed at that time. They used all the forts of arms which are known to have been used among all the people of antiquity. I shall remark, in finishing this article, that then many people went to war adorned with all their most rich and valuable things. troops of Midian wore rings, pendants, bracelets, and collars of gold. Their camels were adorned with studs, chains, and plates of the same metal y. This custom, as to what appears, has always fubfifted among the eastern people, and time has not abolished it 2.

C'H A P. III.

Of the Greeks.

HE first wars spoken of in the Grecian history, are neither fufficiently interesting, nor instructive enough to deserve a particular attention. They were only, to speak properly, the incursions of barbarians, who had no other view but to ra-

X See part 1.. b. 5.

Y Numb. c. 31 v. 50.; Judg. c. 8. v. 21, 24, & 26.
Z It fill sublists in all the countries of Asia.

vage the lands, make flaves, carry off the flocks, &c 2. Their armies were very fmall, and they had not far to go to meet with their booty. They neither knew how to fortify their frontiers. nor make war in the flat country. One battle commonly decided the quarrel : nothing could then stop the conqueror. Anciently the cities in Greece were all open; no works defended the approach; they were not even inclosed with walls . A war was then very foon finished. But hostilities would recommence without ceasing; the people were never at rest; they were always armed. Thus they had formerly neither peace nor fecurity in Greece d.

History speaks, it is true, of a citadel built in Athens by Cecrops c. They pretend, that Cadmus did as much when he laid the foundation of Thebes f; and Danaus used, say they, the fame precaution when he faw himfelf mafter of the throne of Argos g. But, according to all appearances, the fortreffes of Athens, Thebes, and Argos, were inconsiderable. I presume that they rather ferved to keep the inhabitants of these cities in obedience, than defend them against the attacks of their enemies.

Experience instructs, and time is a great master. The Greeks at last faw the necessity of inclosing their cities, to put them out of the reach of pillage and invasions. Amphion, who reigned at Thebes about 1390 years before Jefus Christ, was, fay they, the first who thought of providing for the security of his capital. He furrounded it with walls, flanked with towers at proper intervals h. This manner of fortifying places, although fimple, was nevertheless the best that could be imagined at that time, The jutting towers defended the flank and the parapet of the walls; befides, they procured to the befieged the advantage of overlooking their enemy from a superior place, and at the same time of being less exposed to their strokes.

a See Feith. Antiq. Hom. l. 2. c. 7. feet. 2.

b See Pauf. l. 9. c. 9.

c Thucyd. l. 1. p. 4.; Arist. de rep. l. 7. c. 11. t. 2. p. 438. D. e Supra, b. 1. ch. 4. art. 1. p. 17. d Thucyd. loco cit.

It is probable that many princes of Greece foon imitated the example of Amphion. But the discussion of this fact is somewhat unnecessary. I need not give an account of the events which relate to it. I go then to the history of the war of Thebes, the most memorable that happened among the Greek people in the heroic times.

OEdipus, whose history is too well known for me to stop to give it, had left his crown to his two children, Æteocles and Polynices. These princes, instead of dividing it, agreed to reign a year each by turns. Æteocles, as eldest, ascended the throne first. The year being expired, Polynices demanded of him the sceptre. But Æteocles had found too many charms in wearing it, and refused to refign it. Polynices, enraged, retired to Adrastus king of Argos. He gained the friendship of that prince, and obtained his daughter in marriage, with the promife of immediate fuccours to help him to afceud the throne. Adrastus, in effect, began by sending an ambassador to reprefent to Æteocles the rights of Polynices. Æteocles joining perfidy to injustice, would have affailinated the deputy of Argos. Adrastus, enraged at this scandalous treason, from that time looked upon the quarrel of Polynices as being personal to him, and prepared himself to take vengeance. He levied troops, leagued with many princes, and engaged them to march with him against Æteocles.

Æteocles foreseeing, without doubt, that he should soon be attacked, had neglected nothing for his defence. He had procured allies, and had collected together a numerous force. The armies, on both sides, having taken the sield, met on the borders of the river Ismene. The Thebans gave way on the first shock, and sled into their city. The conquerors immediately formed the siege of it k. This is the first that is spoken of in the Grecian history.

The Greeks were then very ignorant of that part of the military fcience. They did not know how to conduct an at-

i Apollod, l. 3, p. 150, & 153.; Diod, l. 4, p. 308, Ecc.; Pauf, l. 9, c. 9.

Apollod, p. 154.; Pauf, l. 9, c. 9.

tack! These people only endeavoured, as to what appears, to thut up the belieged, and to hinder them from coming out of the town; and they even did this ill enough. We may judge this, from what we find in ancient authors about the dispositions the Argives made to become masters of Thebes. That city had seven gates. The besiegers, of consequence, divided their troops into feven divisions, which they placed before each port m. We do not find that they then knew the art of drawing lines of circumvallation.

It might be imagined, that, at the times of which I speak, the Greeks practifed the escalade, that is to say, that, to sorce a place, they applied to the walls a great number of ladders, on which they caused many files of foldiers to ascend. We might even go fo far as to think, that these people had, at that time, invented some machines proper for the defence of belieged towns, This fentiment is founded on the circumstances of the death of Capaneus, who, willing, fay they, to scale the walls of Thebes, fell down, struck with thunder ". But we shall see in the sequel, that probably the escalade was not used among the Greeks, even at the time of the fiege of Troy, and still less machines of war. I think then the fiege of Thebes was conducted nearly like that of Troy; that is to fay, that the beliegers entrenched in their camp before the city, formed the blockade. The only object, at that time, was, as I have already faid, to hinder the belieged from making fallies, to shut them up, and to cut off their succours and provisions. Such was formerly the manner of making themselves masters of a place.

The conduct of the beneged answered to the attack of the beliegers. It is faid, that Æteocles had divided his garrison into as many bodies as the army of the enemy o. The de-

¹ Pauf. loco tit.

m Apollod. l. 3. p. 153.; Æschyl. Sept. ad Theb. v. 42, 55, 56.; Eurip. Phæniss. act. 3. v. 744.; Pans. l. 9. c. 8.; Philostrat. Imagin. l. 1. c. 6.

n Apollod. l. 3. p. 155.; Eurip. Phæniss. act. 4. v. 1179, &c.; Diod. l. 4. p.

^{300.;} Pauf. 1 9. c. 8.

9 Æfchyl. Sept. ad Theb. v. 57, & 58.; Apollod. l. 3. p. 154.; Eurip. Phæmill net. 3. v. 744, &c.

fence of a town, at that time, confifted in making frequent fallies to try to force the camp of the besiegers, or at least to intercept their convoys and starve them P. They had frequent fights between the two parties 9. It is to this ignorance in the art of attacking places, that we must attribute the extraordinary length of certain fieges mentioned in antiguity.

As that of Thebes would have held out a long time, the two brothers, Æteocles and Polynices, took the resolution of terminating their quarrel by a fingle combat. They fought under the walls of the city, in the fight of both armies, and were

both killed.

Let us stop here a moment to reslect on the idea the ancients had of the love and respect which they thought due to their country. Nothing was more unjust or more blameable than the proceedings of Æteocles against his brother. Yet, of all the ancient authors who have had occasion to treat this subject, there is not one who has not judged Polynices unworthy. the honours of sepulture, for having troubled the repose of his country, and brought into the heart of it an army of ffrangers r.

The death of the two brothers did not put an end to the war. Creon, uncle of the two princes, feizing on the fovereign authority, animated the Thebans to revenge the death of their king. The fuccess answered to their firmness and courage. They made fo well a conducted affault, that they overthrew their besiegers, forced their camp, and cut them in pieces. Adrastus, say they, was the only one who escaped from this total defeat f. The advantage which the Thebans obtained on this occasion cost them very dear; and it is since a proverb to fay, A Theban, or Cadmean victory, to mean an action where the conqueror was at least as ill treated as the conquered.

⁹ See infra, p. 354. P See Illad. 1. 18. v. 509, &c. 1 Æichyl. Sept. ad Theb. v. 1021, &c.; Sophoel. in Antig? v. 204, &c.; Furip in Phwniss. v. 1280. I Pauf. l. o. c. 9.

t Herod. l. r. n. 166.; Diod. l. rt. p. 922, 85 423 ; Papf. l o. c. p. See in Brafmus's adagies. Calmea viltoria.

The first war of Thebes was foon followed by a fecond, occasioned by the barbarous proceedings of Creon. The Argives, in retiring, had left the country all covered with their dead. We know what ideas the ancients had with respect to dead bodis that remained without sepulture. Adrastus then sent ambaffadors to Creon, to demand leave to bary his foldiers. Creon had the inhumanity to refuse it. Adrastus, penetrated with grief, implored the affiftance of the Athenians. They were then governed by Theseus. This prince, sensible of the rights of religion and humanity, marched in person against Thebes, and forced Creon to let Adrastus do the last honours to his foldiers. Some pretend, it was by means of having gained a battle u: others, on the contrary, fay, it was by means of a truce x. This is even the first treaty which is faid to have been made for taking away the deady. We may fay on this subject, that anciently to demand such a permission was to own being conquered.

I shall not enter into a detail of the war which the children of those princes, who had perished before Thebes, recommenced ten years after the first. That event does not furnish any particular instruction. I shall only fay, that this expeelition ended with the taking of Thebes, and that the conquerors destroyed it entirely z. I hasten to come to the war of Troy. That enterprife, famous on many accounts, deferves all our attention. The circumstances of it are most proper to let us know how they then made war in Greece and Afia Minor.

No one is ignorant that it was the rape of Helen which determined the Greeks to carry their arms before Troy. This outrage, to fpeak properly, only interested Menelaus and Agamemnon: but these two brothers finding themselves, at that time, the two most powerful princes of Greece, engaged

4 Apollod. I. 3. p. 159.

u Herod. I. 7. n. 27.; Ifocrat. encom. Helen. p. 310.; Patieg. p. 75.; Euripid. suppl. v. 591.; Apollod. l. 3. p. 157.; Pauf. l. 1. c. 39.

x Plut. in Thes. p. 14. A.
y Philocor. apud Plut. loco cit.; Plin. l. 7. sest. 57. p. 416.

all the nation to espouse their quarrel. Yet there had already been some signs of animosity between the Greeks and Trojans. Tantalus, father of Pelops, and great-great-grandfather of Agamemnon and Menelaus, had carried away, or caused to be destroyed, Ganymede, great-uncle of Priam. Thus it may be said, that Paris, great nephew of Ganymede, took away Helen by way of reprisal, against Menelaus great-great-grandson of the ravisher of his great-uncle. It was not therefore dissicult to represent to the Greeks that attempt as an injury done to the whole nation. This motive determined these people to declare war against the Trojans.

The preparatives were very long. There elapfed about ten years between the taking away of Helen and the departure of the Greeks. We ought not to be furprifed at it. There had not been attempted at that time fuch an enterprise in Greece. This is the first time that the nation had leagued in a body to make war c. They would therefore affemble confiderable forces. They must moreover equip a fleet. We must not therefore be surprised, that the preparations for that armament lasted ten years. That time was employed to unite the forces of the different princes of Greece, and to build twelve hundred ships to transport their army. Let us add, that the Greeks, going into a very diffant country, had occasion to take many precautions. They ought not, in effect, to expect other refources in Afia, than those which they could procure by the fword d. The whole forces of Greece affembled amounted to near a hundred thousand men e: a small army, consi-

² It was not by force nor fear that the princes of Greece followed Agamenton and Menclaus before Troy, as Thucydides pretends, l. r. p. 7. Homer fays the contrary very plainly, Iliad. l. 1. v. 157, & 158. See also Pauf. l. 3. c. 12.

b Herodotus, l. r. init. from an ancient tradition makes the subjects of hatred between the Greeks and Asiatics ascend much higher. But I confess, that I find no relation between the sacts he alledges, and the motive of the expedition of the Greeks to Troy.

c Thucyd. l. 1. p. 3.
 d Ibid. p. 9.
 t take the calculation of Thucydides, p. 9. See also Meziriae ad epist.
Ovid. t. 2. p. 319.

dering the number of kings and nations that were entered into that league f.

The time which the Greeks had employed to prepare their armament, had given to the Trojans time to put themselves in a disposition to give them a good reception. Priam had raised numerous forces, and was strengthened by the succours of the most powerful princes of Asia. His national troops might have amounted to fifty thousand men g. But those of his allies were much more confiderable. As to the fortifications of Troy, they confifted of an inclosure of walls, flanked with towers of woodh, and of rails before the gates i. It is very furprifing, that that city was not encompassed with a ditch. We fee Patroclus, after having repulfed the Trojans, after a fmart encounter, ascend immediately on to the walls of Troy k; an action which the poet certainly would not have supposed, if he had had to have leaped over the ditch, or at least he would have explained it. This fact makes me also think, that the walls of Troy were only made of earth. We are, in effect, obliged to give to these fort of works a good deal of slope, otherwise all would fall down. It was then by favour of the flope that Patroclus fuddenly mounted the walls of Troy: for if it had been by the help of a ladder, Homer, who is fo exact to give details, would not have omitted that circum-Stance 1.

After

f Thucydides, ibid, pretends that Greece could have furnished a greater number of troop: but the difficulty of subfifting them was the cause, says he, that they did not carry a greater number. This reason appears to me of no weight. I am perfuaded that the Greeks brought into the field all the forces they could raife; and if their army was only an hundred thousand, it was because Greece could furnish no more at that time.

B Iliad. I. S. v. 562.

We should not mind the discourse of Agamemnon, Iliad. l. 2. v. 126, &c. where he advances, that if the Greeks were placed at table, ten and ten, and they took for each ten a Trojan for a cupbearer, there would be more tens than were wanted: This is an exaggeration that the poet puts in the mouth of Agamemnon, to encourage the Greeks, and undervalue the Trojans.

h See Virgil. Aneid. l. 2. v. 460, &c. i Hiad. l. 3. v. 153. l. 21. v. 537. k Ibid. l. 16 v. 702.

¹ The expression Homer uses to paint this action of Patroclus, susfices, as

After a long and difficult navigation, the Greeks landed at the promontory Sigeus. The defcent was not made without opposition from the Trojans. They gave them a bloody combat. The Greeks were victorious. They made good their landing; established themselves on the coast; formed their camp, and entrenched themselves m.

I know not how to define the enterprise of the Greeks against Troy. They proposed to themselves to take that city; yet I fee no plan, no defign in their conduct. We do not find in the recital the ancients have made of that famous event, any circumstance which characterizes a siege. We do not see the Greeks form any dispositions to approach the place, and still less to attack it. They do not open trenches; they do not make use of the fap, nor even of the escalade. As to machines of war, Homer never speaks of any; he, who, on other occasions, is so full in treating of every thing that concerns the art military. Lastly, it appears that the Greeks had not even taken the precaution of reconnoitring Troy. Chance alone had shown to them the weak or strong parts of the town n.

It is equally difficult to discover, in their operations before Troy, the blocade of a city. They did not draw lines of circumvallation; they did not dispose a body of troops round the place; in a word, they did not make any of the manœuvres, or conduct any of the works proper and necessary to shut up the befieged in their walls. Troy was never invefted. The proof is, that, during the ten years the Greeks were encamped under its walls, we do not find that they ever wanted provifions. But farther, the foreign fuccours which came to the Trojans entered freely into the town. The camp of the Greeks was very diftant from it . The space was so great, that the ar-

Let us observe turnher, that Homer, on another occasion, gives the name of

 wall to a simple rampart of earth. Iliad. l. 20. v. 145.

 m Thucyd. l. 1. p. 9.
 n See Iliad. l. 6. v. 435.

 o Iliad. l. 3. B. v. 318, &c. l. 5. v. 791, & payim. See also Strabo, l. 13.

 p. 893.

Vol. II. Yy mies

far as I think, to prove the fentiment I advance. He fays, that this hero afcended in ayravos τείχεος.

mies had more ground than was necessary to range themselves on both fides in the order of battle. Thus there is no mention in the Iliad, but of combats which the two parties engaged in daily. The Trojans advanced very far from their walls. The Greeks came out of their entrenchments, and went to meet them in the plain. It was then that they joined battle. Let us represent to ourselves two armies, one encamped under the walls of a place, and the other entrenched at a great distance, coming out reciprocally, and we shall have a very just idea of the polition of the Greeks and Trojans. We shall very eafily comprehend how Iroy might refift for ten whole years the efforts of all Greece affembled before its walls. The forces were nearly equal; and there had been, to fpeak properly, no attacks made by the Greeks. They were at that time entirely ignorant of the art of forming fieges; and if they became at last masters of Troy, it was only by means of a gross stratagem p, and which had not yet succeeded but by a notorious treason q.

We must then lay aside all ideas of a siege; it would be very improper to characterize so the expedition of the Greeks before Troy. These people, as we have just seen, had then no notion of that part of war. Let us only examine their knowledge with respect to other objects of the military art.

I begin by encampments; and I fay, that that art was not unknown to the Greeks in the heroic times. The difposition of their camp before Troy appears in general well enough ordered. The circumference was confiderable; for it is faid, that not only the troops went into it, but they also shut up there all their fleet. These people at that time used to draw their ships on land, when they knew

P This is what we ought to think of the famous wooden horse, and this is also

the idea Homer has given us of it. Odyss. 1. 4. v. 272.

In vain will some writers, greatly posterior to this poet, find in this circumstance the image of a machine of war proper to overturn the walls of a city.

The silence of Homer on this head consutes all their conjectures. See also Ban-

nier, explicat. des fabl. t. 7. p. 280.

9 It appears plain enough to me, that Æneas and Antenor betrayed their country to the Greeks. See Dionys, Halic, I. I. p. 37.; Dictys. Cret. l. 4. c. 22.; Pauf. 1. 10, c. 27.

that they were not to use them for some time r. The promontory Sigeus, where the Greeks had landed, being found too narrow to range in front the twelve hundred ships which composed their fleet, they disposed them into two lines. Their ships which had been landed first, were advanced towards the city, and made the first rank. They put in the second those which came the last. They almost touched the seaf.

The troops encamped in the interval formed by these two lines t. In the centre, they left a large square for the sutlers. They rendered justice in the same place. They also raised there altars destined to the worship of the gods ". The army marched under different chiefs, of whom Agamemnon was the generalissimo. Each chief had his quarter marked and distinct x. Lastly, the camp of the Greeks was entrenched, as well to fecure their ships from the attacks of the enemy, as not to be furprifed themselves by the Trojans, who often came to infult them even to their very tents. These entrenchments confifted in a rampart of earth, flanked from space to space with towers of wood y. The work was defended by a large and deep ditch lined with palifadoes. They had there made different ports, that the troops might go in and out eafily z.

The army encamped under tents, or rather under barracks, fuch as Homer describes that of Achilles 2. They kept an exact guard. The Greeks not only used to place

F See fupra, b. 4. ch. 4. p. 328.

I Iliad. l. 14. v. 30, &c. l. 9. v. 43, & 44.

t Ibid. l. 15. v. 652.

u Ibid. l. 11. v. 805, &c. compare it with l. 8. v. 222, &c.

x Iliad. l. 8. v. 222, &c.

y The proof that Homer meant only a rampart of earth, and wooden towers,

is, that the whole work was finished in a day, 1. 7. v. 475.

Farther, we see Sarpedon, on a certain occasion, forcing the Grecian camp, takes hold of one of the battlements of the wall in question, and pulis it with all his strength. The battlement gave way to the strength of the hero; he prevailed by throwing down a part of the wall, in which he made a breach wide conough to receive many in front, 1. 12. v. 397, &c.

Homer certainly would not have allowed of such a siction, if the wall built by

the Greeks had been of stone work.

² Iliad. l. 7. v. 436, &c. l. 12. v. 36. ^a Ibid. l. 24. v. 448, &c. The poet often calls these barracks houses. Ibid. V. 471, & 673.

centinels, but even to establish advanced guards b. Homer remarks the neglect of this precaution by the Trojans, as a fign of their want of discipline c. They also used to light up great fires during the night d. They took that opportunity to fend their spies to examine the proceedings of the enemy c.

We fee that the Greeks, in the heroic times, were armed nearly in the fame manner as the greatest part of the people of anciquity. They had for offensive arms a club, a hatchet, a fword, arrows, a javelin, and a fling f. Let us add to this the pike, which they used in two different manners; for sometimes they threw it at a distance like a javeling, and sometimes they used it like a sword to fight near and hand to hand h. If we refer to the writers of antiquity, it is from the Cretans that the Greeks had learned the use of arrows i. These people were even faid to have invented the fword k. It is not easy to explain the manner in which the Greeks carried this last arm. As far as one can conjecture, it was suspended by a fort of belt which went over both their shoulders. This belt must have been like a porter's fling; it was fastened by means of a hole which clasped before, below the cuirass. The sword rested against the thigh m.

The defensive arms were the shield, the cuirass, the helmet, and buskin-boots of metal to guard the thighs n. Herodotus pretends, that the Greeks had received from the Egyptians the

b L. 9, v. 662 c I., 10, v. 416, & 417, d L. 8, v. 662, c L. 10, v. 204, &c. f L. 13 v. 716, 599, 612, l. 15 v. 711, l. 7, v. 141. The Greeks did not think much of the troops which used slings. Xenoph.

Cyrop. 1. 7. p. 149.; Q. Curt. 1. 4. c. 14. p. 232. Let us remark, that Ho-mer never gives one to his heroes.

⁵ Odyff. 1. 8. v. 229.

h Hiad. l. 2. B. v. 50. See Strabo, l. 10. p. 688, & 689.

i Diod. l. 5. p. 282.; Pauf l. r. c. 23.

k Diod. l. s. p. 382.; Ifidor. origin. l. 14. c. 6. l Iliad. l. 16. v. 135. l. 4. v. 132, & 133.; Odyff. l. 2. v. 3.; Hefiod. Scnt. Hercul. v. 221, &c.; Vingil. Æncid. l. 8. v. 459. m Iliad. l. 1. v. 190. l. 5. v. 516.; Odyff. l. 9. v. 300. l. 11. v. 48.; Virgil.

Eneid. l. 10. v. 786, &c.

fhield and helmet o. In the beginning these arms were only made of the skins of animals p; they learned afterwards to make them of metal.

I have nothing to fay in particular of the ancient Greek helmets: but it is not the same with shields. We see immediately that they were of astonishing size, being almost as high as a man q. But what I can no way comprehend, is the manner in which the Greeks carried that arm in the time of the war of Troy, and the use they could make of it. It appears very plain, that then they did not carry their shield on their arms. It was fixed to their neck by a string, and hung over the breast. When they used it in fight, they turned it on their left shoulder, and supported it with their arm. To march they east it upon their back, and then it hit against their heels r. I freely own, that I cannot conceive from this description how they could use this shield. This arm must have been of little use, and have caused a great deal of trouble and inconveniency on account of its immense size. How could a soldier fight? He was fcarce able to move. He could not have free motions. Besides, they lost the principal use of the shield, which appears to me to have been particularly destined to guard off the strokes which threatened the head.

0 L. 4. n. 180.

By means, without doubt, of the different colonies which passed successively into Greece, in the most early times. We find, in effect, a great conformity between the shields of the Egyptians and those of the Greeks in the heroic times. Yet they have different traditions on this subject in Greece. See Apollodor. l. 2. p. 67, & 68.; Diod. l. 5. p. 382.; Plin. l. 7 feel. 57. p. 415.

P. Their nan e even means it. The Latin word featurn, frield, comes from the

Greek word ozo'ros, which fignifies of ikin. The ancient shields were almost always made of the skin of an ox.

Galea, belmet, comes from yahn, which means weafel, because the first helmets were made of the skin of that animal. See Eustath. ad Iliad. 1. 3. v. 336. p. 421. lin. 8.

9 Iliad. l. 6. v. 117, 118. l. 16. v. 802. l. 7. v. 219.; Tyrtæus, carm. 111. v. 23. &c.: Schol. ad, Iliad. l. 2. v. 389.; Bochart. Phaleg. l. 4. c. 33. p. 334, & 335.; Feith. l. 4 c. 8. § 4. Animadv. p. 78.

F Hiad I. 2. v. 3×8, 389, I. 5. v. 796, 797, &c. I. 12. v. 294, I. 14. v. 404, 405, I. 15. v. 479, I. 16. v. 106, I. 20. v. 261, 262, & 278, I. 6. v. 117, I. 11. v. 544.: Herod. l. 1. n. 171.

We are ignorant in what time the Greeks gave over carrying their shields in so unnatural and disadvantageous a manner. We only know, that the Carians, a very warlike people, changed this whimfical and gross custom. They shewed the Greeks to carry their shield, put on the arm by means of leather made in the form of handles, which they found out the art of fixing to them f.

With respect to helmets, it appears that they gave to them anciently a different form from that which was used in the war of Troy t. I shall not stop to give the particulars. I finish by observing, that, at that time, most of the arms were made of copper. Cadmus was, fay they, the first who introduced that knowledge into Greeceu. We know that the ancients had the art of hardening copper by tempering it x. As they were very ignorant in these early ages in the art of working iron, that metal was employed for very few uses.

Plutarch observes, with great reason, that Homer represents his heroes always well armed y. They did not rashly expose their life. As to the foldiers, the officers paid great attention to visiting their arms z. They took care also to make the troops eat before they led them to combat 2.

I do not think that the Greeks in the heroic times had any method, any rule to divide and distribute into different bodies, the number of men which compose an army. By the reports of fome historians, Mnestheus, who commanded the Athenians before Troy, passed for having first found out the art of forming the troops into battalions and fquadrons b. But this fact appears to me very improbable. We do not fee in Homer, that the Greeks then knew this practice. This poet ne-

f Herod. l. 1. n. 171.; Strabo, l. 14. p. 976.; Scholiast. Thucyd. l. 1. p. 6.

t Pauf. l. 10. c. 26.

u Conon. narrat. 37. apud Phot. p. 445.; Bochart. Chan. l. 1. c. 19. p. 487, & 488. See also supra, b. 2. sect. 2. chap. 4. p. 218, & 219.

x See part 1. b. 2. chap. 4. p. 159. Y In Pelopid. init.

² Iliad. l. 14. v. 381, & 382. ^a See Feith. antiq. Hom. l. 4. p. 511. Animadvers. p. 81.

D See Meurf. de regn. Athen. l. 2. c. 8.

ver uses any term to make us understand so much c. Neither do we find the different ranks of officers spoken of by later writers. The personages whom Homer introduces on the scene. appear all equal in authority. I fay nothing of uniforms. That is an inflitution absolutely modern.

As to the manner of ranging the troops in battle, the Greeks, from the time of the war of Troy, had some principles on this fubject. Neftor and Mnestheus are celebrated by Homer as two very experienced captains in the art of ranging an army in battle d. We find in the Iliad the model of two different dispositions. In the first, Nestor places the cavalry at the head, that is to fay, the chariots, in which confifted what Homer then called cavalry. The infantry was ranged in the rear of the chariots to support them. Nestor placed in the centre his worst troops, to make those soldiers fight they had the least opinion of. The orders which the general gives to the cavalry, are to keep in their horses, to march in good order, and without mixing or confounding the ranks. He recommends above all to the conductors of chariots, not to value themselves for advancing before their comrades in charging the enemy first c.

On another occasion, on the contrary, we see the infantry placed in the front; the cavalry supporting them, by being placed in the rear of the battalions f. Homer gives us to understand, by the model of these two dispositions, that, at the war of Troy, the Greeks were instructed enough in the tactic, to know that they ought to range the troops differently, according as the ground was more or less open. These people, moreover, used to place their ranks very close g, taking care nevertheless to leave space enough between the files for the chiefs to pass easily h.

h Iliad. paffim.

C He never uses but the vague and general word Φάλαγξ.

d Iliad. l. 2. B. v. 60, &c.

Homer reprefents to us the Greeks keeping a profound filence to the moment they engaged, and the Trojans pushing on giving great shouts. This practice of giving great shouts in going to battle, was used among many nations of antiquity k. It still subfists in many countries. The Turks, and all the eaftern people, give horrible shrieks the instant they are going to the charge.

It was a point of honour in these early times, to seize on the arms and the body of the conquered enemy. We find many examples of this way of thinking in Homer 1, and in other Greek writers m. Thus the first care of the ancient heroes. when they perceived themselves mortally wounded, was to recommend themselves to those in whom they most consided, not to leave their arms nor their body a prey to the enemy. The fear of being abandoned gave them the greatest uneafiness. Sarpedon, on breathing his last, appears to be folely taken up with this thought ". Night always put an end to the combato; a cuftom which feems to have been generally observed among the ancient nations.

It would be difficult to reprefent even tolerably the ideas Homer had of a general action. Although this poet makes frequent descriptions, yet we can neither distinguish conduct nor effect. He presents no plan, and offers no wellconcerted or rational attack. Homer indeed speaks of the order of battle p; but we never fee the application. We do not fee the manner in which the troops joined and fought. We do not see the motion of the different corps which compose an army. We do not know whether the troops charged all at one time, or by divisions. No evolutions, no rational movements during the action. Laftly, no manœuvre nor any operation arifing from the genius of the general. chiefs fought as much as the foldiers, and were more in the fray. They feem to have been busied about nothing but

i Iliad. l. 3, v. 2, & 8. l. 4. v. 429, &c. k See Feith. l. 4. p. 516, & Animadverf. p. 82. M See Herod. l. 7. n. 224, & 225. l. 9. v. 22, & 23. I Illad. paffim.

n Iliad. 1 16. v. 495, &c.

º Feith. I. 4. p. 519, 520, & Animadvers. p. 82. p Supra, p. 359.

to fight themselves. Their merit consisted less in being able to command a troop well, than in killing a great number of enemies. Thus the battles described in the Iliad only present us with combats hand to hand; three or four personages on the one side and the other, strewing terror and overturning the whole army. Our Amadises and our Rolandos could not have done more.

Besides, how can we conceive these long conversations which very often two heroes, enemies to each other, have together on the field of battle, the moment in which the troops are most eager for the combat 1? These sacts are entirely repugnant to the idea we now have of a general action. Has Homer been directed in his description of battles by what they practised in the time of the war of Troy, or has he drawn it from pure imagination? That is what I am ignorant of.

There is often mention made of cavalry and horse in the combats of the Iliad, yet we ought not to be deceived by this. By the term cavalry, Homer did not understand cavalry fuch as we have at this time in our armies, nor fuch as the Greeks had in times posterior to the war of Troy. The word caualry in this poet, only means chariets drawn commonly by two horses, and with two men in them. With respect to horsemen, they had none in the Greek armies, nor among those of the other nations of which Homer speaks, in the heroic ages. Not that the art of riding was then unknown in Greece. I do not prefume it. That knowledge had been brought there very anciently by the colonies which came from Egypt and Phœnicia, countries where riding was used in the most early times. But the method of making use of horsemen in war, and the art of forming them into a body of troops, was unknown to the Greeks in the heroic times. The only manner of using horses at that time among these people, was to put them to chariots, whether to go to

⁹ See Illad. l. 6. v. 119, &c. 1. 13. v. 248. l. 20. v. 177. One might cite many other examples.

T See part 1. b. 5.

battle, or to go a journey f. This is a fact attested by all the writers of antiquity t.

It is aftonishing to see, that the Greeks, and many other nations, were so long a time without knowing the use of cavalry. What! did they not perceive the inconveniencies of armed chariots? These machines occasioned great expence, as well for their construction as their maintenance. Besides, of the two men who were on each chariot, only one fought, the other conducted the horses: of two men there was one then entirely lost. Besides, they had chariots drawn not only with three, but even with four horses, for the service of one single person ": another loss equally sensible. Lastly, a ditch, a gutter made by a flood, an hedge, the inequality of the earth, might render all this apparel and all this expence absolutely useless; inconveniencies to which cavalry are much less exposed.

It was the little knowledge they had formerly of the military art which made them continue so long the use of chariots in their armies. They did not then know how to take the advantage of ground, nor to make war in an inclosed and irregular country. They commonly chose to fight on a large and extensive plain. Time and experience having made these people more knowing in the art of war, they perceived the disadvantages of charicts. Then policed nations entirely left them off, and substituted cavalry; but that reform was very late.

It feems, in the heroic times, they used to barb the horses destined for the use of the chariots of war x. But I do not think, that they then knew the art of shoeing them. Not any passage in Homer gives us to understand as much y; and

iŧ

f See Odyst. I. 3. v. 475, & 476.

^{*} See Diod. l. 5. p. 346, & 367.; Pollax, l. r. fegm. 141.

[.] u Iliad. l. 8. v. 185.

This is what may be conjectured from ver. 156, & 157, of the fecond book of the Iliad, where Homer favs, that the plain from with the brightness of the brafs which covered the men and horses.

which covered the men and horses.

Y Eustathius, and after him Mad. Dacier, say, that the horses were shod in the sime of the war of Troy. They ground their opinion on verses 152, & 153. of

it is to be observed, that Xenophon, from whom we have a particular treatife of the manner of feeding and managing horses, does not speak of shoeing 2. If in the time of Xenophon they did not yet skoe their horses in Greece, it is a proof that this practice was not introduced there till long after the heroic ages. This fact moreover ought not to appear extraordinary. There are at this time many nations who do not use to shoe their horfes2.

The Greeks anciently had no military infruments to found the charge, animate the troops, beat marches or retreats. There is no mention in the Iliad, of trumpets, of drums, nor of kettledrums. Homer indeed speaks of the trumpet, but it is only by way of comparison b; and we should distinguish in this poets what he favs of his own authority, from what he reports as an historian. As a poet, he often uses comparisons drawn from customs posterior to the war of Troy. But as an historian, Homer, a wife observer of customs, does not encroach upon the times; and it is for this reason, that he does not give trumpets to the Greeks nor to the Trojans. He fays only, that there was heard in the camp of the last, the found of flutes and of pipes . It is then certain, that the Greeks, in the heroic times, had not yet the use of the trumpet, nor of any other military instrument. Thus it was, at that time, a very defirable quality, and a very neceffary one, in a commander, to have a firong and very loud voice. The talent of making yourfelf be understood at a great

the second book of the Iliad. Homer says there, as they pretend, that the horses firite the ground with their brafs, xalxã dhicovres. But Euftathius and Mad. Dacier have not confidered, that the particle discourtes relates to the nominative ref of and iππείς of verses 150, & 151. The sense then is, that the Greeks put the Trojaus to slight by striking them, says the poet, with the brazen arms they had in their hands. See the remark of the scholiast on verse 153.

Z See also les mem. de Trev. Janv. 1713. p. 171.

a Voyage de V. le Blanc. part 2. p. 75, & 81.; Kæmpser, hist. du Jap. t. 2. p. 297, & 298.; Lettr. édif. t. 4. p. 143.; Tavernier, t. 1. i. 2. c. 5.; Hist. des

voyages, t. 3. p. 182. b Iliad. l. 18. v. 219. c Ibid. l. 10. v. 13.

distance, was formerly even so estimable, that Homer makes it a subject of praise for Menelaus 4.

Colours, an invention fo useful to conduct and rally the troops, were equally unknown, in these ages, to the Greeks and to the Trojans. Homer never speaks of them; and it would not have been fo, if their use had been at that time established. They had not invented the practice of giving to the troops a cercain word by which the foldiers of the fame party might know each other, and rally themselves e. The surprises which Homer and Virgil fo often speak of, are a proof of it.

From all these facts combined and compared together, it refults, that, at the time of the war of Troy, the military art was still in its infancy among the Greeks. They had then no idea of the art of making war. The uniformity which reigns in the operations and in the manœuvres described by Homer, prove it fufficiently. The Greeks did not even know the fecret of starving an enemy in a place, and of cutting them off from all communication from without f. The art of making war confifted, in these remote times, in surprising a party, and contriving properly an ambuscade g. We see by many places in the Iliad, that the Greeks had a high opinion of these fort of manœuvres h. We will at prefent fay a word or two of their military discipline.

We do not clearly fee the customs the Greeks anciently followed with regard to levying troops. Neftor indeed fays in the

d He gives to this prince the epithet Bohr ayabos, the proper fignification of which is, that Menelaus had a very proper voice to make himself understood. Iliad 1. 2. v. 408.

I do not doubt, but the fense in which I take this epithet, will not appear just to many persons. It is commonly explained by valiant, intrepid; but why may not this epithet be taken literally? Was it not at that time a very commendable quality in a commander to have a voice capable of making himself be understood

e Plin. 1. 7. fest, 57 p. 416. says indeed, Palamedes had invented all these methods. But the opinion of Pliny, who, on this article, has only collected different traditions true or false, cannot balance the silence of Homer.

(See fupra, p. 353.

E See Illad. 1. 18. v. 513, & 520, &c.

f See fupra, p. 353. E S h L. 1. v. 227. l. 13. v. 277, &c.

Iliad, that he had been fent with Ulysses, by Agamemnon, to raise soldiers all over Greece; but Homer does not explain himfelf about the means these two princes employed to do it i. We only know that each family was obliged to furnish a combatants and that it was chance which decided who should go k. They were not allowed to exempt themselves. Those who resused to be in arms, were condemned to a fine!. It further appears, that the Greeks went very young to war m.

It is certain, that in thefe remote ages the foldiers had no pay". They ferved at their own expence and charges. The only indemnity which they could hope for was their part of the booty; for then it was not permitted them to pillage for their fole use. They could not appropriate to themselves any spoil of the enemy. Every thing was brought with great exactness to the common stock. The division of them was made from time to time among the whole army, with the greatest exactness posfible. The chiefs had a much larger share than the common foldiers .

I have had occasion to remark elsewhere, that the authority of the ancient kings of Greece was not despotic. It was tempered by the concurrence of the people and the grandees of the state P. We recognise the same spirit of government in the order and discipline of the Greek armies. Agamemnon, although generalissimo of the troops, had not an absolute authority. He had indeed the inspection of all the chiefs, and of the whole army. He commanded the troops on the day of action, and then he had the power of life and death 9. But in every thing elfe

i Iliad. 1. 11. v. 769, &c.

k Ibid, l. 24, v. 400.

I L. 13, v. 669, l. 23, v. 297.

It may be conjectured from this last passage, that, at the time of the war of Troy, it was already settled that they could be dispensed with from serving, by providing a man, or even a horse that they were to furnish.

m Iliad. paffim. n See Suid. vece Er Kagi, &c. t. r. p. 749.; Potter, Archwolog. l. 3. c. 2.

[·] Feith. antiq. Hom. l. 4. c. 15. p. 529.

P Supra, b. 1. ch. 4. att. 7. q Iliad. l. 2. v. 391, &cc.

his authority was very limited. The prince could decide nothing by his own will. He was obliged to affemble a council, and to follow the plurality of voices. The military discipline of the Greeks, in the heroic times, presents a continual mixture of monarchy, of aristocracy, and democracy.

We may diftinguish in Homer three forts of councils of war. The public and general council, where all the troops being affembled, each of the chiefs declared the subject on which they were to deliberate. The second book of the Iliad offers an example of these public deliberations. Agamemnon, to sound the disposition of the Greeks, proposes to the whole army to reembark, and renounce the project of taking Troy. In the ninth book, the prince makes such an assembly of the troops, to represent to them, that the only part that remains to be taken, is readily to regain Greece. It appears, moreover, that all the chiefs of the army indifferently had a right to assemble the troops for the council.

There reigned a very great liberty in these public councils. Every one there might say what he thought. Agamemnon himself was obliged to bear even the highest insults spoke to his sace without any respect. Achilles does not spare him in the general assembly which that young hero had convoked on account of the plague which afflicted the Grecian camp. In that which is held in the ninth book of the Iliad, which I have just now spoke of, Diomede begins his discourse to Agamemnon, by saying that he opposes the senseless advice given by that prince, and avails himself for that purpose of the liberty allowed in public assemblies; and afterwards he adds, indeed, Jupiter had given to Agamemnon a sceptre above all sceptres; but that that god at the same time had resused him strength and courage,

Πας γὰς εμοί θάνατος. For I have power over the life of these who disobeg

Aristole in quoting this passage, de repub. I. 3. c. 14. adds half a verse which no longer appears in our copies. It makes Agamemnon say,

r See Iliad, l. 1, v. 54.

whose empire was still more grand and glorious. Diomede, lastly, finishes his discourse by saying to that prince, that he was master of his return if he pleased, and that the roads were open to him s.

The public and general council could not be affembled on every occasion which presented itself to deliberate on any proceeding. They then held a particular council composed of the chiefs of the army. They there determined what they should do in the present circumstances; such, for example, as that in which the Greks found themselves in the tenth book of the Iliad, when they were besieged in their camp by the Trojans. Agamemnon assembles the chiefs of the army, and deliberates with them about the measures they had to take in that critical situation.

They had, lastly, the private council, which they held commonly in Agamemnon's tent. They admitted there none but chiefs of consummate prudence and experience. The young ones were excluded from it. It is to be remarked, that, in Homer, the deliberations of the Greeks are generally accompanied with a repast. It was often even at table that they took the most important resolutions ".

We have a glimple in Homer, of some signs of military punishments and recompences. Agamemnon, in giving his orders for the combat, in the second book of the Iliad, threatens to give as a prey to dogs and birds, all those who shall be found in their ships far from the fray x.

With respect to military rewards, they were proportioned to the grossness of these remote times. Agamemnon, to encourage Teucer, one of the principal chiefs of the army, promises him, after the taking of Troy, that he shall have for the price of his valour, either a tripod, or a chariot drawn by horses, or, lastly, a woman, the possession of whom shall please him y. We

f Iliad. 1. 9. v. 32, &c.

u See Feith. 1. 3. c. 5. p. 308.

v Iliad. 1. 8. v. 289, &c.

t Ibid. l. 9. v. 89. l. 2. v. 53. x V. 391, &c.

fee likewife, that on certain occasions they rendered a particular honour to heroes who fignalized themselves by some great exploit. This honour confifted in giving them, at their feafts, a very large share of the victuals 2.

Homer has not directly explained himself about the measures the Greeks took to get provisions for their army, while they were before Troy. Thucydides fays, that they had fent into the Cherfonefus of Thrace, many detachments to fow corn and make the harvest a. This fentiment appears to me very ill founded. It is not feen in the Iliad, that, from the moment the troops were affembled before Troy, they were ever fent from the camp; it was by fea that the Greeks had their subfiftence. Homer makes it plain enough b. From time to time, there arrived convoys, which, as far as one can prefume, came from the different isles neighbouring on the Troades c. We know that the Greeks had taken care to make themselves masters of them during the course of the expedition d.

I finish what I have to say on the war of Troy by one last remark. The defire of revenging the affront done to Menelaus, was the only motive which engaged the Greeks to carry their arms into Asia. The object of making conquests, and of aggrandizing themselves, had no share in that enterprise. On the contrary, Troy was scarce taken, but the first care of the Greeks was to return back, without taking any measure to fecure the country they came to conquer. The advantage they gained over the Trojans, was then literally, and according to their proverb, a Cadmean victory. For the small portion of booty which the Greeks had to divide, they gave an opportunity for the greatest vices and the greatest disorders being introduced into their country. The long absence of the greatest part of the princes of Greece, opened the doors to licence and irregula-

<sup>Z Iliad. l. 7. v. 321.
A L. 1. p. 9.
b Iliad. l. 7. v. 467, &c. l. 9. v. 71, &c.
G Ibid. l. 7. v. 467, & 468.
d Ibid. l. 9. v. 328.
Strabo, l. 3. p. 223.</sup>

rities. Thus it was being a prey to feditions which forced the ancient inhabitants to leave their country f. Constrained to go and look for new habitations, these wandering troops addicted themselves to robbery and piracy. Those of the Trojans who furvived the destruction of their country, embraced also the same way of life 5. The concourse of all these events produced a nurfery of pirates and robbers, who did not cease for many ages to defolate trade, and trouble the repose of the seas and the continent h.

Eighty years after the destruction of Troy, Greece experienced a grand revolution. It was occasioned by the different movements which the descendents of Hercules made to enter into the dominions which belonged to them. This enterprise armed the Greeks against each other, and caused a long and bloody war, the fuccess of which was various enough. They fought many battles, and many combats i. Yet I shall pass in filence the detail of all these events. We can scarce collect any instruction about the object which now employs us. I shall only remark, that, according to some writers, it was at this time that the use of the trumpet was introduced into the Greek armies k.

I shall speak of a custom of which the history of these remote times furnishes us with many examples. It is seen on many occasions, when the armies, being in fight of each other, feem to be coming to blows, that instead of engaging, they referred the decision of the war to the hazard of a single combat. They chose on each side a champion, and the event of their combat ruled the fate of the party they fustained. The army whose champion had been conquered, retired without thinking of giving battle; and the articles which had been agreed upon were executed very faithfully 1. It further appears, that this custom had place in the most early times, and among other people befides the Greeks.

f Thucyd. l. 1. p. 9.; Plato de leg. l. 3. p. 827. D.

h Sce supra, b. 4. ch. 4. g Strabo, 1. 3. p. 223.

i See supra, b. 1. ch. 4. art. 6. K Snid. vocs Kadar, t. 2. p. 360. 1 See supra, b. 1. art. 4. p. 47, & 48.

In the third book of the Iliad, the Greeks and the Trojans being in fight of each other, and ready to engage, Hector promifes to determine the differences of the two nations, by a fingle combat between Paris and Menelaus. The conditions offered and accepted by both parties are, that the conqueror shall take away Helen with all her riches, and the two armies shall separate after the Greeks and the Trojans have entered into a firm and sincere alliance.

On the subject of these single combats, let us make a reflection, which presents itself often in the reading of Homer. This poet describes many single combats between heroes of the first rank. Yet there is no detail, no variety in these recitals. The combats which he paints only last a moment, and are not disputed. The champions on both sides only give one blow, and that blow is always decisive. Hector sights against Achilles. These two heroes are both covered with impenetrable arms. We expect to see the poet profit by this circumstance, to make the combat last some time between these two very samous personal ges, whom he has introduced into his poem. Hector, nevertheles, is laid upon the ground at the first stroke. Achilles pierces his throat, which the armour had left uncovered massile. Lastly, we must observe, that the heroes of Homer scarce ever used the sword. They commonly used the pike and the javelin.

Taffo, on the contrary, and the other modern poets, are extremely various, and afford many details in their descriptions of combats. Whence comes this difference, and why this barrenness in Homer, whose imagination in other respects is so rich and fruitful? It is, because in the heroicages, and even in Homer's time, strength decided every thing in combats. Dexterity went for nothing. They had not yet studied the art of fighting. The different exercises which teach the way of handling arms to the greatest advantage, were not invented; fencing, in a word, was not then known. Homer, of consequence, wanted ideas to vary and particularise his combats.

After so many details about the state of the military art in the ages we are running over at prefent, if we should cast a glance on the manner in which the conquerors used their advantages, we shall be feized with horror at what were then the laws of war, and the spirit of barbarity and cruelty which reigned among all the different nations of whom I have had occasion to speak. 'Cities reduced to ashes, people massacred in cold blood, or reduced to the most cruel flavery, were the common confequences of victory. They neither respected age, nor sex, nor birth. Sovereigns faw themselves exposed to the most cruel indignities. There were no fort of horrors, in a word, which the conquerors did not exercife.

The writers of antiquity praise Sesostris for the moderation with which he treated the nations he had become master of. He left, fay they, the princes whom he conquered on their thrones, contenting himfelf with imposing tributes in proportion to their power, on condition, nevertheless, that they themselves should bring them into Egypt n. But in what manner did Sefostris treat these princes, when they came each year, at the time appointed, to pay the tribute agreed upon? Each time that the Egyptian monarch went to the temple on these occasions, or that he entered into his capital, they unloofed the horfes from his chariot, to put in their place the kings who came to do him homage o.

Adonibesec, who reigned in Palestine about two ages after Sefostris, furnishes us with an example still more striking, of the excesses to which the conquerors carried themselves in these barbarous and rude ages. He had defeated and taken seventy other fovereigns of that country. It shocks one to see the manner in which he used his victories. He caused to be cut off the extremities of the feet and hands of those unhappy princes, and reduced them to have no other nourishment than the fragments that were left, and which they were obliged to pick up from under the table P.

n Diod. l. 1. p. 68.

Id. ibid.; Lucan. Pharfal. l. 10. v. 277.; Plin. l. 33. fest. 15. p. 614.

Judg. c. 1. v. 7.

The laws of war were not less cruel among the Greeks. I shall not speak of the indignities exercised by Achilles on the body of Hector, although the whole army feemed to take part in a proceeding fo low and inhumane q; nor shall I likewife take notice of the twelve Trojans facrificed by this hero on the grave of Patroclus r. We may think that he fuffered himself to be carried to that excess from an extravagant motive of vengeance. But when we read in Homer the taking leave of Andromache and Hector, we shall see what were at that time the rights of the conqueror, and how he used these advantages f. Death or flavery were the portion of the conquered nation. Nothing could shield them from it. Sovereigns massacred, their bodies cast out a prey to dogs and vultures, their children crushed to death at the breast, queens following unworthily in chains, were the common excesses to which the conquerors abandoned themselves t. They added outrage and humiliation to the rigours of captivity. Princesses were employed in the most vile offices. Hector does not scruple to fay to Andromache, that, if the Greeks became mafters of Troy, she would be condemned to go and draw water like the meanest of flaves u.

Hecuba complains in Euripides, that she was chained like a dog at the gate of Agamemnon. And we cannot think, that the spirit of vengeance carried the Greeks to particular cruelties on the taking of Troy. These excesses were only too common in the heroic ages. The Argives, under the conduct of Alcmeon, having become masters of Thebes, they destroyed that city and utterly ruined it x. I could still cite other examples; but it is better to spare the reader, and not dwell any longer on facts fo shameful to humanity.

⁹ Each foldier came to infult on the death of this hero, and each word was accompanied with a stroke of the pike or javelin. Iliad. 1. 22. v. 371, &c.

I Iliad. l. 23. v. 175.

f Ibid. l. 6. v. 448. See also l. 9. v. 587, &c.

t See Iliad. l. 22. v. 62, &c.; Virg. Æneid. l. 2. v. 550, &c.

u L. 6. v. 457. This was formerly the business of the lowest people. See Jofhua, c. 9. v. 23. * Apollod. 1. 3. p. 159.

Laftly, we see, and it is the last trait by which I pretend to characterize the Greeks in the heroic times; we see, I say, that these people had then the horrible custom of poisoning their arrows. Homer relates, that Ulysses went purposely to Ilus King of Ephyrus, to demand of him poison to rub his darts. Ilus refused to give him any, because, says the poet, he seared the gods. But, adds he, Ulysses obtained it of another prince, sovereign of laphos. It will perhaps be said, that in all the wounds of which Homer had occasion to speak, it is not seen that the effect of poison is mentioned there. I agree, that the poet does not tell us so much. But I presume he has affected this silence out of respect and regard for his nation.

y Odyff. l. 1. v. 260.

BOOK

BOOK VI.

Of Manners and Customs.

TE have nothing to fay, in this fecond part, of the manners of the Egyptians. I have reported under the first epoch all that could relate to that object. I am fo much the more addicted to this opinion, as the manners of the Egyptians at that time were quite formed, and in that respect nothing is changed among these people. The manners were always the fame in Egypt, as long as that nation subfifted under the dominion of its natural kings. If, in the course of time, it appears, that they have introduced fome novelties, they fhould only be attributed to foreign nations, who fuccessively from Cambyses have rendered themselves masters of Egypt. I fhall observe the same silence about the manners of Asia Major. I have already had more than once occasion to explain the motives. We absolutely lose fight of these nations for a long space of time. They do not begin to appear in history till towards the ages which make the object of the third part of this work.

We have only then to consider, at this time, the manners of the inhabitants of Palestine, and these of some nations of Asia Minor. I shall afterwards speak of the Greeks; and shall examine what were the manners and customs of that nation in the heroic ages, that is to say, in the times under examination at present.

CHAP T.

Of the Inhabitants of Palestine.

HERE has, at all times, been remarked a great relation between the manners of a nation and its progress in the arts and sciences. The taste for pomp, luxury, and magnificence has always been the reigning vice of the eaftern people. I have shewn elsewhere 2, that, in the first ages after the deluge, the inhabitants of Palestine had carried the arts and sciences to a great degree of perfection. These discoveries foot furnished this people with many ways of gratifying the defire they had for luxury and effeminacy. This inclination hath always been, if one may fay fo, increasing. This is feen from the manner in which Moses speaks, that in his time there must have reigned great pomp and magnificence in the greatest part of the countries of Palestine. The nations who inhabited it at that time, wore gold rings, ear-rings, bracelets, and fine collars b. I have even observed in the preceding book, that, among all these different nations, it was their custom to go to war fet off with all their most valuable and rich things c. Lastly, luxury was carried so far in these climates, that they adorned the camels destined for the use of their sovereign, with studs, chains, and plates of gold d.

Profane historians agree in this point with the facred books. They teach us, that the art of staining stuffs purple, a colour to fought after by the ancients, that it disputed the price with gold itself, was due to the inhabitants of Palestine . I have shewn elsewhere, that this invention should be ascribed

² See part 1. b. 2, & b. 3.; and fupra, b. 2. felt. 1. c. 2, &c.

b Numb. c. 31. v. 50.

c Chap. 2. p. 345. d Judg c. 8. v. 21, 24, 26.

c See Supra, b. 2. Cott. 1. c. 2. art. 1. 0. 95, & 96.

to the ages we are now running over f. It is also sufficient to open the poems of Homer, to be convinced, that, at the time of the war of Troy, the Phænicians were able to supply most part of the known world with every thing that could contribute to support luxury, pomp, and effeminacy.

These facts prove sufficiently, what must have been the manners and reigning inclinations of the inhabitants of Palestine. But the particular detail of their customs and manners is absolutely unknown to us. I presume, in general, that the manner in which the inhabitants of Palestine lived, in the ages of which I now speak, were very like the manner of living we have seen took place in that country in the most early ages s. We know, that the manners and customs of the eastern people change very little.

C H A P. II.

Of the People of Asia Minor.

HERE was much conformity, in the same ages, between the manners of the inhabitants of Palestine and those of the nations of Asia Minor. We see equally reign among both these people, great magnificence and esseminacy. We may judge by what Homer says of the Trojans and their allies. The manner in which he expresses himself on many occasions, shews plain enough the inclination and character of these nations. This poet even furnishes us, on this article, with some details capable of satisfying our curiosity.

It appears, that these people were very curious as to their apartments. Homer tells us, that there were in Troy many very large, very spacious, and magnificent palaces. That of

f See fupra, loco cit.

⁸ See part 1. b. 6. c. 1.

Priam included a number of apartments which composed so many separate pavilions, yet contiguous and joined to each other. There were fifty at the entrance of the court of his palace. These fifty pavilions were inhabited by the princes, sons of this monarch. They lodged there with their wives. At the bottom of the court, and opposite to the apartments I have just mentioned, were twelve other pavilions, for the fons-inlaw of Priam h. Hector and Paris had each their particular palace independent of thefei.

I faid elsewhere, that we are ignorant in what the magnificence of these palaces could consist, on the score of architecture. We are not much better instructed as to the interior decoration. We fee, in general, that the apartments of all thefe different palaces were wainfcotted with the finest woods k, and ornamented with valuable moveables, but of what fort, is not very well known. Homer farther fays, that there breathed in these apartments a perpetual odour of the most exquisite and most agreeable perfumes m.

The Trojans were not less curious nor less voluptuous in their dress and habits. The Trojan ladies made great use of perfumes. They rubbed their bodies with odoriferous essences, and perfumed their habits n. Their clothes were in great number and very various o. Lastly, their toilette required much art and much time. We may be convinced of this, by reading the picture Homer makes of Juno's p. For I am perfuaded that we ought to refer to the manners of the inhabitants of Asia Minor, all the descriptions which the poet makes of the dreffes and toilettes of the goddeffes. He would proba-

bly paint, on these occasions, what the women of his country

h Iliad. 1. 6. v. 242, &c.

i Ibid. l. 6. v. 313, 317, 370.

k Ibid. l. 24. v. 191, & 192. 1 Ibid. l. 6. v. 289. l. 24. v. 192.

m Ibid. l. 3. v. 282. l. 6. v. 488. l. 24. v. 191. n Ibid. l. 14. v. 170, &c. l. 3. v. 385.; Odyff. l. 6. v. 79, & 80.

o Ibid. l. 18. v. 400, & 401. l. 22. v. 468, &c. l. 14. v. 180.

P Iliad. l. 14. v. 170, &c.

practifed; and, I think, that Homer was born and paffed his life in Afia Minor.

We farther fee, in the heroic ages, it was the custom, in these climates, for the princesses to be served by a great number of female flaves q. By the by, they were the only fort of domestics ever known in the east.

With respect to the private and particular life of princesses. Homer and many other writers of antiquity teach us, that, in the heroic ages, they employed themselves in sewing, embroidering, and, in a word, working different works in frames r. We, moreover, find among the people of Asia Minor the same customs, with respect to the women, that I have said, in the first part, always took place in the east. The women had their feparate apartments f, and never appeared in public but when covered with a veil t.

Luxury and effeminacy among the Trojans extended itself even to the men. They particularly took great care of their hair. Homer reprefents Paris entirely taken up with the care of dreffing his hair ". Turnus in Virgil is also reproached by Æneas for dreffing and perfuming his hair x. These people did not content themselves with having their hair in elegant order: they enriched it also with rings of gold and filver, which ferved to keep up the curls v. Lastly, we see, that Homer always gives to the Trojans, and to their allies, very rich and magnificent arms. The armour of Glaucus was of gold z. Nothing could equal the magnificence of the chariot which

⁹ Hiad. l. 6. v. 286, 287, 375, 381. l. 22. v. 442. l. 24. v. 302.
r Ibid. l. 3. v. 125. l. 6. v. 491. l. 22. v. 440. l. 1. v. 31.; Odyff. l. 7. v. 105, 306.; Virgil. Æneid. l. 7. v. 14. See also Ovid. metam. passim.
f Hiad. l. 6. v. 251, & 252.; Odyff. l. 6. v. 15, &c. v. 50, 51.
t Hiad. l. 3. v. 141, 228, 419. l. 22. v. 470.

u Iliad. l. 11. p. 385.

The expression which Homer uses on this occasion, shews, that it was then the custom among the people of Asia Minor, to divide their hair before, so that they rise into a point, and they made them like two horns. See M. Dacier, t. 3. p. 88.

x Vibratos colido ferro, myrrhaque madentes. Ancid. 1. 12. V. 100.

y Iliad. I. 17. v. 51, & 52.; Plin. I. 33, fect. 4. p. 622.

Z Iliad. 1. 6. v. 235, & 236.

Rhefus used for war. His arms dazzled the eyes by the richness and the beauty of their work a.

I have nothing to fay about the repalts and diversions of these people. I shall only remark, that Priam complains, that his children passed all the night in dancing and feasting. He particularly reproaches them for making a great confumption of lambs and kids b. This circumstance shews, that they then looked upon the eating of fuch meats as too fenfual a delicacy. By examining the different treats scattered in the poems of Homer about the manners of the Trojans and their allies, it follows, that, in the heroic times, there must have been great luxury and effeminacy among the people of Asia Minor.

In spite of the magnificence and sensuality which then reigned in these countries, yet we there perceive certain practices which should be looked upon like the rest of the customs primitively established among most of the nations of antiquity. The fons of Priam themselves drew the chariot from the coach-house, when it was to carry that monarch to the Grecian camp. They put the horses and the mules to it, and also packed up the coffer which contained the prefents defigned for the ranfom of the body of Hector c. We fee absolutely the fame custom among the Phæacians, a nation, according to Homer, still more addicted to luxury and magnificence than the Trojans *. The fons of Alcinous unloofed the mules of the Princess Nausicaa their fifter, and carried the packets with which the chariot was loaded, into the palace of the king their father d. Yet Alcinous had a great number of domestics. We even see, that this was customary on many occalions c.

I have already faid, that the princesses had also women to ferve them. Yet they themselves did many offices troublesome enough. Nausicaa goes to wash her robes at

A Iliad. l. 10. v. 438, &c.

b Ibid. l. 24. v. 261, & 262. C Ibid. l. 24. v. 265, &c.
* See the reason why I place the Phæacians among the nations of Asia, above, p. 84. note *.
d Odysf. 1. 7. v. 4, &c.

c Ibid. l. 6. v. 69, & 71.

the river with her women, and puts her hands to the work herselff. The women and the young ladies of Troy used to do the fame 8. The mixture of luxury and fimplicity, which we remark perpetually in the manners of the ancient nations. forms a contrast fingular enough. In these early times, they were very distant from the ideas which we now have of the decency and decorums proper to rank, to fex, and the quality of persons.

C H A P. III.

Of the Greeks.

Deferred to this time to speak of the manners and customs of the Greeks. These people, in effect, only began very lately to form themselves into societies. They lived in early times in fo brutal and favage a way, that history has not deigned to take notice of them, or give us details which would be shameful to humanity. It was only towards the commencement of the ages which employ us in this fecond part, that we can perceive any plan or principles in the manners of the Greeks. Homer shall be our principal voucher for most of the customs of which I am going to speak.

We must not look for luxury and delicacy-at the tables of the Greeks in the heroic ages.

These people lived then a very rude life, and, of consequence, a very frugal one. They only eat bull, ram, he-goate and boar. I fay bull, ram, &c. because Homer always gives us to understand, that, at the time of the war of Troy, the Greeks did not yet know the art of gelding animals h. reading the description which this poet gives of the Grecian feasts, we imagine we are reading those modern relations, where they speak of the repasts of favages. When the

f Ibid. v. 90, & 91. h See Odyth l. 14. v. 16, & 17. g Iliad. l. 22. p. 154, & 155.

Greeks prepared to eat, they knocked down a bull or cut the throat of a ram; they skinned these animals, and cut them into many pieces, which they broiled immediately i. I fay broiled, because in the heroic times they did not yet know the art of roasting meat's. Let us add, that it was kings and princes who then joined themselves not only in this care, but also in killing and cutting them up!. A fort of poniard which they always carried at their girdle, ferved them for a knife m.

Another conformity of the Grecks with the favages. They had neither fpoons, nor forks, nor table-cloths, nor napkins. I do not even fee, that tables were known to them, Lastly, for the last trait of resemblance, these people, like the savages, eat prodigiously. It was to do honour to the principal guests, to give them very large pieces of meat. Agamemnon gives Ajax the entire back of a bull n. When Eumeus receives Ulyfies, he prepares for that prince two young hogs o.

With respect to game, poultry, and eggs, they are never mentioned in the feafts of Homer. They do not even appear on the tables of Penelope's fuitors, although the poet reprefents them abandoned to all forts of debaucheries and excesses *. It is the same as to fruits and vegetables. Homer makes no mention of them p. As to fish, the Greeks in the heroic ages greatly despised that fort of nourishment. Me-

i See Iliad. 1. 1. v. 459, &c. 1. 24. v. 622, &c. Odyst. 1. 3. v. 448, &c. 1. 20. v. 250, &c.

k See Athen. l. r. p. 12. B.; Serv. ad Eneid. l. r. v. 710.

It appears, that they also boiled certain pieces which they could not easily broil. See Athen. ibid. p. 25. D.

oil. See Athen. 101d. p. 25. D.

1 fliad. l. 9. v. 209, &c. l. 24. v. 621, &c.

n Ibid. l. 7. v. 321. Odyff. 1. 14. v. 74, &c.

^{*} The Greeks nevertheless sometimes eat venison, but only on very preffing occasions, and for want of other food. See Odysii 1. 9. v. 155. l. 10.

With respect to fruits, they do not appear in any repast. Yet the Greeks must have eaten them in the heroic ages, since there were pear trees, apple-trees, and sig-trees in the garden of Laertes. Odyst. 1, 24, v. 359, &c. supposing that the large is Homer's.

nelaus in the Odvsfey excuses himself from having eaten it, because he was at that time reduced to the greatest neceffity q.

Wine was the common liquor of the Greeks; women, and even young persons drank it r, contrary to the custom of all the other nations of antiquity. The custom was at the time of the war of Troy, that they brought this liquor mixed with a certain quantity of water. One of the first preparations for a feast was, to begin with mixing wine and water together in large vessels, from whence they drew it to fill the cups to prefent it to the guests t. For they only gave it by measure, and, as far as one can judge, they were not allowed to drink as much as they pleased u. A circumstance which has always struck me in the Grecian antiquity, is the affectation with which almost all the historians name him who passed for having first found out the secret of mixing water with wine *. They have even raifed a statue to him. Was this so uncommon a discovery, and of a species to attract the whole attention of posterity? It plainly appears, that the Greeks attached to it a merit which does not strike us at prefent y.

These people, in the times I speak of, commonly made two meals a-day, one at noon, and the other at night z. The last was always the largest and most considerable a. They ferved up the meat all cut, and each guest had a portion marked out, which they prefented to him feparately b.

⁹ L. 4. v. 368, & 369. r Odyst. l. 6 Sec Athen. l. 10. p. 441. See Feith. antiq. Hom. l. 3. c. 2. p. 280, &c. r Odyff. 1. 6. v. 77.

See Iliad. l. 4. v. 261, 262.; l. 8. v. 162.; Athen. l. 5. p. 192.

^{*} Hygin. fab. 274.; Plin. l. 7. fect. 57. p. 415.; Athen. i. 2. p. 38, & 45. Scholiaft. Stat. ad Theb. l. 1. v. 453.

y We may perhaps find the motives of these eulogies from the quality of the

Greek wines. They are all luscious, and drink ever to little, they fly into the head, and are troublesome. They have therefore thought they should shew some acknowledgment to him who had found a way of taking from these wines their had quality, by an exact and proportioned mixture of water. For they observed rules in it. They had certain wines which they diluted more or less according to their qualities. Homer gives us many examples of it.

Z Sie Feith. l. 3. c. 3.

a Ibid. p. 289.
b Hied. l. 2. v. 431. l. 9. v. 217. l. 24. v. 626.; Odyff. l. 14. v. 434. l. 15.
3. 140. l. 20. v. 280.; Athen. l. 1. p. 12.

The Greeks eat fitting in the heroic ages c, and not lying on couches, as was the custom afterwards. We presume, that they did not then like to have above ten at the table d. It must be observed, that the women did not eat with the men. Lastly, I shall observe, that the company drank to each other's health e.

The dress of the Greeks, in the times which now employ us, was fomething like to the people that I have spoken of in the first part of this work.

It confifted for the men in a very long tunic, and in a cloak which they fastened with a claspf. They tucked up the tunic by means of a belt, when they were to do any thing, to walk or go to battle g. The use of linings must not have been then known in Greece. I judge thus from the custom the people then had of frequently washing their clothes h. The manner in which they did it, deserves to be taken notice of. They cleaned their stuffs, by treading them with their feet in large ditches they had prepared for that purpose i.

The Greeks, in the heroic ages, used shoes, but not conflantly. They only used them when they went out k. We do not fee plainly what were the form of these shoes. The men also wore a fort of buskin made of neats hide!, which came to the calf of their leg. They had no fort of covering for their head; their dress in this respect consisted in the beauty of their hair, which they wore very long m. Light-coloured was at that time most esteemed n. Those who valued themselves for dress, fastened the curls of their hair with gold pins. Among the Athenians these pins were made in the form of the cicada. As to the beard, the Greeks in the heroic times let it grow p.

c Athen. l. 1. p. 11. F.; Feith. l. 3. c. 5. p. 296. d See Eustath. ad Iliad. l. 2. v. 126.

f See Feith. l. 3. c. 5. p. 306, & 307.; Plut. t. 2. p. 156. F.

f See Feith. l. 3. c. 6.

f See Feith. p. 348.

i Odyff. l. 6. v. 93.

k Feith. l. 3. c. 7. p. 331.

m See Feith. l. 3. c. 10. p. 349. 1 Odyff. 1. 24. v. 227. n Ibid. p. 350.

o Thucyd. l. 1. p. 4. D. p Odyff. l. 16. v. 176. l. 18. v. 175.; Diod. l. 4. p. 251.

It was the custom in these ages, not only for princes, but even for confiderable persons, such as fathers of a family, judges, &c. to carry as a mark of distinction, a baton made in the form of a sceptre q. It is to be remarked, that Homer never speaks of crowns nor diadeins. The Greeks did not know them in the heroic times.

There had at that time reigned great luxury in the men's dress. This is the description that Homer makes of that of Ulvsses. This prince, fays he, was clothed in a very fine and very large purple cloak, which was fastened with a double clasp of gold. The cloak was embroidered on the fore-part. There was feen, among other fubjects, a dog holding a fawn ready to tear it to pieces. These figures were in gold. Under the cloak Ulvsses had a tunic of exceeding fine stuff, the lustre of which Homer compares to that of the funr. From hence it may be inferred, that the Greeks then wore cloths, into the tiffue of which they put gold and filver.

There remains to us almost the same detail of the dress of the women in these remote times They had at that time long robes tied and fastened with clasps of gold s, among persons of ease and distinction. Homer does not tell us in what consisted the beauty of these dresses. With respect to the other ornaments of the Greek ladies, in the heroic ages, they wore collars of gold, and bracelets of the fame metal, adorned with amber, and ear-rings with three drops t. We must add, that they then used painting to clear and heighten their complexion u. We must surther observe, that the women of distinction never went abroad but when covered with a veil, or rather a fort of mourning-veil x, which they put over their robe, and fastened. it with a clasp y.

⁹ Hiad. l. 2. v. 46, & 186, &c. l. 18. v. 556, & 557.; Odyff. l. 2. v. 37. l. 3. V. 412.

t Olyff. 1. 19. v. 225, &c.
f Hiad. 1. 5. v. 424. & 426.
t Olyff. 1. 11. v. 325, & 326.; Ælian. var. hist. 1. 1. c. 18.; Paus. 1. 9. c. 41.

u Odyff. 1. 18. v. 171, 191, & 192.

x Ivid. 1. r. v. 334.

y Iliad. 1. 5. v. 424, & 425.

But it must be agreed, that the dress of the Greeks, as well for the men as for the women, was very imperfect. Is it not astonishing, for example, that these people never knew neither breeches, nor stockings, nor drawers, nor pins, nor buckles, nor buttons, nor pockets? They knew no more of caps nor hats. I have already shewn, that the Greeks did not use to line their clothes; thus, for fear of being cold, they were obliged to have recourse to their cloaks 2. It is still more strange, that not being ignorant of the art of preparing flax, or making cloth of it a, they should never think of making shirts; and, in general, linen was entirely unknown to them. It is for this reason that the use of the bath was so familiar to the ancients. The invention of linen, and the custom of wearing it habitually, has introduced, in this respect, a remarkable change in our manners.

I have shewn in the preceding books, that we cannot form an exact and clear idea of the external form of the Greek houses in the heroic times b. The distribution and the decoration of their apartments are not much better known to us. It only appears, that the lodgings below were inhabited by the men, and those above by the women c. All those apartments notwithstanding must have been very incommodious, since the Greeks neither knew the use of chimneys, nor windows, nor a number of other inventions, of which we do not at this time perceive all the merit, from having enjoyed them from our infancy.

As to moveables, we can speak of them with a little more precision. The Greeks had at that time two forts, the one for use and conveniency, and the other for luxury and show. The first consisted in beds, chairs, tables, and coffers d: for these people, in the heroic times, neither had preffes, fide-boards, nor buffets. They did not use hangings. Let us now speak of the ufeful moveables.

² See Odyst. l. 14. v. 480, &c. a See Iliad. l. 9. v. 657. l. 20. v. 128; Odyst. l. 13. v. 73. l. 14. v. 519.

d Odyst. 1. 8. v. 424, 425, 438, 439.

The Greek beds were composed of girth bottoms, ornamented with quilts, coverlets, and probably with fome fort of bolfters. There do not appear to have been any pavilions or testors, nor were curtains anciently used in Greece. Homes makes no mention of them. They undressed when they went to bedf. Some passages in the Iliad and the Odyssey may give us room to think, that the Greeks, at the time of the war of Troy, used sheets g. But this fact appears to me so much the more doubtful, as that custom was unknown to all antiquity. We fee also, that, among princes and kings, the woods of the bed were ornamented with plates of gold and filver, and pieces of ivory h. In the army, the Greeks lay upon skins spread upon the ground. They covered themselves with carpets, or other stuffs which served for blankets. They afterwards had coverlets put above all.

The form which chairs had anciently in Greece, is not well known to us. I presume that they were entirely of wood, having a plain back without arms. These chairs had always a footstool, whether they were used in the apartments for conversation, or at the table for eating i. Among the great people, they covered them with skins and purple stuffs k. The same magnificence appeared on the wood of the chairs, as on the wood of the beds 1. They were elegantly wrought with many ornaments m. Such were the principal useful moveables the Greeks had any knowledge of in the heroic times.

Their moveables for luxury at that time confifted in beautiful tripods defigned only to ornament the apartment; for they made no other use of them a. Let us add to them cifferns

e See Feith. I. 3. c. 8. p. 334. f Odyst. l. r. v. 437, &c. E Hind. l. 9. v. 657.; Odyff. l. 13. v. 73. l. 14. v. 519, &c. h Odyff. l. 23. v. 189, &c.

i Feith. l. 3. c. 11. p. 361. R Iliad. l. 9. v. 657, &c. l. 10. v. 155, 156. l. 24. v. 644, &c.

I Feith. p. 297.

m Ibid. p. 361. α See Iliad. l. 9. v. 122. l. 18. v. 373, & 374.

cifterns o and other precious vafes, for the materials and workmanship. The Greeks in the heroic times had neither statues nor pictures p. It would be very difficult, not to fay impossible, to explain in what manner gold, filver, ivory, and perhaps amber, were employed to decorate the infide of the palaces of which Homer speaks 4. We cannot even propose conjectures upon this head. Let us therefore pass to the customs of civil life, and see, how the Greeks in the heroic ages conducted themfelves in fociety, what were at that time the amusements, and, in a word, the manners of that nation.

The politeness of these remote times consisted in calling each person by his name, to salute him with the right hand, and to embrace him f. They also held some obliging discourse when they first met t. One of the principal rules of civility was, when they received strangers, to wait some days before they asked the cause and the motives of their journey ". It was also polite formerly among the Greeks, to go first even into their own house x.

The men did not live habitually with the women. They were almost always shut up in their apartments y. 'The manners of the Greeks favour too much of the little intercourse there was between the fexes. We shall always be shocked at the groffness and indecency of the discourse of Homer's princes and heroes. There is not one action, even to their testimonies of esteem and consideration, which does not bear the impression of the barbarity which still reigned in Greece in the heroic ages-The best manner, in effect, of shewing to any one how much they honoured and esteemed him, was to serve him at table

They then called Tripods, large vessels made in a particular manner, which I doubt whether we know at prefent. They gave them this name, as far as appears, becamfe they were supported by three feet.

O Iliad. l. 23. v. 267, 268, & 270.

O Oayss. l. 4. v. 72, &c.

Filiad. l. 10. v. 68, & 69.

See Odyss. l. 115.

Yee Corn. Nepos, in prastat. p. 29.

with a large portion of victuals, and always to pour out to him a bumper z. Such at this time is the politeness of savages z.

The Greeks had two forts of domestics, slaves, and free people who ferved for the wages they gave them b. A number of these was so far from being a charge to their masters, that, on the contrary, they obtained a good deal of profit and advantage from them. They used them to keep their flocks, and to improve their lands, the only riches they almost knew in these remote times. Moreover, it was not the custom of having domestics solely for pomp and ostentation. We do not see among the Greek princes neither porters, nor ushers, nor guards, nor masters of the ceremonies, nor valets de chambre, nor any other officers which filled the courts of the monarchs in Egypt and Asia. In the field particularly the heroes of Homer served themselves, as I have already remarked; but in the city customs were very different. Neftor and Menelaus were always ferved by officers c in their palaces. It was the same with the lovers of Penelope. It is feen, that, on almost all occasions, these princes were ferved by domestics d. Let us remark on this subject, that at that time it was the women or the girls who did for the men all the domestic offices, even those in which modefly and decency feem to be much interested. It was the women who conducted the men to bed, to the bath, who perfumed them, dreffed and undreffed them. c. Let us farther fay, that with the Greeks, in the heroic times, as at this day among the favages, the women were charged with almost all the la-

³ See Iliad. l. 4. v. 261, &c. l. 7. v. 321.

a Mœurs des fauvages, t. 1. p. 520. b Odyff l. 1. v. 398. l. 4. v. 23, 216, 217, & 644. l. 11. v. 488. l. 18. v. 356,

[&]amp;c. Herod. l. 8. n. 137.

This fecond fort of domestics, to speak properly, were only daily servants.

Odyss. l. 3. v. 338, 339. l. 4. v. 23, 37. & 38, &c. 57, 58, 216, 217, 621, &c. d sold. l. 1. v. 109, 110. l. 16. v. 248, & 253. l. 17. v. 331, &c. l. 18. v. 75.

e lliad. l. v. v. 31. l. 14. v. 6, 7. l. 18. v. 559, 560.; Odyff. l. 1. v. 436, &c. l. 3. v. 464. l. 4. v. 49. l. 10. v. 348, &c. l. 15. v. 93, 94. l. 17. v. 88, &c. l. 10. v. 320. l. 20. v. 105, &c. v. 147, 197, 198.; Athen. l. 1. p. 10. E. Catullus, Poom. 62, v. 160,

borious works of the house. They made them grind the corn, bake the bread, setch water, clean the apartments, make the beds, light the fire f, &c. The little regard and respect for the sex has at all times characterised barbarians.

The Greeks, in the heroic ages, knew different forts of pleafures and amusements. They had music, dancing, exercises of the body, and the games at quoit and ball. These people particularly had a great regard for music. They had on this article very different ideas from those which we have at this time. That art is only looked upon by us as a mere amusement. The Greeks confidered music with a much more ferious and attentive eye. They were thoroughly perfuaded that it not only ferved to exhilarate the spirits, but even contributed greatly to form the heart. I shall content myself, among many examples of this way of thinking, to quote one of the most remarkable. Homer fays, that Agamemnon, on going for Troy, had left with the Queen his wife, a musician charged with the care of the conduct of that princess. Egysthus, adds he, could not triumph over Clytemnestra till after he had caused to be destroyed the musician whose instruction kept that princess in the path of virtue 8. It was in confequence of these ideas, and the effects of music, that it attracted the principal attention of the ancient legislators. This art had, in the opinion of many people, an intimate relation and connection with manners. The fact is too well known to be infifted upon.

It appears, that, in the heroic times, the lyre was preferred to the flute. On all occasions where Homer has occasion to introduce music, he only speaks of the lyre. Some pretend that at that time the strings of this instrument were made of lint. They ground this opinion on a passage in the Iliad, which seems to indicate it h. But besides that the terms which the poet uses are susceptible of an explication which may equally

f Id. ibid.; Herod. l. 8. n. 137. h Schol. ad Iliad. l. 18. v. 570.

⁸ Odysf. 1. 3. v. 267, &c.

agree with strings of tharm, we see by other passages, that they were known at that time i. Farther, what found could be drawn from a flaxen string? Be this as it will, we must observe further, that the lyre was only used anciently to accompany the voice. We do not fee in Homer, any person playing on that instrument without singing. They never touched it alone. The fubjects of their fongs were always some pieces taken from mythology or history. The time of repast was commonly that in which they chose to hear music; that is to say, a singer joined his voice with the lyre. For Homer never introduces but one musician on these occasions. They were ignorant then of the art of multiplying instruments, and of making many play together to produce an agreeable harmony; an art which, I think, was unknown to all the nations of antiquity k.

I shall not make any reflection on the dances which might have been anciently used among the Greeks, nor on the different exercifes which made the favourite pleasure of that nation. We have so much written about all these objects, and they are fo familiar to us, that I think I shall be excused from speaking of them. No one is ignorant that all these institutions tended to make the body more strong and active. I moreover doubt. notwithstanding the testimony of a number of authors, that, at the age of the war of Troy, they had in Greece spectacles regulated and fixed at a certain time, and at a certain place, that is to fay, games which they celebrated regularly, fuch as were afterwards the Olympic, the Pythian, Nemean games, &c. Homer does not give us to understand so much. We only collect from the reading of his poems, that the custom then established was to celebrate games on certain occasions, where they di-Aributed prizes of a considerable value to the conquerors! This circumstance shews at first fight an effential difference in

i Odyst. l. 25. v. 406. &c. E See les mem. de Trev. Octobre 1725, p. 1774, &c. I See Iliad. l. 9. v. 122, &c. l. 23. v. 259.

the recompenses, the principal objects of the combatants. Those who carried away the victory in the Olympic, Pythian, Ishmian, Nemean games, had only a crown made of the branches of olive, laurel, pine, ash, &c. Glory was then the only motive that animated the combatants, and not lucre and cupidia ty. These motives, on the contrary, might enter mostly into the games spoken of by Homer, where the prizes consisted in flaves, horses, arms, oxen, precious vases, sums of gold and filver, &c. Lastly, the Olympic games, Pythian, &c. were celebrated at certain epochas, and constantly at the same places; but it does not appear by any passage of Homer, that, at the time of the war of Troy, there was any thing fixed or regulated about the time or place where they should celebrate the games he describes. We may nevertheless reconcile all these facts, by faying, that the confecrated games of Greece established very anciently had ceafed from being celebrated for a long time; an interruption which history furnishes us with many examples of m. It is not then furprifing that Homer has faid nothing of their celebration. But as this point of criticism would require a pretty long discussion, and besides would be of very little use, I do not think I should engage in it.

It now only remains to give a general glance on the manners of the Greeks in the heroic ages; that is to fay, on their manner of thinking and acting. We may already have judged, by all that I have faid, to what a degree these people were at that time barbarous and ignorant. The ferocity of their manners answered to the groffness of their minds. They had neither morals nor principles. The law of the strongest was almost the only one which they acknowledged. This anarchy forced the Greeks at that time to travel always armed, and to be perpetually in a state of defence ". In the description of the shield of Achilles, Homer represents the young men dancing with their fwords on o.

m See le journal des scavans, Fevr. 1751, p. 112, &c. a [1 hucyd. l. 1. p. 4. C.; Arist. de repub. l. 2. c. 8. t. 2. p. 327. B. Hiad. l. 18. v. 597, & 592.

They found then, in these ancient times, neither repose nor security in Greece. Robbery and licentiousness reigned every where p. It was for this reason that strength of body and courage in battle were formerly the most shining qualities which these people knew q. Wisdom, justice, probity, most part of the moral virtues, in a word, had not even names in the ancient language of the Greeks, as they still have not among the savages in America r. I dare not even affirm, that there was then in the Greek language a term which even expressed the general idea of virtue s.

Politeness was never introduced into a country but by means of letters. The most brutal vices and most prejudicial to humanity are the portion of gross and ignorant nations. Philosophy had not yet enlightened Greece at the time of the war of Troy. Thus the conduct of its inhabitants, at that time, presents to us a most dismal and hideous picture. The history of the heroic ages only affords usurpations, murders, and unheard-of crimes. It was at this epocha that all those famous criminals appeared, whose names have come down to us. There we see Theseus, Atreus, Eteocles, Alcmeon, Orestes, Eryphilc, Phædra, and Clytemnestra. Almost all the princes who went before Troy, were betrayed by their wives. The kingdom of Mycenæ alone presents the most signal catastrophes. The scene each moment is imbrued with blood. The history of Pelops and his descendents is a continued series of crimes and horrors. In

f The word dern so often used in Homer, is visibly derived from dens, Mars, fight, and only signified originally bravery, or warlike virtue.

P See Jupra, b. 4. p. 315.

4 See Feith. l. 14. c. 7. p. 452.

5 See la Condamine, relat. de la riviere des Amazones, p. 54, 55.

If afterwards the word agern, has been used, to signify virtue in general, it is because for a long time the Greeks knew no other virtue but valour, which, even in the brightest ages of that nation, was always regarded as virtue by excellence.

I think we may fay as much of the word $\sigma \circ \varphi_{i\alpha}$, wifdom, which we also meet with in Homer. This term only means, with the poet, skill and address in the mechanic arts.

E See fupra, b. s. p. 37.

a word, the heroic ages are the times the most fruitful in incests and parricides spoken of in history ".

After these reslections, it will, I think, be very unnecessary to stop to prove how much the praises which certain authors have thought to heap on the heroic times, are false and un reasonable. We may very well apply to these ages so boasted of, all that I have said of those which made the object of the first part of this work. The Greeks were at that time as ignorant, and, of consequence, as vitious as the people there spoken of could be. There passed many ages before the greatest part of the universe came out of that satal ignorance, of which the most shameful vices and excesses were the unavoidable consequence.

u Panf. l. 2. c. 19. p. 179.

DISSERTATIONS.

DISSERTATION I.

On the Names and Figures of the Constellations.

HAVE shewn, in treating the history of astronomy, that, in the earliest times, they had contrived to distinguish the flars more easily, to reduce many of them under one and the fame group. I faid also, that, from that time, they had given certain names to these different collections which we now defign by the word constellation. The origin of these figures and of these names is, of all the questions that offer themfelves about the origin of ancient practices, not only one of the most curious, but, at the same time, the most obscure and impenetrable. The different fystems which they have invented to give a reason for so whimsical a custom, prove plainly the difficulty of the subject I have undertaken to treat of. It is fo much the more difagreeable, as there now remain no monuments of the progress of astronomy in the first ages. We cannot therefore hope ever fully to fatisfy the curiofity about a custom, the motives of which are very obscurely offered to the lights of reason. Let us endeavour nevertheless to propose fome conjectures. There are three questions presented to us to be examined.

1. If the names we at this time give to the constellations can shew us those given to them originally?

2. Why they have employed preferably the names of certain objects to defign the constellations?

3. What could have been the motive which directed the application of the names of these objects to certain constellations?

I shall also try to trace the origin of some whimsical expressions which they still use in the language of astronomy.

If we refer to the greatest part of the authors who have bufied themselves to this time about the question which at prefent employs us, it is in the most early antiquity that we must look for the origin of the names and figures astronomers have made use of to design the constellations. I am far from adopting this fentiment. These institutions do not appear to me to be the work of the first observators. On the contrary, every thing leads us to think that the primitive denominations have been altered, and that the Greeks have probably introduced this change. These are the names which they have thought proper to give to the constellations which they retained; but these names most certainly are not of the first ages of astronomy a. It is true, at this time, the Arabians, the Moguls, the Tartars, and almost all the people of the east, design the figns of the zodiac by the fame names with us. But we know that all these nations, except the Chinese, adopted the astronomy of the Greeks b. These people had carried them into Arabia and Persia, from whence they had passed into Mogul and Tartary. It is not then furprifing to find in these countries the Greek constellations. This conformity proves nothing for the antiquity of these names ...

But,

These names for the most part are posterior to the expedition of the Argonauts

b See Weidler, hift. astronom. c. 8. p. 205, & c. 10. p. 244, 245. M. Hyde assirms it politively of the signs of the zodiac in his commentary on

the table of Ulug-Begh, p. 4.

* What I say here of the Greek astronomy's being received among the Arabians and the other people of the east, will at first light appear contradictory to what I have said in the first part, p. 224. This contradiction, notwithstanding, is only apparent. The Arabians, and the other people of the east, had certainly their notions of astronomy before the time they frequented the Greeks; but, according to all appearances, their knowledge was not very perfect. The conquelts of Alexander in Upper Asia, and the empire which after his death the Seleucidæ established in these countries, brought on a very great commerce between the Greeks and the Afiatics. Aftronomy had then made a very great progress in Greece. The Arabians, and the other nations of whom

But, fay they, the Greeks did not invent astronomy: they -learned it from the Chaldeans, the Phænicians, and the Egyptians; it may be prefumed, that they would have retained the names and figures which these people had given to constellations; and thus the tradition of the primitive customs would have been transmitted to us. This objection is not difficult to be answered.

Although the Greeks were incontestibly indebted for the greatest part of their astronomical knowledge, to the Chaldeans, the Phænicians, and the Egyptians, they had nevertheless strangely altered the symbols by which these people had designed the constellations. The Greeks had formed a particular zodiac. The names by which they designed the constellations, were not those made use of by the ancient nations. Let us hear what the authors of antiquity have said on this subject.

Firmicus fays positively, that the sphere of the Barbarians, that is to say, the people of Egypt and Chaldea, was entirely different from that of the Greeks and the Romans. Many other writers speak also of the difference there was between the Greek and the Egyptian zodiac. The names of the constellations, among these two nations, had no resemblance. In the Egyptian sphere they neither knew the name nor the sigure of the Dragon, of Cepheus, of Andromeda, &c. The Egyptians had given to that collection of stars which composed these constellations among the Greeks, other sigures and other names. It is the same with the Chaldeans. The eastern people had never known Gemini (Castor and Pollux), which

we have just spoke, profited by these discoveries, and, in consequence, adopted the terms and the figures received in the Greek astronomy.

e See Salmaf, de ann. climact. p. 594.

d Achill. Tat. ifag. c. 39. See also Plut. de Iside & Osiride, p. 539.

e Achill. Tat. loco cit.

All that we have here faid from the ancients about the difference there was between the sphere of the Greeks and that of the ancient nations, should be understood with some restriction. We will explain a little after the sense in which we think these words should be taken.

the Greeks had made the third fign of the zodiac f. In effect, there now remain to us almost none of the names which the first inhabitants of Arabia originally gave to the constellations; but, from the little which is preserved, we see that they must have been different from those by which we design them at this time s. After these facts, it remains to examine, what could have been the primitive custom, and for what reason the constellations have been designed among all people by denominations so whimsical, and so remote from the figure which they have in the heavens.

Do not the stars present themselves with the same arrangement to all eyes? Is not their disposition the same for all climates? Yes, without doubt. But in all climates they have not looked upon them with the same eyes; I mean, that all the people have not observed a uniform plan to group the stars, the forms under which they have reduced these stars having been very different, the number and form of the constellations, of consequence, must have varied in each country. It is for this reason that the Indians reckon in the zodiac twenty-seven constellations, and the Chinese twenty-eight h. There are even among these last constellations which are only composed of one star *.

If we remark a great variety in the number, and in the form of the constellations among the different people of this universe, it is not less perceptible in the names by which they have thought proper to design them. If we run over all the nations, even the most savage ones, we shall see that they knew some constellations, and that they have given names to them, which are all relative to certain sensible objects. Yet nothing is less uniform than the objects to which each nation has refembled the constellations. Whence comes the agreement of so many nations, who certainly have had no commerce with each other, to design the constellations by denominations which

f Herodotus affirms it of the Egyptians, l. 2, n. 43. See also Hyde, hist. ring. vet. Persar. c. 32. p. 391.

8 See Hyde. in tab. Ulugh-Begh.

h See les observat. math. astron. &c. faites aux Indes & a la Chine, publiées,

par le P. Sonciet, t. 1, p. 243.

* The first constellation of the Chinese zodiac, called Kio, which means a horn, is only composed of one star.

have no relation with their arrangement in the heavens? How could it have happened, that they should all be united in a practice so much the more extraordinary, as it is less natural? Before we enter into any discussion, I think it is proper to distinguish the times.

We have here two objects to consider; the names which they had given primitively to the constellations, and those by which we design them at present. The origin of these last is very ancient. But I have already said, that we should not attribute their invention to the first ages of astronomy. These denominations have not relation enough with the apparent disposition of the greatest number of stars. I cannot persuade myself, that the first men can be said to have seen in the collections of stars of which they formed the constellations, the resemblance of the greatest part of the figures by which they design them at this time among almost all nations. They must have used originally some method different from that which remains to us. It is this primitive practice which we must endeavour to find out, and explain at the same time the origin of that which we use at present.

The first denominations must have been extremely simple, and relative to the object which they would design. If we could hope to find any traces of these primitive customs, it is among the savages in America that we must fearch for them. These people, before the arrival of the Europeans, knew some constellations, and had given names to them. Let us examine the signification of these names, and the ideas which they had annexed to them.

The Iroquois knew Ursa Major. They called it Okouarii, that is to say, Bear; a denomination, the motives of which are very easy to penetrate into, as we shall see in a moment. With respect to Ursa Minor, it does not appear that these people had given a name to that constellation. It is only the polar star which had attracted their attention k. It was it which directed them in their voyages. They had need of such a guide, lest they should be lost in the vast

countries in the continent of America. The name which they had given to that star is very simple. They named it, Late quattentio, that which does not move !. This denomination is founded on this, that the motion of that star is infensifible, and that it appears always fixed in the fame point.

The people of Greenland know not only the polar star, but even all the constellations of Ursa Minor. They call it Kaumorfok. This name in their language has an immediate relation to the use which they make of this constellation. These people get a great part of their subsistence from sea-dogs. It is only by night that they can take these amphibious creatures. The appearance of the north star is an advertisement to the Greenlanders to get ready to go and hunt the fea-dogs. Thus the name Kaumorfok, which they give to Urfa Minor, fignifies in their tongue, Some one is gone out to take the sea-dogs m.

We remark also, in the name which these people give to the Pleiades, a very striking relation with the figure which that constellation presents to the eyes. They call the Pleiades Killukturset, which means tied together n. In effect, these stars touch fo nearly in appearance, that they feem to be fixed to each other.

We may fay as much of the stars which compose the head of the bull. They reprefent well enough the form of the head of that quadruped. This refemblance is even fo striking, that the most favage people have catched it. The nations which dwell along the river Amazon, call the Hyades Tapiira Rayouba, a

¹ Moeurs des fauvag, t. 2. p. 239.

Mi Hilt. nat. de l'Islande, & du Greenland, t. 2. p. 224, 225.

The author from whom I have taken this fact lays, that the name of Kaumorfok, given by the Greenlanders to the north star, comes from this, because that star appears to come out and rise from the sea. His mind was certainly travelling under the equator when he writ that. I leave it to be judged if one could say this, for the people who are situated in 70 degrees of north latitude, that the polar star seems to come out and rise from the

n Ibid. p. 215.

name which fignifies at this time, in their language, the chops of the oxo.

That long white train which traverses the whole heavens, has received also, among most nations, a denomination very conformable to the object which it represents. The Greeks have called it galaxy, or milky way, on account of its whiteness. The Chinese call it Tien-ho, the celestial river. Many nations have called it the great road p. The favages of North America defign it by the name of the road of fouls q. The peafants in France call it the road of St. James.

It is also probable enough, that the two shining stars in the head of Gemini might be defigned by two fimilar objects. The Greeks gave them the name of the two famous brothers, Castor and Pollux. They pretend, that in the ancient sphere this constellation was defigned by two kids r. The Arabians had placed there originally two peacocks. All these denominations are very natural, as these two stars spoken of, are the most remarkable of all those which are discovered in that part of the heavens; and, as they are nearly of the fame magnitude and brilliancy, they have tried to design them by similar objects.

The Chinese may also supply us with some lights on the question we have endeavoured to elucidate. The origin of aftronomy among that people afcends to a very remote antiquity. We know that the Chinese were a long time without borrowing any thing either from the people of Asia or Europe f. The expressions used in the Chinese astronomy may

O Relat. de la riviere des Amazones par M. de la Condamine, dans les mem. de

9 Moeurs des fauvag. t. 1. p. 406. 1 Hyde, hist. relig. veter. Persar. c. 32. p. 391.

Pacad, de la riviere des Amazones par vil, de la Condamine, dans les mem, de l'acad, des scienc, ann. 1745, M p. 447.

About the word Tapiira Rayouba, which signifies at this time among the Indians the chops of the ox, M, de la Condamine adds, I say at this time, because that word signified formerly the chops of the Tapiira, an animal proper to the country; but, since they have transported the European cattle into America, the Brasslians and the Peruvians have applied to these animals, the names which they gave in their mother-tongue to the largest of quadrupeds they knew before the coming of the Europeans.
P See le comment. de Hyde sir les tables d'Ulug-Begh, p. 23.

s See les observat. mathematiques-astronomiques faites aux Ind. & à la Chine, pubilées par le P. Souciet, t. 1. P. 3, 4, & 5.

then give us some idea of the primitive denominations which are at present the object of our researches, so much the more as these people were attached, if one may fay so, even to a fault, to their ancient practices. The Chinese call, for example, the zodiac Hoang-tao, the yellow road. This denomination is natural enough. We there fee a fensible relation to the annual course of the fun, which he performs in the circle of the fphere. The name of zodiac, which we give to it after the Greeks, has not fo much conformity with the phenomena which it prefents to the eyes. Thus the term zodiac is recent enough even in the Greek language. It certainly was not in the first ages of their astronomy. It is not seen, that ancient authors have used it. Yet the Greeks were not, till the time that name was introduced among them, without knowing the proper motion of the fun, and without having a word in their language to delign the circle which that star seems to go over in the heavens. I shall be strongly led to believe, that, in the first times, the zodiac had been defigned by the name and emblem of a girdle which furrounds the heavens. This is the term which many nations, and particularly the Arabians and most of the people of the east, still use to express the circle of the fphere t.

I also think, that the constellations, under which the moon and the fun pass, have not been originally defigned by the names Aries, Taurus, and Leo, &c. It is more natural to believe, that they at first called these collections of stars, the lodgings or the houses of the moon and of the fun. It is thus that many nations of the greatest antiquity have designed the figns of the zodiac u.

But, fay they, how could it happen that fo simple and natural a practice should degenerate into customs so whimsical as

t See le comment, de M. Hyde fur les tables d'Ulug Begh, p. 30. See also Les notes sur Aulugelle, l. 13. c. 9. p. 669. not. (8). edit. in 8°. de 1666.

u See Hyde sur les tables d'Ulug-Begh, p. 30.

The Chinese word sou, which we translate constellation, does not answer, in the Chinese idiom, to the idea which the constellation gives in our language. The groups of stars, which the Europeans design by the word constellation, are called by the Chinese lodging, inn, a denomination conformable to the ideas they must have originally formed of the signs of the zodiac.

that which we follow? a custom, moreover, which ascends to a very remote antiquity. This is the manner in which, I conjecture, the change may have happened.

Astronomy could have made no progress, if, in the most early times, they had not taken care to couch in writing the different observations they had made. This must be prefumed then, though we have no direct proof of it at this time. We have feen in the first part of this work, that people were a confiderable time without knowing alphabetic writing x. We have also feen there, that hieroglyphics were anciently the means they most generally practifed to preserve the memory of facts, of sciences and discoveries, &c. It cannot be doubted that they made use of this fort of writing to ascertain the first astronomical observations. Nothing is more common in hieroglyphic writing, than the representations of men, of animals, &c. It is known, that these representations often have a very oblique relation to the objects they were defigned for. May it not then be fuspected, that, in these hieroglyphic figures, we should look for the origin of those whimsical names the constellations have among all nations?

It is more than probable, that, on the recital of their observations, the first astronomers joined the design of the constellations which they fpoke of. But that defign, probably, had no refemblance to those which modern astronomy uses. The first men used the first manner, which the Chinese still use at this time. These people had given names to constellations, and these names were relative to certain figures. These figures, nevertheless, are not designed on their planispheres. The reprefentations of constellations were only expressed by lines which joined the stars to each other, according to the different forms to which the Chinese had reduced them. They writ on the fide of these assemblages the name of each star, and of each constellation 7. This method is much more simple than that which

^{*} Book 2. chap. 6. y See Bianchini, la istor. univ. p. 283.; Acad. des inscript. t. 18. mem. p. 271. I have seen a Chinese planisphere engraved at Pekin, persectly conformable to that spoken of by M. Bianchini. It is difficult enough to know the confiellations, confidering

which we use. In our planispheres, the figures by which we design the constellations are drawn, and the stars of which each constellation is composed, are arranged on those figures. I think, that in the early times they used a quite different method. The ancient astronomers had probably represented the constellations in the taste which the Chinese had represented them, that is to say, without any sigure, only joining together, by right lines, the stars which compose each constellation. I also presume, that, to avoid errors and ambiguities, the first observators writ the name of each of the constellations on the side of its representation; but that name, as I have just said, was wrote in hieroglyphics. Let us examine the effect which this practice could produce in the succession of ages.

The first way of writing astronomical observations, by drawing each constellation of which they would speak, would become very troublesome when the number of them was multiplied to a certain degree. They would then endeavour to shorten the work. It is natural to believe, that they would infensibly suppress the representations. They would be content to defign the constellations, of which they would speak, by the hieroglyphical fymbol of their name. Thus, when they would, for example, defign the confiellation which we now call Taurus, supposing that a bull was formerly the hieroglyphic symbol of the name which they had given to that collection of ftars, they would have drawn a bull; fo of others. From this custom, it has happened, that the constellations insensibly have taken the name of the principal fymbols which have ferved originally to write the name which they had at first given to these collections of thars, and that at last they had lost fight of the primitive denominations.

From hence, I think, we should fearch for the origin and the causes of these whimsical names which the constellations have among all nations: for, though in early times hierogly-

confidering that the position of the stars is very inexact, and very defective; but otherwise, this manner of grouping the constellations is infinitely preserable to that we follow at present, and which we had from the Greeks: by this means we find the constellations much more easily.

phic writing was the only means men knew of to paint their thoughts, yet it is not probable, that the way of uting that fort of writing was uniform. Each nation had its particular fymbols. The denominations, for this reason, must have varied according to the difference of fymbols. It must, of consequence, have happened, that the constellations received different names, according to the different symbols which each nation used to write these ideas; and this is what is proved by the little that remains to us on this subject. We have already seen the difference there was between the Greek planispheres and those of the Egyptians and Chaldeans. These differences are still more remarkable in the names which the inhabitants of Mogul and China give to the constellations.

If we had the key of this first writing, we should know why certain constellations have received the name of certain objects preserably to others. What may be conjectured, is, as I have already said, that the representations of these objects, joined probably to some other marks, have been employed originally to preserve the first observations made on these constellations.

It is not even absolutely impossible to penetrate the motives of some of these symbols. We see at first, that animated beings have been the symbol the most generally and the most frequently used.

Although it cannot be decided precifely, what fort of an animal that is by which Job defigns the constellation which he calls Aisch, it is not less certain, that this word fignifies an animal, and probably a quadruped a. It is equally certain, that the people of Egypt, of Chaldea, and of Greece, agree to defign the constellations by living beings. What I am going to say of the practice of the savages, will make this truth still more visible.

The people of North America knew some constellations before the coming of the Europeans. They designed them by

Z See les observationes astronom. &c. faites aux Indes & à la Chine, publiées par le P. Souciet, t. 1. p. 247. & acta erudit. Lips. anno 1711, p. 387.

A See our dissertation on the constellations spoken of in Job.

the names of men and animals b. The nations which border on the river of the Amazons, had attention to feveral fixed stars. To distinguish them, they have given them the names of animals c.

We may join to all these barbarous and savage nations, the inhabitants of Greenland. It is by the name of a quadruped they defign Urfa Major. They call that constellation Tugta, as much as to fay Rein-deer d. Let us now inquire, for what reason they have preferred living beings to every other object, to defign the constellations.

The first astronomers had perceived that the stars had a very visible and daily motion. To express the motion of the stars in hierovglyphics, they would naturally chufe the fymbol of a living and moving being. By following thefe first hints, we shall fee that this explication may have had place with respect to many constellations.

For example, one may give a reason for those motives which may have determined certain nations to have made use of the symbol of a Bear, preferably to every other object, to defign the north stars. The ancient astronomers faw the stars which composed the constellation of the Bear always to the north. The most remarkable animal to be met with in these countries is the Bear. They would therefore very naturally make use of that animal, to defign the use of these stars. Thus we also see, that the savages of North America, who use hieroglyphic writing, call this constellation the Bear c.

It is eafy also to shew, why that constellation bears the same name among the Greeks. These people, as has been said elsewhere, had received from Prometheus their first astronomical knowledge. This prince, as far as history teaches us, made his observations on Mount Caucasus. The motives I have just hinted, would, without doubt, lead him to use the emblem of

Mœurs des fauvag. t. 2. p. 236, & 238. t. 1 p. 410.
 Mem, de l'àcad. des feienc, ann. 1745, M. p. 447.
 Hift. nat. de l'Islande et du Groenland, t. 2. p. 223.

^{*} Supra, p. 398.

the Bear, to defign the principal constellation of the north. The Greeks, who had received from Prometheus the first elements of astronomy, preserved that ancient denomination, and have transmitted it to us, but in their way, that is to fay, by joining to it many fables relative to the history of their country.

By means of this explication, we easily fee, why, in the Egyptian and Chaldean sphere, we find neither the name nor the figure of a bear f. There is no reason to think, that in the first times the Egyptians had knowledge enough of the countries of the north, to be informed that the bear was the most common animal in these countries. It is not then surprising that they made use of other symbols to design the stars near the pole *. We may apply what I have just said of the Egyptians with as much reason to the Chaldeans.

Now, it is easy to conceive, from what motives many nations have defigned the fame constellations by different symbols. These figns have varied relatively to the ideas these people had formed of the constellations. Nevertheless, it appears in antiquity, they have agreed fusficiently to represent constellations by the fame fymbols. We fee, for example, that the Chaldeans, the Arabians, the Persians, the Greeks, &c. have designed the emblem of a giant, to reprefent the constellation of Orion 5. We should attribute, without doubt, this uniformity of choices to the great space of the heavenly ground which that constellation occupies.

f Ubi supra, p. 396.

* Scaliger in Manil. p. 334. fays, after Probus, that, in the Sphere of the barbarians, that is to fay, of the people of Egypt and Chaldea, the polar stars were designed by the symbol of a chariot.

We may, I think, confirm this testimony by that of Homer. We see, in effect, that this poet names this collection of stars, The Bear; but he teaches us at the same time, that they also called this constellation the chariot. Iliad. 1. 18. v. 397.; Odyst. 1. 5. v. 273.

Should we not believe that it was from the Egyptians that the Greeks had

learned this denomination? In effect, from the manner in which Homer expresses himself, it appears, that the name of charies, given to the polar stars, was not so ancient as that of bear, introduced into Greece by Prometheus. It is certain moreover, from the testimony of all the writers of antiquity, that the Greek astronomy was a composition of the Assatic and Egyptian altronomy.

8 Chron. Pafchal. p. 36. A.; Hyde, comment. in tabul. Ulug-Begh, p. 314;

Homer. Odyff, l. 11. v. 571.

It is also very probable, that the constellation of the Buil was originally designed by the symbol of that animal. I have said, that by the manner in which the stars of the Bull are disposed, they represent well enough the form of the head of a quadruped h. We have also seen, that the savages of South America have given to that constellation the name of The chops of the ox i. We may then think, that, for the design of that collection of stars, they would chuse an animal whose figure had the greatest refemblance to these stars in the simulation.

There is also great appearance, that the dragons, the hydras, the serpents, and the rivers, have only been invented and introduced into the heavens, with a view to collect under one figure a considerable series of stars. We might extend this plan of analogy to many other constellations; but this is enough, and even perhaps too much for conjectures.

It appears to me then probable, to attribute to the fymbols of hieroglyphic writing, the origin of the whimfical figures and names used to defign the constellations. I also do not doubt, that these same symbols have given rise to all the ridiculous tales which have been propagated about the celestial signs. They lost insensibly the view of the motives of these first denominations. Then the people gave a loose to their imagination. The Greeks furnish us a convincing proof of it.

These people had received from Asia and Egypt the first principles of astronomy. It is to be believed, that the Asiatics and the Egyptians had communicated to them at the same time, the terms which they had consecrated to that science. But whether the colonies of Asia and Egypt did not explain to the Greeks the origin and motives of these names, or, what is more probable, the Greeks did not think proper to retain them. These symbols represented to them too good an opportunity of exercising the secundity of their imagination to let it slip: they sound in it a double advantage, that of uttering marvellous sictions, which have always had a singular attractive with that people;

the other, of fatisfying their vanity. For the reigning passion of the Greeks has always been, to pass as the inventors of arts and sciences.

They did the fame then with respect to the names and fymbols by which the colonies of Asia and Egypt had taught them to defign the constellations, as they had done with regard to all the ancient traditions they had drawn from the eastern nations. They changed the fymbols by which these people had designed the constellations. For the names and figures which the constellations had in the east, the Greeks substituted most of their heroes and other famous perfonages. It is in this confifts the difference that is remarked, according to the testimony of the ancients between the sphere of the Greeks and that of other nations. For it must not be thought, that that difference regarded the arrangement and number of constellations. The contrary is proved to us by too may testimonies to be doubted of. The Greeks did not form the constellations. They were indebted for that knowledge to the eastern nations *. But in preferving the fubstance of the primitive symbols, they had altered them by introducing confiderable differences as well in the names as in the figures.

For example, the Egyptians had defigned the constellation Cepheus by a man, and that of Andromeda by a woman. The Greeks thought proper to accommodate these symbols to their ideas, to make of it a king and a princess of Ethiopia; and, by a necessary consequence, to change the attitude, the dress, and the name which these sigures bore in the Egyptian planispheres. So of others. With respect to the symbols which the Greeks only changed a little, their origin was not less disguised by the sables they invented to explain the metives of their institution.

Among an infinity of testimonics which I could cite, I shall only mention that of Seneca: That philosopher says, that, in his time, it was not 1500 years that the Greeks had given names to the constellations. Nat. Quest. l. 7. c. 25. p. 887. Astronomy had already sleurished a long time in Egypt and Asia, and then came with the colonies from these countries to pass into Greece. But the epocha designed by Seneca, and which falls about 1400 years before J. C. is that in which the Greeks designed most of their heroes.

This is the fource of all the abfurd tales which the writers of that nation have propagated about the origin of the zodiac and other constellations k. The more obscure the subject was, the greater fcope was given to their imagination. It would be lofing time then to look for, in the early times, the origin of the names and figures by which we at this time defign the constellations. These symbols have suffered too great a change, by passing through the hands of the Greeks, for us to be certain at this time of the true motives which had determined the choice. It is certain, that this practice ascends to the earliest ages of astronomy; but we must attribute to the vanity of the Greeks, and to the tafte which they always had for fables, the uncer, tainty and obscurity there is about the origin of a custom adopted in practice by all nations of the universe.

Further, the conjectures which I propose about the changes introduced by the Greeks in the symbols which the astronomers in the east made use of to design the constellations, are not totally void of foundation. We frequently find in the Egyptian monuments, many figures of the celestial figus!. We there still recognise the vestiges of usages practised by the first authors of astronomy *.

For the rest, the Greeks have not been the only ones to whom the primitive denominations of the conftellations have furnish-

k See Salmaf, de ann. climact. p. 592, 593, & feq.

^{*} See Salmat, de ann. cumact. p. 592, 593, & leq.

1 See Blanchini, la istor. univer. p. 111.

* What we have advanced would even be absolutely without doubt, if we might refer to P. Kircher. That vast compiler has given a figure of a planishere which he pretends to be that of the ancient Egyptians. On comparing with it that of the Greeks, which is also ours, he shews, that there is only the difference between them that we have remarked. Oedip. Egypt. t. 2. p. 2. class. 7. sect. 7. c.

^{1, &}amp; 2. p. 160, 206.

But this is not the only time that we have feen the necessity of suspecting the systems propagated by P. Kircher. The planisphere of which we speak, appears to me very suspections. I would so much the less warrant the antiquity and authenticity of it, as we see there constellations represented by symbols, which we certainly know were not used in the celestial globe of the ancient Egyptians, such as trainly know were not used in the celetical globe of the ancient Egyptians, such as Urfa, Draco, Libra, and Gemini. But even supposing the authenticity of the planisphere in question, it would still be necessary to inquire into the age of this monument. For since the reign of the Ptolemies it is not to be doubted, that the Egyptian astronomy has savoured much of the expressions and sigures of the Greek astronomy. It could only then have happened from the discovery of an Egyptian planisphere, constructed before the reign of the Ptolemies, that could have instructed us with certainty of the symbols used by the ancient Egyptians to design the construction. the constellations.

ed a subject for many absurd tales. We have before seen, that the savages of North America knew the constellations of their pole, and that they called Ursa Major Okouari, which in their language signifies a Bear. Their imagination bussed itself very much about the name of that constellation. They said, that the three stars which composed the tail of Ursa Major, were three hunters who pursued him. The second of these stars is accompanied with a very small one which is very near it. That, say they, is the hamper of the second of the hunters to carry the baggage and provision. They pretend, that the savages of Gaspesie knew not only Ursa Major, but also Ursa Minor. The tales which they have forged about this last constellation, are not less ridiculous.

I still think to find from this source, that is to say, in hieroglyphic writing, the origin of some whimsical terms which have obtained a long time in the astronomical language.

Our ancient astronomers called the head and tail of the Dragon, the two points of interfection of the ecliptic and of the orbit of the moon. They named the belly of the Dragon, that part of these circles where they find the greatest latitude of that planet *. Is there any thing more whimfical than this denomination? What relation is there between a dragon, a chimerical animal, and the celestial phænomena. But by recalling the manner in which the ancient nations writ their astronomical observations, we shall perceive in that expression a remnant. of the ancient denomination, which owed its origin to hieroglyphics. The Egyptians defigned age, time, by the form of a ferpent, which by biting the tail made a circle o. It even appears, that this figure of a serpent was not a true one. For the Greeks, in translating the name which that reptile had in the Egyptian language, have rendered it by that of basilisk, as fabulous an animal as the dragon p. Thus, to reprefent the world,

O Hor. Apollo. l. r. c. r. P Ibid.

m Mœurs des sauvages, t. 2. p. 236, & 238.

R See ibid

It is only in these points of intersection that eclipses are made.

the Egyptians painted a ferpent covered with scales of different colours, rolled about himself. We know by the interpretation that Horus-Apollo gives to the Egyptian hieroglyphics, that, in this style, the scales of a serpent represented the stars with which the heavens are fown q. We learn also from Clemens Alexandrinus, that the Egyptians defigned the oblique motion of the stars, by the twisted folds of a serpentr.

The Egyptians moreover have not been the first who used the emblem of a ferpent to defign the course the sun makes in running through the twelve figns of the zodiac.

Among the Perfians and many other nations, Mithras was the same as the sun . In all the monuments which now remain to us of this god, we perceive among many other emblems some signs of the zodiac, some stars very plainly marked, with the planets, or at least their symbols. One cannot help regarding these bass relievos as a fort of celestial planifpheres t. Every thing evidently declares, that they had an intention to represent the revolutions of the fun, of the planets, and of the fixed stars. Here is what Celfus faid of them, according to the report of Origen. "We fee," fays he, " in the doctrine of the Persians, and in the mysteries of their Mi-" thras, the fymbol of two celeftial periods, of that of the fixed ftars, and that of the planets, and of the passage the soul " makes by these "." We should then look upon all these representations as the remains of ancient hieroglyphic writing.

Among many of these representations of Mithras, there is one in particular very complicated. I shall not undertake to give the description of it. I shall only speak of the crowning

⁹ Ibid.

1. Strom. l. s. p. 657.

1. M. Cuper-has proved by an infinity of reasons, that Harpocrates is the sun, We see under many representations of this god, a serpent embracing a demi-column, and forming about it many twisted folds. There is no doubt, that this reptile was intended in these representations to design the obliquity of the celiptic. See Explication des fables par l'Abbé Bannier, t. a. p. 356.

f Bannier, ibid. t. 3. p. 156. Bannier, ibid. t. 3. p. 156.

V Origen contra Cellium, L. 6. p. 290.

of this bass relief. It is very singular. It is a series of sigures on the same line, of which the first is a sun shining with his rays, and mounted on a car drawn by four horses which appear greatly agitated, and look towards the four parts of the world. Near the car is a naked man, a ferpent twisted into four folds, from the feet to the head. We afterwards fee three burning altars, and among these altars three large square viols, afterwards another naked man twifted about by a ferpent like the former. We find these four altars with as many viols. The moon upon her car, drawn by two horses which appear extremely fatigued, terminates these figures. The inspection alone of this monument announces, that they meant to describe there the course of the stars. We see, that the spirals which result from the combination of the diurnal motion of the fun, with his motion of declination, are defigned under the emblem of these two figures twisted about with serpents x.

The use that many other nations made of this symbol, is attested by a number of monuments, is in a manner so positive, that there can no doubt remain on this fubject y. Among a great number which one might make use of, there is none more striking than the trunk of a statue found at Arles in the year. 1608. The body of that figure is twifted with a ferpent which makes four turns, although there appear only three in the front. The spaces formed by the windings of the serpent, are taken up by the figns of the zodiac *. It is not to be doubted, that they would represent by this emblem, the passage of the fun through the twelve figns, and his diurnal motion from one tropic to another, which in appearance, he makes by spiral lines.

We find, even among the nations of America, the fymbol of a ferpent, to defign the revolution of the stars. The Mexicans, as we have feen z, express their thoughts by hierogly-

^{*} Bannier, explicat. des fables, t. 3. p. 171, 180, 183.

Y Bannier, explicat, des fables, t. 5. p. 493, &c.

* We may fee this figure, and the explication given by P. Montfaucon, Antiquité expliquèe, t. 1. part 2. p. 370. planche 215. fig. 3.

Z Part 1. b. 2. c. 6. p. 174, & 176.

phics. It was in this manner that their cycle and year were represented. A wheel painted of many colours contained the space of a cycle distinguished by years. Their cycle was of sisty-two solar years. Four indictions, of 13 years each, form the division of the wheel, and answer to the sour points of the horizon. A serpent surrounded this wheel, and marked there by his knots the sour divisions a.

It is then certain, that they used hieroglyphics to preserve the first astronomical observations. We have seen in the first part of this work, that all the mysteries which they pretended to have found in hieroglyphics, are only chimeras. These symbols used by all nations, were only a fort of very rude and very descrive writing. Nothing hinders us to believe, that these are the same symbols which have afterwards given birth to a number of singular expressions used in astronomy.

Yet what can have given room to that intimate perfuafion in which all the ancient people were, and which still subsists at this time among almost all the nations of the east, and even among the favages in America, that the eclipses of the moon are occasioned by a dragon which would devour that star? The fear they are in brings them to make the greatest noise they can, to frighten the monster, and make him quit his prize. Ought we not to put this ridiculous opinion in the number of those philosophical expressions, which, being ill interpreted by the people, have given birth to a number of very abfurd fables? Did it not come from this, that originally to defign the periodical circle of the moon, they used the emblem of a dragon, whose head was placed at the point where that circle cuts the ecliptic, because it is always at that point, or at its opposite that the eclipses of the sun are made? What we have just scen about the ferpent used by the Egyptians and other nations, in their aftronomical hieroglyphics, has engaged me to propose this conjecture. When alphabetical writing was introduced among policed nations, the ancient manner of writing was abo-

a Gemelli has given this figure of the cycle of the Mexicans with his explication. Giro del mondo, t. s. c. s.

lished; but the denominations which they had occasioned, have always subsisted, particularly with regard to many objects of the sciences.

One last reflection, in a word, which proves to us how little able we are to judge at this time of original practices, is, that we are nowise certain that the names of the figures used in our astronomy, were the same in the first ages of Greece. Every thing, on the contrary, proves to us, that the names and the figures of the constellations had been changed among these people. I shall speak of it in the following books.

There only now remains a word to be faid of the origin of the astronomical characters by which we design the signs of the zodiac. Some authors will have it, that the Egyptians were the inventors of them. A modern critic pretends, that he discovers there, even at this time, traces of the Egyptian origin. These are, according to this author, vestiges of curiological hieroglyphics, reduced to a character of common writing like that of the Chinese. This distinguishes itself more particularly, says he, in the astronomical characters of Aries, Taurus, Gemini, Libra, and Aquárius.

I do not look upon this observation as a convincing proof, that we should ascribe to Egypt the institution of the astronomical characters of the zodiac. First, there are authors who attribute this invention equally to the Chaldeans and Egyptians. In the second place, the astronomical symbols, by which we at this time design Gemini and Libra, surely do not come from these last. We have seen, that these people did not know Castor and Pollux, which the Greeks have put for the third sign of their zodiac. The same reslection has place with reference to the astronomical character of Libra. The ancient astronomers of Egypt could not have been the authors. In the ancient sphere, the signs of Virgo and Scorpio immediately follow them. Scorpio alone took up the space of two signs. The forceps or pincers made the sign which afterwards was designed by

b Essai sur les hieroglyphes des Egyptiens, p. 295. 6 Hygin. apud Kircher, Oedip. Egypt. t. 2. class. 7, c. 6, p. 196.

Libra, and that constellation was not introduced into the heavens until the time of Augustus d.

It may be thought, it is true, that astronomy having had its birth in the east, it should also have been from these people, that the manner of defigning the constellations of the zodiac by fymbolical characters should have come to us. These characters then should be looked upon as the remains of the ancient hieroglyphical writing; but it is precifely for this reason that its origin may be equally attributed to the Chaldeans and the Egyptians.

These characters, moreover, have suffered great alteration. We fee considerable differences between the figures which we use at this time, and those used by the ancient astronomers *.

d See Servius ad Georg. l. 1. v. 33.
* We may see the figure of these astronomical characters in Salmas. Plin. exer-

cit. p. 1035, & feq.

M. Huet has also caused them to be engraved in his remarks on Manilius, p. 80,

DISSERTATION II.

On the Names of the Planets.

knowledge of the planets, thought of distinguishing each by a proper name. There has been great variety on this subject among the ancient nations. It will not be easy to give a reason for all the different names given to the planets in antiquity. Those by which we now design them came to us from the Romans. These people, notwithstanding, were not the authors of these denominations; they had borrowed them from the Greeks, and had applied to the planets the names, which, in their language, answered to those which the Greeks used to design these stars. That was those of their principal divinities.

But these names are not of the first antiquity. They could not take place before the times, in which the people, having decreed to their heroes divine honours, thought of placing them in the heavens. It was then that they gave to the planets the names of the principal divinities which they adored, and which they made the same with the objects of their worship. custom, farther, could not have been introduced till some time after the birth of these new divinities. Their apotheosis, it is true, followed from the instant of their death a; but still it must have happened that these new worships must have been established and known, to have changed the primitive names of the planets. Yet it cannot be supposed, that the people would stay till the time of these deisications, without giving names to the stars which they had observed. The contrary indeed is proved by history. Though, in process of time, they have often confounded the fun with Apollo, and the moon with Diana, it is

a Essai sur les hieroglyphes des Egyptiens, t. 1. p. 312, & seq.

certain, that in the ancient mythology these objects were plainly distinguished b. It is then proved, that they had given originally to the planets other names than those of the divinities. by which they defigned them afterwards. It is these first denominations that it is proper to inquire after.

Every thing leads us to think, that the first observators defigned the planets by names which had an immediate relation to the most sensible qualities of these stars. In this respect, they had nothing to do but to follow the practice of these ancient times. We are not ignorant, that, in the first ages, each name expressed the nature and the properties which they attributed to the object denominated. The names by which the fun and the moon are defigned in the facred books, express the known qualities of these planets. The fun is called Schemes and Kammahc. These two names have an immediate relation to the most sensible qualities of that star. The one, Schemes, designs his brightness and his splendor; the other expresses his heat and activity *. The moon is named Labanah, a denomination which was given to her from her colour d.

The Affyrians and Babylonians originally named the fun Adad, that is to fay, fingular e; a denomination founded on this, that none of the stars are comparable in lustre and utility to him. The Phrygians a very ancient people,

b See le Clerc. not. in Hesiod. Theog. p. 68, & 128.; Bannier, explicat. des

fables, t. 4. p. 140, 161, 164, 208, & feq.

c wnw & nord Genef. c. 37. v. 9. Job, c. 30. v. 28.; Song of Sol. c. 6. v. 10.; Ifajah, c. 24. v. 23. c. 30. v. 26.

^{*} wnw Schemes comes probably from the Arabian root Schamash, which ligni-

hes fplenduit, claruit, micuit, to glitter, to shine.

We may also say, that the word wow Schemes takes its etymology from two Hebrew words we way Scheme, esch, which signify, that it is fire, or heat, or light. Then this name may have been given to the sun on account of its heat, and because it is regarded as the socus of our world. The sun is also called man Kamah, from the root own Khamam, which fignifies to have heat, to be hot; Khamah signifies also hear

d If. c. 24. v. 23. The word לבנה Labanah comes from the root לבנה Laban, which fignifies white-

Macrob. Saturn. l, 1. c, 23. p. 312.; Vost, de idol. l. 2. c. 6. p. 125. col. B.

worship it under the same name f. It is also for this reason, that the Phoenicians, at the beginning, called the fun Beelfamen, a name which, in their language, fignifies Lord of heaven 8.

The Phœnicians and Affyrians gave to the moon the name Aftarte, queen of the heavens h, without doubt, because that planet furpaffes in magnitude all the other stars which shine in the heavens during the night. The Affyrians and Babylonians called also the moon Ada, singulari, for the same reason that they had called the fun Adad.

We remark the fame conformity in the primitive names by which the Egyptians defigned the planets. I faid elfewhere, that those whose lustre was the most striking, were the first that were known. That quality, without doubt, would fuggest to men the names which they originally gave to the stars. In Egypt they had given to Venus a name which the Greeks had rendered, in their language, Callista, very beautiful, or rather the most beautifulk. In effect, there is no planet which equals Venus in lustre and beauty *, With respect to Mars, the Egyptians defigned him by a word in their language which fignifies to fire, a denomination which answers very well to the colour of that planet. Mercury had received among them the name of sparkling, a denomination which agrees perfectly well with that star. With respect to Jupiter, they called him by a word which means shining 1.

It is not so easy to give a reason for the first name of Saturn. The Greeks have rendered the name which that planet had received originally from the Egyptians, by Dairw, which in

f Hefychius, in voce Adad. 8 Sanchon. apud Euseb. p. 34. C. i Voss. ibid. p. 125. col. B. h Voff. de idol, p. 151. col. B.

^{*} It is for this reason, that in many provinces they never call Venus any thing but the heautiful flar. See le Clerc. not. in Hesiod. p. 41.

1 Jul. Firmic. l. 2. c. 2.; Manetho, loco cit.

The Greeks had rendered all these names in their language by those of

Πυρόεις, or Πυράδης, Στίλβων, & Φαεθων. I have given the translation in the text.

their language fignifies luminous, apparent m. It must be confessed, that this qualification does not appear to agree with that star, which has very little lustre; unless we say that this word might be fusceptible of another interpretation, about which notwithstanding we can determine nothing *.

The Greeks used the same method with other nations, with regard to the names they gave to the planets in early times-To defign the fun, they borrowed from the Phœnician language, the word Helojo +, which fignifies high; from whence they made, according to the analogy of their language, Helios t. The property of being extremely elevated above the earth is common to all the stars; but as of all the celestial bodies the fun is the most striking, it is not surprising that they have applied it to him preferably to all the others n.

The Greeks gave likewise to the moon the name Selene, a name which comes from another Phænician word, which fignifies to pass the night ||. This name is so naturally applicable to the moon, that it would be ridiculous to endeavour to elucidate the motives of a choice the reasons of which are so easily discovered.

With respect to the other planets, we see by the most ancient authors, that they bore originally among these people, the fame denominations as among the Egyptians o. This is a proof,

m Jul. Firmic. locis cit.; Achil. Tat. ifag. c. 17. init.

^{*} Riccioli Almagest. I. 17. c. 1. believes that Saturn had been called Φαίτων, that is to say, properly he who shews himself, because, of all the planets, his conjunctions with the sun last the shortest time. Saturn finds himself soon disengaged from the rays of that star, on account of the slowness of his own motion. Whereas Mars, for example, whose motion approaches nearly to that of the sun, follows that star for a pretty considerable time, immediately after their conjunction; it is for this reason, that Mars does not go so quick out of the rays of the fun.

^{‡ &#}x27; Η λιος. ליא ל. n Le Clerc. not. in Hesiod. p. 68. שלנה וו Schelanah, le Clerc, loco cit.

O Homer deligns Venus by the epithet of Κάλλισος, Iliad. 1. 22. v. 318. See

also Plato in Epinomi, p. 1012.; Arist. de mundo, t. 2. p. 602.

It is true, it is doubted whether these two tracts are Plato's and Aristotle's; but whoever have been the authors, they are certainly very ancient.

Eratosthenes, c. 43. uses the same term. The text of that author, such as we

have it now in print, is very much corrupted in this place.

that the Greeks had received them from Egypt, as well as the first elements of astronomy. They only made some changes in these names, to accommodate them to the genius of their language *.

The Chinese appear to have been the only ones among the policed nations, who have given to the planets names which it will be difficult to penetrate into the reasons of. They reckon five elements, earth, fire, water, wood, and metals. The Chinese made use of these names, to design the five planets other than the sun and moon. They applied the earth to Saturn, wood to Jupiter, fire to Mars, metal to Venus, and water to Mercury p.

But let us remark at the same time, that Venus bears also, among the Chinese, another name besides that I have just mentioned. They call her also Tai-pe, which means very white q. This denomination proves two things to us. The first, that the Chinese, like all other nations, had designed that planet by a name analagous to its most apparent quality. The second, that this name is, without contradiction, the primitive denomination that Venus had received among these people. According to all appearances, this planet was the first that had fixed their attention. In consequence, they had given it a simple name, drawn from the quality which had

* The author of Epinomis infinuates it plainly enough, p. 1012.

What Plato says in Cratyll. p. 281. on the etymology of the word $\pi \tilde{v}_{\ell}$, which in Greek signifies sire, is a farther proof. Plato agrees that the Greeks had borrowed that word from the Barbarians. It is plain that $\pi v_{\ell} \circ v_{\ell} \circ v_{\ell}$, the primitive name of the planet Mars, comes from $\pi \tilde{v}_{\ell} \circ v_{\ell}$. Salmassus pretends that this word is purely Egyptian. De ann. climast. p. 556.

It farther appears, that Φαίνων is an oriental word which comes from the Hebrew min Phanah, apparere, lucere. This is not even a mere conjecture. We have feen that this was the primitive name of Saturn among the Egyptians. Valens fays also, that the Babylonians called the Planet Saturn Φαίνων. Salmas.

loco supra cit.

About all these etymologies one may consult Vossius de idol. l. 2. c. 22, & 31.; &c. & les restections critiques sur l'histoire des anciens peuples, par M. Fourmont, 1. l. 2. c. 7, & seq.

P Martini, hist. de la Chine, l. 1. p. 12, & 23.; Hyde, hist. relig. veter. Persar. p. 221.

4 Hyde, loco cit.

struck them most. It was only afterwards, when the Chinese had discovered the four other planets, that they looked for a name which might be common to these five stars. It was then probably that these people changed the ancient name they had given to Venus *.

The practice of favage and barbarous nations will ferve to confirm what I have just said about the origin of the first names given to the planets.

The favage people of America, as we have already feen elfewhere, only knew a very small number of stars. Yet they had thought of giving them names. These denominations, with refpect to the planets, have a perfect conformity with those which thefe stars had received in the first times, among the people of our continent. The names which the favages of North America gave to the fun and the moon, are relative to the exterior and fenfible qualities of these stars. They name the sun Ouentekka: He bears the day r. They call the moon Asontekka: She bears the night f. Venus has not escaped from their observations. The name which they give to that planet, characterifes it perfectly. They name it te Ouentanhaonitha: She proclaims the day t.

It does not appear that the Peruvians, although sufficiently instructed in astronomy, have paid any great attention to the planets. I think thus because they have not distinguished them by particular names. Nevertheless, the lustre of Venus had struck them. The Peruvians had searched for a word proper to defign that planet. The name which they had given

^{*} It is from M. de Guignes, of the royal academy of inscriptions, royal professor and interpreter of the Chinese, that I am indebted for all that I have faid in the preceding differtation and this, on the Chinese denominations of the conflellations and planets.

Mœurs des sauvages, t. 1. p. 135.

I have translated Ouentekka, He bears the day, to accommodate myself to the genius of our language: for according to the letter it should be faid, She bears the day, the fun being of the feminine gender among these people.

t Mœurs des sauvages, t. 2. p. 235.

This word has the fame fignification as Ewo Pogos among the Greeks, and Lucifer with the Romans.

her, like that of all the ancient nations, was taken from her principal quality. They called her Thasca, Hairy "; without doubt, because of the rays with which she is always turrounded.

But, as I have already faid, the nations of the east and of Europe have not always fluck conftantly to the primitive denominations. The people, full of acknowledgment to the great men who had heaped benefits upon them, decreed them divine honours. They then thought of placing them in the heavens. They could not find a more convenient retreat for these new guests, than the planets. From hence these names of certain gods, fuch as Ofiris, Mercury, Saturn, Jupiter, Thuras, Venus, &c. which they had given to the planets among many nations. But we fee that at the fame time thefe new names have not abolished the memory of the primitive denominations. These first vestiges of antiquity had sublisted, among the Egyptians and the Greeks, a long time after the ages in which these people, having resolved to place in the heavens the fouls of their heroes, had in confequence given their names to planets x.

As to the characters by which the astronomers at this time defign the planets, many authors think that they are very ancient. They even think, that they there find traces of ufages practifed in the most early ages *.

u Hist. des Incas, t. 2. p. 36.

That of Jupiter 21 the first letter of the name of God in Greek, with an in-

tersection.

That of Mars of an arrow with a shield. That of Venus Q a mirror with a handle.

That of Mercury & the caduceus.

It is also the opinion of Riccioli Almagest. 1. 7. c. 1.

^{*} Plut. de placit. philosoph. l. 2. c. 15. p. 889.; Achil. Tat. isag. c. 17.; Gemin. c. 1. apud Petav. Uranol. p. 4.; Hygin. astron. l. 4. c. 15, & feq.; Cleomedes metcor. l. 1. p. 16.; Censorin. de Dei nat. c. 13.

* Scaliger, in his notes on Manilius, says, that a proof that the astronomical characters which we use for the planets are of a very great antiquity, is, that we find the same characters engraved on many very ancient stones and rings. He thinks that the astronomical character h of Saturn, means the scythe of time which cuts down all things.

This reasoning will prove at least, that these characters came to us from the Greeks; but they certainly are not of the first antiquity. They could only take place fince the time that they attributed the names of the divinities to the pla-

I think, that we should ascribe the invention of these characters to the people of the east, and that they are the remains of the first manner of writing in hieroglyphics. The Greeks, from whom we have this abridged way of defigning the stars, have probably received them from the eastern nations: but there is greater reason to think that the particular form of each character has fuffered great changes relatively to the times and the places where they were used. It is certain, that they had not given originally to the planets, the names of the gods by which they afterwards defigned them. It is equally proved, that the ancient nations were not unanimous about the names of the divinities which they had attributed to these stars y. The aftronomical characters must, of consequence, have varied according to the different denominations. The attributes of fome could not agree with those of others.

It must be agreed, that the characters which we use at prefent, are different enough from those found in the writings of the ancients. We need only compare them, to be convinced of it *. I shall then be led to look upon the Arabians as the authors of these changes, and to think, that we have received from these people the form of the astronomical characters which we use at present. This conjecture is founded on this, that we defign the planets in astronomy, and metals in chymistry, by the fame characters. Now, all the world agrees, that chymistry came to us from the Arabians. There is great reason to think, that having also been obliged to them for the renewal of astronomy, we have received from these people the signs used by them in both these sciences.

Y See Achil. Tat. ifag. c. 17; Macrob. Saturn. l. 1. c. 2t. p. 303. l. 3. c. 12. p. 412.; Herod. l. 2. n. 144.; Diod. l. 2. p. 143.; Arift. de mundo, c. 2. p. 602.; Plut. de Ifide & Ofiride; Scholiaft. Apollon. ad l. 3. v. 1376.; Plin. l. 2. c. 8. p. 75, & 36.; Apulcius de mundo, p. 169.; Hygin. aftron. l. 2. c. 42. p. 416.; Chron. Pafchale, p. 37. D. Tim. Locrus de anima mundi apud Plat. p. 1091.; Augustin. de civit. Dei, l. 7. c. 15.; Voss. de idol. l. 1. c. 16. l. 2. c. 27, 31, 32, & 33.; Plin. exercit. p. 1235. & 1236.

By comparing the different passages of these authors, it will be seen how much

the ancient nations varied about the names of the divinities which they attributed to the planets.

^{*} See the figures of the ancient characters referred to by Salmafius, Plin. exercit. p. 1235, & feq. and in the remarks of M. Huet on Manilius, l. s. p. 80.

424 DISSERTATION II.

The custom of making each day of the week answer to a planet, is very ancient. Herodotus, and other writers, attribute to the Egyptians the origin of this custom 2. There are fome, notwithstanding, who ascribe it to the Chaldeans, to Zoroaster, and Hystaspes a. Be this as it will, it is very probable, that this custom took its rise in the east. We know, that, from time immemorial, the eastern nations made use of weeks composed of seven days b. Without doubt, each day of the week received the name of the planet under whose denomination the ancients were perfuaded it was. It is true, there is no relation between the order which the planets follow in the week, and their arrangement in the heavens. Plutarch gives a reason for this displacing. His work is lost. The title only remains. I shall not stop to explain the motives alledged by the aftrologers, motives founded on the power which they attribute to each planet over each hour of the day, by beginning with that of noon. It suffices to mention such explications, to thew all the ridicule of them.

The End of the SECOND VOLUME.

z Herod. l. 2. n. 82.; Dion. Cassius, Rom. hist. l. 37. p. 42. edit. 1592.

a Salmaf. de an, climact. p. 595, & 596.

b See part 1. b. 3. p. 217, & 218.







